User's Guide and Codebook for the ANES 2012 Time Series Study

American National Election Studies
The University of Michigan and Stanford University
May 28, 2015

Suggested Citation

ANES. 2014. User's Guide and Codebook for the ANES 2012 Time Series Study. Ann Arbor, MI and Palo Alto, CA: the University of Michigan and Stanford University.

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants SES-0937715 and SES-0937727. ANES is also supported by the University of Michigan and Stanford University. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation, the University of Michigan, or Stanford University.

Sections of this report may reprint previous documentation of the American National Election Studies without explicit attribution. Parts 1 through 8 of this report were prepared by Matthew DeBell and part 9 was prepared by Julia Payson and Simon Jackman.

The ANES Principal Investigators are Vincent Hutchings at the University of Michigan and Gary Segura and Simon Jackman at Stanford University. Ted Brader at the University of Michigan is Associate Principal Investigator.

The ANES study directors for the Time Series were Darrell Donakowski for the face-to-face mode and Matthew DeBell for the internet mode. ANES staff contributing to the Time Series are Pat Luevano, Jaime Ventura, and Laurie Pierson at the University of Michigan and Catherine Wilson at Stanford University. Research assistance was provided by Julia Payson, Spencer Piston, Vanessa Cruz, Andrew Boboltz, Kathleen Koehl, and Nora Stephens.

The members of the ANES Board contributing to the Time Series Study and reviewing Online Commons proposals were John Aldrich (chair), Stephen Ansolabehere, Matt Barreto, Shaun Bowler, Ted Brader, Brandice Canes-Wrone, Kathleen Dolan, James Druckman, Morris Fiorina, Sunshine Hillygus, Leonie Huddy, Michael Jones-Correa, Geoffrey Layman, Taeku Lee, Paula McClain, Kathleen McGraw, Diana Mutz, Stephen Nicholson, Tasha Philpot, David Redlawsk, Douglas Rivers, Deborah Schildkraut, Daron Shaw, Randolph Stevenson, Nicholas Valentino, and Lynn Vavreck.

Data collection for the face-to-face interviewing was performed by Abt SRBI. The Abt SRBI study director was Allison Ackerman, under the direction of Chintan Turakhia, with support from David Ciemnecki, Davyd Roskilly, Ricki Jarmon, Courtney Kennedy, K. P. Srinath, and many others. Sections of this report include information from technical reports that were written for ANES by Abt SRBI.

Data collection for the Internet interviewing was performed by GfK (formerly Knowledge Networks). The GfK study director was Larry Osborn. GfK staff contributing to the study included Robert Benford, Elisa Chan, Benjamin DiPaola, Wendy Gross, Leticia Maciel, Xiuli Tang, and Joana Valencia.

Contact

The ANES website address is http://www.electionstudies.org
ANES sends occasional updates on Twitter @electionstudies
Any questions not answered on the ANES website or by this report may be directed to ANES staff by email at anes@electionstudies.org

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 5

2. SURVEY CONTENT 8

3. SAMPLE DESIGN 21

4. DATA COLLECTION 28

5. DISPOSITIONS AND OUTCOME RATES 31

6. WEIGHTS (PRELIMINARY) 32

7. DATA ANALYSIS 33

8. ORIENTATION TO THE DATA FILE 34

9. CODEBOOK 39

1. Introduction

The User's Guide

This User's Guide describes the methods and procedures followed in the design and implementation of the ANES 2012 Time Series study. It provides an overview of the study and describes the content of the questionnaires, the design and selection of the sample, and the procedures of data collection. It is not a comprehensive methodology report. ANES staff will complete a comprehensive methodology report in future.

Highlights of the 2012 Study

The ANES 2012 Time Series Study is the 29th study in a series of election studies conducted during years of Presidential elections since 1948 (the "ANES Time Series"). As with all Time Series studies conducted during years of presidential elections, respondents were interviewed during the two months preceding the November election (Pre-election interview), and then re-interviewed during the two months following the election (Post-election interview).

For the first time in Time Series history, face-to-face interviewing was supplemented with data collection on the Internet. Data collection was conducted in the two modes independently, using separate samples. For the face-to-face mode, all sampled persons were interviewed in person using Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI), which also incorporated an interview segment in each wave that was self-administered (CASI). For the Internet mode, all study participants were members of the KnowledgePanel, a panel of regular survey participants administered by GfK (formerly Knowledge Networks).

Highlights of the 2012 study include the following:

Dual-mode design. The ANES 2012 Time Series study features a dual-mode design combining the traditional ANES face-to-face interviewing with a separate sample interviewed on the internet.

Largest-ever sample size. By virtue of the dual mode design we completed interviews with 5,916 respondents, more than any previous study in the Time Series.

Online commons content development. Continuing the practice introduced in 2006, a major facet of the study design process was the proposal of content by members of the user community on the Online Commons. Nineteen proposals led directly to new questions.

New instrumentation. Several items were measured on ANES for the first time, including "Big Five" personality traits using the Ten Item Personality Inventory, skin tone observations made by interviewers in the face-to-face study, and a vocabulary test from the General Social Survey called "Wordsum."

Mnemonic variable names. To make the data easier to use, we have switched from the past use of numeric variable names, such as V081234, to mnemonic names, such as gender_respondent.

Non-clustered sample for the Internet mode. The face-to-face sample, like all nationally representative sample surveys using in-person interviewing, is geographically clustered, which prevents using the data for statistical inferences about sub-national geographies such as states. The internet sample in 2012 is not geographically clustered. Subject to sample-size limitations, it may be used for inferences about sub-national geographic regions.

Self-administered questions. A portion of the in-person interview was conducted by handing the interviewer's tablet computer to the respondent and allowing the respondent to answer questions privately, to limit the effects of social desirability or privacy concerns on respondents' answers.

The Release

This User's Guide accompanies the latest release of data from the ANES 2012 Time Series Study.

ANES staff will continue to conduct checks on the survey responses and on the completeness, consistency, and accuracy of the data. Errors that we discover or that are reported to us will be investigated and either documented or corrected. Additional administrative, summary, and non-survey variables will be added to the file in future. Future documentation will more comprehensively detail the procedures followed during study design, data collection, and data processing.

Name of the Study

The ANES was previously called the "National Election Studies" or NES. To avoid confusion with the many other national election studies in other countries, it has been called the American National Election Studies since 2005.

For many years, studies in the ANES Time Series were traditionally labeled solely by year, for example, "the 1980 ANES" (or, "the 1980 American National Election Study"). However, this convention invited confusion, since ANES as an organization conducts studies other than Time Series studies, often during the same years as studies from the Time Series.

Beginning with the 2008 study, the Time Series naming convention for data releases specifically includes the label "Time Series" -- in this case, the "ANES 2012 Time Series Study" (rather than "the 2012 ANES").

ANES 2012 Time Series Study at a Glance

Title:	ANES 2012 Time Series Study.
Purpose:	To describe the American electorate and to test hypotheses concerning voting behavior and public opinion concerning the 2012 presidential elections.
Modes:	Face-to-face and Internet, for separate samples.
Design:	Face-to-face mode: Address-based sample with in-person recruitment and interviews. Internet mode: Primarily address-based sample and mail recruitment, and Internet interviews; some RDD telephone sample and recruitment, and Internet interviews.
Population:	U.S. citizens age 18 or older.
Field periods:	Pre-election, face-to-face: Sept. 8 – Nov. 5 (median October 13). Pre-election, internet: Oct. 11 – Nov. 6 (median Oct. 25) Post-election, face-to-face: Nov. 7 – January 13, 2013 (median Nov. 26). Post-election, internet: Nov. 29 – January 24, 2013 (median Dec. 9).
Interviews (n):	Pre-election: 5,914 (2,054 face-to-face and 3,860 online). Post-election: 5,510 (1,929 face-to-face and 3,581online).
Variables:	1,908 variables .
Languages:	English or Spanish (available in both modes).
Response rates (estimated, AAPOR RR1):	Face-to-face: 38 percent . Online: 2 percent.
Re-interview rates for post-election interview:	Face-to-face: 94 percent. Online: 93 percent. Overall: 93 percent.
Weights:	To be representative of the population the data must be weighted.
Data:	Data are available free of charge from the ANES website, www.electionstudies.org

2. Survey Content

Online Commons

The ANES Online Commons is a forum in which any interested person can contribute to the development of ANES surveys by submitting proposals for questionnaire content and by making comments on proposals. This forum is intended to improve the quality and scientific value of ANES data collections by promoting innovation, collaboration, and constructive, inclusive dialogue about study design, and was central to the development of the Time Series Study questionnaires. The Online Commons was developed for the ANES 2006 Pilot Study and was used for the ANES 2008 Time Series.

In 2011, the Online Commons was open to proposals for Time Series Study content from all members of the user community. Following the proposal period, an additional comments period was open, during which opinions and remarks on the final set of submitted proposals continued to be accepted for consideration by the PIs and ANES Board as part of the decision-making process.

There were 19 proposals received and considered as part of the study design process. Each proposal was reviewed by the ANES Board, which provided feedback to the Principal Investigators (PIs). The PIs selected content for the study based on the Online Commons proposals, and in many cases the PIs and staff worked with proposal authors and outside experts to develop and refine content for the study.

The original proposals are available in the Online Commons Archive section of the ANES Google Groups at https://groups.google.com/forum/?hl=en&fromgroups#!forum/anes_online_commons_archive. Winning proposals helped develop content on numerous topics that shaped the study.

Splice

A few questions were “spliced.” In the Time Series, as in any survey repeated over time, there is tension between the goals of measuring change over time and measuring as accurately as possible. To pursue both of these goals, we spliced some questions by randomly assigning respondents to receive either an old (standard) version that is the same as questions asked on past surveys or a new (revised) version that we believe has better measurement properties. There is one random assignment condition on the survey that applies to all spliced questions, so that a respondent is assigned to receive either the old version or the new version on all spliced questions that have old and new versions.

Spliced items can be identified in the codebook or questionnaire by “STD” or “REV” in the item label.

Screener Survey Content

Face-to-face interviewing began with a Screener questionnaire, the purpose of which was to ascertain the presence of eligible residents in the sampled household. This questionnaire asked for the first name, age, citizenship status, and race/ethnicity of every adult living in the sampled household.

Internet surveys were conducted with members of the GfK KnowledgePanel who had previously completed a profile questionnaire on which age, citizenship, and race/ethnicity were reported.

Pre-Election Questionnaire Content

The pre-election questionnaire consisted of the following sections in this order.

The *campaign interest and engagement* (CAMPINT) section asked questions about attention to politics, whether the respondent knows where to vote, turnout in the 2008 election, and candidate choice in the 2008 election.

Media exposure and attention (PRMEDIA) asked questions about household internet use, and news consumption and attention on the Internet, television, newspapers, and radio.

Registration, turnout, and vote choice (PREVOTE) asked questions on these topics for the 2012 election. These included questions about voting in the primaries. For the first time, ANES asked about early voting on the pre-election survey. Voting questions concerned the elections for president, House of Representatives, and, where applicable, the U.S. Senate and state governor. Respondents who had not voted early were asked their vote intentions or their candidate preferences. The survey also asked respondents the name under which they are registered to vote, to facilitate validation of turnout reports against official government records.

Likes and dislikes for major party presidential candidates (CANDLIK) asked open-ended questions: “Is there anything in particular about (candidate) that might make you want to vote (for/against) him?” (Note that, despite the “likes and dislikes” section name, respondents were never asked what they “like” or “dislike” about the candidates.)

Congressional approval (CONGAPP) asked if respondents approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job.

Presidential and status quo approval (PRESAPP) asked if respondents think the country is on the right or the wrong “track” and whether respondents approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama has been handling his job as president, and how he has been handling various specific policy domains (the economy, relations with foreign countries, health care, the war in Afghanistan).

Feeling thermometers (FT) used the "feeling thermometer" devise to ask respondents their attitudes toward Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, Joe Biden, Paul Ryan, Hillary Clinton, George W. Bush, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party.

Major party likes-dislikes (PTYLIK) asked open-ended questions: "Is there anything in particular that you (like/don't like) about the (Democratic/Republican) party?"

Personal financial situation (FINANCE) questions asked how many family members live with the respondent, whether they are better off or worse off financially than a year ago, and whether they think they will be better or worse off a year from now.

Personal health situation and health care law (HEALTH) questions asked if the respondent has health insurance, favors or opposes the 201 health care reform law, what their current health status is, and whether they are or have been a smoker. Self-reported health was asked as a result of Michael Jones-Correa and Mallory Sorelle's Online Commons proposal for EGSS-4, "Self-Reported Health and Politics."

Presidential candidate affect (CANDAFF) questions asked how often Barack Obama and Mitt Romney have made the respondent feel angry, hopeful, afraid, or proud.

Liberal-conservative placement (LIBCPRE) asked respondents to place themselves and others on a seven point scale ranging from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Placements for others were for Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party.

The *divided government* (DIVGOV) section asked one question, "Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress, better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't it matter?"

Likelihood/percent chance that R will vote (LIKELYPCT) asked respondents how likely they were to vote in the general election in November. This question had two forms with within-subjects randomization of the question's position on the questionnaire. Each respondent was asked both forms of the question. Half got one at this point and the other later in the questionnaire, and half got the reverse order.

Campaign finance regulation (CAMPFIN) asked if the respondent favors or opposes "the government being able to place limits on how much money corporations and unions can give to a political candidate" and "the federal government banning political ads sponsored by corporations or unions that directly support or oppose political candidates."

Income inequality in the U.S. (INEQ) asked if income differences between rich and poor are larger or smaller now than 20 years ago.

Efficacy (EFFIC) asked a battery of four questions on political efficacy. Respondents were randomly assigned to receive either the "standard" questions that have been part of the ANES Time Series for many years or a "revised" set of questions

introduced on the ANES 2008 Time Series survey that should have better measurement properties than the standard battery because they replace the agree-disagree question format that is subject to acquiescence bias.

State of the economy (ECON) asked respondents to rate the current state of the economy, to indicate whether the economy and unemployment had gotten better or worse in the last year and would get better or worse in the next year.

Blame for poor economic conditions (ECBLAME) asked respondents how much various people and groups were to blame for "the poor economic conditions of the past several years": President Obama, President Bush, Democrats in Congress, Republicans in Congress, Wall Street bankers, consumers who borrowed too much money, and mortgage lenders. This module was included as a result of Rick Wilson and Catherine Eckel's Online Commons proposal for the ANES EGSS-1 survey, "Stimulus Spending and Blame Attribution."

Party performance (PRTYPERF) asked one question: Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy?

Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections (PRESWIN) asked how much the respondent cared who wins the presidential election, who he or she thought would win the election nationally and in the respondent's own state, and whether the election would be close. The item on voting as a choice or a duty was asked as a result of Christopher Achen's Online Commons proposal, "Proposal for a New Question about Civic Duty in the ANES."

U.S. position in the world (USWORLD) asked if the US position in the world regarding American strength and isolationism.

Party ID (PRYID) asked questions to place the respondent on the traditional seven-point party identification scale ranging from strong Democrat through independent to strong Republican.

Tea Party (TEAPTY) asked if the respondent supported or opposed the Tea Party movement. This question originated with an Online Commons proposal by Bryce Summary, "The Tea Party Movement," which was originally proposed for the ANES EGSS-1 survey.

Presidential candidate traits (CTRAIT) asked if Barack Obama and Mitt Romney were moral, provide strong leadership, really care about people like you, were knowledgeable, intelligent, and honest.

Cost and benefit of the war (WAR) asked if the war in Afghanistan was worth the cost and how it affected the threat of terrorism against the United States.

Services and spending tradeoff placement (SPSRVPR) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the

Republican Party on a seven point scale concerning how many services the government should provide.

Defense spending level placement (DEFSPPR) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party on a seven point scale concerning how much should be spent on defense.

Government or private health insurance placement (INSPRE) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party on a seven point scale concerning preferences for government insurance or private insurance for health care.

Position on gun access (GUN) asked, "Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?"

Guaranteed jobs and living standard placement (GUARPR) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party on a seven point scale concerning preferences for whether the government should see to jobs and standard of living or should let each person get ahead on their own.

Government policy on unauthorized immigrants (IMMIG) asked about policy choices regarding unauthorized immigrants.

Aid to blacks placements (AIDBPR) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, and Mitt Romney on a seven point scale concerning preferences for whether government should help blacks or blacks should help themselves.

Environment-jobs tradeoff (ENVJOB) asked respondents to place themselves, Barack Obama, and Mitt Romney on a seven point scale concerning how much government should regulate businesses to protect the environment.

Affirmative action at universities and at work (AA) asked if the respondent favored or opposed these practices.

Federal spending levels on programs (FEDSPEND) asked if federal spending should be increased or decreased for Social Security, public schools, science and technology, dealing with crime, welfare programs, child care, aid to the poor, and protecting the environment.

Political knowledge: religion of presidential candidates (CANDREL) asked the respondent to identify Barack Obama's and Mitt Romney's religious faith.

Trust in government (TRUSTGOV) measured trust in the federal government. Respondents were randomly assigned to receive either a traditional version of this question that has been asked on ANES Time Series since 1958 or a slightly modified

version introduced in 2008 that may have better measurement properties. Three additional trust questions were asked.

Social trust (TRUST) asked, “Generally speaking, how often can you trust other people?”

Government responsiveness (RESPONS) asked, “How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think?”

Effect of presidential candidate win on economy (ECONCAND) asked how the economy would perform if particular candidates won the presidency.

Environmental policy and conditions (ENVIR) asked about offshore oil drilling, nuclear power, whether global warming is happening, whether it will be good or bad, and what is causing it.

Civil rights for gays and lesbians (GAYRT) asked about several policies regarding gays and lesbians: job discrimination, military service, adoption, and gay marriage. The questions on job discrimination and military service were spliced with two question wording formats.

Abortion standard self-placement (ABORTION) asked which abortion policy option the respondent preferred.

Position on the death penalty (PENALTY) asked what is says in the label.

Current presidential administration (PRESADM) asked if the U.S. was more or less secure from its foreign enemies compared to 2008.

Likelihood/percent chance that R will vote (PCTLIKELY) is the later partner to the LIKELYPCT section, asking either the percent chance or the likelihood that the respondent will vote.

Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation (RELIG) asked questions about the importance of religion to the respondent, frequency of prayer, the Bible’s status as the word of God, attendance of religious services, being part of a particular faith or church or denomination, status as a born-again Christian, and terms the respondent might use to describe his or her religious beliefs.

Demographics (DEM) asked date of birth, marital status, domestic partnership status, level of education, spouse’s level of education, service in the armed forces, employment status, occupation, industry, work hours, worry about losing job, layoff status, spouse or partner’s employment status, labor union membership, class identity, ethnicity, race, parents’ nativity, respondent’s nativity, Hispanic background details, immigration to the US, naturalization, and language spoken at home.

Demographics 2 (DEM2) continued the demographics section, asking about children living in the household, school enrollment, internet use, and telephones.

Demographics 3 (DEM3) continued the demographics section, asking where the respondent grew up, how long he or she had lived in the same community, the location of the previous relationship, home tenure, name changes, where the respondent lived five years ago, and government-issued identification (driver's license, passport, or other).

CASI intro and practice screens (CASISTART) was administered only to face-to-face respondents to train respondents to use the tablet for the computer-aided self-interview (CASI) section of the questionnaire. This section asked about owning a dog and what the respondent ate for breakfast.

CASI: Assets (WEALTH) asked about investments and other assets.

CASI: family and R income (INC) asked the respondent's family income.

CASI sources of media information Pre (MEDSRC) asked about media use, including specific television programs watched, radio programs listened to, web sites visited, newspapers read, and the use of Twitter, Facebook, and blogs. This module was influenced by Terri Towner's Online Commons proposal, "When Web 2.0 Meets Politics: The Influence of Social Media."

CASI gun ownership (OWNGUN) asked if anyone in the household owns a gun.

CASI literacy (WORDSUM) asked a modified version of the GSS wordsum vocabulary test. The selection of words was based on the work of Cor, Haertel, Krosnick, and Malhortra.[1]

CASI sexual orientation (ORIENTN) asked the respondent's sexual orientation and whether any people close to the respondent are gay, lesbian, or bisexual.

CASI political knowledge (PREKNOW) asked five questions testing the respondent's knowledge of American government.

R happiness with life (HAPP) asked the respondent, "All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life these days?"

Post-Election Questionnaire Content

The post-election questionnaire consisted of the following sections in this order.

[1] Cor, Ken, Edward Haertel, Jon A. Krosnick, and Neil Malhotra. 2012. "Improving Ability Measurement in Surveys by Following the Principles of IRT: The Wordsum Vocabulary Test in the General Social Survey." *Social Science Research*. 41, 1003-1016.

Post media exposure and attention (MEDIAPO) asked questions that have been repeated since the 1950s about watching or listening to programs about the campaign on television or radio and reading the newspaper, as well as new questions about getting campaign information on the Internet. These are not the same questions as PRMEDIA on the pre-election survey.

Mobilization and engagement in the political process (MOBILPO) asked questions about parties or campaigns contacting the respondent to urge a vote, and about the respondent's own campaign activities such as urging a vote, volunteering, or giving money.

DHS-based civic engagement (DHSINVOLV) asked questions introduced on the 2008 Time Series about civic engagement. Items in this section were influenced by David Repass's Online Commons proposal, "Contact with Congressional Representatives."

Post registration, turnout, and vote choice (POSTVOTE) asked about voter registration, turnout, and candidate choices, repeating the PREVOTE module.

Better party for women (PTYWOM) asked a single question, "Which party do you think does a better job looking out for the interests of women?"

Political knowledge: office recognition (OFCREC) asked a battery of questions of a type introduced in 1986, asking "what job or political office is now held" by the four people who were currently Speaker of the House, Vice President, Chief Justice, and Prime Minister of the UK. These are open-ended questions.

Post individual political figure thermometers (FTPO) were asked for the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates (Barack Obama, Mitt Romney), their respective wives (Michelle Obama, Ann Romney), candidates for the House of Representatives in the respondent's district, candidates for Senate or Governor if those races occurred in the respondent's state, incumbent senators, Democratic and Republican Vice-Presidential candidates (Joe Biden, Paul Ryan), and Supreme Court Chief Justice John Roberts.

Post group thermometers (FTGR) were asked regarding Christian Fundamentalists, Catholics, feminists, the federal government in Washington, liberals, middle class people, labor unions, poor people, the military, big business, people on welfare, conservatives, working class people, the U.S. Supreme Court, gay men and lesbians, Congress, rich people, Muslims, Christians, atheists, Mormons, and the Tea Party.

Performance of House incumbent (HSEINC) asked job approval regarding the House incumbent.

Most important problems in the country (MIP) asked the respondent to name the most important problems facing the country. These were open-ended questions.

Revisions to this module were made as a result of David Repass's Online Commons proposal, "Correcting the Most Important Problems Question."

Security and civil liberties, public security measures (SECURPUB) asked if changes in security at public places have gone too far, are about right, or do not go far enough.

Political knowledge (KNOWL) tested the respondents' knowledge of the party with the most members in the House of Representatives and in the Senate before the election.

Woman president (WPRES) asked the respondent's attitudes toward the prospect of a woman becoming president.

Federal deficit reduction (BUDGET) measured policy preferences concerning the federal budget deficit.

Patriotism (PATRIOT) asked three questions about the respondent's patriotism.

Millionaire tax (MILLN) asked about taxes for people making over one million dollars per year.

Government ensure fair jobs for blacks (FAIRJOB) asked if the government should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs.

Limits on foreign imports (IMPORTS) asked one question about trade protectionism.

U.S. support for Israel (ISRSUPP) asked one question about American support for Israel.

Immigration levels and consequences (IMMIGPO) asked two questions about immigration.

Effect of health care law (HLTHLAW) asked about the Affordable Care Act.

Policy and attitudes toward Iran (IRAN) asked about Iran and nuclear weapons.

Policy and attitudes toward China (CHINA) asked whether the recent economic expansion of China has been good or bad for the U.S. and asked if China's military is a threat to the U.S.

Economic peril (ECPERIL) asked questions about deferring health care because the respondent cannot afford it, worry about the respondent's financial situation, home tenure, the ability to make housing payments, and contact with people who have lost jobs.

Liberal-conservative placement (LIBCPO) repeats the pre-election measurement of liberal-conservative self-placement and obtains placements for the House candidates.

Scientific policy (SCIENCE) asked how often the government should rely on scientific approaches.

Abortion (ABORT) involved a splice. Those assigned the "standard" version were asked to place Barack Obama, Mitt Romney, the Democratic Party, and the Republican Party on a standard four-point scale for abortion policy. Those assigned the "revised" condition were asked whether they believed abortion should or should not be legal in a variety of circumstances.

Court authorize wiretapping (WIRETAP) asked about warrants for wiretapping suspected terrorists.

Outsourcing of U.S. jobs (OUTSOURCE) asked how the federal government should respond to outsourcing of jobs.

Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds (SSINV) asked about investment of Social Security taxes.

Neo-nullification (NEONULL) asked whether states should be able to decide whether federal laws apply within the state.

Legalization of marijuana use (POT) asked about this policy option.

Size of government role (LIMTGOV) asked four questions about the respondent's view on bigger or smaller government. This module was influenced by Emily Ekins's Online Commons proposal, "Assessing the American Ideological Landscape."

Discuss politics with family or friends (DISCUSS) asked how often the respondent talked about politics.

Attitudes toward and exposure to Mormonism (MORMON) asked three questions on this topic influenced by David Smith's Online Commons proposal for the EGSS-4 surveys, "Candidate Religion, Culture Wars and National Identity."

Presidential candidate preference and aversion factors (PRFACTOR) asked if several characteristics of Obama and Romney made the respondent more or less likely to vote for each candidate.

Comparisons of major parties (PTYDIFF) asked if there are any differences between the major parties and if one party is more conservative.

TARP (TARP) asked if the respondent favored or opposed the 2008 Troubled Asset Relief Program.

Supreme Court (SCOURT) asked questions evaluating the court and its legitimacy. These questions came from James L. Gibson and Gregory A. Caldeira.

Community involvement (INVOLV) asked questions about contacting government officials, working with people or attending a meeting about an issue facing the respondent's community, belonging to organizations, volunteering, or donating to church or charity.

Linked fate (LINK) asked whether what happens generally to other people would affect what happens in the respondent's life. Reference groups were other members of the respondent's race/ethnicity, women (for female respondents only), and "other people in this country."

Moral traditionalism (TRAD) is a four-item scale asked since 1986.

Racial resentment/symbolic racism (RESENT) is a four-item scale asked since 1986, based on work by Donald Kinder, David Sears, and others.

Trust in government (TRUSTGVPO) asked respondents how many of the people running the government are "crooked."

Efficacy – Post (EFFICPO) asked questions about internal and external political efficacy. This section included a splice in which half the respondents were asked an old version of the questions repeated since 1952 and half were asked a new version introduced in 2008.

Electoral integrity WVS Post (ELECTINPO) asked items from the World Values Survey concerning how often votes are counted fairly, journalists provide fair coverage of elections, election officials are fair, rich people buy elections, and voters are offered a genuine choice at the ballot box.

Working women (WOMEN) asked questions about women working outside the home.

Modern sexism (MODSEXISM) asked the "modern sexism" battery concerning discrimination against women, demands for equality, and opportunities for achievement.

Affirmative action in hiring (AAPOST) repeated an item asked since 1986 about "preferential hiring and promotion of blacks." Different questions address the same subject in pre-election section AA.

Authoritarianism (AUTH) asked a set of four questions about child-rearing that have been used to measure authoritarianism.

Equalitarianism (EGAL) asked six questions about egalitarian preferences that have been repeated since the 1980s.

Security and civil liberties, increase in wiretapping (WIRETAPPO) asked one question: "Have increases in the government's wiretapping powers since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?"

Cognitive style (COG) asked questions concerning "need to evaluate," first asked on the ANES Time Series in 2000. (The "cognitive style" label for this section reflects an initial plan to ask more questions that could not be included on the final questionnaire because of length.)

Non-mainstream mass public allegations (NONMAIN) asked the respondent's beliefs regarding several factual allegations in public discourse. This module was developed in response to Erick Oliver and Adam Berinsky's Online Commons proposal, "Conspiratorial Beliefs, Public Opinions, and Democratic Citizenship."

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES) is a battery of questions common to many national election surveys around the world.

Department of Homeland Security (DHS) asked questions concerning terrorism, radicalization, and legitimacy, most of which were developed and asked on the ANES 2008 Time Series survey with funding from the Department of Homeland Security.

Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation (POHISP) asked Hispanic respondents questions about language use, Hispanic culture, and, for those born outside the United States, connections to native countries.

CASI intro and practice screens Post (ACASISTARTPO) introduced the respondent to the tablet computer before the respondent answered the subsequent sections in the Computer-Aided Self Interview (CASI) format. These practice questions asked if the respondent has a dog and what the respondent ate for breakfast.

CASI thermometers (FTCASI) asked feeling thermometers regarding Asian-Americans, Hispanics, blacks, illegal immigrants, and whites.

CASI attitudes toward race groups (RACECASI) asked about the influence of whites, blacks, and Hispanics in politics and asked about admiration and sympathy for racial/ethnic groups.

CASI group identity (IDENT) asked respondents the importance of their race/ethnicity to their identity.

CASI income inequality (INEQINC) asked if it is good or bad that income inequality has increased in the past 40 years, and whether government should try to make the difference smaller.

CASI Post group stereotypes (STYPE) measured racial stereotyping, asking respondents to rate whites, blacks, Hispanics, and Asians as hardworking or intelligent.

TIPI (TIPI) is the Ten Item Personality Inventory, a brief measurement of the "Big Five" personality traits developed by Gosling, Rentfrow, and Swann.[2]

CASI family and R income (INCPO) asked the total income of all members of the respondent's family living in the household during 2011.

CASI discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally (DISCRIM) asked how much discrimination there is in the U.S. today against blacks, Hispanics, whites, gays and lesbians, and women, and asked how much racial/ethnic discrimination the respondent has experienced personally.

CASI religious stereotypes (RSTYPE) was asked only online. The face-to-face sample was not asked these questions. They asked how well the words "violent" and "patriotic" describe most Catholics, Protestants, Muslims, Mormons, and non-religious people.

Interviewer Observations

Interviewers were asked to make observations about the respondent and the household. These included recording the respondent's skin tone (color), taking note of window signs or other political or campaign-related signs visible at the residence, and noting characteristics of the respondent such as apparent intelligence, cooperation, suspicion, interest in the interview, and sincerity. These observations were made by the interviewer at the end of the pre- and post-election interviews.

[2] Gosling, Samuel D., Peter J. Rentfrow, and William B. Swann, Jr. 2003. A Very Brief Measure of the Big-Five Personality Domains. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 37, 504-528.

3. Sample Design

Overview

The ANES 2012 Time Series is a dual-mode survey (face-to-face and Internet) with two independent samples. Cases selected for the face-to-face sample could not be interviewed on the Internet, and cases selected for the Internet survey could not be interviewed in person.

The target population for the two samples is U.S. citizens age 18 or older. Design criteria also included having sufficient numbers of black and Hispanic respondents to enable analysis of those subgroups.

Internet Sample

Internet respondents were members of the GfK (formerly Knowledge Networks) KnowledgePanel. The KnowledgePanel is a large online panel of survey respondents who are invited to complete surveys several times each month on a variety of topics for a variety of investigators. Panelists are recruited using two probability sampling methods: address-based sampling (ABS) and random-digit dialing (RDD). Prospective panelists who do not have Internet access at the time of recruitment are furnished with free Internet service and free hardware to connect to the Internet.

A sample of KnowledgePanelists selected from the KnowledgePanel to receive invitations to take the ANES Time Series survey. This sample was limited to U.S. citizens who would be at least 18 years old by Election Day, November 6, 2012, and was limited to one person per household.

Information about the construction of the KnowledgePanel is presented below.

KnowledgePanel Sampling

The following description of sampling for the KnowledgePanel is reprinted from documentation furnished by Knowledge Networks.

RDD and ABS Sample Frames

Knowledge Networks initially selects households using random digit dialing (RDD) sampling and address-based sampling (ABS) methodology. In this section, we will describe the RDD-based methodology, while the ABS methodology is described in a separate section below.

KnowledgePanel recruitment methodology uses the quality standards established by selected RDD surveys conducted for the Federal Government (such as the CDC-sponsored National Immunization Survey).

Knowledge Networks utilizes list-assisted RDD sampling techniques based on a sample frame of the U. S. residential landline telephone universe. For efficiency purposes, Knowledge Networks excludes only those banks of telephone numbers (a bank consists of 100 numbers) that have less than 2 directory listings. Additionally, an oversample is conducted among a stratum telephone exchanges that have high concentrations of African-American and Hispanic households based on Census data. Note that recruitment sampling is done without replacement, thus numbers already fielded do not get fielded again.

A telephone number for which a valid postal address can be matched occurs in about 70% of the sample. These address-matched cases are all mailed an advance letter informing them that they have been selected to participate in KnowledgePanel. For efficiency purposes, the unmatched numbers are under-sampled at a current rate of 0.75 relative to the matched numbers. Both the oversampling mentioned above and this under-sampling of non-address households are adjusted appropriately in the panel's weighting procedures.

Following the mailings, the telephone recruitment begins for all sampled phone numbers using trained interviewer/recruiters. Cases sent to telephone interviewers are dialed for up to 90 days, with at least 14 dial attempts on cases where no one answers the phone, and on numbers known to be associated with households. Extensive refusal conversion is also performed. The recruitment interview, about 10 minutes long, begins with informing the household member that they have been selected to join KnowledgePanel. If the household does not have a computer and access to the Internet, they are told that in return for completing a short survey weekly, they will be provided with a laptop computer (previously a WebTV device was provided) and free monthly Internet access. All members in a household are then enumerated, and some initial demographic and background information on prior computer and Internet use are collected.

Households that inform interviewers that they have a home computer and Internet access are asked to take their surveys using their own equipment and Internet connection. Incentive points per survey, redeemable for cash, are given to these "PC" respondents for completing their surveys. Panel members who were provided with either a WebTV earlier or currently a laptop computer (both with free Internet access) do not participate in this per survey points incentive program. However, all panel members do receive special incentive points for select surveys to improve response rates and for all longer surveys as a modest compensation for burden.

For those panel members receiving a laptop computer (as with the former WebTV), prior to shipment, each unit is custom configured with individual email accounts, so that it is ready for immediate use by the household. Most households are able to install the hardware without additional assistance, though Knowledge Networks maintains a telephone technical support line.

The Knowledge Networks Call Center contacts household members who do not respond to email and attempts to restore both contact and cooperation. PC panel members provide their own email addresses and we send their weekly surveys to that email account.

All new panel members are sent an initial survey to both welcome them as new panel members but also to familiarize them with how online survey questionnaires work. They also complete a separate profile survey that collects essential demographic information such as gender, age, race, income, and education to create a personal member profile. This information can be used to determine eligibility for specific studies, is used for weighting purposes, and operationally need not be gathered with each and every survey. This information is updated annually with each panel member. Once completed new member is "profiled," they are designated as "active" and ready to be sampled for client studies. [Note: Parental or legal guardian consent is also collected for conducting surveys with teenage panel members, ages 13-17.]

Once a household is contacted by phone—and additional household members recruited via their email address—panel members are sent surveys linked through a personalized email invitation (instead of by phone or mail). This permits surveys to be fielded quickly and economically, and also facilitates longitudinal research. In addition, this approach reduces the burden placed on respondents, since email notification is less obtrusive than telephone calls, and allows research subjects to participate in research when it is convenient for them.

Address-Based Sampling (ABS) Methodology

When KN started KnowledgePanel panel recruitment in 1999, the state of the art in the industry was that probability-based sampling could be cost effectively carried out using a national random-digit dial (RDD) sample frame. The RDD landline frame at the time allowed access to 96% of the U.S. population. This is no longer the case. We introduced the ABS sample frame to rise to the well-chronicled changes in society and telephony in recent years. The following changes have reduced the long-term scientific viability of the landline RDD sampling methodology: declining respondent cooperation to telephone surveys; do not call lists; call screening, caller-ID devices and answering machines; dilution of the RDD sample frame as measured by the working telephone number rate; and finally, the emergence and exclusion of cell-phone-only households (CPOHH) because they have no landline phone.

According to the Center for Disease Control, approximately 25% of U.S. households cannot be contacted through RDD sampling: 22% as a result of CPOHH status and 3% because they have no phone service whatsoever. Among some segments of society, the sample noncoverage is substantial: more than one-third of young adults, ages 18-24, reside in CPOHHs.

After conducting an extensive pilot project in 2008, we made the decision to add an address-based sample (ABS) frame in response to the growing number of cell-phone only households that are outside of the RDD frame. Before conducting the ABS pilot, we also experimented with supplementing our RDD samples with cell-phone samples. However, this approach was not cost effective for you our clients and raised a number of other operational, data quality, and liability issues (e.g., calling people's cell phones while they were driving).

The key advantage of the ABS sample frame is that it allows sampling of almost all U.S. households. An estimated 98% of households are "covered" in sampling nomenclature. Regardless of household telephone status, they can be reached and contacted via the mail. Second, our ABS pilot project revealed some other advantages beyond the expected improvement in recruiting adults from CPOHHs:

Improved sample representativeness for minority racial and ethnic groups
Improved inclusion of lower educated and low income households
Exclusive inclusion of CPOHHs that have neither a landline telephone nor Internet access (approximately 4% to 6% of US households).

ABS involves probability-based sampling of addresses from the U.S. Postal Service's Delivery Sequence File. Randomly sampled addresses are invited to join KnowledgePanel through a series of mailings and in some cases telephone follow-up calls to non-responders when a telephone number can be matched to the sampled address. Invited households can join the panel by one of several means:

by completing and mailing back a paper form in a postage-paid envelope;
by calling a toll-free hotline maintained by Knowledge Networks; or
by going to a designated KN web-site and completing an online recruitment form.

After initially accepting the invitation to join the panel, respondents are then "profiled" online answering key demographic questions about themselves. This profile is maintained using the same procedures established for the RDD-recruited research subjects. Respondents not having an Internet connection are provided a laptop computer and free Internet service. Respondents sampled from ABS frame, like those from the RDD frame are provided the same privacy terms and confidentiality protections that we have developed over the years and have been reviewed by dozens of Institutional Review Boards.

Large-scale ABS sampling for our KnowledgePanel recruitment began in April, 2009. As a result, KnowledgePanel will be improving its sample coverage of CPOHHs and young adults.

Because we will have recruited panelists from two different sample frames – RDD and ABS – we are taking several technical steps to merge samples sourced from these frames. Our approach preserves the representative structure of the overall panel for the selection of individual client study samples. An advantage of mixing ABS frame panel members in any KnowledgePanel sample is a reduction in the variance of the weights. ABS-sourced sample tends to align more true to the overall population demographic distributions and thus the associated adjustment weights are somewhat more uniform and less varied. This variance reduction efficaciously attenuates the sample's design effect and confirms a real advantage for study samples drawn from KnowledgePanel with its dual frame construction.

Face-to-Face Sample

The in-person (face-to-face) interviews were conducted using an address-based, stratified, multi-stage cluster sample in 125 census tracts. The sample includes a nationally-representative "main sample" and two "oversamples," one of blacks and one of Hispanics. The target number of interviews was 1,400 responses from the main sample (including people of all racial and ethnic backgrounds) and 300 responses from the black oversample and 300 responses from the Hispanic oversample, for a total of 2,000 pre-election interviews.

Note that in contrast to the ANES 2008 Time Series oversample design, in which there was one sample with selection probabilities adjusted to produce oversamples of blacks and Hispanics, the 2012 design has distinct samples for the oversamples, making it possible to distinguish respondents as belonging to the main sample or an oversample.

Sample selection for the face-to-face survey was performed by statistical staff at Abt SRBI working under the oversight of ANES personnel. References below to "we" refer collectively to these groups.

Strata and stages

The first stage of sampling consisted of stratifying the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia into nine regions corresponding to Census Divisions. Alaska and Hawaii were excluded as a cost-saving measure, and their small populations make this exclusion a fairly small source of bias. These Census Divisions constitute the study's strata. Within each region, a number of census tracts was then randomly selected. The number of tracts selected per region was proportional to the region's proportion of the U.S. adult population. For example, the New England region is home to about 5 percent of the U.S. adult population, so we drew five percent of the 125 tracts from New England, amounting to six tracts. Within each region, tracts were selected with "probability proportional to size," meaning that tracts with larger populations had a higher probability of selection. This is a

desirable method because it preserves similar selection probabilities for individuals all over the country.

The second stage of sampling consisted of the random selection of residential addresses within each tract. The sampling frame – that is, the list of every possible address from which we randomly drew our sample of addresses – consisted of the Delivery Sequence File (DSF) used by the United States Postal Service for the residential delivery of mail.

The DSF provides the best and most cost-effective list of residential addresses in the United States, but it is not perfect. There are areas where residential housing units are not included on the DSF because home delivery of mail is not available or for other reasons. To evaluate the extent to which the DSF appeared to adequately include all residential addresses, we compared the number of addresses on the DSF for a sampled census tract to the 2010 Decennial Census count of housing units in the tract. In tracts where the number of addresses on the DSF was less than 99% of the number of addresses on the Decennial Census, we took steps to assure that households not on the DSF had a chance to be included in the sample. Where this coverage ratio was less than 70% we discarded the DSF as inadequate and instead sent interviewers to visit the tract and make a list of all housing units. This was the case in 4 tracts. Where the coverage ratio was between 70% and 99%, we used the DSF to select addresses and then performed additional procedures to check for housing units missed (CHUM) and, if necessary, add missed housing units to the sample. This was the case in 59 tracts. These "CHUM" procedures will be fully described in a forthcoming methodology report for the study, but in brief they consisted of looking to see if houses near the sampled address were included on the DSF, and adding households to the sample if they were found to be missing from the DSF. In the remaining 62 tracts the coverage ratio was 99% or better and we relied solely on the DSF as the sampling frame.

Addresses for the black and Hispanic oversamples were selected from tracts with relatively high proportions of one or both of these populations. This design approach is a cost-saving measure, making it less expensive to find and recruit members of the oversample populations. The black oversample addresses were drawn from tracts (among the 125 already selected) where Census data indicated blacks were 15% or more of the population. The Hispanic oversample addresses were drawn from tracts where Census data indicated Hispanics were 20% or more of the population. There were 22 tracts meeting the criteria for black oversample and 29 meeting the criteria for Hispanic oversample. Four of these tracts met the criteria for both.

The third and final stage of sampling was the selection of one eligible person per household. As described in the Data Collection Procedures section below, screening was accomplished by sending an interviewer to the sampled address and completing a brief interview in which a household informant provided the first name, age, citizenship status, race, and ethnicity of all household members. The interviewer's computer then randomly selected one person from among those identified as eligible for the study. At the addresses drawn for the main sample,

individuals were eligible if they were U.S. citizens, lived at the address as their main residence, and would be 18 years old on or before November 5, 2012. At the addresses drawn for the black and Hispanic oversamples, individuals were eligible if they were eligible by the main sample criteria and also were identified as black or Hispanic, respectively. The selection of individuals was made by the computer and enforced by the interviewer, and no substitutions were acceptable. If no eligible person lived in the household, no interview was conducted there.

4. Data Collection

Overview

As with all Time Series studies conducted during years of presidential elections, respondents were interviewed during the two months preceding the November election (Pre-election interview) and then re-interviewed during the two months following the election (Post-election interview). Face-to-face interviews were conducted with one interview before the election and a second interview with the same respondents after the election. Internet interviews were conducted with two interviews before the election and two more interviews with the same respondents after the election. Internet data collection was managed by GfK. In-person data collection was managed by Abt SRBI.

For both the internet and face-to-face data collection, the study was described using a name that sounded less political than "American National Election Studies." This name is confidential so that future study participants will not be able to readily associate the study with the ANES. The name is a relatively general label for the study. Two names that were considered and rejected were the National Study of American Life and the Survey of the American Public. The actual name is similar to these examples. We use this generic name with respondents to reduce the potential for non-response bias related to interest in politics.

Internet Data Collection

Internet respondents were invited to the survey by email. They followed a link to a web address to complete the survey over the internet. The survey began with a consent screen that provided information about the study and included contact information for the study administrators.

Prior to completing the ANES surveys, internet respondents had completed profile surveys on demographic and political topics. Data from these profile surveys are included in the release data files.

In addition to completing the ANES questions, internet respondents also completed a Direct Democracy module, for which ANES will release data in future.

Face-to-Face Data Collection

Interviewers were provided with lists of sampled addresses in their work area and maps showing the locations of these addresses. Upon reaching a sampled address, interviewers completed the CHUM procedure described in part 3, if appropriate. Interviewers also made observations regarding the dwelling unit, such as noting its state of repair and the presence of political yard signs. Interviewers then attempted to complete a brief screening interview at the selected address. During the screening interview, interviewers sought to make a list of each eligible person residing at the household. For the main sample, this consisted of U.S. citizens age 18 or older by Election Day. For the black and Hispanic oversamples, this consisted

of U.S. citizens age 18 or older by Election Day who were black, or Hispanic, respectively. After completing this household listing, the computer randomly selected one eligible person from the list. An interview could be conducted only with the selected person; no substitutions were allowed.

Interviewers recorded the outcome of every attempt to conduct an interview. These outcomes are called "call dispositions," and there are four general categories of dispositions: completed interviews, incomplete interviews (including cases where no interview had yet been attempted; "incomplete" does not mean "partial") at addresses where a screener was completed and at least one person was determined to be eligible for the study, incomplete interviews where we did not know if any eligible person lived there, and addresses where it was known that no eligible person lived there. Additional details were recorded, such as "refused," or "no contact" (no one home), or noting that the sampled address did not really exist or actually turned out to be a business or other non-residential address. These records of call dispositions will be released as part of the full release of data in the future.

The survey itself was administered by the interview in person in two parts, one pre-election and one post-election. Surveys were typically administered at the respondent's home. Interviewers conducted the interviews using a touch-sensitive tablet computer. For both surveys, most of the interview was administered in computer-aided personal interview (CAPI) format, in which the computer displayed a question to the interviewer, the interviewer read the question aloud, verbatim, to the respondent, the respondent answered verbally, and the interviewer marked the answer on the computer. When respondents expressed reluctance to answer, interviewers were trained to encourage respondents to answer, a process known as "probing."

Some of the questions on each survey were asked using a computer-aided self-interview format (CASI). For this part of the interview, the interviewer handed the tablet computer to the respondent and the respondent answered questions privately, without the interviewer's participation. Questions administered this way are so noted in the questionnaire and the codebook.

Interviewers were instructed to attempt to make an audio recording of every interview, in its entirety, with the respondent's consent.

Incentive Payments

To encourage participation, internet respondents were paid $10 per survey plus an additional $25 for completing all four, for a total of $65. Face-to-face respondents were initially offered $25 to complete the pre-election interview. The offered amounts were increased to $50 on October 5th, $75 on October 24th, and $100 on October 26th. For the post-election survey, respondents who were paid $25 or $50 for the pre-election survey were initially offered $50, and those who were paid $75 or $100 for the pre-election survey were initially offered $100. These amounts were increased to $75 and $125, respectively, at the end of the field period.

Interview Length

Timing data for the internet and face-to-face interviews are not strictly comparable because of differences in the instrumentation included in the timings for the two modes. The face-to-face timings include a module after the end of the survey that collected contact information for the respondents to facilitate re-contacting them in the future. This module probably took about 5 minutes and was not administered online. Excluding this contact module, the median lengths of the face-to-face interviews were probably about 90 minutes each. The online interviews included a module at the very end of the post-election survey that was not administered face-to-face. The online timings also include the Direct Democracy instrumentation, which took several minutes. Data from this instrumentation will be release separately in the future.

Vote Validation and Supplemental Information

We are in the process of obtaining official records of voter registration and voter turnout for each sampled person and for the people at each sampled address. We are also obtaining other information from commercial vendors to supplement the questionnaires. This process will be completed later this year and resulting data will be included in a final data release.

5. Dispositions and Outcome Rates

Final dispositions and outcome rates are not available for the current release of the ANES 2012 Time Series Study. This information will become available later this year. Preliminary response rates in the pre-election survey are a minimum of 38 percent for the face-to-face sample and 2 percent for the internet sample, elaborated below.

The response rate is designed to estimate the proportion of eligible sample members who were interviewed. There were 7,298 addresses sampled for the face-to-face interviewing and to which an advance letter was mailed. Of these, Abt SRBI determined that 1,918 were not eligible because the address did not exist, it was outside of the sampled tract, it was not a permanently occupied residence, there was no adult U.S. citizen living there, or, for addresses sampled for the black and Hispanic oversamples, there was no black or Hispanic resident at the address. These ineligible cases are excluded from the denominator of the response rate. 2,054 interviews were completed, for a minimum response rate (AAPOR RR1) of 2054/(7298-1918) = 38 percent. The true response rate will be higher than this. Using the AAPOR RR3 formula, and assuming that the cases with unknown eligibility for the study have an eligibility rate matching the cases with known eligibility, the response rate would be 49 percent.

This response rate is the lowest recorded for the ANES Time Series and is far short of our expectations for the study. A low response rate is potentially important because it indicates greater potential for non-response bias to affect the estimates. In the coming months ANES personnel will finalize the response rate computations following a review of the call dispositions and we will complete a nonresponse bias analysis to assess how well the sample represents the U.S. citizen population. We will also complete an analysis of the fieldwork procedures to explain why the response rate was below expectations. Preliminary indications are that the low response rate was a function of releasing too many sampled addresses to the interviewers, insufficient contact efforts with the released addresses, the general downward trend in response rates that has been occurring for many years, and the oversample design's reliance on screening for populations with a low incidence rate. We will elaborate on these issues in a future report.

For the web sample, the response rate is a function of the recruitment of panel members, the retention of panelists from the time of recruitment to the point at which they were invited to take the ANES survey, and the response to those survey invitations. The response rate overall is about 2 percent, in line with expectations for surveys from the KnowledgePanel.

6. Weights (preliminary)

There are three weight variables on the file, intended for different purposes. Each weight is preliminary and improved weights may be released with the next version of the data file if ANES personnel find that practical improvements can be made.

weight_ftf is intended for analysis of the face-to-face sample alone. It may be used for pre-election or post-election variables, or a combination of the two. The effects of attrition in the face-to-face sample are negligible for most analyses we have examined, therefore just one weight may be satisfactory for analysis of the face-to-face sample alone.

weight_web is intended for analysis of the Internet sample alone. As with the face-to-face sample, attrition effects are small, so this weight may be used for analysis of the pre-election variables alone, post-election variables alone, or the two in combination.

weight_full is intended for the analysis of the combined samples. If you want to include all available cases, use this weight.

Each weight accounts for the probability of household selection, the probability of respondent selection within the household, nonresponse, and random sampling error. The weights are post-stratified to produce estimates that match known population proportions for selected characteristics. The internet weight is post-stratified on a cross-classification of race/ethnicity and educational attainment, a cross-classification of age and sex, metropolitan status, household internet access, income, marital status, and home ownership. The face-to-face weight is post-stratified on age, a cross-classification of age and sex, race/ethnicity, a cross-classification of race/ethnicity and sex, educational attainment, a cross-classification of race/ethnicity and educational attainment, marital status, income, census region, home ownership, and nation of birth. The full sample weight simply combines the internet and face-to-face weights into one variable.

Additional information on the construction of the ANES 2012 Time Series weight variables will become available later this year. Because the weights are preliminary, they may be revised with a future data release.

7. Data Analysis

The data file contains data from two independently administered surveys with separate samples. They may be analyzed using the face-to-face data alone, the Internet data alone, or the two modes in combination. The modes may be distinguished using the mode variable.

Analyses should be weighted if they are intended to generalize to the target population of adult U.S. citizens. The unweighted data are not representative of the target population, so **unweighted estimates of population percentages and regression coefficients are wrong**. Also, due to the complex sample design of the ANES, sampling errors and related statistics (including confidence intervals, p-values, *t*-tests, and all other tests of statistical significance) should not be calculated using methods intended for simple random samples. For a discussion of methods appropriate for the ANES data, see *How to Analyze ANES Survey Data*.[3] To choose appropriate weights for a given analysis, see the Weights section of this guide, above.

If you use Taylor Series estimates of sampling errors, use the variable strata_full for the full sample, or strata_ftf for the face-to-face sample alone. For the face-to-face sample, the clustering (or primary sampling unit) variable is sample_ftfpsu. The internet sample is not clustered or stratified.

The average design effect for the web sample is 1.7512. The average design effect for the face-to-face sample is 1.9135. The average design effect for the full sample using weight_full is 1.8076.

To use generalized variance estimation for the full sample, divide weight_full by 1.8076.

[3] DeBell, Matthew. 2010. *How to Analyze ANES Survey Data*. ANES Technical Report Series, No. nes012492. http://electionstudies.org/resources/papers/nes012492.pdf

8. Orientation to the Data File

Cases and data on the file

The file includes cases (i.e., respondents, or individuals) for whom the entire pre-election ANES survey was administered. If all ANES pre-election questions were asked, then the case is on the file; if not, the case is not on the file. For the internet sample, this means that cases are excluded unless both pre-election surveys were completed.

Data on the file are questionnaire data for the pre- and post-election surveys, and selected administrative variables. Variables are detailed in the “Variables on the file” section, below.

The file includes data only for complete interviews. Partial interviews are not included on this file.

File formats

The data are provided in SPSS (.sav), Stata (.dta), and ASCII (.txt) formats. The ASCII data file is pipe-delimited (the | character) to facilitate quick reading into statistical and other software, and also uses a fixed-width format. SPSS, Stata, and SAS syntax files which read in the raw data by their fixed column locations are provided so that users can create datasets in the file formats of these applications. Each set of syntax files is accessed from a central 'run' file, which must be submitted (in SPSS, Stata, or SAS) according to directions provided in (comment) directions at the top of the 'run' file. See the 'run' files for instructions.

Variables on the file

For the first time, the ANES 2012 Time Series uses variable names in a mnemonic format, such as “state,” instead of a numeric format, such as “V120025.” We hope that users find the new names convenient.

This data file includes pre-election and post-election questionnaire items, selected administrative variables, and selected derived variables. The current version of the data includes the addition of non-survey variables, including variables from the Web mode Core Profile data, and, for face-to-face cases, variables for Pre and Post interviewer observation, interviewer description, household selection, and dwelling unit description. Additional variables, including data from the screener questionnaire, additional study administrative data, and geographic variables will be made available in a future release.

Two face-to-faces cases, 0523 and 1826, have been dropped from the dataset as ineligible, and Post-election survey data for (face-to-face) case 1496 have been deleted due to a problem in the post-election administration.

For a complete list of variables on the file with documentation of each one, see the codebook in section 9 of this report.

Restricted-use data

As with other ANES studies, most variables from the ANES 2012 Time Series Study are included in the public-use data file that is available to the public for free. Access to some variables is restricted to protect respondent privacy. Such data are coded -3, "restricted access" on the public-use file. Restricted data include geographic details about where the respondent lives, the unedited open-ended text responses (though the redacted versions are on the public-use file), detailed occupational data, as well as any responses which (in combination with other available data) are too detailed to ensure anonymity, such as day of birth. Most of these data may be obtained by requesting special access, subject to certain conditions.

More variables have restricted access on the 2012 Time Series study than on previous studies because of developments in the past few years in data matching techniques and the availability of consumer data, and because of increased sensitivity to these privacy concerns in the general public and in the survey research community. The developments in data matching threaten to compromise the promises of effective anonymity that survey organizations like ANES make to respondents. There is now a significant risk that disclosing all of the answers respondents give would make it possible for someone to identify an ANES respondent, which is something we have promised respondents will not happen.

Accordingly, we are limiting the release of data to reduce the probability that a respondent could be identified. In general, the kinds of variables that potentially contribute to disclosure risk are variables that could be matched to public records (such as birth, marriage, property ownership, and voter registration and turnout records) and consumer/marketing databases, or which establish the respondent's connection to an observable institution, such as the respondent's occupation or place of worship. Individual variables rarely create appreciable disclosure risk, but the combination of large numbers of such variables in ANES data sets can amount to an appreciable risk.

As has been the case with past studies, we disclose the respondent's Congressional District and state of residence, but no finer geographic detail about the respondent's location.

Four of the most noticeable new steps to protect respondents from disclosure risk affect the data on age, educational attainment, race/ethnicity, and religious identity.

On the public-use file, age is now recorded in categories (17-20, 21-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, 65-69, 70-74, 75 and over). Exact age and date of birth will be available through our regular restricted data access process for analysts who need this information for research purposes.

Educational attainment is reported in categories of less than a high school credential, high school credential only, some college, a bachelor's degree, and an advanced degree. Greater detail, such as specific years of school or specific advanced degrees, is available through the restricted data access procedure.

Race/ethnicity is reported for white, black, Hispanic, Asian, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaska Native, and "other" categories, and Hispanic background is reported for Mexican-American, Cuban-American, Puerto Rican, and "other" categories. Analysts who need more detailed data on race and ethnicity may obtain them through the restricted access procedure.

Religious identity is reported in the four categories of Catholic, other Christian, other religion, and not religious. For analysts who want it, greater detail in religious identification is available.

Researchers seeking restricted-access variables may follow the special access request procedures described on the ANES website at http://www.electionstudies.org/rda/anes_rda.htm

Missing data codes

Missing data are assigned numeric codes from -9 to -1 to indicate the reason that valid data are not present for a variable. The missing data coding system applied for this release of the data file is as follows:

Code	*Value label*	*Description*
-1	Inapplicable.	This is the default code when a question was not asked due to branching/flow/skip patterns that made it inapplicable, such as Protestant denomination for non-Protestants.
-2	Missing, see documentation	Special missing code that may be defined and labeled ad hoc for particular studies or variables. For derived variables, this code means that data were missing due to a combination of missing values codes in source variables, such as -6 for one source and -5 for another source.
-3	Restricted access	Data removed from the public-use files due to disclosure risk receive this code.
-4	Error, see documentation	Due to an error, there are no data for this variable. Study documentation will provide available details.

-5	Not asked, terminated (breakoff)	The interview was terminated before reaching the applicable point in the questionnaire.
-6	Not asked, unit nonresponse	The respondent did not respond to the entire questionnaire.
-7	Deleted due to partial interview	This code indicates that response data from a partial interview were deleted to assure that unweighted frequencies accurately show the number of valid responses available for weighted analysis.
-8	Don’t know	Respondent reported not knowing how to answer. Typically a volunteered response in CAPI or CATI.
-9	Refused	Respondent refused to answer in the CAPI interview or clicked ‘Next’ without answering the question in the web survey.

Errors and problems in administration

ANES staff will continue to review the data, compile a list of errors or anomalies, and fix or document any problems. If you notice anything wrong with the data, please let us know by sending email to anes@electionstudies.org. Errata will be posted to the ANES website and will be corrected in future releases of the data.

9. Codebook

The codebook begins on the next page with a variable list, followed by entries for each variable on the data file.

Variable entries begin with the variable name in large print at the top of the page. The information provided includes the following, when applicable:

Label: the variable's label on the data file.
Position: where the item was located in the questionnaire.
Description: the topic of the questionnaire module.
Question: wording of the question as presented to respondents.
Universe: a verbal description of which respondents were asked the question.
Logic: a logical expression of the universe.
Web Logic: noting differences between the web mode and the face-to-face mode, if any.
Source: whether source of data was the respondent or the interviewer.

Variable entries also include unweighted frequencies and weighted proportions for most variables. Frequencies and proportions are not reported for variables with too many categories to make a compact table.

Note that the weighted proportions include missing data categories. These proportions describe the data set accurately, showing the distribution of the data across all response categories. Data users who wish to make population inferences should account for missing data. For example, the voter turnout summary variable, rvote2012_x, shows 72.4 percent voted in the 2012 elections. This is a report that 72.4 percent of the weighted cases on the data file reported voting. It is not an estimate that 72.4 percent of the voting-eligible population voted in the election; missing data should be excluded from the denominator (or imputed) to make such an estimate.

Variable List

version . . . 80
caseid . . . 81
weight_ftf . . . 82
weight_web . . . 83
weight_full . . . 84
psu_full . . . 85
psu_ftf . . . 86
strata_full . . . 87
strata_ftf . . . 88
ftf_oversample . . . 89
wave_completions . . . 90
mode . . . 91
gend_gendobs . . . 92
gender_respondent_x . . . 93
interest_attention . . . 94
interest_following . . . 95
interest_wherevote . . . 96
interest_voted2008 . . . 97
interest_whovote2008 . . . 98
interest_whovote2008_oth . . . 99
prmedia_useinet . . . 100
prmedia_wkinews . . . 101
prmedia_atinews . . . 103
prmedia_wktvnws . . . 104
prmedia_attvnews . . . 106
prmedia_wkpaprnws . . . 107
prmedia_atpprnews . . . 109
prmedia_wkrdnws . . . 110
prmedia_atrdnews . . . 112
prevote_regist_addr . . . 114
prevote_regist_noaddr . . . 115
prevote_reg . . . 116
prevote_reg_recordstate . . . 118
prevote_reg_recordcity . . . 119
prevote_reg_state . . . 120
prevote_reg_samestate . . . 121
prevote_regincnty . . . 122
prevote_regyrs3cat . . . 124
prevote_regyrsfull . . . 125
prevote_regname . . . 126
prevote_regpty_state . . . 127
prevote_regpty . . . 128
prevote_regpty_oth . . . 130
prevote_regint . . . 131
prevote_primv . . . 132
prevote_primvwho . . . 133
prevote_primvwho_oth . . . 135

prevote_voted . . . 136
prevote_vconf . . . 137
prevote_howvote . . . 138
prevote_ckbcard . . . 140
prevote_ballot_color . . . 142
prevote_presvt . . . 143
prevote_presvtwho . . . 144
prevote_presvtwho_oth . . . 146
prevote_presstr . . . 147
prevote_vtpresdec . . . 148
prevote_vtpresdec_dkrf . . . 149
prevote_intpres . . . 150
prevote_intpreswho . . . 151
prevote_intpreswho_oth . . . 153
prevote_intpresst . . . 154
prevote_prefpres . . . 155
prevote_prefprwho . . . 156
prevote_prefprwho_oth . . . 157
prevote_prefprstr . . . 158
prevote_votehs . . . 159
prevote_hsvtbc . . . 161
prevote_hsvtnobc . . . 163
prevote_inths . . . 165
prevote_inthsbc . . . 167
prevote_inthsnobc . . . 169
prevote_hspref . . . 171
prevote_hsprefbc . . . 173
prevote_hsprefnobc . . . 175
prevote_senhhstate . . . 176
prevote_senregstate . . . 177
prevote_votesen . . . 178
prevote_vtsenbc . . . 180
prevote_vtsennobc . . . 182
prevote_intsen . . . 183
prevote_intsenbc . . . 185
prevote_intsennobc . . . 187
prevote_senpref . . . 188
prevote_senprefbc . . . 190
prevote_senprefnobc . . . 191
prevote_govhhstate . . . 192
prevote_govregstate . . . 193
prevote_votegov . . . 194
prevote_vtgovbc . . . 196
prevote_vtgovnobc . . . 197
prevote_intgov . . . 198
prevote_intgovbc . . . 200
prevote_intgovnobc . . . 201
prevote_govpref . . . 202
prevote_govprefbc . . . 204
prevote_govprefnobc . . . 205

candlik_likedpc . . . 206
candlik_likewhatdpc . . . 207
candlik_disldpc . . . 208
candlik_dislwhatdpc . . . 209
candlik_likerpc . . . 210
candlik_likewhatrpc . . . 211
candlik_dislrpc . . . 212
candlik_dislwhatrpc . . . 213
congapp_job . . . 214
congapp_jobst . . . 215
congapp_job_x . . . 216
presapp_track . . . 217
presapp_job . . . 218
presapp_jobstr . . . 219
presapp_job_x . . . 220
presapp_econ . . . 221
presapp_econstr . . . 222
presapp_econ_x . . . 223
presapp_foreign . . . 224
presapp_foreignstr . . . 225
presapp_foreign_x . . . 226
presapp_health . . . 227
presapp_healthstr . . . 228
presapp_health_x . . . 229
presapp_war . . . 230
presapp_warstr . . . 231
presapp_war_x . . . 232
ft_dpc . . . 233
ft_rpc . . . 234
ft_dvpc . . . 235
ft_rvpc . . . 236
ft_hclinton . . . 237
ft_gwb . . . 238
ft_dem . . . 239
ft_rep . . . 240
ptylik_likdp . . . 241
ptylik_lwhatdp . . . 242
ptylik_disldp . . . 243
ptylik_dwhatdp . . . 244
ptylik_likrp . . . 245
ptylik_lwhatrp . . . 246
ptylik_dislrp . . . 247
ptylik_dwhatrp . . . 248
finance_finfam . . . 249
finance_finpast . . . 250
finance_finpastamt . . . 251
finance_finpast_x . . . 252
finance_finnext . . . 253
finance_finnextamt . . . 254
finance_finnext_x . . . 255

health_insured . . . 256
health_2010hcr . . . 257
health_2010hcrfav . . . 258
health_2010hcropp . . . 259
health_2010hcr_x . . . 260
health_self . . . 261
health_smoke . . . 262
health_smokeamt . . . 263
candaff_angdpc . . . 264
candaff_angdpcoft . . . 265
candaff_hpdpc . . . 267
candaff_hpdpcoft . . . 268
candaff_afrdpc . . . 270
candaff_afrdpcoft . . . 271
candaff_prddpc . . . 273
candaff_prddpcoft . . . 274
candaff_angrpc . . . 276
candaff_angrpcoft . . . 277
candaff_hprpc . . . 279
candaff_hprpcoft . . . 280
candaff_afrrpc . . . 282
candaff_afrrpcoft . . . 283
candaff_prdrpc . . . 284
candaff_prdrpcoft . . . 285
libcpre_self . . . 287
libcpre_choose . . . 289
libcpre_dpc . . . 290
libcpre_rpc . . . 292
libcpre_ptyd . . . 293
libcpre_ptyr . . . 295
divgov_splitgov . . . 296
likelypct_howlikvt1 . . . 297
likelypct_whatpct1 . . . 299
campfin_limcorp . . . 300
campfin_banads . . . 301
ineq_incgap . . . 302
ineq_gapmore . . . 303
ineq_gapless . . . 304
ineq_incgap_x . . . 305
effic_complicstd . . . 306
effic_undstd . . . 308
effic_carestd . . . 310
effic_saystd . . . 312
effic_complicrev . . . 314
effic_undrev . . . 316
effic_carerev . . . 318
effic_sayrev . . . 319
econ_ecnow . . . 320
econ_ecpast . . . 321
econ_ecpastamt . . . 322

econ_ecpast_x . . . 323
econ_ecnext . . . 324
econ_ecnextamt . . . 325
econ_ecnext_x . . . 326
econ_unpast . . . 327
econ_unlast . . . 328
econ_unpast_x . . . 329
econ_unnext . . . 330
ecblame_pres . . . 331
ecblame_fmpr . . . 333
ecblame_dem . . . 335
ecblame_rep . . . 337
ecblame_bank . . . 339
ecblame_cons . . . 341
ecblame_lend . . . 343
ptyperf_ptyecon . . . 345
preswin_care . . . 346
preswin_win . . . 347
preswin_win_oth . . . 348
preswin_close . . . 349
preswin_state . . . 350
preswin_state_oth . . . 351
preswin_closest . . . 352
preswin_dutychoice . . . 353
preswin_choiceduty . . . 355
preswin_dutyst . . . 356
preswin_choicest . . . 357
preswin_dutychoice_x . . . 358
usworld_stronger . . . 359
usworld_stay . . . 360
pid_self . . . 361
pid_self_oth . . . 363
pid_strong . . . 364
pid_lean . . . 365
pid_x . . . 366
tea_supp . . . 367
tea_suppstr . . . 368
tea_suppln . . . 369
tea_supp_x . . . 370
ctrait_dpcmoral . . . 371
ctrait_dpclead . . . 373
ctrait_dpccare . . . 375
ctrait_dpcknow . . . 377
ctrait_dpcint . . . 379
ctrait_dpchonst . . . 381
ctrait_rpcmoral . . . 383
ctrait_rpclead . . . 385
ctrait_rpccare . . . 387
ctrait_rpcknow . . . 389
ctrait_rpcint . . . 391

ctrait_rpchonst . . . 393
war_worthit . . . 395
war_terror . . . 396
spsrvpr_ssself . . . 397
spsrvpr_ssdpc . . . 399
spsrvpr_ssrpc . . . 401
spsrvpr_ssdem . . . 403
spsrvpr_ssrep . . . 405
defsppr_self . . . 406
defsppr_dpc . . . 408
defsppr_rpc . . . 410
defsppr_dem . . . 412
defsppr_rep . . . 414
inspre_self . . . 416
inspre_dpc . . . 418
inspre_rpc . . . 420
inspre_dem . . . 422
inspre_rep . . . 424
gun_control . . . 426
gun_importance . . . 427
guarpr_self . . . 428
guarpr_dpc . . . 430
guarpr_rpc . . . 432
guarpr_dem . . . 433
guarpr_rep . . . 435
immig_policy . . . 437
immig_citizen . . . 439
immig_checks . . . 440
aidblack_self . . . 441
aidblack_dpc . . . 443
aidblack_rpc . . . 445
envjob_self . . . 447
envjob_dpc . . . 449
envjob_rpc . . . 451
aa_uni . . . 452
aa_unifav . . . 453
aa_uniopp . . . 454
aa_uni_x . . . 455
aa_work . . . 456
aa_workfav . . . 457
aa_workopp . . . 458
aa_work_x . . . 459
fedspend_ss . . . 460
fedspend_schools . . . 462
fedspend_scitech . . . 463
fedspend_crime . . . 464
fedspend_welfare . . . 465
fedspend_child . . . 466
fedspend_poor . . . 467
fedspend_enviro . . . 468

candrel_dpc . . . 469
candrel_dpc_oth . . . 471
candrel_rpc . . . 472
candrel_rpc_oth . . . 474
trustgov_trustgrev . . . 475
trustgov_trustgstd . . . 477
trustgov_bigintrst . . . 479
trustgov_waste . . . 480
trustgov_corrpt . . . 481
trust_social . . . 482
respons_elections . . . 483
econcand_dwin . . . 484
econcand_rwin . . . 485
envir_drill . . . 486
envir_nuke . . . 487
envir_gwarm . . . 488
envir_gwgood . . . 489
envir_gwhow . . . 490
gayrt_discrev . . . 491
gayrt_discstrev . . . 492
gayrt_discrev_x . . . 493
gayrt_discstd . . . 494
gayrt_discststd . . . 495
gayrt_discstd_x . . . 496
gayrt_milrev . . . 497
gayrt_milstrev . . . 498
gayrt_milrev_x . . . 499
gayrt_milstd . . . 500
gayrt_milststd . . . 501
gayrt_milstd_x . . . 502
gayrt_adopt . . . 503
gayrt_marry . . . 504
abortpre_4point . . . 505
abortpre_4point_oth . . . 507
penalty_favdpen . . . 508
penalty_dpenstr . . . 509
penalty_favopp_x . . . 510
presadm_secure . . . 511
pctlikely_whatpct2 . . . 512
pctlikely_howlikvt2 . . . 513
relig_import . . . 515
relig_guide . . . 516
relig_pray . . . 517
relig_pray_oth . . . 519
relig_wordgod . . . 520
relig_wordgod_oth . . . 522
relig_church . . . 523
relig_churchoft . . . 524
relig_churchwk . . . 525
relig_chmember . . . 526

relig_7cat_x . . . 527
relig_mastersummary . . . 528
relig_group . . . 529
relig_groupna . . . 530
relig_denom . . . 531
relig_bapt . . . 532
relig_indbapt . . . 534
relig_luth . . . 535
relig_meth . . . 536
relig_presb . . . 537
relig_refrm . . . 538
relig_brethr . . . 539
relig_discp . . . 540
relig_chchrst . . . 542
relig_chgod . . . 543
relig_pent . . . 544
relig_denother . . . 545
relig_othxian . . . 547
relig_jewisha . . . 548
relig_jewishna . . . 549
relig_bornagn . . . 550
relig_ident_1st . . . 551
relig_ident_2nd . . . 552
relig_ident_3rd . . . 553
relig_religident_prog . . . 554
relig_religident_nontrad . . . 555
relig_religident_secular . . . 556
relig_religident_agnostic . . . 557
relig_religident_atheist . . . 558
relig_religident_spiritual . . . 559
relig_religident_none . . . 560
relig_religident_dkrf . . . 561
dem_birthmo . . . 562
dem_birthdy . . . 563
dem_birthyr . . . 564
dem_age_r_x . . . 565
dem_agegrp_iwdate_x . . . 566
dem_marital . . . 568
dem_partner . . . 569
dem_edu . . . 570
dem_edu_oth . . . 571
dem_dipged . . . 572
dem_edugroup_x . . . 573
dem_edusp . . . 574
dem_edusp_oth . . . 575
dem_dipgedsp . . . 576
dem_eduspgroup_x . . . 577
dem_veteran . . . 578
dem_milwhen_1st . . . 579
dem_milwhen_2nd . . . 580

dem_milwhen_3rd . . . 581
dem_milwhen_4th . . . 582
dem_milnow . . . 583
dem_emptype_1st . . . 584
dem_emptype_2nd . . . 585
dem_emptype_3rd . . . 586
dem_emptype_4th . . . 587
dem_emptype_work . . . 588
dem_emptype_layoff . . . 589
dem_emptype_unemp . . . 590
dem_emptype_retire . . . 591
dem_emptype_disabled . . . 592
dem_emptype_hmaker . . . 593
dem_emptype_student . . . 594
dem_emptype_dkrf . . . 595
dem_empstatus_2digitfin_x . . . 596
dem_empstatus_1digitfin_x . . . 597
dem_empstatus_initial . . . 599
dem_hsworkg . . . 600
dem_hsjob6mo . . . 601
dem_retiremo . . . 602
dem_retiredy . . . 603
dem_retireyr . . . 604
dem_retireperiod_x . . . 605
dem_everwk . . . 606
dem_occpast . . . 607
dem_indpast . . . 608
dem_semplpst . . . 609
dem_empgovpst . . . 610
dem_rdjob6mon . . . 611
dem_hrsrecent . . . 612
dem_rdworkg . . . 613
dem_lookwk . . . 614
dem_findjob . . . 615
dem_occnow . . . 616
dem_indnow . . . 617
dem_semplnow . . . 618
dem_empgovnow . . . 619
dem_hrsnow . . . 620
dem_okhrsnow . . . 621
dem_losejob . . . 622
dem_offwork . . . 624
dem_hrsreduce . . . 625
dem_emptypesp_1st . . . 626
dem_emptypesp_2nd . . . 627
dem_emptypesp_3rd . . . 628
dem_emptypesp_4th . . . 629
dem_emptypesp_work . . . 630
dem_emptypesp_layoff . . . 631
dem_emptypesp_unemp . . . 632

dem_emptypesp_retire . . . 633
dem_emptypesp_disabled . . . 634
dem_emptypesp_hmaker . . . 635
dem_emptypesp_student . . . 636
dem_emptypesp_dkrf . . . 637
dem_csesjobsp . . . 638
dem_unionhh . . . 639
dem_unionwho_1st . . . 640
dem_unionwho_2nd . . . 641
dem_unionwho_r . . . 642
dem_unionwho_spouse . . . 643
dem_unionwho_personoth . . . 644
dem_unionwho_dkrf . . . 645
dem_class . . . 646
dem_whichclass . . . 647
dem_whichclass_oth . . . 649
dem_chclass . . . 650
dem_chclass_oth . . . 652
dem_avgclass . . . 653
dem_avgclass_oth . . . 655
dem_hisp . . . 656
dem_hisptyp_1st . . . 657
dem_hisptyp_2nd . . . 658
dem_hisptyp_mex . . . 659
dem_hisptyp_mexam . . . 660
dem_hisptyp_chicano . . . 661
dem_hisptyp_puertorican . . . 662
dem_hisptyp_cuban . . . 663
dem_hisptyp_cubanam . . . 664
dem_hisptyp_othhisp . . . 665
dem_hisptyp_dkrf . . . 666
dem_hispoth . . . 667
dem_hispmost . . . 668
dem_hispmost_oth . . . 670
dem_racecps_1st . . . 671
dem_racecps_2nd . . . 672
dem_racecps_3rd . . . 673
dem_racecps_white . . . 674
dem_racecps_black . . . 675
dem_racecps_native . . . 676
dem_racecps_asian . . . 677
dem_racecps_pacif . . . 678
dem_racecps_othrace . . . 679
dem_racecps_racedkrf . . . 680
dem_racecps_oth . . . 681
dem_raceeth_x . . . 682
dem_ethnic . . . 683
dem_numeth . . . 684
dem_mosteth . . . 685
dem_parents . . . 686

dem_nativity . . . 687
dem_nativity_oth . . . 688
dem_hispgpar . . . 689
dem_hispcntry . . . 690
dem_hispcntry_oth . . . 691
dem_arr . . . 692
dem_arrperiod . . . 693
dem_cit . . . 694
dem_citperiod . . . 695
dem_hisplang . . . 696
dem2_ch10less . . . 697
dem2_ch11to17 . . . 698
dem2_numchild . . . 699
dem2_schenrl_1st . . . 700
dem2_schenrl_2nd . . . 701
dem2_schenrl_3rd . . . 702
dem2_schenrl_public . . . 703
dem2_schenrl_privrel . . . 704
dem2_schenrl_privnonrel . . . 705
dem2_schenrl_home . . . 706
dem2_schenrl_enrollno . . . 707
dem2_schenrl_enrolldkrf . . . 708
dem2_inethome . . . 709
dem2_inettyp . . . 710
dem2_landline . . . 711
dem2_cellnum . . . 712
dem2_cellpers . . . 714
dem2_smartph . . . 715
dem3_grewup . . . 716
dem3_yearscomm . . . 717
dem3_yearscomm_full . . . 718
dem3_moscomm . . . 719
dem3_prevzip . . . 720
dem3_prevcty . . . 721
dem3_ownhome . . . 722
dem3_ownhome_oth . . . 723
dem3_namech . . . 724
dem3_namechyr . . . 725
dem3_altname . . . 726
dem3_lenaddr . . . 727
dem3_lenaddr_full . . . 729
dem3_add5yr_state . . . 730
dem3_add5yr_samestate . . . 731
dem3_driver . . . 732
dem3_passport . . . 733
dem3_govtid . . . 734
casistart_iwrset4 . . . 735
casistart_iwrset6 . . . 736
selfgend_gender . . . 737
wealth_stocks . . . 738

wealth_whoinvest . . . 739
wealth_vachome . . . 740
wealth_ownrental . . . 741
wealth_stockgrnts . . . 742
wealth_undland . . . 743
wealth_investbus . . . 744
inc_totinc . . . 745
inc_totmiss40 . . . 747
inc_totmiss20 . . . 749
inc_totl20 . . . 751
inc_totg20l40 . . . 753
inc_totmiss70 . . . 755
inc_totg40l70 . . . 757
inc_totmiss100 . . . 759
inc_totg70l100 . . . 761
inc_totg100 . . . 763
inc_incgroup_pre . . . 765
medsrc_campsrcs_1st . . . 766
medsrc_campsrcs_2nd . . . 768
medsrc_campsrcs_3rd . . . 769
medsrc_campsrcs_4th . . . 770
medsrc_campsrcs_5th . . . 771
medsrc_campsrcs_tvnews . . . 772
medsrc_campsrcs_newsp . . . 773
medsrc_campsrcs_tvtalk . . . 774
medsrc_campsrcs_inet . . . 775
medsrc_campsrcs_radio . . . 776
medsrc_campsrcs_none . . . 777
medsrc_campsrcs_dkrf . . . 778
medsrc_tvprog_01 . . . 779
medsrc_tvprog_02 . . . 780
medsrc_tvprog_03 . . . 781
medsrc_tvprog_04 . . . 782
medsrc_tvprog_05 . . . 783
medsrc_tvprog_06 . . . 784
medsrc_tvprog_07 . . . 785
medsrc_tvprog_08 . . . 786
medsrc_tvprog_09 . . . 787
medsrc_tvprog_10 . . . 788
medsrc_tvprog_11 . . . 789
medsrc_tvprog_12 . . . 790
medsrc_tvprog_13 . . . 791
medsrc_tvprog_14 . . . 792
medsrc_tvprog_15 . . . 793
medsrc_tvprog_16 . . . 794
medsrc_tvprog_17 . . . 795
medsrc_tvprog_18 . . . 796
medsrc_tvprog_19 . . . 797
medsrc_tvprog_20 . . . 798
medsrc_tvprog_21 . . . 799

medsrc_tvprog_22 . . . 800
medsrc_tvprog_23 . . . 801
medsrc_tvprog_24 . . . 802
medsrc_tvprog_25 . . . 803
medsrc_tvprog_26 . . . 804
medsrc_tvprog_27 . . . 805
medsrc_tvprog_28 . . . 806
medsrc_tvprog_29 . . . 807
medsrc_tvprog_30 . . . 808
medsrc_tvprog_31 . . . 809
medsrc_tvprog_32 . . . 810
medsrc_tvprog_33 . . . 811
medsrc_tvprog_34 . . . 812
medsrc_tvprog_35 . . . 813
medsrc_tvprog_36 . . . 814
medsrc_tvprog_37 . . . 815
medsrc_tvprog_38 . . . 816
medsrc_tvprog_39 . . . 817
medsrc_tvprog_40 . . . 818
medsrc_tvprog_41 . . . 819
medsrc_tvprog_42 . . . 820
medsrc_tvprog_43 . . . 821
medsrc_tvprog_44 . . . 822
medsrc_tvprog_45 . . . 823
medsrc_tvprog_46 . . . 824
medsrc_tvprog_47 . . . 825
medsrc_tvprog_48 . . . 826
medsrc_tvprog_49 . . . 827
medsrc_tvprog_50 . . . 828
medsrc_tvprog_51 . . . 829
medsrc_tvprog_52 . . . 830
medsrc_tvprog_53 . . . 831
medsrc_tvprog_54 . . . 832
medsrc_tvprog_55 . . . 833
medsrc_tvprog_56 . . . 834
medsrc_tvprog_57 . . . 835
medsrc_tvprog_58 . . . 836
medsrc_tvprog_59 . . . 837
medsrc_tvprog_60 . . . 838
medsrc_tvprog_61 . . . 839
medsrc_tvprog_62 . . . 840
medsrc_tvprog_63 . . . 841
medsrc_tvprog_64 . . . 842
medsrc_tvprog_none . . . 843
medsrc_tvprog_dk . . . 844
medsrc_tvprog_rf . . . 845
medsrc_radio_01 . . . 846
medsrc_radio_02 . . . 847
medsrc_radio_03 . . . 848
medsrc_radio_04 . . . 849

medsrc_radio_05 . . . 850
medsrc_radio_06 . . . 851
medsrc_radio_07 . . . 852
medsrc_radio_08 . . . 853
medsrc_radio_09 . . . 854
medsrc_radio_10 . . . 855
medsrc_radio_11 . . . 856
medsrc_radio_12 . . . 857
medsrc_radio_13 . . . 858
medsrc_radio_14 . . . 859
medsrc_radio_15 . . . 860
medsrc_radio_none . . . 861
medsrc_radio_dkrf . . . 862
medsrc_websites_01 . . . 863
medsrc_websites_02 . . . 864
medsrc_websites_03 . . . 865
medsrc_websites_04 . . . 866
medsrc_websites_05 . . . 867
medsrc_websites_06 . . . 868
medsrc_websites_07 . . . 869
medsrc_websites_08 . . . 870
medsrc_websites_09 . . . 871
medsrc_websites_10 . . . 872
medsrc_websites_11 . . . 873
medsrc_websites_12 . . . 874
medsrc_websites_13 . . . 875
medsrc_websites_14 . . . 876
medsrc_websites_15 . . . 877
medsrc_websites_othweb . . . 878
medsrc_websites_oth . . . 879
medsrc_websites_none . . . 880
medsrc_websites_dkrf . . . 881
medsrc_printnews_01 . . . 882
medsrc_printnews_02 . . . 883
medsrc_printnews_03 . . . 884
medsrc_printnews_04 . . . 885
medsrc_printnews_05 . . . 886
medsrc_printnews_06 . . . 887
medsrc_printnews_07 . . . 888
medsrc_printnews_08 . . . 889
medsrc_printnews_09 . . . 890
medsrc_printnews_10 . . . 891
medsrc_printnews_11 . . . 892
medsrc_printnews_12 . . . 893
medsrc_printnews_13 . . . 894
medsrc_printnews_14 . . . 895
medsrc_printnews_15 . . . 896
medsrc_printnews_othnews . . . 897
medsrc_printnews_none . . . 898
medsrc_printnews_dkrf . . . 899

medsrc_inetnews_01 . . . 900
medsrc_inetnews_02 . . . 901
medsrc_inetnews_03 . . . 902
medsrc_inetnews_04 . . . 903
medsrc_inetnews_05 . . . 904
medsrc_inetnews_06 . . . 905
medsrc_inetnews_07 . . . 906
medsrc_inetnews_08 . . . 907
medsrc_inetnews_09 . . . 908
medsrc_inetnews_10 . . . 909
medsrc_inetnews_11 . . . 910
medsrc_inetnews_12 . . . 911
medsrc_inetnews_13 . . . 912
medsrc_inetnews_14 . . . 913
medsrc_inetnews_15 . . . 914
medsrc_inetnews_othnews . . . 915
medsrc_inetnews_none . . . 916
medsrc_inetnews_dkrf . . . 917
medsrc_socmedia . . . 918
medsrc_blogs . . . 920
medsrc_specblogs . . . 922
owngun_owngun . . . 923
wordsum_setb . . . 924
wordsum_setd . . . 925
wordsum_sete . . . 926
wordsum_setf . . . 927
wordsum_setg . . . 928
wordsum_seth . . . 929
wordsum_setj . . . 930
wordsum_setk . . . 931
wordsum_setl . . . 932
wordsum_seto . . . 933
orientn_rgay . . . 934
orientn_knowgay . . . 935
preknow_prestimes . . . 936
preknow_sizedef . . . 938
preknow_senterm . . . 939
preknow_medicare . . . 940
preknow_leastsp . . . 941
happ_lifesatisf . . . 942
mediapo_tv . . . 943
mediapo_tvamt . . . 944
mediapo_radio . . . 945
mediapo_radioamt . . . 946
mediapo_nwsprev . . . 947
mediapo_nwsprevamt . . . 948
mediapo_net . . . 949
mediapo_netamt . . . 950
mediapo_site . . . 952
mediapo_siteamt . . . 953

mobilpo_party . . . 954
mobilpo_wparty . . . 955
mobilpo_wparty_oth . . . 957
mobilpo_contactoth . . . 958
mobilpo_latino . . . 959
mobilpo_lang . . . 960
mobilpo_reg . . . 961
mobilpo_rmob . . . 962
mobilpo_rally . . . 963
mobilpo_sign . . . 964
mobilpo_otherwork . . . 965
mobilpo_ctbcand . . . 966
mobilpo_ctbcndpty . . . 967
mobilpo_ctbcndpty_oth . . . 968
mobilpo_ctbpty . . . 969
mobilpo_ctbwhpty . . . 970
mobilpo_ctbwhpty_oth . . . 971
mobilpo_ctboth . . . 972
dhsinvolv_march . . . 973
dhsinvolv_board . . . 974
dhsinvolv_netpetition . . . 975
dhsinvolv_petition . . . 976
dhsinvolv_relig . . . 977
dhsinvolv_org . . . 978
dhsinvolv_call . . . 979
dhsinvolv_message . . . 980
dhsinvolv_letter . . . 981
dhsinvolv_contact1 . . . 982
dhsinvolv_contact2_1st . . . 983
dhsinvolv_contact2_2nd . . . 984
dhsinvolv_contact2_3rd . . . 985
dhsinvolv_contact2_4th . . . 986
dhsinvolv_contact2_stsen . . . 987
dhsinvolv_contact2_othsen . . . 988
dhsinvolv_contact2_distrep . . . 989
dhsinvolv_contact2_othrep . . . 990
dhsinvolv_contact2_dkrf . . . 991
postvote_regpre . . . 992
postvote_votedpre . . . 993
postvote_prestatus_nonvtr . . . 994
postvote_regist_addr . . . 996
postvote_regist_noaddr . . . 997
postvote_reg . . . 998
postvote_reg_state . . . 1000
postvote_reg_samestate . . . 1001
postvote_regincnty . . . 1002
postvote_regyrs3cat . . . 1004
postvote_regyrsfull . . . 1005
postvote_regname . . . 1006
postvote_regpty_state . . . 1007

postvote_regpty . . . 1008
postvote_regpty_oth . . . 1010
postvote_rvote . . . 1011
rvote2012_x . . . 1013
postvote_ckbcard . . . 1014
postvote_ballotcolor . . . 1016
postvote_presvt . . . 1017
postvote_presvtwho . . . 1018
presvote2012_x . . . 1020
postvote_presvtwho_oth . . . 1021
postvote_presstr . . . 1022
postvote_vtpresdec . . . 1024
postvote_vtpresdec_dkrf . . . 1025
postvote_prefpres . . . 1026
postvote_prefprwho . . . 1028
postvote_prefprwho_oth . . . 1030
postvote_prefprstr . . . 1031
postvote_votehs . . . 1033
postvote_hsvtbc . . . 1035
postvote_hsvtnobc . . . 1037
hsevote2012_x . . . 1039
postvote_hspref . . . 1040
postvote_hsprefbc . . . 1042
postvote_hsprefnobc . . . 1044
postvote_senhhstate . . . 1046
postvote_senregstate . . . 1047
postvote_votesen . . . 1049
postvote_vtsenbc . . . 1051
postvote_vtsennobc . . . 1053
senvote2012_x . . . 1055
postvote_senpref . . . 1056
postvote_senprefbc . . . 1058
postvote_senprefnobc . . . 1060
postvote_govhhstate . . . 1062
postvote_govregstate . . . 1063
postvote_votegov . . . 1064
postvote_vtgovbc . . . 1066
postvote_vtgovnobc . . . 1068
govvote2012_x . . . 1070
postvote_govpref . . . 1071
postvote_govprefbc . . . 1073
postvote_govprefnobc . . . 1075
ptywom_bettrpty . . . 1077
ofcrec_speaker . . . 1079
ofcrec_speaker_correct . . . 1080
ofcrec_speaker_probe . . . 1081
ofcrec_vp . . . 1082
ofcrec_vp_correct . . . 1083
ofcrec_vp_probe . . . 1084
ofcrec_pmuk . . . 1085

ofcrec_pmuk_correct . . . 1086
ofcrec_pmuk_probe . . . 1087
ofcrec_cj . . . 1088
ofcrec_cj_correct . . . 1089
ofcrec_cj_probe . . . 1090
ftpo_pres . . . 1091
ftpo_rpc . . . 1092
ftpo_rpcsp . . . 1093
ftpo_dpcsp . . . 1094
ftpo_hdc . . . 1095
ftpo_hrc . . . 1096
ftpo_hoth . . . 1097
ftpo_sdc . . . 1098
ftpo_src . . . 1099
ftpo_soth . . . 1100
ftpo_sensr . . . 1102
ftpo_senjr . . . 1103
ftpo_sennot . . . 1104
ftpo_dvpc . . . 1105
ftpo_rvpc . . . 1106
ftpo_roberts . . . 1107
ftgr_xfund . . . 1108
ftgr_catholics . . . 1109
ftgr_feminists . . . 1110
ftgr_fedgov . . . 1111
ftgr_liberals . . . 1112
ftgr_middle . . . 1113
ftgr_unions . . . 1114
ftgr_poor . . . 1115
ftgr_military . . . 1116
ftgr_bigbus . . . 1117
ftgr_welfare . . . 1118
ftgr_cons . . . 1119
ftgr_working . . . 1120
ftgr_ussc . . . 1121
ftgr_gay . . . 1122
ftgr_congress . . . 1123
ftgr_rich . . . 1124
ftgr_muslims . . . 1125
ftgr_xian . . . 1126
ftgr_atheists . . . 1127
ftgr_mormons . . . 1128
ftgr_tea . . . 1129
hseinc_approval . . . 1130
hseinc_appstr . . . 1132
hseinc_disstr . . . 1133
hseinc_approval_x . . . 1134
hseinc_hinctouch . . . 1135
mip_problem1 . . . 1137
mip_prob1_dkrf . . . 1138

mip_prob1pty . . . 1139
mip_problem2 . . . 1141
mip_prob2_dkrf . . . 1142
mip_prob2pty . . . 1143
mip_problem3 . . . 1145
mip_prob3_dkrf . . . 1146
mip_prob3pty . . . 1147
mip_mostproblem . . . 1149
mip_mostprob_dkrf . . . 1150
securpub_secchg . . . 1151
knowl_housemaj . . . 1152
knowl_senmaj . . . 1153
wpres_gdbd . . . 1154
wpres_gdstr . . . 1155
wpres_bdstr . . . 1157
wpres_gdbd_x . . . 1158
wpres_importyes . . . 1160
wpres_importno . . . 1162
budget_deficit . . . 1164
budget_deficitfav . . . 1165
budget_deficitopp . . . 1166
budget_deficitlean . . . 1167
budget_deficit_x . . . 1169
budget_defimp . . . 1171
budget_rdef250k . . . 1173
budget_rdefmed . . . 1174
budget_rdefctax . . . 1176
budget_rdefmil . . . 1178
budget_rdefemp . . . 1180
budget_rdefgov . . . 1182
patriot_flag . . . 1184
patriot_love . . . 1186
patriot_amident . . . 1188
milln_milltax . . . 1190
milln_milltaxst . . . 1191
milln_milltax_x . . . 1193
fairjob_opin . . . 1195
fairjob_opin_oth . . . 1196
fairjob_yes . . . 1197
fairjob_no . . . 1198
fairjob_opin_x . . . 1199
imports_limit . . . 1201
israel_support . . . 1202
immigpo_level . . . 1203
immigpo_jobs . . . 1205
hlthlaw_qual . . . 1207
hlthlaw_num . . . 1209
iran_nukdev . . . 1211
iran_nukdip . . . 1212
iran_nuksanct . . . 1213

iran_nuksite . . . 1214
iran_nukeinvd . . . 1215
china_econ . . . 1216
china_mil . . . 1217
ecperil_putoffmed . . . 1218
ecperil_payhlthcst . . . 1219
ecperil_worry . . . 1221
ecperil_home . . . 1223
ecperil_payany . . . 1224
ecperil_payhouse . . . 1225
ecperil_lostjobs . . . 1227
libcpo_self . . . 1228
libcpo_selfch . . . 1230
libcpo_hdc . . . 1232
libcpo_hrc . . . 1234
science_use . . . 1236
abort_dpc4 . . . 1238
abort_dpc4_oth . . . 1240
abort_rpc4 . . . 1241
abort_rpc4_oth . . . 1243
abort_dem4 . . . 1244
abort_dem4_oth . . . 1246
abort_rep4 . . . 1247
abort_rep4_oth . . . 1249
abort_health . . . 1250
abort_healthfav . . . 1252
abort_healthopp . . . 1253
abort_healthln . . . 1254
abort_health_x . . . 1256
abort_fatal . . . 1258
abort_fatalfav . . . 1260
abort_fatalopp . . . 1262
abort_fatalln . . . 1263
abort_fatal_x . . . 1265
abort_incest . . . 1267
abort_incestfav . . . 1269
abort_incestopp . . . 1270
abort_incestln . . . 1271
abort_incest_x . . . 1273
abort_rape . . . 1275
abort_rapefav . . . 1277
abort_rapeopp . . . 1279
abort_rapelean . . . 1281
abort_rape_x . . . 1283
abort_bd . . . 1285
abort_bdfav . . . 1287
abort_bdopp . . . 1288
abort_bdln . . . 1290
abort_bd_x . . . 1292
abort_fin . . . 1294

abort_finfav . . . 1296
abort_finopp . . . 1297
abort_finln . . . 1299
abort_fin_x . . . 1301
abort_sex . . . 1303
abort_sexfav . . . 1305
abort_sexopp . . . 1306
abort_sexln . . . 1308
abort_sex_x . . . 1309
abort_choice . . . 1311
abort_choicefav . . . 1312
abort_choiceopp . . . 1313
abort_choiceln . . . 1314
abort_choice_x . . . 1315
wiretap_warrant . . . 1317
outsource_enc . . . 1318
outsource_encst . . . 1319
outsource_enc_x . . . 1320
ssinv_invest . . . 1321
ssinv_invfav . . . 1322
ssinv_invopp . . . 1323
ssinv_invln . . . 1324
ssinv_invest_x . . . 1325
neonull_strule . . . 1327
neonull_strulest . . . 1328
neonull_strule_x . . . 1330
pot_legal . . . 1331
govrole_big . . . 1332
govrole_market . . . 1334
govrole_lessmore . . . 1336
govrole_regbus . . . 1337
discuss_disc . . . 1339
discuss_discpstwk . . . 1340
mormon_xn . . . 1342
mormon_known . . . 1343
mormon_beliefs . . . 1344
factor_rpcrel . . . 1346
factor_dpcrace . . . 1348
factor_ahcare . . . 1350
factor_econ . . . 1352
factor_fpol . . . 1354
factor_dpcrel . . . 1356
factor_rpcwlth . . . 1358
factor_pcdem . . . 1360
factor_pcrep . . . 1362
ptydiff_diff . . . 1364
ptycons_cons . . . 1365
ptycons_ptyconswh . . . 1366
tarp_favopp . . . 1367
tarp_favoppst . . . 1368

tarp_favopp_x . . . 1369
scourt_elim . . . 1370
scourt_elimstr . . . 1371
scourt_elimln . . . 1373
scourt_elim_x . . . 1375
scourt_remove . . . 1377
scourt_removestr . . . 1378
scourt_removeln . . . 1380
scourt_remove_x . . . 1382
involv_communwk . . . 1384
involv_govoffic . . . 1385
involv_commmtg . . . 1386
involv_numorgs . . . 1387
involv_voltr . . . 1388
involv_charity . . . 1389
link_black . . . 1390
link_blackamt . . . 1392
link_hisp . . . 1393
link_hispamt . . . 1394
link_white . . . 1395
link_whiteamt . . . 1397
link_wom . . . 1399
link_womamt . . . 1400
link_oth . . . 1402
link_othamt . . . 1403
trad_adjust . . . 1405
trad_lifestyle . . . 1407
trad_tolerant . . . 1409
trad_famval . . . 1411
resent_workway . . . 1413
resent_slavery . . . 1415
resent_deserve . . . 1417
resent_try . . . 1419
trustgvpo_crook . . . 1421
efficpo_complicstd . . . 1422
efficpo_undstd . . . 1424
efficpo_carestd . . . 1426
efficpo_saystd . . . 1428
efficpo_complicrev . . . 1430
efficpo_undrev . . . 1432
efficpo_carerev . . . 1434
efficpo_sayrev . . . 1436
efficpo_bothside . . . 1438
electintpo_countfair . . . 1440
electintpo_jrnlfair . . . 1442
electintpo_elecofffair . . . 1444
electintpo_richbuy . . . 1446
electintpo_votechc . . . 1448
women_bond . . . 1450
women_bondea . . . 1451

women_bondha . . . 1452
women_bond_x . . . 1454
women_works . . . 1456
women_worksbetter . . . 1457
women_worksworse . . . 1458
women_works_x . . . 1459
modsex_discamt . . . 1461
modsex_media . . . 1463
modsex_mediamore . . . 1464
modsex_medialess . . . 1465
modsex_media_x . . . 1466
modsex_special . . . 1468
modsex_disc . . . 1470
modsex_prob . . . 1472
modsex_oppor . . . 1474
modsex_menmore . . . 1475
modsex_wommore . . . 1477
modsex_oppor_x . . . 1478
aapost_hire . . . 1480
aapost_hire_oth . . . 1482
aapost_hirefav . . . 1483
aapost_hireopp . . . 1484
aapost_hire_x . . . 1485
auth_ind . . . 1487
auth_cur . . . 1488
auth_obed . . . 1489
auth_consid . . . 1490
egal_equal . . . 1491
egal_toofar . . . 1493
egal_bigprob . . . 1495
egal_worryless . . . 1497
egal_notbigprob . . . 1499
egal_fewerprobs . . . 1501
wiretappo_toofar . . . 1503
cog_opinions . . . 1504
cog_opin . . . 1506
cog_opinfew . . . 1507
cog_opinmore . . . 1508
cog_opin_x . . . 1509
nonmain_born . . . 1510
nonmain_endlife . . . 1511
nonmain_govt911 . . . 1513
nonmain_bias . . . 1515
nonmain_hurric . . . 1516
cses_exphlth . . . 1518
cses_expeduc . . . 1520
cses_expunemp . . . 1522
cses_expdef . . . 1524
cses_expss . . . 1526
cses_expbusind . . . 1528

cses_exppolc . . . 1530
cses_expwelf . . . 1532
cses_impstdliv . . . 1534
cses_econ . . . 1535
cses_econb . . . 1536
cses_econw . . . 1537
cses_govtact . . . 1538
cses_diffpower . . . 1540
cses_diffvote . . . 1542
cses_dptylike . . . 1544
cses_rptylike . . . 1546
cses_rpclike . . . 1548
cses_dpclike . . . 1550
cses_dptyleft . . . 1552
cses_rptyleft . . . 1554
cses_selfleft . . . 1556
cses_satisdem . . . 1558
cses_closepty . . . 1559
cses_ptymore . . . 1560
cses_ptyclost . . . 1561
cses_ptyclost_oth . . . 1563
cses_degclose . . . 1564
cses_contct . . . 1566
cses_ftf . . . 1567
cses_mail . . . 1568
cses_phone . . . 1569
cses_txtmsg . . . 1570
cses_email . . . 1571
cses_socnet . . . 1572
cses_ptycont_1st . . . 1573
cses_ptycont_2nd . . . 1574
cses_ptycont_3rd . . . 1575
cses_ptycont_4th . . . 1576
cses_ptycont_5th . . . 1577
cses_ptycont_dc . . . 1578
cses_ptycont_rc . . . 1579
cses_ptycont_dp . . . 1580
cses_ptycont_rp . . . 1581
cses_ptycont_othcont . . . 1582
cses_ptycont_oth . . . 1583
cses_ptycont_dkrf . . . 1584
cses_persuade . . . 1585
cses_persftf . . . 1586
cses_persmail . . . 1588
cses_persph . . . 1590
cses_perstxt . . . 1591
cses_perseml . . . 1592
cses_persweb . . . 1593
cses_mobph . . . 1594
cses_poliinfone . . . 1595

cses_polinftwo_rate . . . 1597
cses_polinftwo_date . . . 1598
cses_polinftwo . . . 1599
cses_poliinfthree . . . 1601
cses_poliinffour . . . 1603
cses_increduc . . . 1605
cses_ownresid . . . 1606
cses_ownoth . . . 1607
cses_ownstck . . . 1608
cses_ownsavg . . . 1609
cses_diffjob . . . 1610
cses_spdiffjb . . . 1612
dhs_threat . . . 1614
dhs_threatamt . . . 1615
dhs_appterr . . . 1617
dhs_appterrmch . . . 1618
dhs_disterrmch . . . 1620
dhs_attack . . . 1621
dhs_torture . . . 1623
dhs_torturefav . . . 1624
dhs_tortureopp . . . 1625
pohisp_hispnews . . . 1627
pohisp_hispnews_oth . . . 1629
pohisp_uselang . . . 1630
pohisp_renglish . . . 1632
pohisp_renglish_oth . . . 1634
pohisp_rspanish . . . 1635
pohisp_rspanish_oth . . . 1637
pohisp_keepspan . . . 1638
pohisp_readeng . . . 1640
pohisp_speakeng . . . 1641
pohisp_impassim . . . 1642
pohisp_impdistinct . . . 1644
pohisp_cnctcntry . . . 1645
pohisp_viscntry . . . 1647
pohisp_moneycntry . . . 1649
pohisp_polcntry . . . 1651
pohisp_votecntry . . . 1653
casistartpo_iwrset4 . . . 1655
casistartpo_iwrset6 . . . 1656
ftcasi_asian . . . 1657
ftcasi_hisp . . . 1659
ftcasi_black . . . 1661
ftcasi_illegal . . . 1663
ftcasi_white . . . 1665
racecasi_infwhite . . . 1667
racecasi_infblacks . . . 1668
racecasi_infhisp . . . 1669
racecasi_sympblacks . . . 1670
racecasi_admblacks . . . 1672

ident_hispid . . . 1674
ident_whiteid . . . 1676
ident_blackid . . . 1678
ident_nativeid . . . 1680
ident_asianid . . . 1682
ident_pacifid . . . 1684
ident_otherid . . . 1686
ident_religid_preload . . . 1688
ident_religid . . . 1690
ident_amerid . . . 1692
ineqinc_ineqgb . . . 1694
ineqinc_ineqreduc . . . 1696
stype_hwkwhite . . . 1697
stype_hwkblack . . . 1699
stype_hwkhisp . . . 1701
stype_hwkasian . . . 1703
stype_intwhite . . . 1705
stype_intblack . . . 1707
stype_inthisp . . . 1709
stype_intasian . . . 1711
tipi_extra . . . 1713
tipi_crit . . . 1715
tipi_dep . . . 1717
tipi_anx . . . 1719
tipi_open . . . 1721
tipi_resv . . . 1723
tipi_warm . . . 1725
tipi_disorg . . . 1727
tipi_calm . . . 1729
tipi_conv . . . 1731
incpo_totinc . . . 1733
incpo_totmiss40 . . . 1735
incpo_totmiss20 . . . 1737
incpo_totl20 . . . 1739
incpo_totg20l40 . . . 1741
incpo_totmiss70 . . . 1743
incpo_totg40l70 . . . 1745
incpo_totmiss100 . . . 1747
incpo_totg70l100 . . . 1749
incpo_totg100 . . . 1751
incgroup_prepost_x . . . 1753
discrim_blacks . . . 1754
discrim_hispanics . . . 1756
discrim_whites . . . 1758
discrim_gays . . . 1760
discrim_women . . . 1762
discrim_self . . . 1764
rstype_violcath . . . 1766
rstype_violprot . . . 1768
rstype_violmusl . . . 1770

rstype_violmorm . . . 1772
rstype_violnonrel . . . 1774
rstype_patrcath . . . 1776
rstype_patrmusl . . . 1778
rstype_patrmorm . . . 1780
rstype_patrprot . . . 1782
rstype_patrnonrel . . . 1784
sample_state . . . 1786
sample_stfips . . . 1787
sample_region . . . 1788
sample_district . . . 1789
sample_district_prev . . . 1790
sample_countyfips . . . 1791
sample_countyname . . . 1792
sample_ftf_replicate . . . 1793
sample_ftf_releasedate . . . 1795
sample_ftf_chumflag . . . 1796
sample_tract . . . 1797
sample_ftf_timezone . . . 1798
sample_web_statusmsa . . . 1799
sample_web_cbsa . . . 1800
sample_web_mktarea . . . 1801
sample_ftf_zip . . . 1802
admin_pre_ftf_iwdatebeg . . . 1803
admin_pre_web1_iwdatebeg . . . 1804
admin_pre_web2_iwdatebeg . . . 1805
admin_pre_ftf_iwbegtime . . . 1806
admin_pre_web1_iwbegtime . . . 1807
admin_pre_web2_iwbegtime . . . 1808
admin_pre_iwlength . . . 1809
admin_pre_web1length . . . 1810
admin_pre_web2length . . . 1811
admin_pre_ftf_iwdatefin . . . 1812
admin_pre_web1_iwdatefin . . . 1813
admin_pre_web2_iwdatefin . . . 1814
admin_pre_ftf_iwfintime . . . 1815
admin_pre_web1_iwfintime . . . 1816
admin_pre_web2_iwfintime . . . 1817
admin_pre_iwrecorded . . . 1818
admin_pre_lang_start . . . 1819
admin_pre_ltr_notif . . . 1820
admin_pre_ltr_notifdate . . . 1821
admin_pre_ltr_refcon1 . . . 1822
admin_pre_ltr_refcon1date . . . 1823
admin_pre_ltr_refcon2 . . . 1824
admin_pre_ltr_refcon2date . . . 1825
admin_pre_ltr_lockedbldg . . . 1826
admin_pre_ltr_lockedbldgdate . . . 1827
admin_pre_ltr_nocont . . . 1828
admin_pre_ltr_nocontdate . . . 1829

admin_post_ftf_iwdatebeg . . . 1830
admin_post_web1_iwdatebeg . . . 1831
admin_post_web2_iwdatebeg . . . 1832
admin_post_ftf_iwbegtime . . . 1833
admin_post_web1_iwbegtime . . . 1834
admin_post_web2_iwbegtime . . . 1835
admin_post_iwlength . . . 1836
admin_post_web1length . . . 1837
admin_post_web2length . . . 1838
admin_post_ftf_iwdatefin . . . 1839
admin_post_web1_iwdatefin . . . 1840
admin_post_web2_iwdatefin . . . 1841
admin_post_ftf_iwfintime . . . 1842
admin_post_web1_iwfintime . . . 1843
admin_post_web2_iwfintime . . . 1844
admin_post_iwrecorded . . . 1845
admin_post_lang_start . . . 1846
admin_post_ltr_notif . . . 1847
admin_post_ltr_notifdate . . . 1848
admin_post_ltr_refcon . . . 1849
admin_post_ltr_refcondate . . . 1850
admin_post_ltr_nocont1 . . . 1851
admin_post_ltr_nocont1date . . . 1852
admin_post_ltr_nocontfin . . . 1853
admin_post_ltr_nocontfindate . . . 1854
hhlist_completed . . . 1855
hhlist_attempts . . . 1856
hhlist_datecompl . . . 1857
hhlist_r_number . . . 1858
hhlist_r_agegrp . . . 1859
hhlist_r_age . . . 1861
hhlist_r_hisp . . . 1862
hhlist_r_obshisp . . . 1863
hhlist_r_black . . . 1864
hhlist_r_obsblack . . . 1865
hhlist_listtot . . . 1866
hhlist_hhtot . . . 1867
hhlist_elighhtot . . . 1868
hhlist_hisphhtot . . . 1869
hhlist_blackhhtot . . . 1871
hhlist_person1_eligible . . . 1872
hhlist_person1_whyinelig . . . 1873
hhlist_person1_resid . . . 1874
hhlist_person1_agegrp . . . 1875
hhlist_person1_agefull . . . 1877
hhlist_person1_agemiss . . . 1878
hhlist_person1_obs18 . . . 1879
hhlist_person1_age18 . . . 1880
hhlist_person1_citz . . . 1881
hhlist_person1_hisp . . . 1882

hhlist_person1_obshisp . . . 1883
hhlist_person1_black . . . 1884
hhlist_person1_obsblack . . . 1885
hhlist_person2_eligible . . . 1886
hhlist_person2_whyinelig . . . 1887
hhlist_person2_resid . . . 1889
hhlist_person2_agegrp . . . 1890
hhlist_person2_agefull . . . 1892
hhlist_person2_agemiss . . . 1893
hhlist_person2_obs18 . . . 1894
hhlist_person2_age18 . . . 1895
hhlist_person2_citz . . . 1896
hhlist_person2_hisp . . . 1897
hhlist_person2_obshisp . . . 1898
hhlist_person2_black . . . 1899
hhlist_person2_obsblack . . . 1900
hhlist_person3_eligible . . . 1901
hhlist_person3_whyinelig . . . 1902
hhlist_person3_resid . . . 1903
hhlist_person3_agegrp . . . 1904
hhlist_person3_agefull . . . 1906
hhlist_person3_agemiss . . . 1907
hhlist_person3_obs18 . . . 1908
hhlist_person3_age18 . . . 1909
hhlist_person3_citz . . . 1910
hhlist_person3_hisp . . . 1911
hhlist_person3_obshisp . . . 1912
hhlist_person3_black . . . 1913
hhlist_person3_obsblack . . . 1914
hhlist_person4_eligible . . . 1915
hhlist_person4_whyinelig . . . 1916
hhlist_person4_resid . . . 1917
hhlist_person4_agegrp . . . 1918
hhlist_person4_agefull . . . 1920
hhlist_person4_agemiss . . . 1921
hhlist_person4_obs18 . . . 1922
hhlist_person4_age18 . . . 1923
hhlist_person4_citz . . . 1924
hhlist_person4_hisp . . . 1925
hhlist_person4_obshisp . . . 1926
hhlist_person4_black . . . 1927
hhlist_person4_obsblack . . . 1928
hhlist_person5_eligible . . . 1929
hhlist_person5_whyinelig . . . 1930
hhlist_person5_resid . . . 1931
hhlist_person5_agegrp . . . 1932
hhlist_person5_agefull . . . 1934
hhlist_person5_agemiss . . . 1935
hhlist_person5_obs18 . . . 1936
hhlist_person5_age18 . . . 1937

hhlist_person5_citz . . . 1938
hhlist_person5_hisp . . . 1939
hhlist_person5_obshisp . . . 1940
hhlist_person5_black . . . 1941
hhlist_person5_obsblack . . . 1942
hhlist_person6_eligible . . . 1943
hhlist_person6_whyinelig . . . 1944
hhlist_person6_resid . . . 1945
hhlist_person6_agegrp . . . 1946
hhlist_person6_agefull . . . 1947
hhlist_person6_agemiss . . . 1948
hhlist_person6_obs18 . . . 1949
hhlist_person6_age18 . . . 1950
hhlist_person6_citz . . . 1951
hhlist_person6_hisp . . . 1952
hhlist_person6_obshisp . . . 1953
hhlist_person6_black . . . 1954
hhlist_person6_obsblack . . . 1955
hhlist_person7_eligible . . . 1956
hhlist_person7_whyinelig . . . 1957
hhlist_person7_resid . . . 1958
hhlist_person7_agegrp . . . 1959
hhlist_person7_agefull . . . 1960
hhlist_person7_agemiss . . . 1961
hhlist_person7_obs18 . . . 1962
hhlist_person7_age18 . . . 1963
hhlist_person7_citz . . . 1964
hhlist_person7_hisp . . . 1965
hhlist_person7_obshisp . . . 1966
hhlist_person7_black . . . 1967
hhlist_person7_obsblack . . . 1968
hhlist_iwrid . . . 1969
dwell_completed . . . 1970
dwell_datecompl . . . 1971
dwell_winsign . . . 1972
dwell_winsign_dsc . . . 1973
dwell_frontsign . . . 1974
dwell_frontsign_dsc . . . 1975
dwell_sign_dpc . . . 1976
dwell_sign_rpc . . . 1977
dwell_relig . . . 1978
dwell_religmsg_spec . . . 1979
dwell_secur_mention1 . . . 1980
dwell_secur_mention2 . . . 1982
dwell_secur_mention3 . . . 1984
dwell_secur_mention4 . . . 1986
dwell_secur_mention5 . . . 1988
dwell_structure . . . 1990
dwell_structure_oth . . . 1991
dwell_strctsize . . . 1992

dwell_strctsize_oth . . . 1993
dwell_structresid . . . 1994
dwell_block_urban . . . 1995
dwell_block_resid . . . 1996
dwell_block_resid_oth . . . 1997
dwell_hu_cond_mention1 . . . 1998
dwell_hu_cond_mention2 . . . 2000
dwell_hu_cond_mention3 . . . 2002
dwell_hu_cond_mention4 . . . 2003
dwell_hu_cond_mention5 . . . 2004
dwell_hu_cond_mention6 . . . 2005
dwell_hu_cond_mention7 . . . 2006
dwell_hu_cond_mention8 . . . 2007
dwell_hu_cond_mention9 . . . 2008
dwell_hu_cond_mention10 . . . 2009
dwell_area_cond_mention1 . . . 2010
dwell_area_cond_mention2 . . . 2012
dwell_area_cond_mention3 . . . 2013
dwell_area_cond_mention4 . . . 2014
dwell_area_cond_mention5 . . . 2015
dwell_area_cond_mention6 . . . 2016
dwell_bldg_relcond . . . 2017
dwell_iwrid . . . 2018
recontact_completed . . . 2019
recontact_rname_given . . . 2020
recontact_sampaddr_correct . . . 2021
recontact_newaddr_given . . . 2022
recontact_addr_err . . . 2023
recontact_phone_given . . . 2024
recontact_have2ndphone . . . 2025
recontact_haveemail . . . 2026
recontact_setappt . . . 2027
recontact_setappt_date . . . 2028
recontact_refreason . . . 2029
recontact_c1_name_given . . . 2030
recontact_c1_relshp . . . 2031
recontact_c1_addr_given . . . 2032
recontact_c1_phone_given . . . 2033
recontact_c1_haveothph . . . 2034
recontact_c1_haveemail . . . 2035
recontact_c2_name_given . . . 2036
recontact_c2_relshp . . . 2037
recontact_c2_addr_given . . . 2038
recontact_c2_phone_given . . . 2039
recontact_c2_haveothph . . . 2040
recontact_c2_haveemail . . . 2041
recontact_iwrid . . . 2042
iwrobspre_completed . . . 2043
iwrobspre_skintone . . . 2044
iwrobspre_winsigns . . . 2046

iwrobspre_winsigns_dsc . . . 2047
iwrobspre_frontsigns . . . 2048
iwrobspre_frontsigns_dsc . . . 2049
iwrobspre_sign_dpc . . . 2050
iwrobspre_sign_rpc . . . 2051
iwrobspre_othpresent1 . . . 2052
iwrobspre_othpresent2 . . . 2053
iwrobspre_othpresent3 . . . 2054
iwrobspre_othpresent4 . . . 2055
iwrobspre_othpresent5 . . . 2056
iwrobspre_othpresent6 . . . 2057
iwrobspre_coop . . . 2058
iwrobspre_levinfo . . . 2059
iwrobspre_intell . . . 2060
iwrobspre_suspic . . . 2061
iwrobspre_interest . . . 2062
iwrobspre_sincere . . . 2063
iwrobspre_doubtsnc . . . 2064
iwrobspre_doubtsnc_dsc . . . 2065
iwrobspre_estinc . . . 2066
iwrobspre_estage . . . 2067
iwrobspre_gender . . . 2068
iwrobspre_esteduc . . . 2069
iwrobspre_esteduc_oth . . . 2070
iwrobspre_react1 . . . 2071
iwrobspre_react2 . . . 2073
iwrobspre_react3 . . . 2075
iwrobspre_react4 . . . 2077
iwrobspre_react5 . . . 2079
iwrobspre_react6 . . . 2080
iwrobspre_react7 . . . 2081
iwrobspre_react8 . . . 2082
iwrobspre_react9 . . . 2083
iwrobspre_iwrentry_casi . . . 2084
iwrobspre_iwraid_casi1 . . . 2085
iwrobspre_iwraid_casi2 . . . 2086
iwrobspre_iwraid_casi3 . . . 2087
iwrobspre_datecompl . . . 2088
iwrobspre_iwrid . . . 2089
iwrobspost_completed . . . 2090
iwrobspost_othpresent1 . . . 2091
iwrobspost_othpresent2 . . . 2092
iwrobspost_othpresent3 . . . 2093
iwrobspost_othpresent4 . . . 2094
iwrobspost_othpresent5 . . . 2095
iwrobspost_othpresent6 . . . 2096
iwrobspost_coop . . . 2097
iwrobspost_levinfo . . . 2098
iwrobspost_intell . . . 2099
iwrobspost_suspic . . . 2100

iwrobspost_interest . . . 2101
iwrobspost_sincere . . . 2102
iwrobspost_doubtsnc . . . 2103
iwrobspost_doubtsnc_dsc . . . 2104
iwrobspost_react1 . . . 2105
iwrobspost_react2 . . . 2107
iwrobspost_react3 . . . 2109
iwrobspost_react4 . . . 2111
iwrobspost_react5 . . . 2113
iwrobspost_react6 . . . 2114
iwrobspost_react7 . . . 2115
iwrobspost_react8 . . . 2116
iwrobspost_iwrentry_casi . . . 2117
iwrobspost_iwraid_casi . . . 2118
iwrobspost_datecompl . . . 2119
iwrobspost_iwrid . . . 2120
iwrdesc_pre_completed . . . 2121
iwrdesc_pre_iwrid . . . 2122
iwrdesc_pre_skintone . . . 2123
iwrdesc_pre_born_year . . . 2125
iwrdesc_pre_age . . . 2126
iwrdesc_pre_agegrp . . . 2127
iwrdesc_pre_educ . . . 2128
iwrdesc_pre_educgrp . . . 2129
iwrdesc_pre_gender . . . 2130
iwrdesc_pre_lang . . . 2131
iwrdesc_pre_exper . . . 2132
iwrdesc_pre_exper_grp . . . 2133
iwrdesc_pre_hisp . . . 2134
iwrdesc_pre_race_white . . . 2135
iwrdesc_pre_race_black . . . 2136
iwrdesc_pre_race_other . . . 2137
pre_iwrdsc_race_native . . . 2138
iwrdesc_pre_race_asian . . . 2139
iwrdesc_pre_race_pacif . . . 2140
iwrdesc_pre_race_oth . . . 2141
iwrdesc_pre_pid . . . 2142
iwrdesc_pre_pid_demstr . . . 2143
iwrdesc_pre_pid_repstr . . . 2144
iwrdesc_pre_pid_lean . . . 2145
iwrdesc_post_completed . . . 2146
iwrdesc_post_iwrid . . . 2147
iwrdesc_post_skintone . . . 2148
iwrdesc_post_born_year . . . 2150
iwrdesc_post_age . . . 2151
iwrdesc_post_agegrp . . . 2152
iwrdesc_post_educ . . . 2153
iwrdesc_post_educgrp . . . 2154
iwrdesc_post_gender . . . 2155
iwrdesc_post_lang . . . 2156

iwrdesc_post_exper . . . 2157
iwrdesc_post_exper_grp . . . 2158
iwrdesc_post_hisp . . . 2159
iwrdesc_post_race_white . . . 2160
iwrdesc_post_race_black . . . 2161
iwrdesc_post_race_other . . . 2162
post_iwrdsc_racenative . . . 2163
iwrdesc_post_raceasian . . . 2164
iwrdesc_post_racepacif . . . 2165
iwrdesc_post_race_oth . . . 2166
iwrdesc_post_pid . . . 2167
iwrdesc_post_pid_demstr . . . 2168
iwrdesc_post_pid_repstr . . . 2169
iwrdesc_post_pid_lean . . . 2170
profile_completed . . . 2171
profile_panelentrydate . . . 2172
profile_recruittype . . . 2173
profile_surveyscompleted . . . 2174
profile_headhh . . . 2175
profile_hhsize . . . 2176
profile_housing . . . 2178
profile_homeown . . . 2179
profile_educdegree . . . 2180
profile_educ . . . 2182
profile_region9 . . . 2183
profile_hhchild0to1 . . . 2184
profile_hhchild2to5 . . . 2185
profile_hhchild6to12 . . . 2186
profile_hhchild13to17 . . . 2187
profile_hhchild0to5_x . . . 2188
profile_hhchild6to17_x . . . 2189
profile_hhadults . . . 2190
profile_raceeth . . . 2191
profile_gender . . . 2192
profile_marital . . . 2193
profile_hhincome . . . 2194
profile_empstatus . . . 2195
profile_inetaccess . . . 2196
profile_pid7_x . . . 2197
profile_hhmembers . . . 2198
profile_race_r . . . 2199
profile_racefull_r . . . 2200
profile_censusrace_r . . . 2201
profile_censusracefull_r . . . 2202
profile_hisp . . . 2203
profile_lang . . . 2204
profile_preferlang . . . 2205
profile_primelang . . . 2206
profile_spanspeak . . . 2207
profile_spanread . . . 2208

profile_engspeak . . . 2209
profile_engread . . . 2210
profile_race1 . . . 2211
profile_race2 . . . 2212
profile_race3 . . . 2213
profile_racemost . . . 2214
profile_racemost_full . . . 2215
profile_spanishsurv . . . 2216
profile_trynewprod . . . 2217
profile_newbrands . . . 2218
profile_looknewprod . . . 2219
profile_trynew1st . . . 2220
profile_tellothersnew . . . 2221
profile_hhshopamt . . . 2222
profile_rparentguard . . . 2223
profile_emptynext . . . 2224
profile_everparent . . . 2225
profile_hhlandline . . . 2226
profile_hhcellphone . . . 2227
profile_phoneuse . . . 2228
profile_computers . . . 2229
profile_inetcomputers . . . 2230
profile_inet_dialup . . . 2231
profile_inet_dsl . . . 2232
profile_inet_cable . . . 2233
profile_inet_bband . . . 2234
profile_inet_satell . . . 2235
profile_inet_fiboptics . . . 2236
profile_inet_tohbband . . . 2237
profile_inet_wireless . . . 2238
profile_inet_other . . . 2239
profile_birthmonth . . . 2240
profile_birthday . . . 2241
profile_birthyear . . . 2242
profile_rpet_cat . . . 2243
profile_rpet_dog . . . 2244
profile_rpet_fish . . . 2245
profile_rpet_bird . . . 2246
profile_rpet_gerbil . . . 2247
profile_rpet_reptile . . . 2248
profile_rpet_horse . . . 2249
profile_rpet_other . . . 2250
profile_oth_hhpet . . . 2251
profile_rstudent . . . 2252
profile_dualincome . . . 2253
profile_numjobs . . . 2254
profile_fulltimejob . . . 2255
profile_jobind . . . 2256
profile_ind_foodmfg . . . 2257
profile_ind_bevtob . . . 2258

profile_ind_pharm . . . 2259
profile_ind_healthprod . . . 2260
profile_ind_hhprod . . . 2261
profile_ind_advert . . . 2262
profile_ind_healthcare . . . 2263
profile_ind_mktresearch . . . 2264
profile_ind_marketing . . . 2265
profile_ind_pubrel . . . 2266
profile_ind_broadcast . . . 2267
profile_ind_finance . . . 2268
profile_ind_retail . . . 2269
profile_ind_foodbev . . . 2270
profile_occ1current . . . 2271
profile_occ2current . . . 2272
profile_occ3current . . . 2273
profile_employersize . . . 2275
profile_occ1recent . . . 2276
profile_occ2recent . . . 2277
profile_occ3recent . . . 2278
profile_hhpurchdec . . . 2280
paprofile_lang . . . 2281
paprofile_righttrack . . . 2282
paprofile_mip . . . 2283
paprofile_mip_oth . . . 2284
paprofile_regist . . . 2285
paprofile_everreg . . . 2286
paprofile_vote2008_pres . . . 2287
paprofile_howvote2008_pres . . . 2288
paprofile_pres2008_oth . . . 2289
paprofile_vote2010_congr . . . 2290
paprofile_howvote2010_congr . . . 2291
paprofile_pid . . . 2292
paprofile_othpid . . . 2293
paprofile_pidstrong_rep . . . 2294
paprofile_pidstrong_dem . . . 2295
paprofile_pidcloser . . . 2296
paprofile_libcon_self . . . 2297
paprofile_libcon_pres . . . 2298
paprofile_favrate_pres . . . 2299
paprofile_presperf . . . 2300
paprofile_presperf_econ . . . 2301
paprofile_presperf_deficit . . . 2302
paprofile_presperf_healthc . . . 2303
paprofile_presperf_taxes . . . 2304
paprofile_presperf_educ . . . 2305
paprofile_presperf_forgnpol . . . 2306
paprofile_presperf_racerel . . . 2307
paprofile_presperf_envir . . . 2308
paprofile_presperf_immig . . . 2309
paprofile_presperf_terror . . . 2310

paprofile_presperf_afghan . . . 2311
paprofile_presperf_jobs . . . 2312
paprofile_presperf_energy . . . 2313
paprofile_prestime_forgnpol . . . 2314
paprofile_prestime_economic . . . 2315
paprofile_prestime_domestic . . . 2316
paprofile_prestime_homesec . . . 2317
paprofile_interestpolit . . . 2318
paprofile_freqpolit_work . . . 2319
paprofile_freqpolit_church . . . 2320
paprofile_freqpolit_friends . . . 2321
paprofile_freqpolit_family . . . 2322
paprofile_freqpolit_blog . . . 2323
paprofile_freqpolit_socmedia . . . 2324
paprofile_politinfo_radio . . . 2325
paprofile_politinfo_inetnews . . . 2326
paprofile_politinfo_prntnwsp . . . 2327
paprofile_politinfo_tv . . . 2328
paprofile_politinfo_mags . . . 2329
paprofile_politinfo_blogs . . . 2330
paprofile_politinfo_socmedia . . . 2331
paprofile_ancestry_1 . . . 2332
paprofile_ancestry_2 . . . 2333
paprofile_ancestry_3 . . . 2334
paprofile_ancestry_4 . . . 2335
paprofile_ancestry_5 . . . 2336
paprofile_rlang_1 . . . 2337
paprofile_rlang_2 . . . 2338
paprofile_rlang_3 . . . 2339
paprofile_otherslang_1 . . . 2340
paprofile_otherslang_2 . . . 2341
paprofile_otherslang_3 . . . 2342
paprofile_borncit . . . 2343
paprofile_whencit . . . 2344
paprofile_priorcit . . . 2345
paprofile_multcit . . . 2346
paprofile_2ndcit . . . 2347
paprofile_orient_r . . . 2348
paprofile_orient_oth . . . 2349
paprofile_transgender . . . 2350
paprofile_unionmem . . . 2351
paprofile_aarp . . . 2352
paprofile_milit . . . 2353
paprofile_milit_family . . . 2354
paprofile_religion . . . 2355
paprofile_religionfull . . . 2356
paprofile_religion_oth . . . 2358
paprofile_bornagain . . . 2359
paprofile_jewish . . . 2360
paprofile_jewishparent . . . 2361

paprofile_attend . . . 2362
paprofile_orgservice . . . 2363
paprofile_orgveterans . . . 2364
paprofile_orgrelig . . . 2365
paprofile_orgsenior . . . 2366
paprofile_orgwomen . . . 2367
paprofile_orgpolitiss . . . 2368
paprofile_orgcivic . . . 2369
paprofile_orgschool . . . 2370
paprofile_orgyouth . . . 2371
paprofile_orgneighb . . . 2372
paprofile_orgethnic . . . 2373
paprofile_attendpta . . . 2374
paprofile_attendcommun . . . 2375
paprofile_donateblood . . . 2376
paprofile_givecharity . . . 2377
paprofile_workcharity . . . 2378
paprofile_rally . . . 2379
paprofile_govtoffic . . . 2380
paprofile_prescamp . . . 2381
paprofile_othcamp . . . 2382
paprofile_moneyprescamp . . . 2383
paprofile_moneyothcamp . . . 2384
paprofile_workcommuniss . . . 2385
paprofile_workcommbd . . . 2386
paprofile_lettertonewsp . . . 2387
paprofile_messagebd . . . 2388
paprofile_publicofc . . . 2389
paprofile_1stcamp . . . 2390
paprofile_active_teapty . . . 2391
paprofile_active_envir . . . 2392
paprofile_active_womrts . . . 2393
paprofile_active_equrts . . . 2394
paprofile_active_righttolife . . . 2395
paprofile_active_peace . . . 2396
paprofile_active_lgbt . . . 2397
paprofile_active_occupy . . . 2398
paprofile_recent_teapty . . . 2399
paprofile_recent_envir . . . 2400
paprofile_recent_womrts . . . 2401
paprofile_recent_equrts . . . 2402
paprofile_recent_righttolife . . . 2403
paprofile_recent_peace . . . 2404
paprofile_recent_lgbt . . . 2405
paprofile_recent_occupy . . . 2406
paprofile_moneyenvir . . . 2407
paprofile_timeenvir . . . 2408
paprofile_recyclepaper . . . 2409
paprofile_recyclecans . . . 2410
paprofile_recycleglass . . . 2411

paprofile_recycleplast . . . 2412
paprofile_prodrecycled . . . 2413
paprofile_energycut . . . 2414
paprofile_replacebulbs . . . 2415
paprofile_otherenvir . . . 2416
paprofile_engytaxcredit . . . 2417
paprofile_selfenvironlst . . . 2418
paprofile_hhguns . . . 2419
paprofile_pistol . . . 2420
paprofile_shotgun . . . 2421
paprofile_rifle . . . 2422
paprofile_othergun . . . 2423
paprofile_othergun_type . . . 2424
paprofile_personalgun . . . 2425
paprofile_comments . . . 2426
race2012_sen . . . 2427
race2012_gov . . . 2428
typerace2012_hse . . . 2429
typerace2012_sen . . . 2430
typerace2012_gov . . . 2431
cand_hse_dem_name . . . 2432
cand_hse_dem_gend . . . 2433
cand_hse_dem_code . . . 2434
cand_hse_rep_name . . . 2435
cand_hse_rep_gend . . . 2436
cand_hse_rep_code . . . 2437
cand_hse_oth_name . . . 2438
cand_hse_oth_gend . . . 2439
cand_hse_oth_code . . . 2440
cand_hse_oth_pty . . . 2441
cand_sen_dem_name . . . 2442
cand_sen_dem_gend . . . 2443
cand_sen_dem_code . . . 2444
cand_sen_rep_name . . . 2445
cand_sen_rep_gend . . . 2446
cand_sen_rep_code . . . 2447
cand_sen_oth_name . . . 2448
cand_sen_oth_gend . . . 2449
cand_sen_oth_code . . . 2450
cand_sen_oth_pty . . . 2451
sen_norace_srsen_name . . . 2452
sen_norace_srsen_pty . . . 2453
sen_norace_srsen_gend . . . 2454
sen_norace_srsen_code . . . 2455
sen_norace_jrsen_name . . . 2456
sen_norace_jrsen_pty . . . 2457
sen_norace_jrsen_gend . . . 2458
sen_norace_jrsen_code . . . 2459
sen_race_sennotup_name . . . 2460
sen_race_sennotup_pty . . . 2461

sen_race_sennotup_gend . . . 2462
sen_race_sennotup_code . . . 2463
cand_gov_dem_name . . . 2464
cand_gov_dem_gend . . . 2465
cand_gov_dem_code . . . 2466
cand_gov_rep_name . . . 2467
cand_gov_rep_gend . . . 2468
cand_gov_rep_code . . . 2469
cand_gov_oth_name . . . 2470
cand_gov_oth_gend . . . 2471
cand_gov_oth_code . . . 2472
cand_gov_oth_pty . . . 2473
hserep_before_electn_name . . . 2474
hserep_before_electn_code . . . 2475
hserep_before_electn_pty . . . 2476
hserep_before_electn_gend . . . 2477
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_01 . . . 2478
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_02 . . . 2479
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_03 . . . 2480
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_04 . . . 2481
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_05 . . . 2482
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_06 . . . 2483
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_07 . . . 2484
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_08 . . . 2485
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_09 . . . 2486
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_10 . . . 2487
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_11 . . . 2488
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_12 . . . 2489
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_13 . . . 2490
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_14 . . . 2491
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_15 . . . 2492
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_16 . . . 2493
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_17 . . . 2494
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_18 . . . 2495
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_19 . . . 2496
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_20 . . . 2497
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_21 . . . 2498
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_22 . . . 2499
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_23 . . . 2500
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_24 . . . 2501
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_25 . . . 2502
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_26 . . . 2503
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_27 . . . 2504
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_28 . . . 2505
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_29 . . . 2506
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_30 . . . 2507
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_31 . . . 2508
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_32 . . . 2509
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_33 . . . 2510
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_34 . . . 2511

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_35 . . . 2512
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_36 . . . 2513
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_37 . . . 2514
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_38 . . . 2515
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_39 . . . 2516
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_40 . . . 2517
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_41 . . . 2518
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_42 . . . 2519
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_43 . . . 2520
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_44 . . . 2521
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_45 . . . 2522
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_46 . . . 2523
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_47 . . . 2524
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_48 . . . 2525
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_49 . . . 2526
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_50 . . . 2527
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_51 . . . 2528
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_52 . . . 2529
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_53 . . . 2530
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_54 . . . 2531
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_55 . . . 2532
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_56 . . . 2533
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_57 . . . 2534
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_58 . . . 2535
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_59 . . . 2536
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_60 . . . 2537
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_61 . . . 2538
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_62 . . . 2539
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_63 . . . 2540
randordq_medsrc_tvprog_64 . . . 2541
randord_medsrc_tvprog01_scrn . . . 2542
randord_medsrc_tvprog02_scrn . . . 2543
randord_medsrc_tvprog03_scrn . . . 2544
randord_medsrc_tvprog04_scrn . . . 2545
randord_medsrc_tvprog05_scrn . . . 2546
randord_medsrc_tvprog06_scrn . . . 2547
randord_medsrc_tvprog07_scrn . . . 2548
randord_medsrc_tvprog08_scrn . . . 2549
randord_medsrc_tvprog09_scrn . . . 2550
randord_medsrc_tvprog10_scrn . . . 2551
randord_medsrc_tvprog11_scrn . . . 2552
randord_medsrc_tvprog12_scrn . . . 2553
randord_medsrc_tvprog13_scrn . . . 2554
randord_medsrc_tvprog14_scrn . . . 2555
randord_medsrc_tvprog15_scrn . . . 2556
randord_medsrc_tvprog16_scrn . . . 2557
randord_medsrc_tvprog17_scrn . . . 2558
randord_medsrc_tvprog18_scrn . . . 2559
randord_medsrc_tvprog19_scrn . . . 2560
randord_medsrc_tvprog20_scrn . . . 2561

randord_medsrc_tvprog21_scrn . . . 2562
randord_medsrc_tvprog22_scrn . . . 2563
randord_medsrc_tvprog23_scrn . . . 2564
randord_medsrc_tvprog24_scrn . . . 2565
randord_medsrc_tvprog25_scrn . . . 2566
randord_medsrc_tvprog26_scrn . . . 2567
randord_medsrc_tvprog27_scrn . . . 2568
randord_medsrc_tvprog28_scrn . . . 2569
randord_medsrc_tvprog29_scrn . . . 2570
randord_medsrc_tvprog30_scrn . . . 2571
randord_medsrc_tvprog31_scrn . . . 2572
randord_medsrc_tvprog32_scrn . . . 2573
randord_medsrc_tvprog33_scrn . . . 2574
randord_medsrc_tvprog34_scrn . . . 2575
randord_medsrc_tvprog35_scrn . . . 2576
randord_medsrc_tvprog36_scrn . . . 2577
randord_medsrc_tvprog37_scrn . . . 2578
randord_medsrc_tvprog38_scrn . . . 2579
randord_medsrc_tvprog39_scrn . . . 2580
randord_medsrc_tvprog40_scrn . . . 2581
randord_medsrc_tvprog41_scrn . . . 2582
randord_medsrc_tvprog42_scrn . . . 2583
randord_medsrc_tvprog43_scrn . . . 2584
randord_medsrc_tvprog44_scrn . . . 2585
randord_medsrc_tvprog45_scrn . . . 2586
randord_medsrc_tvprog46_scrn . . . 2587
randord_medsrc_tvprog47_scrn . . . 2588
randord_medsrc_tvprog48_scrn . . . 2589
randord_medsrc_tvprog49_scrn . . . 2590
randord_medsrc_tvprog50_scrn . . . 2591
randord_medsrc_tvprog51_scrn . . . 2592
randord_medsrc_tvprog52_scrn . . . 2593
randord_medsrc_tvprog53_scrn . . . 2594
randord_medsrc_tvprog54_scrn . . . 2595
randord_medsrc_tvprog55_scrn . . . 2596
randord_medsrc_tvprog56_scrn . . . 2597
randord_medsrc_tvprog57_scrn . . . 2598
randord_medsrc_tvprog58_scrn . . . 2599
randord_medsrc_tvprog59_scrn . . . 2600
randord_medsrc_tvprog60_scrn . . . 2601
randord_medsrc_tvprog61_scrn . . . 2602
randord_medsrc_tvprog62_scrn . . . 2603
randord_medsrc_tvprog63_scrn . . . 2604
randord_medsrc_tvprog64_scrn . . . 2605
randordq_medsrc_radio_01 . . . 2606
randordq_medsrc_radio_02 . . . 2607
randordq_medsrc_radio_03 . . . 2608
randordq_medsrc_radio_04 . . . 2609
randordq_medsrc_radio_05 . . . 2610
randordq_medsrc_radio_06 . . . 2611

randordq_medsrc_radio_07 . . . 2612
randordq_medsrc_radio_08 . . . 2613
randordq_medsrc_radio_09 . . . 2614
randordq_medsrc_radio_10 . . . 2615
randordq_medsrc_radio_11 . . . 2616
randordq_medsrc_radio_12 . . . 2617
randordq_medsrc_radio_13 . . . 2618
randordq_medsrc_radio_14 . . . 2619
randordq_medsrc_radio_15 . . . 2620
randordq_medsrc_websites_01 . . . 2621
randordq_medsrc_websites_02 . . . 2622
randordq_medsrc_websites_03 . . . 2624
randordq_medsrc_websites_04 . . . 2626
randordq_medsrc_websites_05 . . . 2628
randordq_medsrc_websites_06 . . . 2630
randordq_medsrc_websites_07 . . . 2632
randordq_medsrc_websites_08 . . . 2634
randordq_medsrc_websites_09 . . . 2636
randordq_medsrc_websites_10 . . . 2638
randordq_medsrc_websites_11 . . . 2640
randordq_medsrc_websites_12 . . . 2642
randordq_medsrc_websites_13 . . . 2644
randordq_medsrc_websites_14 . . . 2646
randordq_medsrc_websites_15 . . . 2648
randordq_medsrc_newsp_01 . . . 2649
randordq_medsrc_newsp_02 . . . 2650
randordq_medsrc_newsp_03 . . . 2651
randordq_medsrc_newsp_04 . . . 2652
randordq_medsrc_newsp_05 . . . 2653
randordq_medsrc_newsp_06 . . . 2654
randordq_medsrc_newsp_07 . . . 2655
randordq_medsrc_newsp_08 . . . 2656
randordq_medsrc_newsp_09 . . . 2657
randordq_medsrc_newsp_10 . . . 2658
randordq_medsrc_newsp_11 . . . 2659
randordq_medsrc_newsp_12 . . . 2660
randordq_medsrc_newsp_13 . . . 2661
randordq_medsrc_newsp_14 . . . 2662
randordq_medsrc_newsp_15 . . . 2663
randsplice_revisedstandard . . . 2664
randopts_fwdrev . . . 2665
randordq_cands_parties . . . 2666
randord_vote_ptynames . . . 2667
randordq_web_pretherms . . . 2668
randordq_affects . . . 2669
rand_place_likelypercent . . . 2670
randordq_ecblame_pres . . . 2671
randordq_ecblame_prevpres . . . 2672
randordq_ecblame_congdem . . . 2673
randordq_ecblame_congrep . . . 2674

randordq_ecblame_wallstr . . . 2675
randordq_ecblame_consumers . . . 2676
randordq_ecblame_lenders . . . 2677
randord_econperf_ptynames . . . 2678
randsplice_dutychoice . . . 2679
randord_pid_ptynames . . . 2680
randordq_trait_moral . . . 2681
randordq_trait_strong . . . 2682
randordq_trait_cares . . . 2683
randordq_trait_know . . . 2684
randordq_trait_intell . . . 2685
randordq_trait_honest . . . 2686
randsplice_fedspendtxt . . . 2687
randordq_fedspend_socsec . . . 2688
randordq_fedspend_pubsch . . . 2689
randordq_fedspend_scitech . . . 2690
randordq_fedspend_crime . . . 2691
randordq_fedspend_welfare . . . 2692
randordq_fedspend_child . . . 2693
randordq_fedspend_poor . . . 2694
randordq_fedspend_envir . . . 2695
randopts_pk_medicare . . . 2696
randopts_pk_spendleast . . . 2697
randord_womenperf_ptynames . . . 2698
randordq_ftf_thermpo_pcpcsp . . . 2699
randordq_web_thermpopc . . . 2700
randordq_web_thermpopcsp . . . 2701
randordq_thermpo_hscand . . . 2702
randordq_web_thermpo_sencand . . . 2703
randordq_web_thermpo_senatrs . . . 2704
randordq_web_thermpo_vpc . . . 2705
randordq_grptherm_xfund . . . 2706
randordq_grptherm_cath . . . 2707
randordq_grptherm_feminst . . . 2708
randordq_grptherm_fedgov . . . 2709
randordq_grptherm_lib . . . 2710
randordq_grptherm_midclass . . . 2711
randordq_grptherm_labor . . . 2712
randordq_grptherm_poor . . . 2713
randordq_grptherm_milit . . . 2714
randordq_grptherm_bigbusn . . . 2715
randordq_grptherm_welfare . . . 2716
randordq_grptherm_conserv . . . 2717
randordq_grptherm_wkclass . . . 2718
randordq_grptherm_suprct . . . 2719
randordq_grptherm_gaylesb . . . 2720
randordq_grptherm_congress . . . 2721
randordq_grptherm_rich . . . 2722
randordq_grptherm_muslim . . . 2723
randordq_grptherm_xtian . . . 2724

randordq_grptherm_atheist . . . 2725
randordq_grptherm_mormon . . . 2726
randordq_grptherm_teapty . . . 2727
randord_mip_ptynames . . . 2728
randordq_deficit_inctax . . . 2729
randordq_deficit_medvouch . . . 2730
randordq_deficit_corptax . . . 2731
randordq_deficit_cutmilit . . . 2732
randordq_deficit_fedemp . . . 2733
randordq_deficit_cutgovt . . . 2734
randordq_ftf_abort_pc . . . 2735
randordq_abort_healthnonfatl . . . 2736
randordq_abort_healthfatal . . . 2737
randordq_abort_incest . . . 2738
randordq_abort_rape . . . 2739
randordq_abort_defect . . . 2740
randordq_abort_financial . . . 2741
randordq_abort_gendpref . . . 2742
randord_factor_pcnamestxt . . . 2743
randordq_factor_rpcreligion . . . 2744
randordq_factor_dpcrace . . . 2746
randordq_factor_hcarelaw . . . 2748
randordq_factor_economy . . . 2750
randordq_factor_foreignpol . . . 2752
randordq_factor_dpcreligion . . . 2754
randordq_factor_rpcwealth . . . 2756
randordq_factor_dpcparty . . . 2758
randordq_factor_rpcparty . . . 2760
randopts_pk_secytreas . . . 2762
randopts_pk_pty2ndhse . . . 2763
randopts_pk_secyun . . . 2764
randordq_casitherm_asianam . . . 2765
randordq_casitherm_hisp . . . 2766
randordq_casitherm_black . . . 2767
randordq_casitherm_illegal . . . 2768
randordq_casitherm_white . . . 2769
randordq_stereogroups . . . 2770
randordq_discrim_black . . . 2771
randordq_discrim_hisp . . . 2772
randordq_discrim_white . . . 2773
randordq_discrim_gay . . . 2774
randordq_discrim_women . . . 2775
randordq_stereorelig . . . 2776
invited_inetrecontact2013 . . . 2777

version

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Release Version

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
ANES2012TimeSeries_version20150528	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
ANES2012TimeSeries_version20150528	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

caseid

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Case ID

Unique to each respondent.

From: 1

To: 5914

weight_ftf

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Post-stratified weight for face-to-face cases

Unweighted Summary

	FTF	Web	Full
Min.	0.0391	0.0000	0.0000
1st Qu.	0.2747	0.0000	0.0000
Median	0.6679	0.0000	0.0000
Mean	1.0000	0.0000	0.3473
3rd Qu.	1.4650	0.0000	0.3064
Max.	4.9910	0.0000	4.9910
Std Dev	0.9553	0.0000	0.7373

weight_web

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Post-stratified weight for web cases

Unweighted Summary

	FTF	Web	Full
Min.	0.0000	0.0212	0.0000
1st Qu.	0.0000	0.3820	0.0000
Median	0.0000	0.7256	0.3664
Mean	0.0000	1.0000	0.6527
3rd Qu.	0.0000	1.3320	0.9554
Max.	0.0000	4.5790	4.5790
Std Dev	0.0000	0.8668	0.8468

weight_full

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series Post-stratified weight for full sample

Unweighted Summary

	FTF	Web	Full
Min.	0.0391	0.0212	0.0212
1st Qu.	0.2747	0.3820	0.3504
Median	0.6679	0.7256	0.7133
Mean	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
3rd Qu.	1.4650	1.3320	1.3790
Max.	4.9910	4.5790	4.9910
Std Dev	0.9553	0.8668	0.8984

psu_full

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series sample PSU for full sample

3984 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
84	61	0	61
51	56	0	56
100	54	0	54
38	45	0	45
28	43	0	43
72	39	0	39
108	39	0	39
98	38	0	38
107	32	0	32
109	32	0	32

psu_ftf

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series sample PSU for FTF sample component

124 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
84	61	0	61
51	56	0	56
100	54	0	54
38	45	0	45
28	43	0	43
72	39	0	39
108	39	0	39
98	38	0	38
107	32	0	32
109	32	0	32

strata_full

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series sample strata for full sample

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	78	0	78
2	246	0	246
3	276	0	276
4	104	0	104
5	445	0	445
6	90	0	90
7	320	0	320
8	137	0	137
9	358	0	358
10	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	3.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.2
2	12.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.1
3	13.4	14.4	0.0	0.0	4.7	5.0
4	5.1	8.2	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.8
5	21.7	21.4	0.0	0.0	7.5	7.4
6	4.4	5.7	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.0
7	15.6	10.1	0.0	0.0	5.4	3.5
8	6.7	8.2	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.8
9	17.4	13.9	0.0	0.0	6.1	4.8
10	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

strata_ftf

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series sample strata for FTF sample component

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	78	0	78
2	246	0	246
3	276	0	276
4	104	0	104
5	445	0	445
6	90	0	90
7	320	0	320
8	137	0	137
9	358	0	358

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	3.8	6.3			3.8	6.3
2	12.0	11.8			12.0	11.8
3	13.4	14.4			13.4	14.4
4	5.1	8.2			5.1	8.2
5	21.7	21.4			21.7	21.4
6	4.4	5.7			4.4	5.7
7	15.6	10.1			15.6	10.1
8	6.7	8.2			6.7	8.2
9	17.4	13.9			17.4	13.9

ftf_oversample

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series FTF Oversample Case

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No oversample	1413	0	1413
1. Hispanic oversample	254	0	254
2. AA oversample	324	0	324
3. Both Hispanic and AA oversample	63	0	63
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No oversample	68.8	88.4	0.0	0.0	23.9	30.7
1. Hispanic oversample	12.4	3.8	0.0	0.0	4.3	1.3
2. AA oversample	15.8	4.5	0.0	0.0	5.5	1.6
3. Both Hispanic and AA oversample	3.1	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

wave_completions

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series number of waves completed

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes (pre-election and post-election interviews completed)	1929	3581	5510
2. No, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
3. No, post survey data deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes (pre-election and post-election interviews completed)	93.9	94.3	92.8	92.4	93.2	93.1
2. No, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
3. No, post survey data deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mode

Label: ANES 2012 Time Series interview mode

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. FTF (face-to-face) mode	2054	0	2054
2. Internet mode	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. FTF (face-to-face) mode	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
2. Internet mode	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

gend_gendobs

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: INTERVIEWER: Is R male or female (Observation)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	886	0	886
2. Female	1168	0	1168
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	43.1	48.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	16.7
2. Female	56.9	52.0	0.0	0.0	19.7	18.1
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

gender_respondent_x

Label: SUMMARY: Gender of Respondent for both FTF and Web modes

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	886	1959	2845
2. Female	1168	1901	3069

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	43.1	48.0	50.8	47.9	48.1	47.9
2. Female	56.9	52.0	49.2	52.1	51.9	52.1

interest_attention

Label:	How often does R pay attn to politics and elections
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-1
Description:	Campaign interest and engagement
Question:	How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	358	667	1025
2. Most of the time	583	1377	1960
3. About half the time	386	861	1247
4. Some of the time	660	867	1527
5. Never	65	87	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	17.4	19.7	17.3	14.8	17.3	16.5
2. Most of the time	28.4	29.7	35.7	32.2	33.1	31.3
3. About half the time	18.8	18.4	22.3	23.2	21.1	21.6
4. Some of the time	32.1	29.1	22.5	27.2	25.8	27.8
5. Never	3.2	3.0	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

interest_following

Label:	Interested in following campaigns standard
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-2
Description:	Campaign interest and engagement
Question:	Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been [VERY MUCH interested, SOMEWHAT interested or NOT MUCH interested/ NOT MUCH interested, SOMEWHAT interested or VERY MUCH interested] in the political campaigns so far this year?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very much interested	805	1749	2554
2. Somewhat interested	866	1594	2460
3. Not much interested	382	513	895
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very much interested	39.2	41.1	45.3	40.8	43.2	40.9
2. Somewhat interested	42.2	39.6	41.3	43.9	41.6	42.4
3. Not much interested	18.6	19.2	13.3	15.2	15.1	16.6
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

interest_wherevote

Label:	Does R know where to go to vote in neighborhood
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-4
Description:	Campaign interest and engagement
Question:	Do you happen to know where people who live in your neighborhood go to vote?
Web Logic:	Online, do not display response option 3.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1258	3332	4590
2. No	716	525	1241
3. Vote by mail only (VOL)	44	0	44
-8. Don't know	36	0	36
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	61.2	66.1	86.3	81.3	77.6	76.1
2. No	34.9	29.6	13.6	18.6	21.0	22.4
3. Vote by mail only (VOL)	2.1	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.9
-8. Don't know	1.8	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

interest_voted2008

Label:	Did R vote for President in 2008
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-8
Description:	Campaign interest and engagement
Question:	In 2008 Barack Obama ran on the Democratic ticket against John McCain for the Republicans. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted	1371	3214	4585
2. No, didn't vote	663	644	1307
-8. Don't know	18	0	18
-9. Refused	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted	66.7	69.4	83.3	78.2	77.5	75.1
2. No, didn't vote	32.3	29.5	16.7	21.8	22.1	24.5
-8. Don't know	0.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1

interest_whovote2008

Label:	Recall of last (2008) Presidential vote choice
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20-9
Description:	Campaign interest and engagement
Question:	Which one did you vote for?
Universe:	IF R SAYS VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 2008:
Logic:	Ask if CAMPINT_PRESVTLAST=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box for option 5 in place of □SPECIFY.□
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Barack obama	923	1781	2704
2. John mccain	380	1322	1702
5. Other (SPECIFY)	17	97	114
-1. Inapplicable	683	646	1329
-8. Don't know	9	0	9
-9. Refused	42	14	56

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Barack obama	44.9	36.0	46.1	42.4	45.7	40.2
2. John mccain	18.5	30.0	34.2	33.1	28.8	32.0
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.8	0.8	2.5	2.3	1.9	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	33.3	30.6	16.7	21.8	22.5	24.9
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	2.0	2.2	0.4	0.4	0.9	1.0

interest_whovote2008_oth

Label: PRE: Other Specify- Recall of last (2008) Presidential vote choice

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prmedia_useinet

Label:	HH Internet use
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-5
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	Do you or anyone in this household use the Internet at any location?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1760	0	1760
2. No	291	0	291
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-8. Don't know	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	85.7	89.1	0.0	0.0	29.8	30.9
2. No	14.2	10.8	0.0	0.0	4.9	3.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

prmedia_wkinews

Label:	Days in typical week review news on internet
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-8
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	During a typical week, how many days do you watch, read, or listen to news on the Internet, not including sports?
Universe:	IF ANYONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD USES THE INTERNET AT ANY LOCATION.
Logic:	Ask if PRMEDIA_USEINET=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, disregard the question logic/universe condition and ask this of everyone.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None	497	738	1235
1. One day	170	292	462
2. Two days	176	267	443
3. Three days	154	352	506
4. Four days	95	243	338
5. Five days	174	497	671
6. Six days	53	301	354
7. Seven days	438	1167	1605
-1. Inapplicable	294	0	294
-4. Error	2	0	2
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None	24.2	26.2	19.1	21.3	20.9	23.0
1. One day	8.3	8.2	7.6	8.3	7.8	8.3
2. Two days	8.6	7.6	6.9	7.7	7.5	7.7
3. Three days	7.5	6.8	9.1	9.9	8.6	8.9
4. Four days	4.6	3.9	6.3	6.7	5.7	5.8
5. Five days	8.5	8.6	12.9	12.5	11.3	11.1
6. Six days	2.6	3.0	7.8	7.8	6.0	6.1
7. Seven days	21.3	24.7	30.2	25.6	27.1	25.3
-1. Inapplicable	14.3	10.9	0.0	0.0	5.0	3.8
-4. Error	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prmedia_atinews

Label:	Attention to internet news
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-15
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the Internet? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL /NONE AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF NUMBER OF DAYS ATTENDING NEWS ON INTERNET IS MORE THAN 0:
Logic:	Ask if PRMEDIA_WKINEWS > 0, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	146	349	495
2. A lot	177	559	736
3. A moderate amount	388	1038	1426
4. A little	427	890	1317
5. None at all	122	283	405
-1. Inapplicable	794	741	1535

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	7.1	8.0	9.0	7.7	8.4	7.8
2. A lot	8.6	8.8	14.5	12.8	12.4	11.4
3. A moderate amount	18.9	18.6	26.9	25.7	24.1	23.2
4. A little	20.8	21.7	23.1	23.8	22.3	23.1
5. None at all	5.9	5.7	7.3	8.5	6.8	7.5
-1. Inapplicable	38.7	37.2	19.2	21.5	26.0	26.9

prmedia_wktvnws

Label:	Days in typical week watch news on TV
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-16
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	During a typical week, how many days do you watch national news on TV, not including sports?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None	308	583	891
1. One day	164	321	485
2. Two days	212	334	546
3. Three days	195	342	537
4. Four days	132	295	427
5. Five days	289	566	855
6. Six days	107	339	446
7. Seven days	644	1077	1721
-4. Error	2	0	2
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None	15.0	16.6	15.1	17.6	15.1	17.2
1. One day	8.0	8.6	8.3	9.1	8.2	9.0
2. Two days	10.3	9.7	8.7	9.8	9.2	9.8
3. Three days	9.5	7.4	8.9	9.6	9.1	8.8
4. Four days	6.4	6.3	7.6	7.9	7.2	7.4
5. Five days	14.1	12.5	14.7	13.7	14.5	13.3
6. Six days	5.2	5.2	8.8	8.0	7.5	7.0
7. Seven days	31.4	33.6	27.9	24.2	29.1	27.5
-4. Error	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prmedia_attvnews

Label:	Attention to TV news
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-18
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on TV? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL / NONE AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF NUMBER OF DAYS R WATCHES NEWS ON TV IS MORE THAN 0:
Logic:	Ask if PRMEDIA_WKTVNEWS > 0, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	260	591	851
2. A lot	361	752	1113
3. A moderate amount	582	1154	1736
4. A little	467	704	1171
5. None at all	70	71	141
-1. Inapplicable	311	586	897
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	12.7	14.1	15.3	13.0	14.4	13.4
2. A lot	17.6	18.3	19.5	17.4	18.8	17.7
3. A moderate amount	28.3	26.9	29.9	30.0	29.4	28.9
4. A little	22.7	21.3	18.2	19.9	19.8	20.3
5. None at all	3.4	2.6	1.8	1.9	2.4	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	15.1	16.7	15.2	17.7	15.2	17.4
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

prmedia_wkpaprnws

Label:	Days in typical wk read news in print newspaper
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-19
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	During a typical week, how many days do you read news in a printed newspaper, not including sports?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None	960	1473	2433
1. One day	325	586	911
2. Two days	189	359	548
3. Three days	144	258	402
4. Four days	68	143	211
5. Five days	100	203	303
6. Six days	32	156	188
7. Seven days	231	679	910
-4. Error	4	0	4
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None	46.7	42.7	38.2	42.2	41.1	42.4
1. One day	15.8	16.5	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.7
2. Two days	9.2	8.6	9.3	9.5	9.3	9.2
3. Three days	7.0	6.3	6.7	6.8	6.8	6.6
4. Four days	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.3
5. Five days	4.9	4.3	5.3	5.1	5.1	4.8
6. Six days	1.6	1.4	4.0	3.3	3.2	2.7
7. Seven days	11.2	16.5	17.6	14.3	15.4	15.1
-4. Error	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prmedia_atpprnews

Label:	Attention to printed newspaper news
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-21
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	How much attention do you pay to news about national politics in printed newspapers? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL / NONE AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF NUMBER OF DAYS R READS PRINT NEWSPAPER >0:
Logic:	Ask if PRMEDIA_WKPAPRNWS > 0, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	98	269	367
2. A lot	161	450	611
3. A moderate amount	344	812	1156
4. A little	368	729	1097
5. None at all	118	124	242
-1. Inapplicable	965	1476	2441

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	4.8	5.3	7.0	5.9	6.2	5.7
2. A lot	7.8	8.3	11.7	10.0	10.3	9.4
3. A moderate amount	16.7	18.5	21.0	19.6	19.5	19.3
4. A little	17.9	18.8	18.9	18.9	18.5	18.8
5. None at all	5.7	6.1	3.2	3.2	4.1	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	47.0	42.9	38.2	42.3	41.3	42.6

prmedia_wkrdnws

Label:	Days in typical week listen news on radio
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-22
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	During a typical week, how many days do you listen to news on the radio, not including sports?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None	874	1410	2284
1. One day	157	318	475
2. Two days	170	366	536
3. Three days	128	313	441
4. Four days	86	226	312
5. Five days	281	674	955
6. Six days	48	131	179
7. Seven days	303	419	722
-4. Error	4	0	4
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None	42.6	41.0	36.5	38.8	38.6	39.6
1. One day	7.6	7.9	8.2	8.9	8.0	8.5
2. Two days	8.3	7.8	9.5	9.6	9.1	9.0
3. Three days	6.2	6.5	8.1	8.2	7.5	7.6
4. Four days	4.2	4.3	5.9	5.3	5.3	5.0
5. Five days	13.7	16.0	17.5	17.1	16.1	16.7
6. Six days	2.3	2.2	3.4	2.8	3.0	2.6
7. Seven days	14.8	14.1	10.9	9.2	12.2	10.9
-4. Error	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prmedia_atrdnews

Label:	Attention to radio news
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 20.8-24
Description:	Pre media exposure and attention
Question:	How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the radio? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL / NONE AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF NUMBER OF DAYS R LISTENS TO NEWS ON RADIO IS MORE THAN 0:
Logic:	Ask if PRMEDIA_WKRDNWS > 0, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	146	308	454
2. A lot	206	477	683
3. A moderate amount	345	813	1158
4. A little	371	712	1083
5. None at all	103	136	239
-1. Inapplicable	881	1413	2294
-4. Error	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	7.1	7.4	8.0	7.1	7.7	7.2
2. A lot	10.0	11.7	12.4	11.1	11.5	11.3
3. A moderate amount	16.8	16.1	21.1	20.7	19.6	19.1
4. A little	18.1	18.9	18.4	18.4	18.3	18.6
5. None at all	5.0	4.6	3.5	3.6	4.0	4.0
-1. Inapplicable	42.9	41.3	36.6	39.0	38.8	39.8
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_regist_addr

Label: PRE: R registered to vote (pre-election)- sample address

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Registered at this address	1351	3143	4494
2. Registered at a different address	327	251	578
3. Not currently registered	355	315	670
-1. Inapplicable	0	145	145
-8. Don't know	16	0	16
-9. Refused	5	6	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Registered at this address	65.8	72.2	81.4	77.7	76.0	75.8
2. Registered at a different address	15.9	11.9	6.5	8.1	9.8	9.4
3. Not currently registered	17.3	15.1	8.2	10.2	11.3	11.9
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.8	2.5	2.5
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

prevote_regist_noaddr

Label: PRE: WEB ONLY: R registered to vote (pre-election)- no sample address

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Registered to vote	0	125	125
2. Not currently registered	0	20	20
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3715	5769

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Registered to vote	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.0	2.1	2.0
2. Not currently registered	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	96.2	96.2	97.5	97.5

prevote_reg

Label:	RESTRICTED: Other Registration location - add1 etc
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-2
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	What is the address where you are registered to vote now?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGIST=2 else skip. On a single screen there should be fields to capture address1, address2, apt number, city, state, zip code For state only, a drop-down list should be present. Fields: PREVOTE_REGADD1 PREVOTE_REGADD2 PREVOTE_REGAPT PREVOTE_REGCITY PREVOTE_REGST (dropdown) PREVOTE_ZIP It must be necessary to include PREVOTE_REGSTATE at minimum.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. City and state not identified in registration address fields (all blank)	5	0	5
1. City and state identified in correct fields	289	0	289
2. City and state identifiable; some recording of address information in incorrect fields	14	0	14
-1. Inapplicable	1727	3860	5587
-2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address	19	0	19

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. City and state not identified in registration address fields (all blank)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
1. City and state identified in correct fields	14.1	10.4	0.0	0.0	4.9	3.6
2. City and state identifiable; some recording of address information in incorrect fields	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	84.1	88.1	100.0	100.0	94.5	95.9
-2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2

prevote_reg_recordstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Oth Registr. location - state recorded in correct field

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Neither state nor city identified in registration address fields (all blank)	5	0	5
1. State of registration recorded in correct (state) field	289	0	289
2. State of registration not recorded in correct field; identifiable as same state as state of residence	13	0	13
3. State of registration not recorded in correct field; identifiable as different from state of residence	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1727	3860	5587
-2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address	19	0	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Neither state nor city identified in registration address fields (all blank)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
1. State of registration recorded in correct (state) field	14.1	10.4	0.0	0.0	4.9	3.6
2. State of registration not recorded in correct field; identifiable as same state as state of residence	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
3. State of registration not recorded in correct field; identifiable as different from state of residence	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	84.1	88.1	100.0	100.0	94.5	95.9
-2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2

prevote_reg_recordcity

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Oth Registr. location - city recorded in correct field

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Neither state nor city identified in registration address fields (all blank)	5	0	5
1. City of registration recorded in correct (city) field	297	0	297
2. City of registration not recorded in correct field	6	0	6
-1. Inapplicable	1727	3860	5587
-2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address	19	0	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Neither state nor city identified in registration address fields (all blank)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
1. City of registration recorded in correct (city) field	14.5	10.7	0.0	0.0	5.0	3.7
2. City of registration not recorded in correct field	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	84.1	88.1	100.0	100.0	94.5	95.9
-2. Missing: R entered DK/RF for different address	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2

prevote_reg_state

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Other Registr. location - state of registr. (FIPS CODE)

44 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	1746	3860	5606
06. California	36	0	36
48. Texas	30	0	30
22. Louisiana	26	0	26
12. Florida	25	0	25
36. New York	18	0	18
17. Illinois	14	0	14
37. North Carolina	13	0	13
45. South Carolina	13	0	13
13. Georgia	12	0	12

prevote_reg_samestate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Oth Reg. location - state same as hsehold's state (Y/N)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not same state as state of residence	29	0	29
1. Same state as state of residence	274	0	274
-1. Inapplicable	1751	3860	5611

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not same state as state of residence	1.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
1. Same state as state of residence	13.3	9.8	0.0	0.0	4.6	3.4
-1. Inapplicable	85.2	89.1	100.0	100.0	94.9	96.2

prevote_regincnty

Label:	Is R registered to vote in preload county (resid)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-2.5
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Is that address in [preload: hhcounty]?
Universe:	IF R REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS: IF R REGISTERED IN SAME STATE AS CURRENT ADDRESS OR REGISTRATION STATE MISSING:
Logic:	R's current address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HHCITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Ask if PREVOTE_REGST=HHSTATE or PREVOTE_REGIST=2 and address of registration PREVOTE_REGST not given, else skip. Note that preload for county should be 'Washington D.C.' if REGST is DC.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, registered in <preload: hhcounty>	201	287	488
2. No, registered in other county	91	14	105
5. <preload:hhcounty> is incorrect (VOL) (SPECIFY)	4	0	4
6. Answered yes, reg in county; other state for prevote_reg_recordstate=3	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1755	3559	5314
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, registered in <preload: hhcounty>	9.8	7.3	7.4	8.5	8.3	8.1
2. No, registered in other county	4.4	3.2	0.4	0.3	1.8	1.3
5. <preload:hhcounty> is incorrect (VOL) (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
6. Answered yes, reg in county; other state for prevote_reg_recordstate=3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	85.4	89.2	92.2	91.2	89.9	90.5
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_regyrs3cat

Label: PRE: How long has R been registered at location (collapsed)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 0-1 years	346	501	847
2. 2-5 years	473	729	1202
3. More than 5 years	823	2157	2980
-1. Inapplicable	406	466	872
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	2	7	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 0-1 years	16.8	14.1	13.0	16.6	14.3	15.7
2. 2-5 years	23.0	20.0	18.9	21.4	20.3	20.9
3. More than 5 years	40.1	48.6	55.9	47.6	50.4	47.9
-1. Inapplicable	19.8	17.1	12.1	14.2	14.7	15.2
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

prevote_regyrsfull

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: How long has R been registered at location (full)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prevote_regname

Label:	RESTRICTED: name under which R registered to vote
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-3
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Some people register to vote under their full name and others use different versions of their name. Others might have changed their name since they registered. What about you? Under what name are you registered to vote?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGIST in(1,2)
Web Logic:	Online, add the following text: '(Your name remains confidential and will never be shared outside of the KnowledgePanel.)'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. FTF: no text in name field; Web: name not provided or not asked	66	435	501
1. text in name field	1612	3425	5037
-1. Inapplicable	376	0	376

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. FTF: no text in name field; Web: name not provided or not asked	3.2	2.9	11.3	13.8	8.5	10.0
1. text in name field	78.5	81.1	88.7	86.2	85.2	84.4
-1. Inapplicable	18.3	16.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	5.5

prevote_regpty_state

Label: PRE: Does recorded state of registration have party registration

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not not registered in state in with party registration	687	1554	2241
1. Registered in state with party registration	991	1965	2956
-1. Inapplicable	376	341	717

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not not registered in state in with party registration	33.4	37.0	40.3	39.5	37.9	38.6
1. Registered in state with party registration	48.2	47.0	50.9	49.3	50.0	48.5
-1. Inapplicable	18.3	16.0	8.8	11.2	12.1	12.9

prevote_regpty

Label:	Party of registration
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-4
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	What political party are you registered with, if any?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE IN STATE WHERE PARTY MAY BE REGISTERED:
Logic:	R's current address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HHCITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Ask if (PRE-VOTE_REGIST =1 and HHSTATE in(AK, AZ,CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, OK, OR, PA, RI, SD, WV, WY or missing)) or if (PREVOTE_REGIST=2 and PREVOTE_REGST in(AK, AZ,CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, OK, OR, PA, RI, SD, WV, WY or empty)), else skip
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box for response 5 in place of □SPECIFY□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic party	560	883	1443
2. Republican party	196	608	804
4. None or 'independent'	194	20	214
5. Other (SPECIFY)	7	449	456
-1. Inapplicable	1063	1895	2958
-8. Don't know	24	0	24
-9. Refused	10	5	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic party	27.3	18.9	22.9	20.6	24.4	20.0
2. Republican party	9.5	14.4	15.8	15.7	13.6	15.3
4. None or 'independent'	9.4	12.0	0.5	0.4	3.6	4.4
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.3	0.3	11.6	12.4	7.7	8.2
-1. Inapplicable	51.8	53.0	49.1	50.7	50.0	51.5
-8. Don't know	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3

prevote_regpty_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Party of registration

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prevote_regint

Label:	Does R intend to register to vote
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-5
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Do you intend to register to vote before the November 6 elections, or do you not intend to register?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR DK IF REGISTERED TO VOTE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGIST in(3,DK) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, intend to register	117	86	203
2. No, do not intend to register	239	251	490
-1. Inapplicable	1683	3519	5202
-8. Don't know	13	0	13
-9. Refused	2	4	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, intend to register	5.7	4.4	2.2	3.0	3.4	3.5
2. No, do not intend to register	11.6	10.8	6.5	8.0	8.3	9.0
-1. Inapplicable	81.9	84.2	91.2	88.8	88.0	87.2
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

prevote_primv

Label:	Did R vote in the Presidential primary or caucus
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-6
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Did you vote in a Presidential primary election or caucus this year?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted in primary or caucus	480	1681	2161
2. No, didn't vote in primary or caucus	1539	2171	3710
-8. Don't know	30	0	30
-9. Refused	5	8	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted in primary or caucus	23.4	25.7	43.5	38.0	36.5	33.8
2. No, didn't vote in primary or caucus	74.9	73.0	56.2	61.7	62.7	65.6
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

prevote_primvwho

Label:	For which candidate did R vote in Presidential prim
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-7
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Looking at page [preload: prepg_q] in the booklet In the Presidential primary or caucus, who did you vote for?
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN A PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY OR CAUCUS:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_PRIMV=1 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, omit the text 'Looking at page [preload: prepg q] in the booklet'. Include a small text box for option 95 in place of 'SPECIFY'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Mitt romney	112	534	646
02. Barack obama	280	759	1039
03. Rick santorum	17	129	146
04. Newt gingrich	15	58	73
05. Ron paul	16	94	110
06. Rick perry	3	20	23
07. Michele bachmann	2	4	6
08. Jon huntsman	2	10	12
09. Herman cain	9	17	26
95. Someone else (SPECIFY)	6	44	50
-1. Inapplicable	1574	2179	3753
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	13	12	25

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Mitt romney	5.5	9.3	13.8	12.5	10.9	11.4
02. Barack obama	13.6	10.8	19.7	16.7	17.6	14.7
03. Rick santorum	0.8	1.2	3.3	3.3	2.5	2.6
04. Newt gingrich	0.7	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.3
05. Ron paul	0.8	1.1	2.4	1.9	1.9	1.6
06. Rick perry	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4
07. Michele bachmann	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
08. Jon huntsman	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1
09. Herman cain	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5
95. Someone else (SPECIFY)	0.3	0.4	1.1	0.7	0.8	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	76.6	74.3	56.5	62.0	63.5	66.2
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-9. Refused	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3

prevote_primvwho_oth

Label: PRE: Oth specify- For which candidate did R vote in Presidential prim

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prevote_voted

Label:	Already voted in General Election
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-8
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	This question is not about the primary elections and caucuses that were held a few months ago. Instead, we'd like to ask you about the election for President to be held on November 6, in which [preload: rep_pcname] is running against [preload: dem_pcname]. Have you already voted in that election, or have you not voted?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGIST in(1,2) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have voted	118	332	450
2. Have not voted	1557	3185	4742
-1. Inapplicable	376	341	717
-9. Refused	3	2	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have voted	5.7	5.7	8.6	8.3	7.6	7.4
2. Have not voted	75.8	78.3	82.5	80.5	80.2	79.7
-1. Inapplicable	18.3	16.0	8.8	11.2	12.1	12.9
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1

prevote_vconf

Label:	Confirmation voted (early) in November 6 election
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-9
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Just to be clear, I'm recording that you already voted in the election that is scheduled to take place on November 6. Is that right?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_VOTED=1 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, replace 'I'm' with 'we are' in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted	112	294	406
2. No, have not voted	6	38	44
-1. Inapplicable	1936	3528	5464

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted	5.5	5.6	7.6	6.9	6.9	6.5
2. No, have not voted	0.3	0.1	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	94.3	94.3	91.4	91.7	92.4	92.6

prevote_howvote

Label:	In what manner did R vote
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-10
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Which one of the following best describes how you voted? - Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day - Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before election day - Definitely voted in some other way - Not completely sure whether you voted or not
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING FOR THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_VCONF=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day	50	61	111
2. Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before election day	59	222	281
3. Definitely voted in some other way	3	9	12
4. Not completely sure whether you voted or not	0	1	1
-1. Inapplicable	1942	3566	5508
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day	2.4	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.9	1.7
2. Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before election day	2.9	3.6	5.8	5.2	4.8	4.6
3. Definitely voted in some other way	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
4. Not completely sure whether you voted or not	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	94.5	94.4	92.4	93.1	93.1	93.5
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_ckbcard

Label:	FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: have correct Pre ballot card
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-10.5
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS: [preload: hhstate] [preload: hhdist]. ASSIGNED BALLOT COLOR IS: [var: ballotcolor]. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHETHER YOU HAVE THE CORRECT BALLOT CARD FOR THE RESPONDENT:
Universe:	IF HAS NOT REFUSED TO INDICATE REGISTRATION STATUS: IF R IS IS REGISTERED IN COUNTY OF CURRENT ADDRESS OR IF R IS NOT REGISTERED:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGIST in(1,3) or PREVOTE_REGINCNTY=1, else skip. In text of question, value of preload variable 'hhdist' which identifies the 113th congressional district of R's current address (e.g. '02'), is loaded together with value of preload variable hhstate.
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, have <blue/pink> color ballot card for r's district	1851	3458	5309
2. Have ballot card in wrong color for r's district	4	0	4
3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards	53	201	254
4. Web only: card for wrong district/ no House card but state cands correct	0	195	195
-1. Inapplicable	146	6	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, have <blue/pink> color ballot card for r's district	90.1	92.4	89.6	87.8	89.8	89.4
2. Have ballot card in wrong color for r's district	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards	2.6	2.0	5.2	6.6	4.3	5.0
4. Web only: card for wrong district/ no House card but state cands correct	0.0	0.0	5.1	5.3	3.3	3.4
-1. Inapplicable	7.1	5.3	0.2	0.3	2.6	2.0

prevote_ballot_color

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Assigned color for Ballot Card (if displayed)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Blue	1003	0	1003
2. Pink	1051	0	1051
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Blue	48.8	47.7	0.0	0.0	17.0	16.6
2. Pink	51.2	52.3	0.0	0.0	17.8	18.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

prevote_presvt

Label:	Did R vote for President
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-12
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT]?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) else skip. The ballot card is not shown
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted for President	111	284	395
2. No, didn't vote for President	1	9	10
-1. Inapplicable	1942	3567	5509

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted for President	5.4	5.6	7.4	6.7	6.7	6.3
2. No, didn't vote for President	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	94.5	94.4	92.4	93.1	93.2	93.5

prevote_presvtwho

Label:	For whom did R vote for President
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-13
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
Randomization:	Order of names in question text
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R ASSIGNED TO DEMOCRATIC NAMES FIRST / IF IF R ASSIGNED TO REPUBLICAN NAMES FIRST:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_PRESVT=1 else skip. The ballot card is not shown. Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text following 'Who did you vote for?' the Presidential candidate names are in the order indicated in variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of □SPECIFY□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	68	154	222
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	39	114	153
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0	11	11
-1. Inapplicable	1943	3576	5519
-9. Refused	4	5	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	3.3	2.7	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.5
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	1.9	2.8	3.0	2.5	2.6	2.6
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	94.6	94.4	92.6	93.3	93.3	93.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1

prevote_presvtwho_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- For whom did R vote for President

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prevote_presstr

Label:	Preference strong for Pres cand for whom R voted
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-14
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Would you say your preference for this candidate was strong or not stong?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_PRESVTWHO in(1,2,5) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong	95	238	333
2. Not strong	11	41	52
-1. Inapplicable	1947	3581	5528
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong	4.6	4.9	6.2	5.7	5.6	5.4
2. Not strong	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	94.8	94.5	92.8	93.3	93.5	93.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_vtpresdec

Label:	How long before election R made decision Pres vote
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-15
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How long before you voted did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_PRESVTWHO in(1,2,5) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prevote_vtpresdec_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Hw lng bef elct R made decsn Pres vt: DK/RF selected

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. FTF: code DK selected in place of open-end response	5	0	5
2. FTF: code RF selected in place of open-end response	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2048	3860	5908

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. FTF: code DK selected in place of open-end response	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. FTF: code RF selected in place of open-end response	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

prevote_intpres

Label:	Does R intend to vote for President
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-16
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for President? Do you intend to vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGINT=1 /R is not registered but intends to / or (PREVOTE_VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_HOWVOTE=4) /R is registered but did not vote/, else skip. The ballot card is not shown
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1553	3178	4731
2. No	69	132	201
-1. Inapplicable	371	548	919
-8. Don't know	61	0	61
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	75.6	76.3	82.3	80.5	80.0	79.0
2. No	3.4	3.2	3.4	4.4	3.4	4.0
-1. Inapplicable	18.1	17.2	14.2	15.1	15.5	15.8
-8. Don't know	3.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_intpreswho

Label:	For whom does R intend to vote for President
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-16.5
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you think you will vote for? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_INTPRES=1, else skip. Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text following 'Who do you think you will vote for?' the Presidential candidate names are in the order indicated in variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	970	1654	2624
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	390	1337	1727
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	14	167	181
-1. Inapplicable	501	682	1183
-8. Don't know	150	0	150
-9. Refused	29	20	49

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	47.2	36.4	42.8	40.6	44.4	39.1
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	19.0	29.7	34.6	34.7	29.2	32.9
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.7	0.8	4.3	4.7	3.1	3.4
-1. Inapplicable	24.4	23.7	17.7	19.5	20.0	21.0
-8. Don't know	7.3	7.9	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.7
-9. Refused	1.4	1.5	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.9

prevote_intpreswho_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- For whom does R intend to vote for President

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prevote_intpresst

Label:	Pref strng for Pres cand for whom R intends to vote
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-17
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Would you say your preference for this candidate is STRONG or NOT STRONG?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT: IF R's INTENDED VOTE FOR PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE IS NOT DK/NA:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_INTPRESWHO in(1,2,5), else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong	1178	2524	3702
2. Not strong	198	632	830
-1. Inapplicable	668	702	1370
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong	57.4	53.6	65.4	62.7	62.6	59.5
2. Not strong	9.6	13.5	16.4	17.2	14.0	15.9
-1. Inapplicable	32.5	32.4	18.2	20.1	23.2	24.3
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prevote_prefpres

Label:	Does R prefer Pres cand (no intent to register)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-18
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for President? Do you prefer one of the candidates for PRESIDENT?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGINT in(2,DK,RF) /R is not registered and does not intend to register , else skip. The ballot card is not shown
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	156	115	271
2. No	86	138	224
-1. Inapplicable	1800	3605	5405
-8. Don't know	11	0	11
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	7.6	7.0	3.0	3.5	4.6	4.7
2. No	4.2	3.9	3.6	4.6	3.8	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	87.6	88.6	93.4	91.8	91.4	90.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

prevote_prefprwho

Label:	Preference for Pres cand (no intent to register)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-19
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you prefer? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF R PREFERS A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_PREFPRES=1 else skip. Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text following 'Who do you prefer?' the Presidential candidate names are in the order indicated in variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	114	71	185
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	36	39	75
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	2	4	6
-1. Inapplicable	1898	3745	5643
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	3	1	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	5.6	4.3	1.8	1.9	3.1	2.7
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	1.8	2.3	1.0	1.6	1.3	1.8
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	92.4	93.0	97.0	96.5	95.4	95.3
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

prevote_prefprwho_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- Preference for Pres cand (no intent to register)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prevote_prefprstr

Label:	Preference strong for Pres cand (no intent to reg)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-20
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Would you say your preference for this candidate is STRONG or NOT STRONG?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF R PREFERS A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE: IF PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/NA:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_PREFPRWHO in(1,2,5) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong	109	82	191
2. Not strong	42	32	74
-1. Inapplicable	1902	3746	5648
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong	5.3	4.8	2.1	2.6	3.2	3.3
2. Not strong	2.0	2.0	0.8	0.9	1.3	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	92.6	93.2	97.0	96.5	95.5	95.4
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_votehs

Label:	Did R vote for U.S. House of Representatives
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-21
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES? / How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) else skip. If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." does not appear at the start. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives	101	246	347
2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives	8	47	55
-1. Inapplicable	1942	3567	5509
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives	4.9	5.3	6.4	5.5	5.9	5.4
2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives	0.4	0.2	1.2	1.4	0.9	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	94.5	94.4	92.4	93.1	93.2	93.5
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_hsvtbc

Label:	For whom did R vote for U.S. House (ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-22
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_VOTEHS=1 and PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5. Omit response option 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	52	107	159
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	30	103	133
3. <preload: ind_hsename>	1	0	1
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	0	6	6
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	4	2	6
-1. Inapplicable	1964	3637	5601
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	2	5	7

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	2.5	2.2	2.8	2.4	2.7	2.3
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	1.5	2.2	2.7	2.3	2.2	2.3
3. <preload: ind_hsename>	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	95.6	95.2	94.2	95.0	94.7	95.1
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prevote_hsvtnobc

Label:	For whom did R vote for U.S. House (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-23
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_VOTEHS=1 and (PREVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE_HSVTBC=4), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
81. Democrat	5	5	10
82. Republican	4	11	15
87. Other- candidate not identified by major party	0	3	3
-1. Inapplicable	2043	3831	5874
-2. Missing	0	4	4
-8. Don't know	2	1	3
-9. Refused	0	5	5

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
81. Democrat	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
82. Republican	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3
87. Other- candidate not identified by major party	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.5	99.5	99.2	99.3	99.3	99.4
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prevote_inths

Label:	Does R intend to vote for U.S. House
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-24
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Do you intend to vote in the election for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?/ How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Do you intend to vote in the election for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGINT=1 or (PREVOTE_REGIST in(1,2) and (PREVOTE_VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_HOWVOTE=4)), else skip. If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." does not appear at the start. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1234	2858	4092
2. No	329	453	782
-1. Inapplicable	371	548	919
-8. Don't know	119	0	119
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	60.1	63.3	74.0	68.8	69.2	66.9
2. No	16.0	13.8	11.7	16.1	13.2	15.3
-1. Inapplicable	18.1	17.2	14.2	15.1	15.5	15.8
-8. Don't know	5.8	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_inthsbc

Label:	For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. House (ballot card)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-24.5
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you think you will vote for?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR THE U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_INTHS=1 and (PREVOTE_REGIST=1 or PREVOTE_REGINT=1 or (PREVOTE_REGIST=2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY=1)) and PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5. Omit response option 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	614	1229	1843
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	341	1105	1446
3. <preload: ind_hsename>	7	24	31
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	12	104	116
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	25	24	49
-1. Inapplicable	904	1271	2175
-2. Missing	0	49	49
-8. Don't know	130	39	169
-9. Refused	21	15	36

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	29.9	24.0	31.8	27.6	31.2	26.4
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	16.6	25.2	28.6	27.2	24.5	26.5
3. <preload: ind_hsename>	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	0.6	0.5	2.7	2.5	2.0	1.8
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	1.2	1.9	0.6	0.4	0.8	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	44.0	39.9	32.9	38.6	36.8	39.0
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.5	0.8	1.0
-8. Don't know	6.3	7.1	1.0	1.3	2.9	3.3
-9. Refused	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7

prevote_inthsnobc

Label:	For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. House (no ballot cd)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-24.8
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR THE U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR R DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_INTHS=1 and ((PREVOTE_REGIST=2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY < > 1) or PREVOTE_INTHSBC = 4 or PREVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2)), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
81. Democrat	43	114	157
82. Republican	16	98	114
87. Other- candidate not identified by major party	8	61	69
-1. Inapplicable	1958	3480	5438
-2. Missing	0	52	52
-8. Don't know	29	34	63
-9. Refused	0	21	21

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
81. Democrat	2.1	1.1	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.3
82. Republican	0.8	1.0	2.5	2.9	1.9	2.2
87. Other- candidate not identified by major party	0.4	0.2	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	95.3	96.4	90.2	90.0	92.0	92.2
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.2	0.9	0.8
-8. Don't know	1.4	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4

prevote_hspref

Label:	Does R prefer U.S. House candidate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-26
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?/ How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGINT in(2,DK,RF) else skip. If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." does not appear at the start. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	71	38	109
2. No	140	216	356
-1. Inapplicable	1800	3605	5405
-8. Don't know	42	0	42
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	3.5	3.3	1.0	1.0	1.8	1.8
2. No	6.8	6.3	5.6	7.1	6.0	6.8
-1. Inapplicable	87.6	88.6	93.4	91.8	91.4	90.7
-8. Don't know	2.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

prevote_hsprefbc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-27
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you prefer?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_HSPREF=1 and PREVOTE_CBKCARD in(1,2), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5. Omit response option 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	41	19	60
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	17	16	33
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	1	1	2
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1986	3823	5809
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.4	1.0	1.0
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	96.7	96.9	99.0	99.0	98.2	98.2
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

prevote_hsprefnobc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-27.3
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you prefer? Which party is that?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE OR IF R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
Logic:	Ask if (PREVOTE_HSPREF=1 and PREVOTE_CBKCARD not in(1,2)) or PREVOTE_HSPREFWHO=4, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
82. Republican	2	1	3
87. Other- candidate not identified by major party	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3858	5908

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
82. Republican	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
87. Other- candidate not identified by major party	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

prevote_senhhstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Senate election in state of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No Senate race in state of residence	561	0	561
1. Senate race in state of residence	1493	0	1493
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No Senate race in state of residence	27.3	20.9	0.0	0.0	9.5	7.3
1. Senate race in state of residence	72.7	79.1	0.0	0.0	25.2	27.5
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

prevote_senregstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Sen election in state of regist (R not regist at resid)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field	101	0	101
1. Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field	188	0	188
3. No Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	5	0	5
4. Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	9	0	9
-1. Inapplicable	1727	3860	5587
-2. State of registration asked, not recorded	24	0	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field	4.9	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.9
1. Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field	9.2	7.8	0.0	0.0	3.2	2.7
3. No Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
4. Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	84.1	88.1	100.0	100.0	94.5	95.9
-2. State of registration asked, not recorded	1.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3

prevote_votesen

Label:	Did R vote for U.S. Senate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-28
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for the United States Senate ? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if (PREVOTE_HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and (PREVOTE_REGIST=1 or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT, ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (PREVOTE_HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE_REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL, HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI, TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)) else skip. If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_HSVOTEBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted for Senate	75	212	287
2. No, didn't vote for Senate	8	34	42
-1. Inapplicable	1969	3614	5583
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted for Senate	3.7	4.0	5.5	5.0	4.9	4.7
2. No, didn't vote for Senate	0.4	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	95.9	95.6	93.6	94.1	94.4	94.6
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_vtsenbc

Label:	R's vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-29
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_VOTESEN=1 and PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_HSVTBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_senname>	38	112	150
2. <preload: rep_senname>	27	88	115
3. <preload: ind_senname>	0	1	1
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0	3	3
-1. Inapplicable	1985	3653	5638
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	3	3	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_senname>	1.9	1.7	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.3
2. <preload: rep_senname>	1.3	1.9	2.3	2.1	1.9	2.0
3. <preload: ind_senname>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	96.6	96.3	94.6	95.1	95.3	95.5
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prevote_vtsennobc

Label:	R's vote for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-30
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_VOTESEN=1 and (PREVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE_HSVTBC=4), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
81. Democrat	3	1	4
82. Republican	2	2	4
-1. Inapplicable	2048	3855	5903
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
81. Democrat	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
82. Republican	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.7	99.9	99.9	99.8	99.8
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_intsen

Label:	Does R intend to vote for U.S. Senate
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-31
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for the U.S. Senate. Do you intend to vote in the election for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if (PREVOTE_REGINT=1 and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME, MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,U or (PREVOTE_REGIST =1 and (PREVOTE_VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_HOWVOTE=4) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI, IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT, WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and (PREVOTE_VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_HOWVOTE=4) and PREVOTE_REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT, ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY=1 and (PREVOTE_VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_HOWVOTE=4) and HHVOTE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT, ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY) , else skip. If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_INTHSBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	909	2172	3081
2. No	199	288	487
-1. Inapplicable	870	1330	2200
-2. Missing	0	69	69
-8. Don't know	76	0	76
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	44.3	52.4	56.3	52.3	52.1	52.3
2. No	9.7	8.7	7.5	9.9	8.2	9.5
-1. Inapplicable	42.4	35.1	34.5	35.4	37.2	35.3
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.5	1.2	1.6
-8. Don't know	3.7	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_intsenbc

Label:	For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-31.5
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you think you will vote for?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_INTSEN=1 and PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_INTHSBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_senname>	484	1157	1641
2. <preload: rep_senname>	272	849	1121
3. <preload: ind_senname>	0	9	9
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	5	80	85
13. (WEB ONLY) Coded as preloaded Other candidate but no name preloaded as Other candidate	0	47	47
-1. Inapplicable	1198	1697	2895
-8. Don't know	85	0	85
-9. Refused	10	21	31

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_senname>	23.6	23.0	30.0	26.2	27.7	25.1
2. <preload: rep_senname>	13.2	21.2	22.0	21.5	19.0	21.4
3. <preload: ind_senname>	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.5	2.1	2.3	1.4	1.6
13. (WEB ONLY) Coded as preloaded Other candidate but no name preloaded as Other candidate	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.3	0.8	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	58.3	49.9	44.0	48.0	49.0	48.7
-8. Don't know	4.1	4.9	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7
-9. Refused	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5

prevote_intsennobc

Label:	For whom does R intend to vote for US. Senate (no ballot cd)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-31.8
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_INTSEN=1 and (PREVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE_INTHSBC = 4), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
81. Democrat	30	4	34
82. Republican	11	4	15
-1. Inapplicable	2001	3851	5852
-8. Don't know	12	0	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
81. Democrat	1.5	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.4
82. Republican	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	97.4	97.7	99.8	99.7	99.0	99.0
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

prevote_senpref

Label:	Does R prefer U.S. Senate candidate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-33
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for the United States Senate? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGINT in(2,DK,RF) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL, HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH, PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY), else skip If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_HSPREFWHO in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	72	30	102
2. No	100	170	270
-1. Inapplicable	1851	3659	5510
-8. Don't know	30	0	30
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	3.5	3.3	0.8	0.7	1.7	1.6
2. No	4.9	4.3	4.4	5.4	4.6	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	90.1	91.1	94.8	93.8	93.2	92.9
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1

prevote_senprefbc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate (ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-34
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you prefer?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_SENPREF=1 and PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_HSPREFWHO in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_senname>	46	12	58
2. <preload: rep_senname>	21	12	33
3. <preload: ind_senname>	0	1	1
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0	4	4
-1. Inapplicable	1986	3830	5816
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_senname>	2.2	1.6	0.3	0.2	1.0	0.7
2. <preload: rep_senname>	1.0	1.5	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.7
3. <preload: ind_senname>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	96.7	96.9	99.2	99.3	98.3	98.5
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_senprefnobc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-34.3
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you prefer? Which party is that?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. SENATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_SENPREF=1 and (PREVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE_HSPREFWHO = 4), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
81. Democrat	2	0	2
82. Republican	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
81. Democrat	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
82. Republican	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_govhhstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Gubernatorial election in state of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No gubernatorial race in state of residence	1801	0	1801
1. Gubernatorial race in state of residence	253	0	253
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No gubernatorial race in state of residence	87.7	86.6	0.0	0.0	30.5	30.1
1. Gubernatorial race in state of residence	12.3	13.4	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.6
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

prevote_govregstate

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Gov election in state of regist (R not regist at resid)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field	252	0	252
1. Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field	37	0	37
3. No governor race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	14	0	14
-1. Inapplicable	1727	3860	5587
-2. State of registration asked, not recorded	24	0	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field	12.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	4.3	3.2
1. Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field	1.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
3. No governor race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	84.1	88.1	100.0	100.0	94.5	95.9
-2. State of registration asked, not recorded	1.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3

prevote_votegov

Label:	Did R vote for governor
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-35
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for governor ? Did you vote for a candidate for GOVERNOR?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if (PREVOTE_HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and PREVOTE_REGIST=1 and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (PREVOTE_HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE_REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC, ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV,DK,RF)) or (PREVOTE_HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and PREVOTE_REGIST =1 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1 and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH, UT,VT,WA,WV)) , else skip. If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) or PREVOTE_HSVOTEBC=4 then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted for governor	14	29	43
2. No, didn't vote for governor	2	3	5
-1. Inapplicable	2038	3828	5866

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted for governor	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7
2. No, didn't vote for governor	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.2	99.1	99.2	99.2	99.2	99.2

prevote_vtgovbc

Label:	R's vote for governor(ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-36
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF R VOTED FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_VOTEGOV=1 and PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_HSVTBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_govname>	8	14	22
2. <preload: rep_govname>	6	11	17
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0	2	2
-1. Inapplicable	2040	3832	5872
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_govname>	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
2. <preload: rep_govname>	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.3	99.2	99.3	99.4	99.3	99.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_vtgovnobc

Label:	R's vote for governor (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-37
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS: IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION: IF R VOTED FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_VOTEGOV=1 and (PREVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE_HSVTBC=4), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
82. Republican	0	1	1
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3859	5913

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
82. Republican	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

prevote_intgov

Label:	Does R intend to vote for governor
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-38
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for governor. Do you intend to vote for a candidate for GOVERNOR?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if (PREVOTE_REGINT=1 and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =1 and (PREVOTE_VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_HOWVOTE=4) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and (PREVOTE_VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_HOWVOTE=4) and PREVOTE_REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV,DK,RF) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY=1 and (PREVOTE_VOTED in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VCONF in(2,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_HOWVOTE=4) and HHVOTE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV) , else skip. If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_INTHSBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	180	395	575
2. No	21	38	59
-1. Inapplicable	1842	3416	5258
-2. Missing	0	11	11
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	8.8	10.8	10.2	10.1	9.7	10.4
2. No	1.0	0.6	1.0	1.4	1.0	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	89.7	88.2	88.5	88.0	88.9	88.1
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_intgovbc

Label:	For whom does R intend to vote for governor (ballot card)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-38.5
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you think you will vote for?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_INTGOV=1 and PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_INTHSBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_govname>	85	193	278
2. <preload: rep_govname>	42	174	216
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0	20	20
-1. Inapplicable	1897	3469	5366
-8. Don't know	27	0	27
-9. Refused	3	4	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_govname>	4.1	4.4	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.8
2. <preload: rep_govname>	2.0	3.1	4.5	4.4	3.7	3.9
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	92.4	90.3	89.9	90.0	90.7	90.1
-8. Don't know	1.3	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

prevote_intgovnobc

Label:	For whom does R intend to vote for governor (no ballot cd)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-38.8
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE: IF R INTENDS TO VOTE FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_INTGOV=1 and (PREVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE_INTHSBC = 4), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
81. Democrat	10	0	10
82. Republican	8	2	10
-1. Inapplicable	2031	3858	5889
-8. Don't know	5	0	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
81. Democrat	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
82. Republican	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	98.9	99.9	99.9	99.6	99.5
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

prevote_govpref

Label:	Does R prefer gubernatorial candidate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-40
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for governor? Do you prefer one of the candidates for GOVERNOR?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_REGINT in(2,DK,RF) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV), else skip If PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_HSPREFWHO in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	6	10	16
2. No	14	1	15
3. (WEB ONLY) R answered No but was not programmed Inap in prevote_govprefbc	0	26	26
-1. Inapplicable	2032	3823	5855
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
2. No	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2
3. (WEB ONLY) R answered No but was not programmed Inap in prevote_govprefbc	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.1	0.4	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	99.2	99.0	98.5	99.0	98.8
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

prevote_govprefbc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for governor (ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-41
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you prefer?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_GOVPREF=1 and PREVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and PREVOTE_HSPREFWHO in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_govname>	3	9	12
2. <preload: rep_govname>	3	9	12
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0	6	6
-1. Inapplicable	2048	3825	5873
-9. Refused	0	11	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_govname>	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3
2. <preload: rep_govname>	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.7	99.1	98.7	99.3	99.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2

prevote_govprefnobc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for governor (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 21.1-41.3
Description:	Registration, turnout and vote choice
Question:	Who do you prefer? Which party is that?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNOR: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_GOVPREF=1 and (PREVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE_HSPREFVT = 4), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3859	5913
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.9	100.0	99.9
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1

candlik_likedpc

Label:	Is there anything R likes about Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-2
Description:	Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question:	Is there anything in particular about [preload: DEM_PCNAME] that might make you want to vote for him?
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidates
Logic:	Randomize order of Presidential candidates in Likes-Dislikes series
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1307	1962	3269
2. No	702	1893	2595
-8. Don't know	39	0	39
-9. Refused	6	5	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	63.6	54.6	50.8	48.0	55.3	50.3
2. No	34.2	43.3	49.0	51.8	43.9	48.8
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2

candlik_likewhatdpc

Label:	What is it that R likes about Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-3
Description:	Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question:	(What is that?)
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND:
Logic:	Ask if CANDLIK_LIKDPC=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

candlik_disldpc

Label:	Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-4
Description:	Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question:	Is there anything in particular about [preload: DEM_PCNAME] that might make you want to vote AGAINST him?
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidates
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	823	1914	2737
2. No	1194	1941	3135
-8. Don't know	28	0	28
-9. Refused	9	5	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	40.1	53.1	49.6	50.3	46.3	51.3
2. No	58.1	45.3	50.3	49.5	53.0	48.1
-8. Don't know	1.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2

candlik_dislwhatdpc

Label:	What is it that R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-5
Description:	Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question:	(What is that?)
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND:
Logic:	Ask if CANDLIK_DISLDPC=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

candlik_likerpc

Label:	Is there anything R likes about Republican Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-6
Description:	Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question:	Is there anything in particular about [preload: REP_PCNAME] that might make you want to vote for him?
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidates
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	670	1675	2345
2. No	1315	2179	3494
-8. Don't know	62	0	62
-9. Refused	7	6	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	32.6	44.3	43.4	42.7	39.7	43.2
2. No	64.0	52.5	56.5	57.1	59.1	55.5
-8. Don't know	3.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3

candlik_likewhatrpc

Label:	What is it that R likes about Republican Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-7
Description:	Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question:	(What is that?)
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR REPUBLICAN PRES CAND:
Logic:	Ask if LIKRPC=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

candlik_dislrpc

Label:	Is there anything R dislikes about Republican Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-8
Description:	Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question:	Is there anything in particular about [preload: REP_PCNAME] that might make you want to vote AGAINST him?
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidates
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1252	2162	3414
2. No	723	1692	2415
-8. Don't know	67	0	67
-9. Refused	12	6	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	61.0	55.5	56.0	53.8	57.7	54.4
2. No	35.2	40.9	43.8	46.1	40.8	44.3
-8. Don't know	3.3	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0
-9. Refused	0.6	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3

candlik_dislwhatrpc

Label:	What is it that R dislikes about Republican Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 21.8-9
Description:	Likes-dislikes for major party Presidential candidates
Question:	(What is that?)
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST REPUBLICAN PRES CAND:
Logic:	Ask if DISLRPC=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

congapp_job

Label:	Approval of Congress handling its job
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22-1
Description:	Congressional approval
Question:	Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	509	748	1257
2. Disapprove	1200	3071	4271
-8. Don't know	322	0	322
-9. Refused	23	41	64

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	24.8	20.9	19.4	21.3	21.3	21.2
2. Disapprove	58.4	63.9	79.6	77.6	72.2	72.8
-8. Don't know	15.7	14.2	0.0	0.0	5.4	4.9
-9. Refused	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1

congapp_jobst

Label:	Strength appr/disapprove Congress handling its job
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22-2
Description:	Congressional approval
Question:	Do you [approve/disapprove] STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB / IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:
Logic:	Ask if CONGAPP_CONGJOB in(1,2) else skip. Load 'approve' if CONGAPP_CONGJOB=1, load 'disapprove' if CONGAPP_CONGJOB=2.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1098	2340	3438
2. Not strongly	599	1472	2071
-1. Inapplicable	345	41	386
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	0	7	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	53.5	56.0	60.6	55.6	58.1	55.8
2. Not strongly	29.2	28.3	38.1	43.0	35.0	37.9
-1. Inapplicable	16.8	15.2	1.1	1.1	6.5	6.0
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

congapp_job_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of Congress

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	207	172	379
2. Approve not strongly	299	570	869
4. Disapprove not strongly	300	902	1202
5. Disapprove strongly	891	2168	3059
-8. Don't know	334	0	334
-9. Refused	23	48	71

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	10.1	7.9	4.5	4.7	6.4	5.8
2. Approve not strongly	14.6	13.0	14.8	16.4	14.7	15.2
4. Disapprove not strongly	14.6	15.4	23.4	26.6	20.3	22.7
5. Disapprove strongly	43.4	48.1	56.2	51.0	51.7	50.0
-8. Don't know	16.3	14.7	0.0	0.0	5.6	5.1
-9. Refused	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2

presapp_track

Label:	Are things in the country on right track
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-1
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you feel things in this country are generally going in the RIGHT DIRECTION, or do you feel things have pretty seriously gotten off on the WRONG TRACK?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Right direction	827	1364	2191
2. Wrong track	1123	2484	3607
-8. Don't know	90	0	90
-9. Refused	14	12	26

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Right direction	40.3	31.8	35.3	33.3	37.0	32.8
2. Wrong track	54.7	63.5	64.4	66.3	61.0	65.3
-8. Don't know	4.4	4.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.4
-9. Refused	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5

presapp_job

Label:	Approve or disapprove President handling job as Pres
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-2
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	1302	1983	3285
2. Disapprove	649	1848	2497
-8. Don't know	90	0	90
-9. Refused	13	29	42

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	63.4	50.7	51.4	48.8	55.5	49.5
2. Disapprove	31.6	43.3	47.9	50.4	42.2	47.9
-8. Don't know	4.4	5.4	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.9
-9. Refused	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8

presapp_jobstr

Label:	How much approve or disapp Pres handling job
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-3
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:
Logic:	Ask if PRESAPP_APPPRES in(1,2), else skip. Load 'approve' if PRESAPP_APPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP_APPRES=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1464	2604	4068
2. Not strongly	484	1225	1709
-1. Inapplicable	103	29	132
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	71.3	68.7	67.5	65.9	68.8	66.9
2. Not strongly	23.6	25.1	31.7	33.3	28.9	30.4
-1. Inapplicable	5.0	6.0	0.8	0.8	2.2	2.6
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

presapp_job_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling of job

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	986	1193	2179
2. Approve not strongly	313	790	1103
4. Disapprove not strongly	171	435	606
5. Disapprove strongly	478	1411	1889
-8. Don't know	93	0	93
-9. Refused	13	31	44

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	48.0	35.1	30.9	28.6	36.8	30.8
2. Approve not strongly	15.2	15.5	20.5	20.3	18.7	18.6
4. Disapprove not strongly	8.3	9.6	11.3	13.0	10.2	11.8
5. Disapprove strongly	23.3	33.6	36.6	37.3	31.9	36.0
-8. Don't know	4.5	5.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9
-9. Refused	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.8

presapp_econ

Label:	Approve or disapprove President handling economy
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-4
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling the economy?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	1142	1857	2999
2. Disapprove	792	1981	2773
-8. Don't know	103	0	103
-9. Refused	17	22	39

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	55.6	43.3	48.1	45.3	50.7	44.6
2. Disapprove	38.6	50.3	51.3	54.1	46.9	52.8
-8. Don't know	5.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.9
-9. Refused	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7

presapp_econstr

Label:	How much approve or disapprove Pres handling economy
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-5
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING ECONOMY / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING ECONOMY:
Logic:	Ask if PRESAPP_ECONPRES in(1,2), else skip Load 'approve' if PRESAPP_ECONPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP_ECONPRES=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1396	2630	4026
2. Not strongly	528	1205	1733
-1. Inapplicable	120	22	142
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	68.0	67.1	68.1	67.4	68.1	67.3
2. Not strongly	25.7	26.0	31.2	31.9	29.3	29.8
-1. Inapplicable	5.8	6.4	0.6	0.6	2.4	2.6
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

presapp_econ_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling economy

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	810	1054	1864
2. Approve not strongly	325	801	1126
4. Disapprove not strongly	203	404	607
5. Disapprove strongly	586	1576	2162
-8. Don't know	113	0	113
-9. Refused	17	25	42

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	39.4	28.4	27.3	24.8	31.5	26.1
2. Approve not strongly	15.8	14.5	20.8	20.4	19.0	18.4
4. Disapprove not strongly	9.9	11.5	10.5	11.5	10.3	11.5
5. Disapprove strongly	28.5	38.7	40.8	42.6	36.6	41.3
-8. Don't know	5.5	6.1	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.1
-9. Refused	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7

presapp_foreign

Label:	Approve or disapprove President handling foreign rel
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-6
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling relations with foreign countries?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	1185	2079	3264
2. Disapprove	647	1753	2400
-8. Don't know	211	0	211
-9. Refused	11	28	39

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	57.7	48.7	53.9	52.1	55.2	50.9
2. Disapprove	31.5	40.7	45.4	47.0	40.6	44.9
-8. Don't know	10.3	9.8	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.4
-9. Refused	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.8

presapp_foreignstr

Label:	How much approve/disapprove Pres handling foreign rrel
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-7
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS:
Logic:	Ask if PRESAPP_FRNPRES in(1,2), else skip Load 'approve' if PRESAPP_FRNPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP_FRNPRES=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1383	2640	4023
2. Not strongly	443	1189	1632
-1. Inapplicable	222	28	250
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	67.3	64.3	68.4	65.5	68.0	65.1
2. Not strongly	21.6	24.8	30.8	33.5	27.6	30.5
-1. Inapplicable	10.8	10.5	0.7	0.9	4.2	4.2
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

presapp_foreign_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling foreign relations

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	903	1319	2222
2. Approve not strongly	277	759	1036
4. Disapprove not strongly	166	430	596
5. Disapprove strongly	480	1321	1801
-8. Don't know	217	0	217
-9. Refused	11	31	42

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	44.0	33.5	34.2	30.8	37.6	31.7
2. Approve not strongly	13.5	14.9	19.7	21.3	17.5	19.1
4. Disapprove not strongly	8.1	9.9	11.1	12.2	10.1	11.4
5. Disapprove strongly	23.4	30.8	34.2	34.7	30.5	33.4
-8. Don't know	10.6	10.2	0.0	0.0	3.7	3.5
-9. Refused	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.9

presapp_health

Label:	Approve or disapprove President handling health care
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-10
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling health care?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	1184	1942	3126
2. Disapprove	739	1902	2641
-8. Don't know	118	0	118
-9. Refused	13	16	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	57.6	45.3	50.3	47.5	52.9	46.7
2. Disapprove	36.0	47.8	49.3	52.0	44.7	50.5
-8. Don't know	5.7	6.1	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.1
-9. Refused	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6

presapp_healthstr

Label:	How much approve/disapprove Pres handling health care
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-11
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING HEALTH CARE / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING HEALTH CARE:
Logic:	Ask if PRESAPP_HTHPRES in(1,2), else skip Load 'approve' if PRESAPP_HTHPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP_HTHPRES=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with the previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1532	2881	4413
2. Not strongly	383	957	1340
-1. Inapplicable	131	16	147
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	1	6	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	74.6	71.8	74.6	73.5	74.6	72.9
2. Not strongly	18.6	21.0	24.8	26.0	22.7	24.3
-1. Inapplicable	6.4	6.9	0.4	0.5	2.5	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

presapp_health_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling health care

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	951	1355	2306
2. Approve not strongly	227	585	812
4. Disapprove not strongly	156	372	528
5. Disapprove strongly	581	1526	2107
-8. Don't know	125	0	125
-9. Refused	14	22	36

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	46.3	32.3	35.1	32.2	39.0	32.2
2. Approve not strongly	11.1	12.8	15.2	15.3	13.7	14.4
4. Disapprove not strongly	7.6	8.2	9.6	10.7	8.9	9.8
5. Disapprove strongly	28.3	39.5	39.5	41.2	35.6	40.6
-8. Don't know	6.1	6.3	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.2
-9. Refused	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7

presapp_war

Label:	Approve or disapprove President handling war in Afghanst
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-12
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way Barack Obama is handling the war in Afghanistan?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	1230	2154	3384
2. Disapprove	623	1676	2299
-8. Don't know	177	0	177
-9. Refused	24	30	54

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	59.9	52.5	55.8	53.9	57.2	53.4
2. Disapprove	30.3	36.7	43.4	45.2	38.9	42.2
-8. Don't know	8.6	9.4	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.3
-9. Refused	1.2	1.4	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1

presapp_warstr

Label:	How much approve/disapprove Pres handling war in Afghanst
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 22.5-13
Description:	Presidential and govt/status quo approval
Question:	Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING WAR IN AFGHANISTAN / IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING WAR IN AFGHANISTAN:
Logic:	Ask if PRESAPP_WARPRES in(1,2), else skip Load 'approve' if PRESAPP_WARPRES=1; load 'disapprove' if PRESAPP_WARPRES=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with the previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1330	2281	3611
2. Not strongly	518	1545	2063
-1. Inapplicable	201	30	231
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	64.8	58.4	59.1	57.8	61.1	58.0
2. Not strongly	25.2	30.5	40.0	41.2	34.9	37.5
-1. Inapplicable	9.8	10.9	0.8	0.9	3.9	4.3
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

presapp_war_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Approval of President handling war in Afghanistan

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	901	1174	2075
2. Approve not strongly	328	976	1304
4. Disapprove not strongly	190	569	759
5. Disapprove strongly	429	1107	1536
-8. Don't know	182	0	182
-9. Refused	24	34	58

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	43.9	33.4	30.4	28.7	35.1	30.3
2. Approve not strongly	16.0	19.1	25.3	25.1	22.0	23.0
4. Disapprove not strongly	9.3	11.5	14.7	16.1	12.8	14.5
5. Disapprove strongly	20.9	25.0	28.7	29.1	26.0	27.7
-8. Don't know	8.9	9.6	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.3
-9. Refused	1.2	1.4	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1

ft_dpc

Label:	Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Presidential cand
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 27-2
Description:	Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) [preload: DEM_PCNAME]
Randomization:	Order of Presidential cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate thermometers (as 1st and 2nd thermometers). Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Presidential candidate.
Source:	Respondent

76 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
100	520	538	1058
85	393	525	918
0	157	616	773
70	277	402	679
60	177	261	438
15	106	302	408
30	106	245	351
40	111	206	317
50	117	176	293
90	18	101	119

ft_rpc

Label:	Feeling Thermometer: Republican Presidential cand
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 27-4
Description:	Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) [preload: REP_PCNAME]
Randomization:	Order of Presidential cands
Logic:	Load the preloaded name of the Republican Presidential candidate.
Source:	Respondent

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	349	652	1001
50	359	320	679
70	200	383	583
85	153	426	579
30	215	350	565
15	205	334	539
40	203	334	537
60	198	324	522
100	74	209	283
90	4	70	74

ft_dvpc

Label:	Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Vice-Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-5
Description:	Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) [preload: DEM_VPCNAME]
Randomization:	Order of political figure thermometers after Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the individual political figure thermometers after the Presidential candidates. Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Vice-Presidential candidate.
Source:	Respondent

70 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	397	559	956
0	152	670	822
85	272	393	665
70	239	348	587
60	179	314	493
100	164	281	445
15	117	290	407
40	123	205	328
30	103	205	308
-2	208	0	208

ft_rvpc

Label:	Feeling Thermometer: Republican Vice-Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-6
Description:	Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) [preload: REP_VPCNAME]
Randomization:	Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands
Logic:	Load the preloaded name of the Republican Vice-Presidential candidate.
Source:	Respondent

61 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	457	636	1093
0	250	683	933
85	114	385	499
70	139	334	473
15	137	311	448
60	142	278	420
-2	377	0	377
30	104	259	363
40	136	216	352
100	61	202	263

ft_hclinton

Label:	Feeling Thermometer: Hillary Clinton
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-7
Description:	Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) HILLARY CLINTON
Randomization:	Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands
Source:	Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
85	437	595	1032
70	378	423	801
100	291	468	759
60	276	416	692
50	206	413	619
0	79	331	410
40	104	244	348
15	87	194	281
30	82	196	278
90	26	101	127

ft_gwb

Label:	Feeling Thermometer: GW Bush
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-8
Description:	Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) GEORGE W. BUSH
Randomization:	Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands
Source:	Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	481	732	1213
50	253	467	720
60	211	368	579
70	203	368	571
85	169	323	492
30	198	281	479
15	197	278	475
40	175	285	460
100	76	197	273
80	6	63	69

ft_dem

Label:	Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-11
Description:	Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) the DEMOCRATIC PARTY
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Logic:	Randomize the order of the Democratic party and Republican party thermometers (after the individual figure thermometers)
Source:	Respondent

70 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	304	501	805
85	336	381	717
70	285	428	713
60	276	422	698
100	297	286	583
0	81	406	487
40	147	311	458
30	114	269	383
15	87	276	363
80	18	72	90

ft_rep

Label:	Feeling Thermometer: Republican Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 27-12
Description:	Pre political figure and party thermometers
Question:	(Looking at [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet) (How would you rate:) the REPUBLICAN PARTY
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Source:	Respondent

71 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	414	557	971
0	313	614	927
60	245	422	667
70	178	384	562
15	191	357	548
40	227	315	542
30	201	333	534
85	115	229	344
100	51	94	145
10	9	69	78

ptylik_likdp

Label:	Is there anything R likes about Democratic Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-2
Description:	Major party likes-dislikes
Question:	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the Democratic party?
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Logic:	Randomize the order of the parties for the party likes-dislikes set
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1213	1867	3080
2. No	724	1985	2709
-8. Don't know	100	0	100
-9. Refused	17	8	25

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	59.1	54.1	48.4	43.5	52.1	47.2
2. No	35.2	40.9	51.4	56.2	45.8	50.9
-8. Don't know	4.9	4.4	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.5
-9. Refused	0.8	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5

ptylik_lwhatdp

Label:	What does R like about Democratic party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-3
Description:	Major party likes-dislikes
Question:	(What is that?)
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Universe:	IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Logic:	Ask if PTYLIK_LIKDP=1 else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

ptylik_disldp

Label:	Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-4
Description:	Major party likes-dislikes
Question:	Is there anything in particular that you DON'T LIKE about the Democratic party?
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	782	1938	2720
2. No	1155	1911	3066
-8. Don't know	99	0	99
-9. Refused	18	11	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	38.1	48.7	50.2	47.4	46.0	47.8
2. No	56.2	46.4	49.5	52.2	51.8	50.2
-8. Don't know	4.8	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.4
-9. Refused	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6

ptylik_dwhatdp

Label:	What does R dislike about the Democratic party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-5
Description:	Major party likes-dislikes
Question:	(What is that?)
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Universe:	IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Logic:	Ask if PTYLIK_DISLDP=1 else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

ptylik_likrp

Label:	Is there anything R likes about Republican Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-6
Description:	Major party likes-dislikes
Question:	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the Republican party?
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	719	1613	2332
2. No	1211	2238	3449
-8. Don't know	111	0	111
-9. Refused	13	9	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	35.0	45.4	41.8	39.9	39.4	41.8
2. No	59.0	49.3	58.0	59.8	58.3	56.1
-8. Don't know	5.4	4.7	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.6
-9. Refused	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4

ptylik_lwhatrp

Label:	What does R like about Republican party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-7
Description:	Major party likes-dislikes
Question:	(What is that?)
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Universe:	IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Logic:	Ask if PTYLIK_LIKRP=1 else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

ptylik_dislrp

Label:	Is there anything R dislikes about Republican Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-8
Description:	Major party likes-dislikes
Question:	Is there anything in particular that you DON'T LIKE about the Republican party?
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1136	2195	3331
2. No	780	1652	2432
-8. Don't know	119	0	119
-9. Refused	19	13	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	55.3	57.3	56.9	51.6	56.3	53.6
2. No	38.0	37.5	42.8	47.9	41.1	44.3
-8. Don't know	5.8	4.6	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.6
-9. Refused	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5

ptylik_dwhatrp

Label:	What does R dislike about the Republican party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 28-9
Description:	Major party likes-dislikes
Question:	(What is that?)
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Universe:	IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Logic:	Ask if PTYLIK_DISLRP=1 else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

finance_finfam

Label:	R living with how many family members
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-1
Description:	Personal financial situation
Question:	Now on another topic. How many family members are living with you?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No family members	563	838	1401
1. One family member	503	1291	1794
2. Two family members	342	663	1005
3. Three family members	292	524	816
4. Four family members	202	292	494
5. Five or more family members	149	240	389
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	2	12	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No family members	27.4	19.4	21.7	20.6	23.7	20.2
1. One family member	24.5	28.4	33.4	30.5	30.3	29.8
2. Two family members	16.7	18.8	17.2	17.7	17.0	18.1
3. Three family members	14.2	15.7	13.6	15.1	13.8	15.3
4. Four family members	9.8	11.9	7.6	8.6	8.4	9.8
5. Five or more family members	7.3	5.7	6.2	7.0	6.6	6.6
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3

finance_finpast

Label:	R better/worse off than 1 year ago
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-2
Description:	Personal financial situation
Question:	We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that [you/you and your family living here] are BETTER off or WORSE off than you were a year ago?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS / IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
Logic:	If FINANCE_FINFAM = 0 then load 'you' If FINANCE_FINFAM > 0 or in(DK,RF) or missing load 'you and your family living here'
Web Logic:	Online, omit response option 3.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Better	937	1875	2812
2. Worse	637	1941	2578
3. The same (VOL)	465	0	465
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	5	44	49

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Better	45.6	41.6	48.6	47.7	47.5	45.6
2. Worse	31.0	34.3	50.3	51.2	43.6	45.3
3. The same (VOL)	22.6	23.2	0.0	0.0	7.9	8.1
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.8

finance_finpastamt

Label:	R how much better/worse off than 1 year ago
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-3
Description:	Personal financial situation
Question:	[MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better / MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse]?
Universe:	IF R HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR / IF R HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:
Logic:	Ask if FINANCE_FINPAST in(1,2), else skip. If FINANCE_FINPAST = 1 load 'better'; if FINANCE_FINPAST = 2 load 'worse' else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	478	782	1260
2. Somewhat	1092	3030	4122
-1. Inapplicable	480	44	524
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	23.3	19.9	20.3	21.5	21.3	21.0
2. Somewhat	53.2	55.8	78.5	77.2	69.7	69.8
-1. Inapplicable	23.4	24.1	1.1	1.1	8.9	9.1
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

finance_finpast_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Better or worse off than 1 year ago

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much better	287	283	570
2. Somewhat better	649	1591	2240
3. The same (VOL)	465	0	465
4. Somewhat worse	443	1439	1882
5. Much worse	191	499	690
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	5	48	53

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much better	14.0	10.7	7.3	7.7	9.6	8.7
2. Somewhat better	31.6	30.9	41.2	40.1	37.9	36.9
3. The same (VOL)	22.6	23.2	0.0	0.0	7.9	8.1
4. Somewhat worse	21.6	24.9	37.3	37.2	31.8	32.9
5. Much worse	9.3	9.2	12.9	13.8	11.7	12.2
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	1.2	1.3	0.9	0.9

finance_finnext

Label:	Will R be financially better/worse off one year from now
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-4
Description:	Personal financial situation
Question:	Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now [you / you and your family living here] will be BETTER OFF financially, WORSE OFF, or JUST ABOUT THE SAME as now?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS / IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
Logic:	If FINANCE_FINFAM = 0 then load 'you'; if FINANCE_FINFAM > 0 or in(DK,RF) or missing load 'you and your family living here'; else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Better	936	1327	2263
2. Worse	121	549	670
3. The same	897	1966	2863
-8. Don't know	96	0	96
-9. Refused	4	18	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Better	45.6	39.0	34.4	34.8	38.3	36.2
2. Worse	5.9	6.7	14.2	13.9	11.3	11.4
3. The same	43.7	48.7	50.9	50.8	48.4	50.0
-8. Don't know	4.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.8
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5

finance_finnextamt

Label:	R how much better/worse off 1 year from now
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 30-5
Description:	Personal financial situation
Question:	[MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better/ MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse]?
Universe:	IF R THINKS WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW / IF R THINKS WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:
Logic:	Ask if FINANCE_FINNEXT in(1,2), else skip. If FINANCE_FINNEXT = 1 load 'better'; If FINANCE_FINNEXT = 2 load 'worse'.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	507	625	1132
2. Somewhat	544	1250	1794
-1. Inapplicable	997	1984	2981
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	24.7	17.4	16.2	16.7	19.1	17.0
2. Somewhat	26.5	28.0	32.4	31.9	30.3	30.5
-1. Inapplicable	48.5	54.3	51.4	51.3	50.4	52.4
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

finance_finnext_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Better or worse off 1 year from now

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much better	475	446	921
2. Somewhat better	457	880	1337
3. The same	897	1966	2863
4. Somewhat worse	87	370	457
5. Much worse	32	179	211
-8. Don't know	102	0	102
-9. Refused	4	19	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much better	23.1	15.8	11.6	12.0	15.6	13.3
2. Somewhat better	22.2	23.0	22.8	22.8	22.6	22.8
3. The same	43.7	48.7	50.9	50.8	48.4	50.0
4. Somewhat worse	4.2	5.0	9.6	9.1	7.7	7.7
5. Much worse	1.6	1.6	4.6	4.8	3.6	3.7
-8. Don't know	5.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.9
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5

health_insured

Label:	Does R have health insurance
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-0.5
Description:	Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question:	Do you presently have any kind of health insurance?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1639	3320	4959
2. No	410	533	943
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	3	7	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	79.8	84.9	86.0	85.0	83.9	85.0
2. No	20.0	14.9	13.8	14.7	15.9	14.8
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

health_2010hcr

Label:	Favor or oppose 2010 health care law
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-1
Description:	Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the health care reform law passed in 2010? This law requires all Americans to buy health insurance and requires health insurance companies to accept everyone.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1090	1454	2544
2. Oppose	567	1433	2000
3. Neither favor nor oppose	337	965	1302
-8. Don't know	51	0	51
-9. Refused	9	8	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	53.1	43.7	37.7	34.3	43.0	37.5
2. Oppose	27.6	36.6	37.1	38.4	33.8	37.8
3. Neither favor nor oppose	16.4	17.2	25.0	27.0	22.0	23.6
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4

health_2010hcrfav

Label:	Strength of support or oppose 2010 law
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-1.1
Description:	Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question:	Do you favor that [a great deal, moderately, or a little / a little, moderately, or a great deal]?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS THE 2010 HEALTH CARE LAW:
Logic:	Ask if HLTH_LAW =1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	565	674	1239
2. Moderately	409	658	1067
3. A little	114	121	235
-1. Inapplicable	964	2406	3370
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	27.5	21.5	17.5	14.7	21.0	17.1
2. Moderately	19.9	18.1	17.0	16.3	18.0	16.9
3. A little	5.6	4.2	3.1	3.2	4.0	3.6
-1. Inapplicable	46.9	56.3	62.3	65.7	57.0	62.5
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

health_2010hcropp

Label:	Strength of support or oppose 2010 law
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-1.2
Description:	Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question:	Do you oppose that (a great deal, moderately, or a little / a little, moderately, or a great deal)?
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES THE 2010 HEALTH CARE LAW:
Logic:	Ask if HLTH_LAW =2, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	350	961	1311
2. Moderately	168	384	552
3. A little	48	88	136
-1. Inapplicable	1487	2426	3913
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	17.0	22.9	24.9	24.7	22.2	24.1
2. Moderately	8.2	11.0	9.9	11.0	9.3	11.0
3. A little	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	72.4	63.4	62.8	61.5	66.2	62.2
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

health_2010hcr_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Support 2010 health care law

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	565	674	1239
2. Favor moderately	409	658	1067
3. Favor a little	114	121	235
4. Neither favor nor oppose	337	965	1302
5. Oppose a little	48	88	136
6. Oppose moderately	168	384	552
7. Oppose a great deal	350	960	1310
-8. Don't know	54	0	54
-9. Refused	9	10	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	27.5	21.5	17.5	14.7	21.0	17.1
2. Favor moderately	19.9	18.1	17.0	16.3	18.0	16.9
3. Favor a little	5.6	4.2	3.1	3.2	4.0	3.6
4. Neither favor nor oppose	16.4	17.2	25.0	27.0	22.0	23.6
5. Oppose a little	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.7
6. Oppose moderately	8.2	11.0	9.9	11.0	9.3	11.0
7. Oppose a great deal	17.0	22.9	24.9	24.7	22.2	24.0
-8. Don't know	2.6	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5

health_self

Label:	Health of R
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-3
Description:	Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question:	Would you say that in general your health is [excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor / poor, fair, good, very good, or excellent]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Excellent	343	393	736
2. Very good	581	1305	1886
3. Good	672	1392	2064
4. Fair	351	629	980
5. Poor	102	134	236
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	4	7	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Excellent	16.7	18.1	10.2	10.8	12.4	13.3
2. Very good	28.3	30.5	33.8	34.3	31.9	33.0
3. Good	32.7	31.7	36.1	35.3	34.9	34.0
4. Fair	17.1	14.9	16.3	15.9	16.6	15.5
5. Poor	5.0	4.5	3.5	3.4	4.0	3.8
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

health_smoke

Label:	Smoked Cigarettes
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-5
Description:	Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question:	Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your ENTIRE LIFE?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	907	1740	2647
2. No	1140	2113	3253
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	3	7	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	44.2	46.5	45.1	43.5	44.8	44.6
2. No	55.5	53.2	54.7	56.2	55.0	55.1
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

health_smokeamt

Label:	If smoked, how many now
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.2-6
Description:	Personal health situation and Health Care Law
Question:	Do you NOW smoke cigarettes [every day, some days, or not at all / not at all, or some days or every day]?
Universe:	IF R HAS SMOKED AT LEAST 100 CIGARETTES IN R'S LIFE:
Logic:	Ask if HLTH_CIG=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every day	322	422	744
2. Some days	141	169	310
3. Not at all	443	1149	1592
-1. Inapplicable	1147	2120	3267
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every day	15.7	14.8	10.9	11.5	12.6	12.6
2. Some days	6.9	5.3	4.4	4.8	5.2	5.0
3. Not at all	21.6	26.4	29.8	27.3	26.9	27.0
-1. Inapplicable	55.8	53.5	54.9	56.5	55.2	55.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

candaff_angdpc

Label:	Affect for Democratic Pres cand: angry
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-2
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. Has [preload: dem_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: ANGRY / Has [preload: dem_pcname] ever made you feel: ANGRY]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Logic:	Randomize the order of the Presidential candidates; randomize the order of the affects within the affect set (same order for both cands). For the 1st affect, include the sentence 'Think about...' at the start of the question.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	741	1974	2715
2. No	1290	1876	3166
-8. Don't know	15	0	15
-9. Refused	8	10	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	36.1	46.5	51.1	51.1	45.9	49.5
2. No	62.8	52.5	48.6	48.6	53.5	49.9
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4

candaff_angdpcoft

Label:	How often affect angry about Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-3
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	How often would you say you□ve felt angry -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:
Logic:	Ask if CANDAFF_ANGDPC=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	51	209	260
2. Most of the time	158	549	707
3. About half the time	130	339	469
4. Some of the time	391	852	1243
5. Never	9	24	33
-1. Inapplicable	1313	1885	3198
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	2.5	3.2	5.4	5.6	4.4	4.7
2. Most of the time	7.7	12.5	14.2	14.1	12.0	13.6
3. About half the time	6.3	8.6	8.8	9.6	7.9	9.2
4. Some of the time	19.0	21.7	22.1	21.4	21.0	21.5
5. Never	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	63.9	53.5	48.8	48.9	54.1	50.5
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0

candaff_hpdpc

Label:	Affect for Democratic Pres cand: hopeful
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-4
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. Has [preload: dem_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: HOPEFUL / Has [preload: dem_pcname] ever made you feel: HOPEFUL]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1476	2164	3640
2. No	561	1686	2247
-8. Don't know	13	0	13
-9. Refused	4	10	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	71.9	65.4	56.1	54.4	61.5	58.3
2. No	27.3	33.5	43.7	45.2	38.0	41.2
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

candaff_hpdpcoft

Label:	How often affect hopeful about Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-5
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	How often would you say you□ve felt hopeful -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
Logic:	Ask if CANDAFF_HPDPC=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	283	221	504
2. Most of the time	471	734	1205
3. About half the time	261	481	742
4. Some of the time	455	711	1166
5. Never	2	15	17
-1. Inapplicable	578	1696	2274
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	13.8	7.5	5.7	5.3	8.5	6.0
2. Most of the time	22.9	20.1	19.0	16.8	20.4	18.0
3. About half the time	12.7	12.1	12.5	12.3	12.5	12.2
4. Some of the time	22.2	25.4	18.4	19.5	19.7	21.5
5. Never	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	28.1	34.6	43.9	45.6	38.5	41.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1

candaff_afrdpc

Label:	Affect for Democratic Pres cand: afraid
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-6
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. Has [preload: dem_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: AFRAID / Has [preload: dem_pcname] ever made you feel: AFRAID]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	441	1401	1842
2. No	1596	2446	4042
-8. Don't know	11	0	11
-9. Refused	6	13	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	21.5	29.3	36.3	36.8	31.1	34.2
2. No	77.7	69.6	63.4	62.6	68.3	65.0
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.5

candaff_afrdpcoft

Label:	How often affect afraid about Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-7
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	How often would you say you□ve felt afraid -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:
Logic:	Ask if CANDAFF_AFRDPC=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	44	202	246
2. Most of the time	92	439	531
3. About half the time	74	254	328
4. Some of the time	228	489	717
5. Never	2	16	18
-1. Inapplicable	1613	2459	4072
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	2.1	3.1	5.2	5.1	4.2	4.4
2. Most of the time	4.5	6.2	11.4	10.9	9.0	9.2
3. About half the time	3.6	5.1	6.6	7.2	5.5	6.5
4. Some of the time	11.1	14.8	12.7	13.2	12.1	13.8
5. Never	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	78.5	70.7	63.7	63.2	68.9	65.8
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

candaff_prddpc

Label:	Affect for Democratic Pres cand: proud
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-8
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. Has [preload: dem_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: PROUD / Has [preload: dem_pcname] ever made you feel: PROUD]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1410	2013	3423
2. No	615	1835	2450
-8. Don't know	26	0	26
-9. Refused	3	12	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	68.6	60.2	52.2	50.0	57.9	53.6
2. No	29.9	38.2	47.5	49.5	41.4	45.6
-8. Don't know	1.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3

candaff_prddpcoft

Label:	How often affect proud about Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-9
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	How often would you say you□ve felt proud -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:
Logic:	Ask if CANDAFF_PRDDPC=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	336	274	610
2. Most of the time	471	765	1236
3. About half the time	180	323	503
4. Some of the time	417	641	1058
5. Never	3	7	10
-1. Inapplicable	644	1847	2491
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	2	3	5

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	16.4	9.1	7.1	6.3	10.3	7.3
2. Most of the time	22.9	19.4	19.8	17.5	20.9	18.2
3. About half the time	8.8	7.9	8.4	8.4	8.5	8.2
4. Some of the time	20.3	23.6	16.6	17.5	17.9	19.6
5. Never	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	31.4	39.8	47.8	50.0	42.1	46.4
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

candaff_angrpc

Label:	Affect for Republican Pres cand: angry
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-10
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. Has [preload: rep_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: ANGRY / Has [preload: rep_pcname] ever made you feel: ANGRY]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Logic:	For the 1st affect, include the sentence 'Think about...' at the start of the question.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	897	1668	2565
2. No	1108	2183	3291
-8. Don't know	38	0	38
-9. Refused	11	9	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	43.7	37.4	43.2	42.3	43.4	40.6
2. No	53.9	60.3	56.6	57.4	55.6	58.4
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
-9. Refused	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5

candaff_angrpcoft

Label:	How often affect angry about Republican Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-11
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	How often would you say you□ve felt angry -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:
Logic:	Ask if CANDAFF_ANGRPC=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	173	213	386
2. Most of the time	235	545	780
3. About half the time	132	265	397
4. Some of the time	351	630	981
5. Never	5	14	19
-1. Inapplicable	1157	2192	3349
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	8.4	6.3	5.5	5.8	6.5	6.0
2. Most of the time	11.4	8.8	14.1	12.7	13.2	11.3
3. About half the time	6.4	4.9	6.9	7.3	6.7	6.4
4. Some of the time	17.1	17.0	16.3	16.3	16.6	16.5
5. Never	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	56.3	62.6	56.8	57.7	56.6	59.4
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

candaff_hprpc

Label:	Affect for Republican Pres cand: hopeful
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-12
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. Has [preload: rep_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: HOPEFUL / Has [preload: rep_pcname] ever made you feel: HOPEFUL]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	615	1505	2120
2. No	1384	2343	3727
-8. Don't know	49	0	49
-9. Refused	6	12	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	29.9	40.3	39.0	39.1	35.8	39.5
2. No	67.4	57.3	60.7	60.5	63.0	59.4
-8. Don't know	2.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
-9. Refused	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5

candaff_hprpcoft

Label:	How often affect hopeful about Republican Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-13
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	How often would you say you□ve felt hopeful -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
Logic:	Ask if CANDAFF_HPRPC=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	61	113	174
2. Most of the time	183	602	785
3. About half the time	123	316	439
4. Some of the time	237	466	703
5. Never	7	6	13
-1. Inapplicable	1439	2355	3794
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	1	2	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	3.0	3.6	2.9	3.2	2.9	3.4
2. Most of the time	8.9	13.8	15.6	14.6	13.3	14.3
3. About half the time	6.0	8.9	8.2	8.5	7.4	8.7
4. Some of the time	11.5	13.5	12.1	12.6	11.9	12.9
5. Never	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	70.1	59.7	61.0	60.9	64.2	60.5
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1

candaff_afrrpc

Label:	Affect for Republican Pres cand: afraid
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-14
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. Has [preload: rep_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: AFRAID / Has [preload: rep_pcname] ever made you feel: AFRAID]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	629	1339	1968
2. No	1386	2510	3896
-8. Don't know	32	0	32
-9. Refused	7	11	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	30.6	25.9	34.7	33.2	33.3	30.7
2. No	67.5	72.3	65.0	66.4	65.9	68.5
-8. Don't know	1.6	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4

candaff_afrrpcoft

Label:	How often affect afraid about Republican Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-15
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	How often would you say you□ve felt afraid -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:
Logic:	Ask if CANDAFF_AFRRPC=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	146	190	336
2. Most of the time	159	397	556
3. About half the time	83	247	330
4. Some of the time	231	496	727
5. Never	10	10	20
-1. Inapplicable	1425	2520	3945

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	7.1	5.3	4.9	4.9	5.7	5.1
2. Most of the time	7.7	6.4	10.3	9.0	9.4	8.1
3. About half the time	4.0	3.4	6.4	6.7	5.6	5.5
4. Some of the time	11.2	10.5	12.8	12.5	12.3	11.8
5. Never	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	69.4	74.1	65.3	66.8	66.7	69.3

candaff_prdrpc

Label:	Affect for Republican Pres cand: proud
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-16
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. Has [preload: rep_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: PROUD / Has [preload: rep_pcname] ever made you feel: PROUD]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	369	1100	1469
2. No	1614	2746	4360
-8. Don't know	63	0	63
-9. Refused	8	14	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	18.0	25.2	28.5	28.4	24.8	27.3
2. No	78.6	70.8	71.1	71.2	73.7	71.1
-8. Don't know	3.1	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4

candaff_prdrpcoft

Label:	How often affect proud about Republican Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 31.7-17
Description:	Presidential candidate affects
Question:	How often would you say you□ve felt proud -- [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects
Universe:	IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:
Logic:	Ask if CANDAFF_PRDRPC=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	35	100	135
2. Most of the time	132	456	588
3. About half the time	55	207	262
4. Some of the time	142	332	474
5. Never	3	3	6
-1. Inapplicable	1685	2760	4445
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	1.7	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.5
2. Most of the time	6.4	9.8	11.8	11.3	9.9	10.7
3. About half the time	2.7	4.3	5.4	5.5	4.4	5.1
4. Some of the time	6.9	8.7	8.6	8.8	8.0	8.8
5. Never	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	82.0	74.8	71.5	71.6	75.2	72.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

libcpre_self

Label:	7pt scale Liberal/conservative self-placement
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 32-2
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	71	124	195
2. Liberal	206	432	638
3. Slightly liberal	191	450	641
4. Moderate; middle of the road	477	1351	1828
5. Slightly conservative	227	562	789
6. Conservative	235	766	1001
7. Extremely conservative	57	151	208
-2. Haven't thought much about this	556	0	556
-8. Don't know	26	0	26
-9. Refused	8	24	32

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	3.5	2.3	3.2	3.2	3.3	2.9
2. Liberal	10.0	10.0	11.2	10.3	10.8	10.2
3. Slightly liberal	9.3	8.8	11.7	11.7	10.8	10.7
4. Moderate; middle of the road	23.2	23.5	35.0	35.6	30.9	31.4
5. Slightly conservative	11.1	13.1	14.6	14.8	13.3	14.2
6. Conservative	11.4	15.4	19.8	18.9	16.9	17.7
7. Extremely conservative	2.8	3.6	3.9	4.5	3.5	4.2
-2. Haven't thought much about this	27.1	22.0	0.0	0.0	9.4	7.6
-8. Don't know	1.3	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.7

libcpre_choose

Label:	If R had to choose liberal or conservative self-placemt
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 32-3
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?
Universe:	IF LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:
Logic:	Ask if LIBCPRE_LCSELF in(4,DK,RF,99) else skip
Web Logic:	Online, do not display □VOL□ with response option 3; display □Moderate□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Liberal	351	142	493
2. Conservative	393	254	647
3. Moderate (VOL)	157	956	1113
-1. Inapplicable	987	2485	3472
-8. Don't know	150	0	150
-9. Refused	16	23	39

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Liberal	17.1	15.7	3.7	4.1	8.3	8.1
2. Conservative	19.1	17.4	6.6	7.2	10.9	10.8
3. Moderate (VOL)	7.6	6.7	24.8	24.4	18.8	18.3
-1. Inapplicable	48.1	53.2	64.4	63.4	58.7	59.9
-8. Don't know	7.3	6.2	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.2
-9. Refused	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8

libcpre_dpc

Label:	7pt scale liberal/conservative - Dem Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32-4
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d]) Where would you place [preload: DEM_PCNAME] on this scale?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the liberal-conservative placements for the Presidential candidates.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the text '(Still looking at page [preload: prepg d])'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	359	1130	1489
2. Liberal	502	1029	1531
3. Slightly liberal	277	666	943
4. Moderate; middle of the road	352	656	1008
5. Slightly conservative	107	147	254
6. Conservative	146	125	271
7. Extremely conservative	122	62	184
-8. Don't know	178	0	178
-9. Refused	11	45	56

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	17.5	21.7	29.3	28.8	25.2	26.3
2. Liberal	24.4	28.5	26.7	27.0	25.9	27.5
3. Slightly liberal	13.5	14.4	17.3	15.5	15.9	15.1
4. Moderate; middle of the road	17.1	15.5	17.0	17.4	17.0	16.8
5. Slightly conservative	5.2	3.5	3.8	4.1	4.3	3.9
6. Conservative	7.1	5.2	3.2	3.4	4.6	4.0
7. Extremely conservative	5.9	3.1	1.6	2.0	3.1	2.4
-8. Don't know	8.7	7.6	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.6
-9. Refused	0.5	0.6	1.2	1.7	0.9	1.3

libcpre_rpc

Label:	7pt scale liberal/conservative - Rep Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32-5
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d]) Where would you place [preload: REP_PCNAME] on this scale?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Web Logic:	Online, omit the text '(Still looking at page [preload: prepg d])'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	106	176	282
2. Liberal	125	174	299
3. Slightly liberal	140	177	317
4. Moderate; middle of the road	229	513	742
5. Slightly conservative	229	611	840
6. Conservative	590	1418	2008
7. Extremely conservative	376	736	1112
-8. Don't know	243	0	243
-9. Refused	16	55	71

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	5.2	3.3	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.2
2. Liberal	6.1	4.7	4.5	5.1	5.1	5.0
3. Slightly liberal	6.8	6.0	4.6	4.8	5.4	5.2
4. Moderate; middle of the road	11.1	10.5	13.3	14.7	12.5	13.3
5. Slightly conservative	11.1	13.4	15.8	14.7	14.2	14.2
6. Conservative	28.7	35.8	36.7	36.2	34.0	36.1
7. Extremely conservative	18.3	15.4	19.1	17.8	18.8	17.0
-8. Don't know	11.8	10.2	0.0	0.0	4.1	3.5
-9. Refused	0.8	0.7	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.6

libcpre_ptyd

Label:	7pt scale liberal/conservative Dem party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32-6
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d]) Where would you place the DEMOCRATIC PARTY on this scale?
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Logic:	Randomize the order of the liberal-conservative placements for the major parties.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the text '(Still looking at page [preload: prepg d])'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	277	946	1223
2. Liberal	616	1305	1921
3. Slightly liberal	293	633	926
4. Moderate; middle of the road	306	597	903
5. Slightly conservative	145	153	298
6. Conservative	144	125	269
7. Extremely conservative	79	55	134
-8. Don't know	184	0	184
-9. Refused	10	46	56

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	13.5	16.1	24.5	25.3	20.7	22.1
2. Liberal	30.0	34.6	33.8	31.9	32.5	32.8
3. Slightly liberal	14.3	16.1	16.4	15.4	15.7	15.7
4. Moderate; middle of the road	14.9	13.3	15.5	15.8	15.3	14.9
5. Slightly conservative	7.1	4.9	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
6. Conservative	7.0	5.1	3.2	3.6	4.5	4.1
7. Extremely conservative	3.8	2.1	1.4	2.0	2.3	2.1
-8. Don't know	9.0	7.3	0.0	0.0	3.1	2.5
-9. Refused	0.5	0.5	1.2	1.8	0.9	1.4

libcpre_ptyr

Label:	7pt scale liberal/conservative Rep party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32-7
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d]) Where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY on this scale?
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Web Logic:	Online, omit the text '(Still looking at page [preload: prepg d])'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	91	149	240
2. Liberal	118	169	287
3. Slightly liberal	129	173	302
4. Moderate; middle of the road	235	495	730
5. Slightly conservative	222	511	733
6. Conservative	583	1201	1784
7. Extremely conservative	467	1110	1577
-8. Don't know	196	0	196
-9. Refused	13	52	65

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	4.4	2.6	3.9	4.4	4.1	3.7
2. Liberal	5.7	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.9	4.6
3. Slightly liberal	6.3	6.1	4.5	4.8	5.1	5.2
4. Moderate; middle of the road	11.4	11.0	12.8	14.5	12.3	13.3
5. Slightly conservative	10.8	12.9	13.2	12.7	12.4	12.8
6. Conservative	28.4	33.1	31.1	30.8	30.2	31.6
7. Extremely conservative	22.7	21.7	28.8	26.0	26.7	24.5
-8. Don't know	9.5	7.6	0.0	0.0	3.3	2.6
-9. Refused	0.6	0.6	1.3	2.0	1.1	1.5

divgov_splitgov

Label:	Party Control or split government
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 32.3-1
Description:	Divided Government
Question:	Do you think it is better when ONE PARTY CONTROLS both the presidency and Congress, better when CONTROL IS SPLIT between the Democrats and Republicans, or DOESN□T IT MATTER?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Better when one party controls both	515	1065	1580
2. Better when control is split	1027	1733	2760
3. It doesn't matter	451	1040	1491
-8. Don't know	51	0	51
-9. Refused	10	22	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Better when one party controls both	25.1	23.9	27.6	26.1	26.7	25.4
2. Better when control is split	50.0	52.6	44.9	45.4	46.7	47.9
3. It doesn't matter	22.0	20.9	26.9	27.6	25.2	25.3
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.8

likelypct_howlikvt1

Label:	[1/2 SAMPLE 2A] How likely is it that R will vote in Nov
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33-1
Description:	Likelihood/percent chance that R will vote
Question:	How likely is it that you will vote in the general election this November? [extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely]?
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A HERE AND VOTE PERCENT 2B LATER IN IW:
Logic:	Module LIKELYPCT and later module PCTLIKELY both include the same 2 questions, however by 1/2 samples Respondents are randomly assigned to be administered 1 of the questions in module LIKELYPCT and the remaining question in module PCTLIKELY. If in module LIKELYPCT R is administered LIKELYPCT_HOWLIKVT1 then R is later administered PCTLIKELY_WHATPCT2; if R is administered LIKELYPCT_WHATPCT1 then R is later administered PCTLIKELY_HOWLIKVT2. Variable likvt determines whether the likelihood question is asked first (1=yes, 0=no). Ask if PREVOTE_HOWVOTE not in(1,2,3) and likvt=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely likely	597	1453	2050
2. Very likely	136	189	325
3. Moderately likely	72	94	166
4. Slightly likely	56	74	130
5. Not likely at all	136	126	262
-1. Inapplicable	1053	1921	2974
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	2	3	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely likely	29.1	30.5	37.6	34.1	34.7	32.9
2. Very likely	6.6	7.2	4.9	5.8	5.5	6.3
3. Moderately likely	3.5	3.0	2.4	3.1	2.8	3.0
4. Slightly likely	2.7	2.2	1.9	2.5	2.2	2.4
5. Not likely at all	6.6	6.3	3.3	4.5	4.4	5.1
-1. Inapplicable	51.3	50.7	49.8	49.9	50.3	50.2
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

likelypct_whatpct1

Label:	[1/2 SAMPLE 2B] What is percent chance R will vote in Nov
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33-2
Description:	Likelihood/percent chance that R will vote
Question:	What is the percent chance that you will vote in the general election this November? You can answer with any number between 0 and 100 where 0 means no chance, 45-55 means a fairly even chance, and 100 means you are absolutely certain.
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE PERCENT 2B HERE AND VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A LATER IN IW:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_HOWVOTE not in(1,2,3) and likvt=0, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

44 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	1113	1940	3053
100	637	1439	2076
0	105	115	220
50	33	60	93
90	28	45	73
99	11	37	48
45	21	24	45
80	18	24	42
95	15	20	35
55	15	18	33

campfin_limcorp

Label:	Should gov be able to limit corporate contributions
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.1-1
Description:	Campaign finance regulation
Question:	Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the government being able to place limits on how much money corporations and unions can give to a political candidate?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1119	2404	3523
2. Oppose	432	393	825
3. Neither favor nor oppose	391	1053	1444
-8. Don't know	94	0	94
-9. Refused	18	10	28

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	54.5	60.0	62.3	59.6	59.6	59.7
2. Oppose	21.0	19.7	10.2	10.6	13.9	13.8
3. Neither favor nor oppose	19.0	15.6	27.3	29.4	24.4	24.6
-8. Don't know	4.6	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.3
-9. Refused	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6

campfin_banads

Label:	Ban corporate/union ads for candidates
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.1-3
Description:	Campaign finance regulation
Question:	Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the federal government banning political ads sponsored by corporations or unions that directly support or oppose political candidates?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	740	1701	2441
2. Oppose	647	636	1283
3. Neither favor nor oppose	541	1511	2052
-8. Don't know	109	0	109
-9. Refused	17	12	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	36.0	40.9	44.1	42.4	41.3	41.8
2. Oppose	31.5	31.6	16.5	16.2	21.7	21.5
3. Neither favor nor oppose	26.3	23.1	39.1	40.9	34.7	34.7
-8. Don't know	5.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.3
-9. Refused	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.6

ineq_incgap

Label:	Income gap today more or less than 20 years ago
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.7-1
Description:	Income inequality in the U.S.
Question:	Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is LARGER, SMALLER, or ABOUT THE SAME as it was 20 years ago?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Larger	1629	2973	4602
2. Smaller	127	198	325
3. About the same	216	673	889
-8. Don't know	79	0	79
-9. Refused	3	16	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Larger	79.3	80.1	77.0	74.9	77.8	76.7
2. Smaller	6.2	5.3	5.1	5.9	5.5	5.7
3. About the same	10.5	10.4	17.4	18.6	15.0	15.7
-8. Don't know	3.8	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.5

ineq_gapmore

Label:	How much larger is income gap today
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.7-2
Description:	Income inequality in the U.S.
Question:	(Would you say the difference in incomes is) MUCH larger or SOMEWHAT larger?
Universe:	IF INCOME GAP TODAY IS LARGER THAN IT WAS 20 YEARS AGO:
Logic:	Ask if INEQ_INCGAP =1, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	1094	2232	3326
2. Somewhat	520	740	1260
-1. Inapplicable	425	887	1312
-8. Don't know	15	0	15
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	53.3	52.4	57.8	54.6	56.2	53.8
2. Somewhat	25.3	26.7	19.2	20.2	21.3	22.5
-1. Inapplicable	20.7	19.9	23.0	25.1	22.2	23.3
-8. Don't know	0.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

ineq_gapless

Label:	How much smaller is income gap today
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 33.7-3
Description:	Income inequality in the U.S.
Question:	(Would you say the difference in incomes is) MUCH smaller or SOMEWHAT smaller?
Universe:	IF INCOME GAP TODAY IS SMALLER THAN IT WAS 20 YEARS AGO:
Logic:	Ask if INEQ_INCGAP =2, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	47	65	112
2. Somewhat	79	132	211
-1. Inapplicable	1927	3662	5589
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	2.3	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.9	1.9
2. Somewhat	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.8
-1. Inapplicable	93.8	94.7	94.9	94.1	94.5	94.3
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

ineq_incgap_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Income gap size compared to 20 years ago

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much larger	1094	2232	3326
2. Somewhat larger	520	740	1260
3. About the same	216	673	889
4. Somewhat smaller	79	132	211
5. Much smaller	47	65	112
-8. Don't know	95	0	95
-9. Refused	3	18	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much larger	53.3	52.4	57.8	54.6	56.2	53.8
2. Somewhat larger	25.3	26.7	19.2	20.2	21.3	22.5
3. About the same	10.5	10.4	17.4	18.6	15.0	15.7
4. Somewhat smaller	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.8
5. Much smaller	2.3	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.9	1.9
-8. Don't know	4.6	5.1	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.8
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

effic_complicstd

Label:	[STD] Politics/govt too complicated to understand
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-1
Description:	Efficacy - Pre
Question:	'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.' Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip. This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	279	222	501
2. Agree somewhat	416	719	1135
3. Neither agree nor disagree	98	390	488
4. Disagree somewhat	154	362	516
5. Disagree strongly	99	274	373
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	8	8

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	13.6	12.6	5.8	6.0	8.5	8.3
2. Agree somewhat	20.3	20.4	18.6	19.1	19.2	19.6
3. Neither agree nor disagree	4.8	4.0	10.1	10.0	8.3	7.9
4. Disagree somewhat	7.5	8.2	9.4	8.6	8.7	8.4
5. Disagree strongly	4.8	5.0	7.1	6.5	6.3	5.9
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

effic_undstd

Label:	[STD] Good understanding of political issues
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-2
Description:	Efficacy - Pre
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_e] in the booklet) 'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country.' (Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	307	447	754
2. Agree somewhat	506	931	1437
3. Neither agree nor disagree	97	368	465
4. Disagree somewhat	94	178	272
5. Disagree strongly	40	43	83
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	1	8	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	14.9	15.1	11.6	10.5	12.7	12.1
2. Agree somewhat	24.6	24.0	24.1	22.7	24.3	23.2
3. Neither agree nor disagree	4.7	4.6	9.5	9.9	7.9	8.1
4. Disagree somewhat	4.6	4.2	4.6	5.9	4.6	5.3
5. Disagree strongly	1.9	2.1	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.4
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

effic_carestd

Label:	[STD] Publ officials don't care what people think
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-3
Description:	Efficacy - Pre
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_e] in the booklet) 'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.' (Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	267	413	680
2. Agree somewhat	338	813	1151
3. Neither agree nor disagree	182	416	598
4. Disagree somewhat	190	292	482
5. Disagree strongly	62	33	95
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	2	8	10

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	13.0	12.1	10.7	11.0	11.5	11.4
2. Agree somewhat	16.5	15.8	21.1	21.1	19.5	19.3
3. Neither agree nor disagree	8.9	9.5	10.8	10.9	10.1	10.4
4. Disagree somewhat	9.3	9.5	7.6	6.4	8.2	7.5
5. Disagree strongly	3.0	3.0	0.9	0.7	1.6	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

effic_saystd

Label:	[STD] Have no say about what govt does
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-4
Description:	Efficacy - Pre
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: prepg_e] in the booklet) 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.' (Do you AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY with this statement?)
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	205	308	513
2. Agree somewhat	271	663	934
3. Neither agree nor disagree	115	356	471
4. Disagree somewhat	292	495	787
5. Disagree strongly	160	144	304
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	1	9	10

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	10.0	8.7	8.0	8.3	8.7	8.4
2. Agree somewhat	13.2	12.6	17.2	17.5	15.8	15.8
3. Neither agree nor disagree	5.6	6.0	9.2	9.5	8.0	8.3
4. Disagree somewhat	14.2	15.0	12.8	11.6	13.3	12.8
5. Disagree strongly	7.8	7.7	3.7	3.1	5.1	4.7
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

effic_complicrev

Label:	[REV] Politics/govt too complicated to understand
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-5
Description:	Efficacy - Pre
Question:	How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	95	68	163
2. Most of the time	238	329	567
3. About half the time	255	523	778
4. Some of the time	343	746	1089
5. Never	67	214	281
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	1	5	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	4.6	4.3	1.8	2.0	2.8	2.8
2. Most of the time	11.6	12.6	8.5	9.2	9.6	10.4
3. About half the time	12.4	13.5	13.5	15.1	13.2	14.5
4. Some of the time	16.7	16.0	19.3	18.2	18.4	17.4
5. Never	3.3	3.1	5.5	4.8	4.8	4.2
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2

effic_undrev

Label:	[REV] Good understanding of political issues
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-6
Description:	Efficacy - Pre
Question:	How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL / NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	84	168	252
2. Very well	206	483	689
3. Moderately well	464	818	1282
4. Slightly well	177	323	500
5. Not well at all	70	88	158
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	5	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	4.1	3.4	4.4	3.8	4.3	3.6
2. Very well	10.0	10.3	12.5	10.7	11.7	10.6
3. Moderately well	22.6	25.7	21.2	22.4	21.7	23.5
4. Slightly well	8.6	7.4	8.4	9.7	8.5	8.9
5. Not well at all	3.4	2.8	2.3	2.8	2.7	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2

effic_carerev

Label:	[REV] Publ officials don't care what people think
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-7
Description:	Efficacy - Pre
Question:	How much do public officials care what people like you think? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL/ NOT AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	53	36	89
2. A lot	69	122	191
3. A moderate amount	317	601	918
4. A little	361	766	1127
5. Not at all	189	354	543
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	16	0	16
-9. Refused	1	6	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	2.6	1.7	0.9	0.8	1.5	1.1
2. A lot	3.4	3.3	3.2	2.9	3.2	3.1
3. A moderate amount	15.4	17.6	15.6	15.0	15.5	15.9
4. A little	17.6	17.7	19.8	20.8	19.1	19.7
5. Not at all	9.2	8.9	9.2	9.9	9.2	9.6
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2

effic_sayrev

Label:	[REV] Have no say about what govt does
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 34-8
Description:	Efficacy - Pre
Question:	How much can people like you affect what the government does? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL / NOT AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	125	103	228
2. A lot	131	246	377
3. A moderate amount	268	550	818
4. A little	326	714	1040
5. Not at all	147	268	415
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	1	4	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	6.1	3.9	2.7	2.2	3.9	2.8
2. A lot	6.4	6.3	6.4	5.8	6.4	6.0
3. A moderate amount	13.0	13.3	14.2	14.6	13.8	14.2
4. A little	15.9	18.2	18.5	19.4	17.6	19.0
5. Not at all	7.2	7.7	6.9	7.4	7.0	7.5
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

econ_ecnow

Label:	Current economy good or bad
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-1
Description:	State of the economy
Question:	What do you think about the state of the economy these days in the United States? Would you say the state of the economy is [very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad, or very bad / very bad, bad, neither good nor bad, good, or very good]?
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very good	10	13	23
2. Good	210	283	493
3. Neither good nor bad	542	1109	1651
4. Bad	869	1614	2483
5. Very bad	403	827	1230
-8. Don't know	19	0	19
-9. Refused	1	14	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very good	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4
2. Good	10.2	7.7	7.3	6.5	8.3	6.9
3. Neither good nor bad	26.4	22.3	28.7	28.0	27.9	26.0
4. Bad	42.3	46.2	41.8	42.5	42.0	43.8
5. Very bad	19.6	22.7	21.4	22.0	20.8	22.2
-8. Don't know	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5

econ_ecpast

Label:	National economy better/worse in last year
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-2
Description:	State of the economy
Question:	Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN WORSE?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Gotten better	671	1241	1912
2. Stayed about the same	756	1280	2036
3. Gotten worse	607	1321	1928
-8. Don't know	17	0	17
-9. Refused	3	18	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Gotten better	32.7	29.6	32.2	28.9	32.3	29.2
2. Stayed about the same	36.8	36.3	33.2	34.1	34.4	34.9
3. Gotten worse	29.6	33.3	34.2	36.2	32.6	35.2
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

econ_ecpastamt

Label:	How much national economy better/worse in last year
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-3
Description:	State of the economy
Question:	[MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? / MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?]
Universe:	IF R THINKS THE ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER IN THE PAST YEAR / IF R THINKS THE ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE IN THE PAST YEAR:
Logic:	Ask if ECON_ECPAST in(1,3), else skip. IF ECON_ECPAST=1 FILL 'Much better or somewhat better?' IF ECON_ECPAST=3 FILL 'Much worse or somewhat worse?'
Web Logic:	Online, bank with the previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	357	856	1213
2. Somewhat	917	1705	2622
-1. Inapplicable	776	1298	2074
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	17.4	18.5	22.2	22.8	20.5	21.3
2. Somewhat	44.6	44.2	44.2	42.4	44.3	43.0
-1. Inapplicable	37.8	37.1	33.6	34.8	35.1	35.6
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

econ_ecpast_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- U.S. economy better or worse than 1 year ago

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much better	96	126	222
2. Somewhat better	574	1114	1688
3. Stayed about the same	756	1280	2036
4. Somewhat worse	343	591	934
5. Much worse	261	730	991
-8. Don't know	21	0	21
-9. Refused	3	19	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much better	4.7	3.1	3.3	3.0	3.8	3.0
2. Somewhat better	27.9	26.5	28.9	25.9	28.5	26.1
3. Stayed about the same	36.8	36.3	33.2	34.1	34.4	34.9
4. Somewhat worse	16.7	17.7	15.3	16.4	15.8	16.9
5. Much worse	12.7	15.4	18.9	19.8	16.8	18.3
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

econ_ecnext

Label:	Economy better or worse in next 12 months
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-4
Description:	State of the economy
Question:	What about the next 12 months? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to GET BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Get better	975	1683	2658
2. Stay about the same	756	1571	2327
3. Get worse	214	579	793
-8. Don't know	102	0	102
-9. Refused	7	27	34

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Get better	47.5	40.0	43.6	41.0	44.9	40.7
2. Stay about the same	36.8	41.1	40.7	42.1	39.3	41.8
3. Get worse	10.4	12.2	15.0	15.9	13.4	14.6
-8. Don't know	5.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	2.1
-9. Refused	0.3	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.9

econ_ecnextamt

Label:	How much econ better/worse next 12 months
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-5
Description:	State of the economy
Question:	[MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better / MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse]?
Universe:	IF R THINKS ECONOMY WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT 12 MONTHS / IF R THINKS ECONOMY WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT 12 MONTHS:
Logic:	Ask if ECON_ECNEXT in(1,3), else skip. Fill 'better' if ECON_ECNEXT = 1. Fill 'worse' if ECON_ECNEXT = 3.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with the previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	386	648	1034
2. Somewhat	801	1614	2415
-1. Inapplicable	865	1598	2463
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	18.8	14.3	16.8	15.9	17.5	15.3
2. Somewhat	39.0	37.7	41.8	41.1	40.8	39.9
-1. Inapplicable	42.1	47.8	41.4	43.0	41.6	44.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1

econ_ecnext_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- U.S. economy better or worse 1 year from now

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much better	306	401	707
2. Somewhat better	668	1282	1950
3. Stay about the same	756	1571	2327
4. Somewhat worse	133	332	465
5. Much worse	80	247	327
-8. Don't know	104	0	104
-9. Refused	7	27	34

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much better	14.9	10.1	10.4	9.0	12.0	9.4
2. Somewhat better	32.5	29.9	33.2	32.0	33.0	31.2
3. Stay about the same	36.8	41.1	40.7	42.1	39.3	41.8
4. Somewhat worse	6.5	7.9	8.6	9.1	7.9	8.7
5. Much worse	3.9	4.2	6.4	6.8	5.5	5.9
-8. Don't know	5.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.1
-9. Refused	0.3	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.9

econ_unpast

Label:	Unemployment better or worse in last year
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-9
Description:	State of the economy
Question:	Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten BETTER, stayed ABOUT THE SAME, or gotten WORSE?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Better	618	1170	1788
2. About the same	677	1373	2050
3. Worse	723	1304	2027
-8. Don't know	34	0	34
-9. Refused	2	13	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Better	30.1	27.0	30.3	27.6	30.2	27.4
2. About the same	33.0	33.6	35.6	36.1	34.7	35.2
3. Worse	35.2	37.9	33.8	35.7	34.3	36.5
-8. Don't know	1.7	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.4

econ_unlast

Label:	How much unemployment better or worse in last year
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-10
Description:	State of the economy
Question:	[Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better / Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse]?
Universe:	IF R SAYS UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN BETTER / IF R SAYS UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN WORSE:
Logic:	Ask if ECON_UNPAST in(1,3), else skip. Fill 'better' if ECON_UNPAST = 1. Fill 'worse' if ECON_UNPAST = 3.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with the previous item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	384	750	1134
2. Somewhat	956	1722	2678
-1. Inapplicable	713	1386	2099
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	18.7	17.8	19.4	20.3	19.2	19.4
2. Somewhat	46.5	47.0	44.6	43.0	45.3	44.4
-1. Inapplicable	34.7	35.1	35.9	36.7	35.5	36.1
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

econ_unpast_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Unemployment better or worse than 1 year ago

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much better	87	116	203
2. Somewhat better	531	1054	1585
3. About the same	677	1373	2050
4. Somewhat worse	425	668	1093
5. Much worse	297	634	931
-8. Don't know	35	0	35
-9. Refused	2	15	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much better	4.2	2.2	3.0	2.8	3.4	2.6
2. Somewhat better	25.9	24.7	27.3	24.8	26.8	24.8
3. About the same	33.0	33.6	35.6	36.1	34.7	35.2
4. Somewhat worse	20.7	22.3	17.3	18.2	18.5	19.6
5. Much worse	14.5	15.5	16.4	17.5	15.7	16.8
-8. Don't know	1.7	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4

econ_unnext

Label:	More or less unemployment in next year
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 35-11
Description:	State of the economy
Question:	How about people out of work during THE COMING 12 MONTHS-- do you think that there will be MORE unemployment than now, ABOUT THE SAME, or LESS?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More	381	685	1066
2. About the same	976	2020	2996
3. Less	617	1129	1746
-8. Don't know	74	0	74
-9. Refused	6	26	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More	18.5	17.5	17.7	19.0	18.0	18.5
2. About the same	47.5	50.9	52.3	53.5	50.7	52.6
3. Less	30.0	27.7	29.2	26.7	29.5	27.0
-8. Don't know	3.6	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.7

ecblame_pres

Label:	How much is President to blame for poor econ conditions
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-2
Description:	Blame for poor economic conditions
Question:	How much is President Obama to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much is President Obama to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization:	Order of economic blame items
Universe:	IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic:	Randomize the order of items in the ECBLAME module. If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	163	635	798
2. A lot	228	588	816
3. A moderate amount	509	813	1322
4. A little	602	1055	1657
5. Not at all	491	748	1239
-8. Don't know	52	0	52
-9. Refused	9	21	30

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	7.9	10.9	16.5	16.1	13.5	14.3
2. A lot	11.1	14.3	15.2	15.5	13.8	15.1
3. A moderate amount	24.8	28.4	21.1	22.3	22.4	24.5
4. A little	29.3	27.8	27.3	27.4	28.0	27.5
5. Not at all	23.9	16.3	19.4	17.9	21.0	17.4
-8. Don't know	2.5	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.7

ecblame_fmpr

Label:	How much former President to blame for poor econ conds
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-3
Description:	Blame for poor economic conditions
Question:	How much is former President Bush to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much is former President Bush to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization:	Order of economic blame items
Universe:	IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic:	If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	644	1107	1751
2. A lot	526	756	1282
3. A moderate amount	511	974	1485
4. A little	256	823	1079
5. Not at all	55	182	237
-8. Don't know	54	0	54
-9. Refused	8	18	26

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	31.4	22.8	28.7	27.0	29.6	25.6
2. A lot	25.6	23.8	19.6	18.8	21.7	20.5
3. A moderate amount	24.9	31.6	25.2	26.0	25.1	28.0
4. A little	12.5	16.5	21.3	22.4	18.2	20.3
5. Not at all	2.7	2.7	4.7	5.0	4.0	4.2
-8. Don't know	2.6	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.6

ecblame_dem

Label:	How much Dems in Congress to blame for poor econ conds
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-4
Description:	Blame for poor economic conditions
Question:	How much are Democrats in Congress to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are Democrats in Congress to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization:	Order of economic blame items
Universe:	IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic:	If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	184	583	767
2. A lot	331	762	1093
3. A moderate amount	759	1325	2084
4. A little	487	918	1405
5. Not at all	154	247	401
-8. Don't know	126	0	126
-9. Refused	13	25	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	9.0	10.0	15.1	13.8	13.0	12.5
2. A lot	16.1	19.2	19.7	20.2	18.5	19.8
3. A moderate amount	37.0	39.3	34.3	34.9	35.2	36.4
4. A little	23.7	21.0	23.8	23.8	23.8	22.8
5. Not at all	7.5	4.8	6.4	6.4	6.8	5.8
-8. Don't know	6.1	5.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.7
-9. Refused	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.8

ecblame_rep

Label:	How much Reps in Congress to blame for poor econ conds
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-5
Description:	Blame for poor economic conditions
Question:	How much are Republicans in Congress to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are Republicans in Congress to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization:	Order of economic blame items
Universe:	IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic:	If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	410	758	1168
2. A lot	488	876	1364
3. A moderate amount	680	1303	1983
4. A little	297	749	1046
5. Not at all	43	145	188
-8. Don't know	124	0	124
-9. Refused	12	29	41

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	20.0	15.5	19.6	17.4	19.7	16.7
2. A lot	23.8	21.4	22.7	21.8	23.1	21.7
3. A moderate amount	33.1	38.9	33.8	34.6	33.5	36.1
4. A little	14.5	16.3	19.4	20.6	17.7	19.2
5. Not at all	2.1	2.0	3.8	4.3	3.2	3.5
-8. Don't know	6.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.8
-9. Refused	0.6	0.5	0.8	1.2	0.7	1.0

ecblame_bank

Label:	How much Wall St. bankers to blame for poor econ conds
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-6
Description:	Blame for poor economic conditions
Question:	How much are Wall Street bankers to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are Wall Street bankers to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization:	Order of economic blame items
Universe:	IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic:	If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	576	1272	1848
2. A lot	597	1099	1696
3. A moderate amount	457	960	1417
4. A little	184	412	596
5. Not at all	52	97	149
-8. Don't know	178	0	178
-9. Refused	10	20	30

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	28.0	27.1	33.0	29.6	31.2	28.7
2. A lot	29.1	32.7	28.5	27.0	28.7	29.0
3. A moderate amount	22.2	22.5	24.9	27.9	24.0	26.0
4. A little	9.0	8.1	10.7	11.8	10.1	10.5
5. Not at all	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.8
-8. Don't know	8.7	6.6	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.3
-9. Refused	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.6

ecblame_cons

Label:	How much consumers to blame for poor economic conds
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-7
Description:	Blame for poor economic conditions
Question:	How much are consumers who borrowed too much money to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are consumers who borrowed too much money to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization:	Order of economic blame items
Universe:	IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic:	If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	404	667	1071
2. A lot	645	1188	1833
3. A moderate amount	583	1270	1853
4. A little	277	587	864
5. Not at all	75	128	203
-8. Don't know	62	0	62
-9. Refused	8	20	28

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	19.7	20.3	17.3	16.4	18.1	17.7
2. A lot	31.4	33.8	30.8	30.0	31.0	31.3
3. A moderate amount	28.4	29.0	32.9	33.9	31.3	32.2
4. A little	13.5	11.6	15.2	15.4	14.6	14.1
5. Not at all	3.7	2.6	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.2
-8. Don't know	3.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.7

ecblame_lend

Label:	How much lenders to blame for poor economic conditions
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 36-8
Description:	Blame for poor economic conditions
Question:	How much are mortgage lenders to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?] / How much are mortgage lenders to blame (for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
Randomization:	Order of economic blame items
Universe:	IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS / IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
Logic:	If this item is not 1st or 2nd in the randomized order, the phrase 'for the poor economic conditions of the past several years' should appear in parentheses and the response options within the question text should appear in parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	634	1340	1974
2. A lot	645	1225	1870
3. A moderate amount	389	855	1244
4. A little	220	344	564
5. Not at all	71	78	149
-8. Don't know	90	0	90
-9. Refused	5	18	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	30.9	30.0	34.7	32.3	33.4	31.5
2. A lot	31.4	35.4	31.7	30.0	31.6	31.9
3. A moderate amount	18.9	18.3	22.2	24.3	21.0	22.2
4. A little	10.7	9.6	8.9	10.2	9.5	10.0
5. Not at all	3.5	2.9	2.0	2.4	2.5	2.6
-8. Don't know	4.4	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.2
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.6

ptyperf_ptyecon

Label:	Which party better: handling nations economy
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37-1
Description:	Party performance
Question:	Which party do you think would do a better job of HANDLING THE NATION'S ECONOMY... [the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS / the REPUBLICANS, the DEMOCRATS], or WOULDN'T THERE BE MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?
Randomization:	Order of parties in question text
Logic:	Randomize the order of 'DEMOCRATS' and 'REPUBLICANS' in question text and retain the value in a variable, 1=Dem 1st, 2=Rep 1st.
Web Logic:	Online, omit response option 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats	817	1326	2143
2. Republicans	407	1216	1623
3. Not much difference between them	726	1304	2030
4. Neither party (VOL)	24	0	24
-8. Don't know	70	0	70
-9. Refused	10	14	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats	39.8	30.5	34.4	32.0	36.2	31.4
2. Republicans	19.8	29.3	31.5	32.1	27.4	31.1
3. Not much difference between them	35.3	36.2	33.8	35.3	34.3	35.6
4. Neither party (VOL)	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-8. Don't know	3.4	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8
-9. Refused	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.6

preswin_care

Label:	Care who wins Presidential Election
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-1
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	Generally speaking, would you say that you personally CARE A GOOD DEAL who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you DON'T CARE VERY MUCH who wins?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Care a good deal	1664	3228	4892
2. Don't care very much	357	614	971
-8. Don't know	29	0	29
-9. Refused	4	18	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Care a good deal	81.0	81.4	83.6	80.7	82.7	80.9
2. Don't care very much	17.4	17.0	15.9	18.6	16.4	18.1
-8. Don't know	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

preswin_win

Label:	Who does R think will be elected President
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-2
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	Who do you think will be elected President in November?
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ in option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	1464	2284	3748
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	384	1403	1787
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	1	61	62
-8. Don't know	193	60	253
-9. Refused	12	52	64

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	71.3	63.8	59.2	57.4	63.4	59.6
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	18.7	25.2	36.3	37.8	30.2	33.4
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.5	1.0	1.0
-8. Don't know	9.4	10.3	1.6	1.7	4.3	4.7
-9. Refused	0.6	0.7	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.2

preswin_win_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- Who does R think will be elected President

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

preswin_close

Label:	Will Pres race be a close or will (winner) win by a lot
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-3
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	Do you think the Presidential race will be CLOSE or will [preload: dem_pcname / preload:rep_pcname /preswin_prespred other name / one candidate] WIN BY QUITE A BIT ?
Universe:	IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R THINKS OTHER PRES CAND WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:
Logic:	Ask if PRESWIN_PRESPRED in(1,2,5,DK), else skip. Load name of Dem Pres cand if PRESWIN_PRESPRED=1; load name of Rep Pres cand if PRESWIN_PRESPRED=2; load text of response for code 5 in PRESWIN_PRESPRED if PRESWIN_PRESPRED=5; load 'one candidate' if PRESWIN_PRESPRED=DK.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Will be close	1585	3179	4764
2. Win by quite a bit	420	663	1083
-1. Inapplicable	12	0	12
-8. Don't know	36	0	36
-9. Refused	1	18	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Will be close	77.2	80.4	82.4	80.7	80.6	80.6
2. Win by quite a bit	20.4	17.1	17.2	18.5	18.3	18.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-8. Don't know	1.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.5

preswin_state

Label:	Which Pres cand will carry state
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-4
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	What about here in [preload: hhstate]? Which candidate for President do you think will carry this state?
Logic:	R's sample address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HHCITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Load preloaded name of state (hhstate).
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ in option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	1222	2229	3451
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	624	1533	2157
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	1	32	33
-8. Don't know	200	29	229
-9. Refused	7	37	44

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	59.5	55.4	57.7	55.7	58.4	55.6
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	30.4	34.7	39.7	41.2	36.5	39.0
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	0.0	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6
-8. Don't know	9.7	9.3	0.8	1.0	3.9	3.9
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	1.0	1.3	0.7	1.0

preswin_state_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- Which Pres cand will carry state

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

preswin_closest

Label:	Will Pres race be close in state
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-5
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	Do you think the Presidential race will be CLOSE here in [preload: hh-state] or will [preload: dem_pcname / preswin_prwinst other name / preload:rep_pcname /one candidate] WIN BY QUITE A BIT ?
Universe:	IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R THINKS OTHER PRES CAND WILL WIN ELECTION / IF R DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:
Logic:	Ask if PRESWIN_PRWINST in(1,2,5,DK), else skip. Load name of Dem Pres cand if PRESWIN_PRWINST=1; load name of Rep Pres cand if PRESWIN_PRWINST=2; load text of response for code 5 in PRESWIN_PRWINST if PRESWIN_PRWINST=5; load 'one candidate' if PRESWIN_PRWINST=DK.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Will be close	946	2080	3026
2. Win by quite a bit	1023	1759	2782
-1. Inapplicable	7	0	7
-8. Don't know	77	0	77
-9. Refused	1	21	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Will be close	46.1	47.7	53.9	52.3	51.2	50.7
2. Win by quite a bit	49.8	48.2	45.6	46.9	47.0	47.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-8. Don't know	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.6

preswin_dutychoice

Label:	[VERSION 1A] Does R consider voting a duty or choice
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-9
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	Different people feel differently about voting. For some, voting is a duty - they feel they should vote in every election no matter how they feel about the candidates and parties. For others voting is a choice - they feel free to vote or not to vote, depending on how they feel about the candidates and parties. For you personally, is voting mainly a duty, mainly a choice, or neither a duty nor a choice?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OF VERSION 1A / VERSION 1B SPLICE:
Logic:	Create variable dutychc with cases randomly assigned to 1 or 2; Respondents assigned dutychc=1 are asked PRESWIN_DUTYCHOICE and respondents assigned dutychc=2 are asked PRESWIN_CHOICEDUTY. Ask if dutychc=1.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mainly a duty	430	978	1408
2. Mainly a choice	453	728	1181
3. Neither a duty nor a choice	131	225	356
-1. Inapplicable	1032	1922	2954
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	2	7	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mainly a duty	20.9	21.1	25.3	22.7	23.8	22.1
2. Mainly a choice	22.1	21.9	18.9	20.8	20.0	21.2
3. Neither a duty nor a choice	6.4	5.7	5.8	6.0	6.0	5.9
-1. Inapplicable	50.2	51.0	49.8	50.2	49.9	50.4
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

preswin_choiceduty

Label:	[VERSION 1B] Does R consider voting a choice or duty
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-10
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	Different people feel differently about voting. For some, voting is a choice - they feel free to vote or not to vote, depending on how they feel about the candidates and parties. For others voting is a duty - they feel they should vote in every election no matter how they feel about the candidates and parties. For you personally, is voting mainly a choice, mainly a duty, or neither a choice nor a duty?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1B OF VERSION 1A / VERSION 1B SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if dutychc=2.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mainly a choice	448	648	1096
2. Mainly a duty	455	991	1446
3. Neither a duty nor a choice	119	279	398
-1. Inapplicable	1022	1938	2960
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	2	4	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mainly a choice	21.8	22.5	16.8	18.2	18.5	19.7
2. Mainly a duty	22.2	23.3	25.7	23.8	24.5	23.6
3. Neither a duty nor a choice	5.8	4.9	7.2	7.9	6.7	6.8
-1. Inapplicable	49.8	49.0	50.2	49.8	50.1	49.6
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2

preswin_dutyst

Label:	How strongly does R feel that voting is a duty
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-11
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	How strongly do you feel that voting is a duty? [very strongly, moderately strongly, or a little strongly / a little strongly, moderately strongly, or very strongly]?
Universe:	IF R CONSIDERS VOTING A DUTY:
Logic:	Ask if PRESWIN_DUTYCHOICE=1 or PRESWIN_CHOICEDUTY=2, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very strongly	718	1251	1969
2. Moderately strongly	147	563	710
3. A little strongly	20	154	174
-1. Inapplicable	1169	1891	3060
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very strongly	35.0	36.0	32.4	26.7	33.3	29.9
2. Moderately strongly	7.2	7.1	14.6	15.3	12.0	12.5
3. A little strongly	1.0	1.3	4.0	4.3	2.9	3.3
-1. Inapplicable	56.9	55.6	49.0	53.5	51.7	54.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1

preswin_choicest

Label:	How strongly does R feel that voting is a choice
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 37.6-12
Description:	Attitudes and intentions toward coming elections
Question:	How strongly do you feel that voting is a choice? [very strongly, moderately strongly, or a little strongly / a little strongly, moderately strongly, or very strongly]?
Universe:	IF R CONSIDERS VOTING A CHOICE:
Logic:	Ask if PRESWIN_DUTYCHOICE=2 or PRESWIN_CHOICEDUTY=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very strongly	588	690	1278
2. Moderately strongly	251	549	800
3. A little strongly	62	137	199
-1. Inapplicable	1153	2484	3637

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very strongly	28.6	28.9	17.9	18.7	21.6	22.2
2. Moderately strongly	12.2	12.7	14.2	16.4	13.5	15.1
3. A little strongly	3.0	2.9	3.5	3.9	3.4	3.6
-1. Inapplicable	56.1	55.5	64.4	61.0	61.5	59.1

preswin_dutychoice_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Does R consider voting as duty/choice

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very strongly feel voting is a duty	718	1251	1969
2. Moderately strongly feel voting is a duty	147	563	710
3. A little strongly feel voting is a duty	20	154	174
4. Feel voting is neither duty nor choice	250	504	754
5. A little strongly feel voting is a choice	62	137	199
6. Moderately strongly feel voting is a choice	251	549	800
7. Very strongly feel voting is a choice	588	690	1278
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	4	12	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very strongly feel voting is a duty	35.0	36.0	32.4	26.7	33.3	29.9
2. Moderately strongly feel voting is a duty	7.2	7.1	14.6	15.3	12.0	12.5
3. A little strongly feel voting is a duty	1.0	1.3	4.0	4.3	2.9	3.3
4. Feel voting is neither duty nor choice	12.2	10.6	13.1	13.9	12.7	12.7
5. A little strongly feel voting is a choice	3.0	2.9	3.5	3.9	3.4	3.6
6. Moderately strongly feel voting is a choice	12.2	12.7	14.2	16.4	13.5	15.1
7. Very strongly feel voting is a choice	28.6	28.9	17.9	18.7	21.6	22.2
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.5

usworld_stronger

Label:	During last year, U.S. position in world weaker/str
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 38-1
Description:	U.S. position in the world
Question:	Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown WEAKER, stayed ABOUT THE SAME, or has it grown STRONGER?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Weaker	928	1872	2800
2. Stayed about the same	696	1574	2270
3. Stronger	385	401	786
-8. Don't know	41	0	41
-9. Refused	4	13	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Weaker	45.2	52.0	48.5	49.1	47.3	50.1
2. Stayed about the same	33.9	31.8	40.8	41.4	38.4	38.1
3. Stronger	18.7	14.2	10.4	8.9	13.3	10.8
-8. Don't know	2.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.5

usworld_stay

Label:	Country would be better off if we just stayed home
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 38-2
Description:	U.S. position in the world
Question:	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with this statement: 'This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree	646	1504	2150
2. Disagree	1329	2329	3658
-8. Don't know	67	0	67
-9. Refused	12	27	39

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree	31.5	28.5	39.0	42.3	36.4	37.5
2. Disagree	64.7	68.0	60.3	56.8	61.9	60.7
-8. Don't know	3.3	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0
-9. Refused	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.8

pid_self

Label:	Party ID: Does R think of self as Dem, Rep, Ind or what
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39-1
Description:	R party ID
Question:	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a [DEMOCRAT, a REPUBLICAN / a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT], an INDEPENDENT, or what?
Randomization:	Order of parties in question text
Logic:	Respondents should be randomly assigned to have either 'a DEMOCRAT, a REPUBLICAN' or 'a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT' ; (a variable should record at case level which order was assigned to R, 1=Dem 1st, 2=Rep 1st).
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ in option 5. Omit response option 0.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No preference (VOL)	66	0	66
1. Democrat	903	1458	2361
2. Republican	333	1056	1389
3. Independent	651	1194	1845
5. Other party (SPECIFY)	42	119	161
-8. Don't know	44	0	44
-9. Refused	15	33	48

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No preference (VOL)	3.2	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
1. Democrat	44.0	32.6	37.8	35.7	39.9	34.6
2. Republican	16.2	23.5	27.4	29.0	23.5	27.1
3. Independent	31.7	35.1	30.9	30.7	31.2	32.2
5. Other party (SPECIFY)	2.0	3.1	3.1	3.4	2.7	3.3
-8. Don't know	2.1	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.7	0.6	0.9	1.2	0.8	1.0

pid_self_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Party ID

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

pid_strong

Label:	Party Identification strong - Democrat/Republican
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39-2
Description:	R party ID
Question:	Would you call yourself a STRONG [Democrat / Republican] or a NOT VERY STRONG Democrat / Republican]?
Universe:	IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT: / IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN :
Logic:	Ask if PTYID_RPTYID in(1,2), else skip. Load 'Democrat' if PTYID_RPTYID=1; load 'Republican' if PTYID_RPTYID=2.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong	770	1477	2247
2. Not very strong	460	1034	1494
-1. Inapplicable	818	1346	2164
-4. Error	1	0	1
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	1	3	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong	37.5	32.9	38.3	34.9	38.0	34.2
2. Not very strong	22.4	23.1	26.8	29.7	25.3	27.4
-1. Inapplicable	39.8	43.9	34.9	35.3	36.6	38.3
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

pid_lean

Label:	No Party Identification - closer to Dems or Reps
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39-3
Description:	R party ID
Question:	Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?
Universe:	IF R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:
Logic:	Ask if PTYID_RPTYID in(0,3,5,DK), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include response option 2 but omit the □VOL□ text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Closer to Republican	235	375	610
2. Neither (VOL)	160	558	718
3. Closer to Democratic	351	396	747
-1. Inapplicable	1251	2514	3765
-8. Don't know	53	0	53
-9. Refused	4	17	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Closer to Republican	11.4	17.5	9.7	9.3	10.3	12.2
2. Neither (VOL)	7.8	7.1	14.5	15.6	12.1	12.7
3. Closer to Democratic	17.1	15.6	10.3	9.6	12.6	11.7
-1. Inapplicable	60.9	56.7	65.1	64.7	63.7	62.0
-8. Don't know	2.6	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.6

pid_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Party ID

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong Democrat	593	892	1485
2. Not very strong Democract	305	566	871
3. Independent-Democrat	351	396	747
4. Independent	217	575	792
5. Independent-Republican	235	375	610
6. Not very strong Republican	155	468	623
7. Strong Republican	177	585	762
-2. Missing	21	3	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong Democrat	28.9	19.9	23.1	19.3	25.1	19.5
2. Not very strong Democract	14.8	12.6	14.7	16.4	14.7	15.0
3. Independent-Democrat	17.1	15.6	10.3	9.6	12.6	11.7
4. Independent	10.6	10.1	14.9	16.4	13.4	14.2
5. Independent-Republican	11.4	17.5	9.7	9.3	10.3	12.2
6. Not very strong Republican	7.5	10.5	12.1	13.4	10.5	12.4
7. Strong Republican	8.6	13.0	15.2	15.5	12.9	14.7
-2. Missing	1.0	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3

tea_supp

Label:	Tea Party Support
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39.5-1
Description:	Tea Party
Question:	Do you support, oppose, or neither support nor oppose the Tea Party movement?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Support	308	654	962
2. Oppose	612	1324	1936
3. Neither support nor oppose	804	1866	2670
-8. Don't know	308	0	308
-9. Refused	22	16	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Support	15.0	18.8	16.9	16.9	16.3	17.5
2. Oppose	29.8	28.2	34.3	31.4	32.7	30.3
3. Neither support nor oppose	39.1	40.0	48.3	50.9	45.1	47.1
-8. Don't know	15.0	12.3	0.0	0.0	5.2	4.3
-9. Refused	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.7

tea_suppstr

Label:	Strength support or oppose tea party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39.5-1.2
Description:	Tea Party
Question:	Would you say your [support/opposition] is strong or not very strong?
Universe:	IF R SUPPORTS THE TEA PARTY / IF R OPPOSES THE TEA PARTY:
Logic:	Ask if TEAPTY_SUPP in(1,2), else skip. Load 'support' if TEAPTY_SUPP=1; load 'opposition' if TEAPTY_SUPP=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item, TEAPTY_SUPP.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong	638	1540	2178
2. Not very strong	278	390	668
-1. Inapplicable	1134	1882	3016
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	48	48

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong	31.1	33.1	39.9	36.9	36.8	35.6
2. Not very strong	13.5	13.7	10.1	10.4	11.3	11.5
-1. Inapplicable	55.2	53.0	48.8	51.7	51.0	52.2
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.6

tea_suppln

Label:	Neither - closer to support or oppose
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 39.5-1.3
Description:	Tea Party
Question:	Do you lean toward supporting, lean toward opposing, or do you not lean either way?
Universe:	IF R DOES NOT SUPPORT NOR OPPOSE THE TEA PARTY:
Logic:	Ask if TEAPTY_SUPP =3, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with TEAPTY_SUPP.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward supporting	70	346	416
2. Lean toward opposing	48	190	238
3. Do not lean either way	680	1326	2006
-1. Inapplicable	1250	1994	3244
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	1	4	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward supporting	3.4	4.5	9.0	8.5	7.0	7.1
2. Lean toward opposing	2.3	2.3	4.9	4.4	4.0	3.7
3. Do not lean either way	33.1	33.0	34.4	37.9	33.9	36.2
-1. Inapplicable	60.9	60.0	51.7	49.1	54.9	52.9
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

tea_supp_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Tea Party support

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong support	173	415	588
2. Not very strong support	133	228	361
3. Lean toward supporting	70	346	416
4. Do not lean either way	680	1326	2006
5. Lean toward opposing	48	190	238
6. Not very strong opposition	145	162	307
7. Strong opposition	465	1125	1590
-8. Don't know	317	0	317
-9. Refused	23	68	91

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong support	8.4	11.5	10.8	10.9	9.9	11.1
2. Not very strong support	6.5	7.1	5.9	5.7	6.1	6.2
3. Lean toward supporting	3.4	4.5	9.0	8.5	7.0	7.1
4. Do not lean either way	33.1	33.0	34.4	37.9	33.9	36.2
5. Lean toward opposing	2.3	2.3	4.9	4.4	4.0	3.7
6. Not very strong opposition	7.1	6.5	4.2	4.7	5.2	5.3
7. Strong opposition	22.6	21.6	29.1	26.1	26.9	24.5
-8. Don't know	15.4	12.8	0.0	0.0	5.4	4.4
-9. Refused	1.1	0.6	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.4

ctrait_dpcmoral

Label:	Pres Dem cand trait moral
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-2
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is MORAL' describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'MORAL'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Randomize the order of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates, for each of whom 7 trait questions are administered. Within the set of traits for each, randomize the order of traits (same order for both candidates). If a trait is the 1st trait administered, the question wording begins 'Thank about....' else wording begins '(What about)...'
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	545	765	1310
2. Very well	589	962	1551
3. Moderately well	426	749	1175
4. Slightly well	219	564	783
5. Not well at all	201	790	991
-8. Don't know	68	0	68
-9. Refused	6	30	36

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	26.5	19.8	19.8	17.4	22.2	18.2
2. Very well	28.7	25.8	24.9	23.2	26.2	24.1
3. Moderately well	20.7	24.1	19.4	21.4	19.9	22.4
4. Slightly well	10.7	14.4	14.6	16.0	13.2	15.4
5. Not well at all	9.8	12.7	20.5	21.0	16.8	18.1
-8. Don't know	3.3	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.8

ctrait_dpclead

Label:	Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-3
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	506	568	1074
2. Very well	578	838	1416
3. Moderately well	429	840	1269
4. Slightly well	244	565	809
5. Not well at all	265	1026	1291
-8. Don't know	27	0	27
-9. Refused	5	23	28

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	24.6	16.1	14.7	13.5	18.2	14.4
2. Very well	28.1	25.1	21.7	20.3	23.9	22.0
3. Moderately well	20.9	24.8	21.8	22.6	21.5	23.3
4. Slightly well	11.9	14.9	14.6	15.5	13.7	15.3
5. Not well at all	12.9	17.6	26.6	27.2	21.8	23.9
-8. Don't know	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.6	1.0	0.5	0.7

ctrait_dpccare

Label:	Pres Dem cand trait really cares
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-4
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU' describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	587	788	1375
2. Very well	496	796	1292
3. Moderately well	381	694	1075
4. Slightly well	252	530	782
5. Not well at all	307	1025	1332
-8. Don't know	26	0	26
-9. Refused	5	27	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	28.6	19.8	20.4	18.2	23.2	18.8
2. Very well	24.1	21.4	20.6	20.2	21.8	20.6
3. Moderately well	18.5	20.3	18.0	19.4	18.2	19.8
4. Slightly well	12.3	14.8	13.7	14.5	13.2	14.6
5. Not well at all	14.9	22.2	26.6	26.6	22.5	25.1
-8. Don't know	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.8

ctrait_dpcknow

Label:	Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-5
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE' describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'KNOWLEDGEABLE'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	643	876	1519
2. Very well	692	1026	1718
3. Moderately well	410	885	1295
4. Slightly well	177	563	740
5. Not well at all	97	486	583
-8. Don't know	30	0	30
-9. Refused	5	24	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	31.3	25.0	22.7	20.6	25.7	22.2
2. Very well	33.7	33.1	26.6	26.1	29.0	28.5
3. Moderately well	20.0	23.8	22.9	23.8	21.9	23.8
4. Slightly well	8.6	11.1	14.6	15.9	12.5	14.2
5. Not well at all	4.7	5.8	12.6	12.6	9.9	10.2
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.7

ctrait_dpcint

Label:	Pres Dem cand trait intelligent
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-6
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is INTELLIGENT' describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'INTELLIGENT'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	781	1190	1971
2. Very well	756	1163	1919
3. Moderately well	307	802	1109
4. Slightly well	131	382	513
5. Not well at all	55	299	354
-8. Don't know	17	0	17
-9. Refused	7	24	31

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	38.0	30.8	30.8	27.5	33.3	28.7
2. Very well	36.8	39.2	30.1	29.8	32.4	33.0
3. Moderately well	14.9	18.6	20.8	22.4	18.8	21.1
4. Slightly well	6.4	7.3	9.9	11.1	8.7	9.8
5. Not well at all	2.7	3.1	7.7	8.2	6.0	6.4
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.6	1.0	0.5	0.8

ctrait_dpchonst

Label:	Pres Dem cand trait honest
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-7
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: DEM_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is HONEST' describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'HONEST'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	494	699	1193
2. Very well	565	872	1437
3. Moderately well	444	756	1200
4. Slightly well	229	511	740
5. Not well at all	285	996	1281
-8. Don't know	31	0	31
-9. Refused	6	26	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	24.1	16.8	18.1	16.1	20.2	16.3
2. Very well	27.5	24.2	22.6	21.4	24.3	22.4
3. Moderately well	21.6	23.9	19.6	21.1	20.3	22.0
4. Slightly well	11.1	13.6	13.2	14.2	12.5	14.0
5. Not well at all	13.9	20.0	25.8	26.3	21.7	24.1
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.7

ctrait_rpcmoral

Label:	Pres Rep cand trait moral
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-9
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is MORAL' describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'MORAL'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	221	719	940
2. Very well	330	782	1112
3. Moderately well	494	843	1337
4. Slightly well	393	734	1127
5. Not well at all	478	743	1221
-8. Don't know	128	0	128
-9. Refused	10	39	49

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	10.8	15.9	18.6	16.5	15.9	16.3
2. Very well	16.1	21.6	20.3	20.0	18.8	20.6
3. Moderately well	24.1	25.3	21.8	21.8	22.6	23.0
4. Slightly well	19.1	16.2	19.0	19.8	19.1	18.5
5. Not well at all	23.3	15.6	19.2	20.3	20.6	18.7
-8. Don't know	6.2	5.1	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.8
-9. Refused	0.5	0.4	1.0	1.6	0.8	1.2

ctrait_rpclead

Label:	Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-10
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	151	502	653
2. Very well	347	761	1108
3. Moderately well	509	974	1483
4. Slightly well	350	769	1119
5. Not well at all	577	819	1396
-8. Don't know	109	0	109
-9. Refused	11	35	46

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	7.4	10.3	13.0	12.7	11.0	11.9
2. Very well	16.9	22.0	19.7	19.3	18.7	20.2
3. Moderately well	24.8	27.3	25.2	25.9	25.1	26.4
4. Slightly well	17.0	15.0	19.9	18.9	18.9	17.6
5. Not well at all	28.1	20.3	21.2	21.9	23.6	21.3
-8. Don't know	5.3	4.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.6
-9. Refused	0.5	0.5	0.9	1.3	0.8	1.0

ctrait_rpccare

Label:	Pres Rep cand trait really cares
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-11
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU' describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	76	266	342
2. Very well	180	504	684
3. Moderately well	336	770	1106
4. Slightly well	372	652	1024
5. Not well at all	991	1634	2625
-8. Don't know	90	0	90
-9. Refused	9	34	43

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	3.7	5.8	6.9	6.3	5.8	6.1
2. Very well	8.8	13.0	13.1	12.9	11.6	12.9
3. Moderately well	16.4	21.1	19.9	21.6	18.7	21.4
4. Slightly well	18.1	17.8	16.9	16.7	17.3	17.1
5. Not well at all	48.2	37.9	42.3	41.3	44.4	40.1
-8. Don't know	4.4	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.3
-9. Refused	0.4	0.7	0.9	1.3	0.7	1.1

ctrait_rpcknow

Label:	Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-12
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE' describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'KNOWLEDGEABLE'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	189	515	704
2. Very well	484	989	1473
3. Moderately well	563	1079	1642
4. Slightly well	374	693	1067
5. Not well at all	352	552	904
-8. Don't know	86	0	86
-9. Refused	6	32	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	9.2	12.0	13.3	13.3	11.9	12.8
2. Very well	23.6	29.9	25.6	25.2	24.9	26.8
3. Moderately well	27.4	28.9	28.0	27.6	27.8	28.0
4. Slightly well	18.2	14.7	18.0	18.4	18.0	17.1
5. Not well at all	17.1	10.6	14.3	14.3	15.3	13.0
-8. Don't know	4.2	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.3
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.8	1.3	0.6	1.0

ctrait_rpcint

Label:	Pres Rep cand trait intelligent
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-13
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is INTELLIGENT' describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'INTELLIGENT'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	278	676	954
2. Very well	621	1256	1877
3. Moderately well	510	971	1481
4. Slightly well	283	566	849
5. Not well at all	268	359	627
-8. Don't know	88	0	88
-9. Refused	6	32	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	13.5	16.8	17.5	16.4	16.1	16.5
2. Very well	30.2	35.0	32.5	31.8	31.7	33.0
3. Moderately well	24.8	25.5	25.2	25.2	25.0	25.3
4. Slightly well	13.8	10.7	14.7	15.4	14.4	13.8
5. Not well at all	13.0	7.7	9.3	9.8	10.6	9.1
-8. Don't know	4.3	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.4
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.8	1.3	0.6	0.9

ctrait_rpchonst

Label:	Pres Rep cand trait honest
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 40-14
Description:	Presidential candidate traits
Question:	[Think about [preload: REP_PCNAME]. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is HONEST' describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]? / (What about) 'HONEST'? (Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?/ NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL)]
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits
Universe:	IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE / IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	121	428	549
2. Very well	233	666	899
3. Moderately well	438	789	1227
4. Slightly well	389	751	1140
5. Not well at all	751	1190	1941
-8. Don't know	113	0	113
-9. Refused	9	36	45

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	5.9	8.0	11.1	9.7	9.3	9.1
2. Very well	11.3	16.6	17.3	17.2	15.2	17.0
3. Moderately well	21.3	24.9	20.4	21.4	20.7	22.6
4. Slightly well	18.9	17.7	19.5	19.3	19.3	18.8
5. Not well at all	36.6	27.4	30.8	31.0	32.8	29.8
-8. Don't know	5.5	4.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.7
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.9	1.3	0.8	1.0

war_worthit

Label:	Was war worth the cost
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 42-2
Description:	Cost and benefit of the war
Question:	Now we want to ask you about the current war in Afghanistan. Taking everything into account, do you think the war in Afghanistan has been WORTH THE COST or NOT?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Worth it	525	1037	1562
2. Not worth it	1394	2776	4170
-8. Don't know	115	0	115
-9. Refused	20	47	67

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Worth it	25.6	25.8	26.9	26.0	26.4	25.9
2. Not worth it	67.9	68.0	71.9	72.5	70.5	71.0
-8. Don't know	5.6	5.4	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9
-9. Refused	1.0	0.7	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.2

war_terror

Label:	War increased or decreased threat of terrorism
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 42-3
Description:	Cost and benefit of the war
Question:	As a result of the United States' war in Afghanistan, do you think the threat of terrorism against the United States has INCREASED, DECREASED, or stayed ABOUT THE SAME?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	612	867	1479
2. Decreased	487	953	1440
3. Stayed about the same	919	2017	2936
-8. Don't know	29	0	29
-9. Refused	7	23	30

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	29.8	30.0	22.5	22.8	25.0	25.3
2. Decreased	23.7	23.4	24.7	24.8	24.3	24.3
3. Stayed about the same	44.7	45.2	52.3	51.5	49.6	49.3
-8. Don't know	1.4	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.7

spsrvpr_ssself

Label:	7pt scale spending and Services self-placement
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-2
Description:	Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question:	Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item, SSINTRO. Display response options in the same format as ANES cross-section survey 2 (fielded May 2011) item ZR1 group 3 (i.e. a horizontal scale with points labeled 1 through 7), but with text as follows. Title 'Government Services/Government Spending' Left label: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES: REDUCE SPENDING A LOT' Right label: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES: INCREASE SPENDING A LOT' Also include 'Haven't thought much about this' as a separate response option.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	104	345	449
2	147	582	729
3	264	610	874
4	453	909	1362
5	361	615	976
6	172	289	461
7	214	176	390
-2	323	332	655
-8	14	0	14
-9	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	5.1	6.9	8.9	8.8	7.6	8.2
2	7.2	10.2	15.1	15.2	12.3	13.5
3	12.9	16.9	15.8	15.8	14.8	16.2
4	22.1	23.9	23.5	23.3	23.0	23.5
5	17.6	16.6	15.9	15.5	16.5	15.9
6	8.4	6.2	7.5	6.4	7.8	6.3
7	10.4	5.6	4.6	4.6	6.6	5.0
-2	15.7	13.2	8.6	10.2	11.1	11.2
-8	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

spsrvpr_ssdpc

Label:	7pt scale spending and Services Dem Presidential cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-4
Description:	Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: DEM_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the spending-services placements of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates.
Web Logic:	Online, use same response scale as SSSELF. Omit the parenthetical reference to the booklet.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	54	78	132
2	56	98	154
3	119	156	275
4	289	656	945
5	448	919	1367
6	491	842	1333
7	507	1096	1603
-8	83	0	83
-9	7	15	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	2.6	1.9	2.0	2.5	2.2	2.3
2	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.9	2.6	2.8
3	5.8	5.2	4.0	4.1	4.6	4.5
4	14.1	14.5	17.0	17.4	16.0	16.4
5	21.8	22.1	23.8	22.6	23.1	22.4
6	23.9	24.9	21.8	22.4	22.5	23.3
7	24.7	25.1	28.4	27.5	27.1	26.7
-8	4.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.1
-9	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5

spsrvpr_ssrpc

Label:	7pt scale spending and Services Rep Presidential cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-5
Description:	Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: REP_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Web Logic:	Online, use same response scale as SSSELF. Omit the parenthetical reference to the booklet.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	515	970	1485
2	418	1076	1494
3	358	823	1181
4	300	592	892
5	146	200	346
6	54	107	161
7	78	80	158
-8	174	0	174
-9	11	12	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	25.1	19.5	25.1	23.5	25.1	22.1
2	20.4	23.7	27.9	26.9	25.3	25.8
3	17.4	18.7	21.3	21.9	20.0	20.8
4	14.6	16.8	15.3	16.1	15.1	16.4
5	7.1	7.6	5.2	5.7	5.9	6.4
6	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7
7	3.8	2.9	2.1	2.8	2.7	2.8
-8	8.5	7.7	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.7
-9	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5

spsrvpr_ssdem

Label:	7pt scale spending and Services Dem Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-6
Description:	Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of parties
Logic:	Randomize the order of the spending-services placements of the Democratic and Republican parties.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	41	68	109
2	48	76	124
3	123	149	272
4	372	642	1014
5	472	897	1369
6	478	1063	1541
7	379	953	1332
-8	135	0	135
-9	6	12	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	2.0	1.4	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.6
2	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.6	2.1	2.5
3	6.0	5.6	3.9	4.3	4.6	4.8
4	18.1	17.5	16.6	17.8	17.1	17.7
5	23.0	21.2	23.2	23.2	23.1	22.5
6	23.3	26.7	27.5	26.3	26.1	26.4
7	18.5	19.1	24.7	23.6	22.5	22.0
-8	6.6	6.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.1
-9	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

spsrvpr_ssrep

Label:	7pt scale spending and Services Rep Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 43-7
Description:	Services and spending tradeoff placement
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet) Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of parties
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	509	1045	1554
2	430	953	1383
3	348	799	1147
4	338	632	970
5	130	220	350
6	73	110	183
7	57	87	144
-8	159	0	159
-9	10	14	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	24.8	21.2	27.1	23.5	26.3	22.7
2	20.9	22.7	24.7	25.3	23.4	24.4
3	16.9	18.6	20.7	21.2	19.4	20.3
4	16.5	18.4	16.4	17.7	16.4	17.9
5	6.3	5.9	5.7	6.1	5.9	6.0
6	3.6	3.6	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.3
7	2.8	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.4	2.5
-8	7.7	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.4
-9	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5

defsppr_self

Label:	7pt scale defense spending self-placement
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-2
Description:	Defense spending level placement
Question:	Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item, DEFSPINT. Display response options horizontally as follows. Title: 'Defense Spending' Left: 'GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING' Right: 'GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	112	208	320
2	130	366	496
3	222	585	807
4	512	1126	1638
5	336	648	984
6	179	399	578
7	157	207	364
-2	376	321	697
-8	28	0	28
-9	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	5.5	4.0	5.4	5.5	5.4	5.0
2	6.3	5.7	9.5	9.2	8.4	8.0
3	10.8	12.0	15.2	14.4	13.6	13.6
4	24.9	27.3	29.2	28.6	27.7	28.2
5	16.4	19.3	16.8	16.6	16.6	17.5
6	8.7	9.4	10.3	9.9	9.8	9.7
7	7.6	6.7	5.4	5.4	6.2	5.8
-2	18.3	14.3	8.3	10.4	11.8	11.8
-8	1.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

defsppr_dpc

Label:	7pt scale defense spending Dem Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-4
Description:	Defense spending level placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_i]) Where would you place[preload: DEM_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the defense spending placements of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates.
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	164	498	662
2	207	671	878
3	362	806	1168
4	476	1014	1490
5	331	523	854
6	171	224	395
7	171	112	283
-8	167	0	167
-9	5	12	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	8.0	8.4	12.9	13.2	11.2	11.5
2	10.1	12.8	17.4	16.1	14.8	15.0
3	17.6	20.8	20.9	20.3	19.7	20.5
4	23.2	23.9	26.3	26.7	25.2	25.7
5	16.1	14.2	13.5	14.1	14.4	14.2
6	8.3	6.3	5.8	6.1	6.7	6.2
7	8.3	4.7	2.9	2.9	4.8	3.5
-8	8.1	8.7	0.0	0.0	2.8	3.0
-9	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

defsppr_rpc

Label:	7pt scale defense spending Rep Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-5
Description:	Defense spending level placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_i]) Where would you place[preload: REP_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	113	136	249
2	128	216	344
3	157	291	448
4	341	733	1074
5	387	857	1244
6	322	935	1257
7	307	678	985
-8	290	0	290
-9	9	14	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	5.5	2.7	3.5	3.5	4.2	3.2
2	6.2	4.7	5.6	6.1	5.8	5.6
3	7.6	6.5	7.5	8.2	7.6	7.6
4	16.6	19.2	19.0	21.5	18.2	20.7
5	18.8	21.4	22.2	21.9	21.0	21.7
6	15.7	18.2	24.2	21.8	21.3	20.6
7	14.9	12.3	17.6	16.4	16.7	15.0
-8	14.1	14.6	0.0	0.0	4.9	5.1
-9	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5

defsppr_dem

Label:	7pt scale defense spending Dem Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-6
Description:	Defense spending level placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_i]) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of parties
Logic:	Randomize the order of defense spending placements for the Democratic Party and Republican Party.
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	133	423	556
2	251	730	981
3	372	856	1228
4	526	1023	1549
5	282	481	763
6	161	228	389
7	109	105	214
-8	214	0	214
-9	6	14	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	6.5	6.6	11.0	10.4	9.4	9.1
2	12.2	15.8	18.9	18.3	16.6	17.4
3	18.1	20.4	22.2	21.9	20.8	21.4
4	25.6	26.0	26.5	26.6	26.2	26.4
5	13.7	11.3	12.5	13.2	12.9	12.5
6	7.8	6.0	5.9	6.1	6.6	6.0
7	5.3	3.7	2.7	2.9	3.6	3.2
-8	10.4	9.9	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.4
-9	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.5

defsppr_rep

Label:	7pt scale defense spending Rep Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 44-7
Description:	Defense spending level placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_i]) Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of parties
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	111	120	231
2	143	207	350
3	154	303	457
4	322	724	1046
5	400	847	1247
6	373	966	1339
7	313	678	991
-8	233	0	233
-9	5	15	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	5.4	3.0	3.1	2.9	3.9	2.9
2	7.0	4.8	5.4	5.3	5.9	5.1
3	7.5	6.4	7.8	8.4	7.7	7.7
4	15.7	16.5	18.8	20.9	17.7	19.4
5	19.5	23.2	21.9	22.8	21.1	22.9
6	18.2	21.9	25.0	22.8	22.6	22.5
7	15.2	13.3	17.6	16.3	16.8	15.3
-8	11.3	10.7	0.0	0.0	3.9	3.7
-9	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.5

inspre_self

Label:	7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: self-plmt
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-2
Description:	Govt or private health insurance placement
Question:	Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven□t you thought much about this?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item, INSINTRO. Display response options as follows. Title: 'Health Insurance' Left: 'GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN' Right: 'PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	380	488	868
2	220	392	612
3	242	417	659
4	367	720	1087
5	248	493	741
6	204	571	775
7	193	536	729
-2	172	242	414
-8	26	0	26
-9	2	1	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	18.5	13.2	12.6	12.0	14.7	12.4
2	10.7	9.8	10.2	9.5	10.3	9.6
3	11.8	12.7	10.8	9.9	11.1	10.8
4	17.9	16.8	18.7	17.9	18.4	17.5
5	12.1	14.6	12.8	12.6	12.5	13.3
6	9.9	13.5	14.8	15.3	13.1	14.7
7	9.4	11.1	13.9	15.1	12.3	13.7
-2	8.4	6.9	6.3	7.7	7.0	7.4
-8	1.3	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

inspre_dpc

Label:	7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Dem Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-4
Description:	Govt or private health insurance placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_j]) Where would you place[preload: DEM_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the govt-private health insurance placements of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates.
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE_INSSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	769	1666	2435
2	375	805	1180
3	256	487	743
4	255	437	692
5	100	190	290
6	92	149	241
7	109	116	225
-8	93	0	93
-9	5	10	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	37.4	41.0	43.2	42.8	41.2	42.2
2	18.3	19.0	20.9	20.0	20.0	19.6
3	12.5	13.4	12.6	12.2	12.6	12.6
4	12.4	10.9	11.3	12.1	11.7	11.7
5	4.9	3.9	4.9	5.4	4.9	4.9
6	4.5	3.8	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.8
7	5.3	3.6	3.0	3.3	3.8	3.4
-8	4.5	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.4
-9	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3

inspre_rpc

Label:	7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Rep Pres cxand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-5
Description:	Govt or private health insurance placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_j]) Where would you place[preload: REP_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE_INSSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	63	114	177
2	57	120	177
3	103	194	297
4	234	570	804
5	301	606	907
6	383	962	1345
7	711	1281	1992
-8	195	0	195
-9	7	13	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	3.1	2.3	3.0	3.3	3.0	2.9
2	2.8	1.9	3.1	2.7	3.0	2.4
3	5.0	5.6	5.0	5.3	5.0	5.4
4	11.4	12.6	14.8	16.7	13.6	15.3
5	14.7	18.2	15.7	15.8	15.3	16.6
6	18.6	21.1	24.9	23.6	22.7	22.7
7	34.6	29.0	33.2	32.1	33.7	31.0
-8	9.5	8.9	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.1
-9	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

inspre_dem

Label:	7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Dem Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-6
Description:	Govt or private health insurance placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_j]) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of parties
Logic:	Randomize the order of health insurance placements of Democratic Party and Republican Party
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE_INSSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	452	1075	1527
2	433	1063	1496
3	365	683	1048
4	348	635	983
5	123	195	318
6	80	134	214
7	73	60	133
-8	173	0	173
-9	7	15	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	22.0	24.6	27.8	26.4	25.8	25.8
2	21.1	23.9	27.5	26.7	25.3	25.7
3	17.8	18.9	17.7	18.1	17.7	18.4
4	16.9	14.5	16.5	17.6	16.6	16.6
5	6.0	4.5	5.1	5.2	5.4	5.0
6	3.9	3.1	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.5
7	3.6	2.3	1.6	1.7	2.2	1.9
-8	8.4	7.7	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.7
-9	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5

inspre_rep

Label:	7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Rep Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45-7
Description:	Govt or private health insurance placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_j]) Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of parties
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE_INSSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	54	100	154
2	54	114	168
3	101	147	248
4	245	557	802
5	328	649	977
6	441	1002	1443
7	613	1277	1890
-8	210	0	210
-9	8	14	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	2.6	1.6	2.6	2.2	2.6	2.0
2	2.6	1.6	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.4
3	4.9	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.0
4	11.9	12.7	14.4	16.5	13.6	15.2
5	16.0	18.1	16.8	16.9	16.5	17.3
6	21.5	23.8	26.0	26.3	24.4	25.4
7	29.8	28.1	33.1	30.7	32.0	29.8
-8	10.2	9.8	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.4
-9	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5

gun_control

Label:	Should fed govt make it more difficult to buy a gun
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45.1-1
Description:	Position on ease of gun access
Question:	Do you think the federal government should make it MORE DIFFICULT for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it EASIER for people to buy a gun, or KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME as they are now?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More difficult	1019	1878	2897
2. Easier	82	240	322
3. Keep these rules about the same	935	1735	2670
-8. Don't know	15	0	15
-9. Refused	3	7	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More difficult	49.6	44.1	48.7	47.1	49.0	46.1
2. Easier	4.0	4.5	6.2	6.4	5.4	5.8
3. Keep these rules about the same	45.5	50.8	44.9	46.3	45.1	47.8
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

gun_importance

Label:	Importance of gun access issue to R
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 45.1-3
Description:	Position on ease of gun access
Question:	How important is this issue to you personally? [EXTREMELY important, VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT TOO important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL / NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL, NOT TOO important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important/ EXTREMELY important, VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT TOO important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	634	161	795
2. Very important	610	598	1208
3. Somewhat important	525	1297	1822
4. Not too important	187	1079	1266
5. Not importantat all	89	722	811
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	1	3	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	30.9	28.2	4.2	4.4	13.4	12.7
2. Very important	29.7	28.0	15.5	17.0	20.4	20.8
3. Somewhat important	25.6	27.9	33.6	34.0	30.8	31.9
4. Not too important	9.1	11.6	28.0	26.0	21.4	21.0
5. Not importantat all	4.3	3.9	18.7	18.5	13.7	13.4
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

guarpr_self

Label:	7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: self-placement
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-2
Description:	Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k]) Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic:	Online, use the following response options. Title: 'Jobs and Good Standard of Living' Left: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING' Right: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	308	301	609
2	180	356	536
3	211	497	708
4	397	859	1256
5	291	552	843
6	226	613	839
7	210	475	685
-2	213	206	419
-8	12	0	12
-9	6	1	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	15.0	8.7	7.8	7.8	10.3	8.1
2	8.8	6.5	9.2	9.5	9.1	8.5
3	10.3	9.8	12.9	12.7	12.0	11.7
4	19.3	20.5	22.3	22.2	21.2	21.6
5	14.2	16.3	14.3	13.7	14.3	14.6
6	11.0	15.5	15.9	15.7	14.2	15.6
7	10.2	12.2	12.3	12.4	11.6	12.3
-2	10.4	9.9	5.3	6.0	7.1	7.3
-8	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

guarpr_dpc

Label:	7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Dem Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-4
Description:	Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k]) Where would you place [preload: DEM_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the guaranteed jobs and living placements of the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates.
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR_GUARSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	490	954	1444
2	396	867	1263
3	379	786	1165
4	361	744	1105
5	131	279	410
6	72	121	193
7	81	97	178
-8	137	0	137
-9	7	12	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	23.9	21.3	24.7	24.2	24.4	23.2
2	19.3	20.9	22.5	22.2	21.4	21.7
3	18.5	19.6	20.4	19.4	19.7	19.5
4	17.6	17.8	19.3	20.2	18.7	19.4
5	6.4	6.3	7.2	7.3	6.9	7.0
6	3.5	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.3
7	3.9	3.1	2.5	2.8	3.0	2.9
-8	6.7	7.6	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.6
-9	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

guarpr_rpc

Label:	7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Rep Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-5
Description:	Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k]) Where would you place [preload: REP_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	63	100	163
2	37	144	181
3	91	193	284
4	206	557	763
5	301	591	892
6	460	1012	1472
7	695	1251	1946
-8	194	0	194
-9	7	12	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	3.1	2.0	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.4
2	1.8	1.6	3.7	4.0	3.1	3.2
3	4.4	3.6	5.0	6.1	4.8	5.3
4	10.0	10.7	14.4	15.6	12.9	13.9
5	14.7	16.4	15.3	15.2	15.1	15.6
6	22.4	26.7	26.2	25.6	24.9	26.0
7	33.8	28.6	32.4	30.5	32.9	29.8
-8	9.4	10.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.5
-9	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4

guarpr_dem

Label:	7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Dem Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-6
Description:	Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k]) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of parties
Logic:	Randomize the order of guaranteed jobs placements of Democratic Party and Republican Party
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR_GUARSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	361	809	1170
2	424	979	1403
3	405	801	1206
4	402	801	1203
5	134	254	388
6	70	126	196
7	58	75	133
-8	193	0	193
-9	7	15	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	17.6	15.3	21.0	20.1	19.8	18.4
2	20.6	23.8	25.4	24.4	23.7	24.2
3	19.7	20.8	20.8	20.2	20.4	20.5
4	19.6	19.1	20.8	22.1	20.3	21.0
5	6.5	5.9	6.6	6.9	6.6	6.6
6	3.4	2.9	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.3
7	2.8	2.3	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.2
-8	9.4	9.5	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3
-9	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.6

guarpr_rep

Label:	7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Rep Party
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46-7
Description:	Guaranteed jobs and living std placement
Question:	(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k]) Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of parties
Web Logic:	Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR_GUARSELF. Omit the parenthetical question text referring to □Still looking at Page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	53	78	131
2	60	145	205
3	74	166	240
4	252	622	874
5	326	641	967
6	474	998	1472
7	607	1196	1803
-8	201	0	201
-9	7	14	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	2.6	1.5	2.0	1.7	2.2	1.6
2	2.9	3.0	3.8	4.4	3.5	3.9
3	3.6	3.3	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.1
4	12.3	12.9	16.1	17.8	14.8	16.1
5	15.9	18.8	16.6	16.8	16.4	17.5
6	23.1	25.1	25.9	25.6	24.9	25.4
7	29.6	25.4	31.0	28.6	30.5	27.5
-8	9.8	9.7	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.4
-9	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5

immig_policy

Label:	U.S. government policy toward unauthorized immigrants
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.15-2
Description:	Government policy on illegal immigrants
Question:	Please look at page [preload: prepg_v] of the booklet. Which comes closest to your view about what government policy should be toward unauthorized immigrants now living in the United States? You can just tell me the number of your choice.
Web Logic:	Online, use this text as the stem: □Which comes closest to your view about what government policy should be toward unauthorized immigrants now living in the United States?□
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and Send them back to their home country.	298	711	1009
2. Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants to remain .	307	662	969
3. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the united states ...certain requirements	1195	2199	3394
4. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the united states ...without penalties	223	272	495
-8. Don't know	21	0	21
-9. Refused	10	16	26

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and Send them back to their home country.	14.5	16.4	18.4	21.4	17.1	19.7
2. Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants to remain .	14.9	18.1	17.2	16.0	16.4	16.7
3. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the united states ...certain requirements	58.2	55.8	57.0	55.1	57.4	55.4
4. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the united states ...without penalties	10.9	8.5	7.0	6.9	8.4	7.5
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9. Refused	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5

immig_citizen

Label:	Opinion on proposal to allow citzship to some illeg aliens
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.15-3
Description:	Government policy on illegal immigrants
Question:	There is a proposal to allow people who were illegally brought into the U.S. as children to become permanent U.S. residents under some circumstances. Specifically, citizens of other countries who illegally entered the U.S. before age 16, who have lived in the U.S. 5 years or longer, and who graduated high school would be allowed to stay in the U.S. as permanent residents if they attend college or serve in the military. From what you have heard, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose this proposal?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1312	2019	3331
2. Oppose	280	741	1021
3. Neither favor or oppose	434	1098	1532
-8. Don't know	20	0	20
-9. Refused	8	2	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	63.9	63.0	52.3	48.7	56.3	53.7
2. Oppose	13.6	16.1	19.2	20.5	17.3	19.0
3. Neither favor or oppose	21.1	19.8	28.4	30.7	25.9	26.9
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1

immig_checks

Label:	Opinion on laws to allow immigr status checks on suspects
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.15-4
Description:	Government policy on illegal immigrants
Question:	Some states have passed a law that will require state and local police to determine the immigration status of a person if they find that there is a reasonable suspicion he or she is an undocumented immigrant. Those found to be in the U.S. without permission will have broken state law. From what you have heard, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose these immigration laws?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	906	1964	2870
2. Oppose	692	1060	1752
3. Neither favor or oppose	401	830	1231
-8. Don't know	49	0	49
-9. Refused	6	6	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	44.1	52.9	50.9	52.2	48.5	52.4
2. Oppose	33.7	25.3	27.5	25.0	29.6	25.1
3. Neither favor or oppose	19.5	18.8	21.5	22.6	20.8	21.3
-8. Don't know	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
-9. Refused	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4

aidblack_self

Label:	7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: self-placemt
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.2-2
Description:	Aid to blacks placements
Question:	Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with the previous item and use the following response option labels. Title: 'Government Help to Blacks' Left: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS' Right: 'BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	215	145	360
2	95	174	269
3	164	294	458
4	436	885	1321
5	223	447	670
6	215	642	857
7	383	870	1253
-2	275	400	675
-8	20	0	20
-9	28	3	31

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	10.5	4.7	3.8	3.7	6.1	4.0
2	4.6	4.1	4.5	3.7	4.5	3.8
3	8.0	6.8	7.6	7.0	7.7	6.9
4	21.2	21.3	22.9	20.8	22.3	21.0
5	10.9	13.0	11.6	11.1	11.3	11.8
6	10.5	13.5	16.6	17.2	14.5	15.9
7	18.6	21.4	22.5	24.6	21.2	23.5
-2	13.4	13.1	10.4	11.7	11.4	12.2
-8	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9	1.4	1.3	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.5

aidblack_dpc

Label:	7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: Dem Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.2-5
Description:	Aid to blacks placements
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_m] of the booklet.) Where would you place [preload: DEM_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate in 7pt scale for aid to blacks
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parenthetical text about the booklet page and use the response options from AIDBSELF.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	463	1031	1494
2	303	645	948
3	326	653	979
4	430	990	1420
5	145	254	399
6	68	137	205
7	96	130	226
-8	205	0	205
-9	18	20	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	22.5	20.3	26.7	26.5	25.3	24.4
2	14.8	17.1	16.7	17.4	16.0	17.3
3	15.9	16.9	16.9	16.3	16.6	16.5
4	20.9	20.2	25.6	25.2	24.0	23.4
5	7.1	6.5	6.6	6.8	6.7	6.7
6	3.3	3.1	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.4
7	4.7	3.9	3.4	3.5	3.8	3.7
-8	10.0	11.2	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.9
-9	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7

aidblack_rpc

Label:	7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: Rep Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.2-6
Description:	Aid to blacks placements
Question:	(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_m] of the booklet.) Where would you place [preload: REP_PCNAME] on this issue?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parenthetical text about the booklet page and use the response options from AIDBSELF.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	46	61	107
2	27	58	85
3	72	130	202
4	306	903	1209
5	253	622	875
6	325	875	1200
7	670	1185	1855
-8	336	0	336
-9	19	26	45

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	2.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.8	1.3
2	1.3	1.1	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.4
3	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.5
4	14.9	16.7	23.4	25.0	20.4	22.1
5	12.3	14.9	16.1	16.7	14.8	16.1
6	15.8	17.5	22.7	21.6	20.3	20.2
7	32.6	25.6	30.7	29.2	31.4	27.9
-8	16.4	18.7	0.0	0.0	5.7	6.5
-9	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9

envjob_self

Label:	7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff self-placement
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.7-2
Description:	Environment-jobs tradeoff
Question:	Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item, INSINTRO. Display response option labels as follows. Title: 'Environmental Regulation/Jobs' Left: 'Regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs' Right: 'No regulation because it will not work and will cost jobs' Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	498	663	1161
2	330	632	962
3	285	520	805
4	250	679	929
5	132	391	523
6	90	293	383
7	65	177	242
-2	376	504	880
-8	26	0	26
-9	2	1	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	24.2	18.5	17.2	16.6	19.6	17.3
2	16.1	16.8	16.4	14.9	16.3	15.5
3	13.9	15.9	13.5	12.8	13.6	13.9
4	12.2	14.1	17.6	17.6	15.7	16.3
5	6.4	9.1	10.1	9.9	8.8	9.6
6	4.4	5.3	7.6	7.5	6.5	6.7
7	3.2	3.4	4.6	5.2	4.1	4.6
-2	18.3	15.7	13.1	15.5	14.9	15.6
-8	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

envjob_dpc

Label:	7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff Dem Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.7-3
Description:	Environment-jobs tradeoff
Question:	Where would you place [preload: dem_pcname] on this scale?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the Presidential candidate placements after self-placement.
Web Logic:	Online, use the response scale from ENVJOBSELF.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	551	1022	1573
2	473	966	1439
3	337	786	1123
4	271	743	1014
5	77	162	239
6	50	102	152
7	40	61	101
-8	247	0	247
-9	8	18	26

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	26.8	22.5	26.5	26.1	26.6	24.8
2	23.0	25.0	25.0	24.0	24.3	24.3
3	16.4	17.4	20.4	19.1	19.0	18.5
4	13.2	13.7	19.2	21.0	17.1	18.5
5	3.7	3.9	4.2	4.7	4.0	4.4
6	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.7
7	1.9	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.5
-8	12.0	13.2	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.6
-9	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.6

envjob_rpc

Label:	7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff Rep Pres cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 46.7-4
Description:	Environment-jobs tradeoff
Question:	Where would you place [preload: rep_pcname] on this scale?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Web Logic:	Online, use the response scale from ENVJOBSELF.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	81	120	201
2	86	150	236
3	153	256	409
4	306	891	1197
5	303	692	995
6	350	840	1190
7	414	893	1307
-8	349	0	349
-9	12	18	30

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	3.9	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.1
2	4.2	3.3	3.9	4.4	4.0	4.0
3	7.4	7.9	6.6	7.1	6.9	7.4
4	14.9	17.2	23.1	25.3	20.2	22.5
5	14.8	16.2	17.9	17.8	16.8	17.3
6	17.0	18.2	21.8	20.3	20.1	19.6
7	20.2	15.8	23.1	21.1	22.1	19.3
-8	17.0	17.9	0.0	0.0	5.9	6.2
-9	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6

aa_uni

Label:	Does R favor or oppose affirmative action in universities
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-7
Description:	Affirmative action at universities and at work
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing universities to increase the number of black students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	447	536	983
2. Oppose	1021	1968	2989
3. Neither favor nor oppose	503	1353	1856
-8. Don't know	62	0	62
-9. Refused	21	3	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	21.8	16.8	13.9	12.2	16.6	13.8
2. Oppose	49.7	56.2	51.0	52.1	50.5	53.5
3. Neither favor nor oppose	24.5	23.4	35.1	35.5	31.4	31.3
-8. Don't know	3.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
-9. Refused	1.0	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5

aa_unifav

Label:	How much does R favor affirmative action in universities
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-8
Description:	Affirmative action at universities and at work
Question:	Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT UNIVERSITIES:
Logic:	Ask if AFFPRE_AAUNI =1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	210	177	387
2. A moderate amount	172	266	438
3. A little	64	93	157
-1. Inapplicable	1607	3324	4931
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	10.2	5.8	4.6	4.0	6.5	4.6
2. A moderate amount	8.4	8.1	6.9	5.7	7.4	6.5
3. A little	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	78.2	83.2	86.1	87.8	83.4	86.2
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

aa_uniopp

Label:	How much does R oppose affirmative action in universities
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-8.2
Description:	Affirmative action at universities and at work
Question:	Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT UNIVERSITIES:
Logic:	Ask if AFFPRE_AAUNI =2, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	595	1092	1687
2. A moderate amount	323	676	999
3. A little	100	200	300
-1. Inapplicable	1033	1892	2925
-4. Error	1	0	1
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	29.0	33.9	28.3	29.1	28.5	30.8
2. A moderate amount	15.7	17.1	17.5	17.4	16.9	17.3
3. A little	4.9	5.1	5.2	5.6	5.1	5.4
-1. Inapplicable	50.3	43.8	49.0	47.9	49.5	46.5
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

aa_uni_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Favor/oppose affirmative action in universities

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	210	177	387
2. Favor moderately	172	266	438
3. Favor a little	64	93	157
4. Neither favor nor oppose	503	1353	1856
5. Oppose a little	100	200	300
6. Oppose moderately	323	676	999
7. Oppose a great deal	595	1092	1687
-1. Inapplicable	1	0	1
-8. Don't know	65	0	65
-9. Refused	21	3	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	10.2	5.8	4.6	4.0	6.5	4.6
2. Favor moderately	8.4	8.1	6.9	5.7	7.4	6.5
3. Favor a little	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.7
4. Neither favor nor oppose	24.5	23.4	35.1	35.5	31.4	31.3
5. Oppose a little	4.9	5.1	5.2	5.6	5.1	5.4
6. Oppose moderately	15.7	17.1	17.5	17.4	16.9	17.3
7. Oppose a great deal	29.0	33.9	28.3	29.1	28.5	30.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-8. Don't know	3.2	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0
-9. Refused	1.0	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5

aa_work

Label:	Does R favor or oppose affirmative action at work
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-9
Description:	Affirmative action at universities and at work
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing companies to increase the number of black workers by considering race along with other factors when choosing employees?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	419	462	881
2. Oppose	1060	2046	3106
3. Neither favor nor oppose	504	1350	1854
-8. Don't know	50	0	50
-9. Refused	21	2	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	20.4	15.2	12.0	10.6	14.9	12.2
2. Oppose	51.6	59.5	53.0	54.8	52.5	56.5
3. Neither favor nor oppose	24.5	22.5	35.0	34.4	31.3	30.3
-8. Don't know	2.4	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
-9. Refused	1.0	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.5

aa_workfav

Label:	How much does R favor affirmative action at work
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-10
Description:	Affirmative action at universities and at work
Question:	Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT WORK:
Logic:	Ask if AFFPRE_AAWORK =1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	189	159	348
2. A moderate amount	176	220	396
3. A little	53	83	136
-1. Inapplicable	1635	3398	5033
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	9.2	5.1	4.1	3.6	5.9	4.2
2. A moderate amount	8.6	7.2	5.7	4.8	6.7	5.6
3. A little	2.6	2.8	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	79.6	84.8	88.0	89.4	85.1	87.8
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

aa_workopp

Label:	How much does R oppose affirmative action at work
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 47-10.2
Description:	Affirmative action at universities and at work
Question:	Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT WORK:
Logic:	Ask if AFFPRE_AAWORK =2, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	635	1233	1868
2. A moderate amount	334	662	996
3. A little	90	151	241
-1. Inapplicable	994	1814	2808
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	30.9	36.6	31.9	33.0	31.6	34.3
2. A moderate amount	16.3	17.6	17.2	17.8	16.8	17.7
3. A little	4.4	5.2	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.4
-1. Inapplicable	48.4	40.5	47.0	45.2	47.5	43.5
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

aa_work_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Favor/oppose affirmative action at work

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	189	159	348
2. Favor moderately	176	220	396
3. Favor a little	53	83	136
4. Neither favor nor oppose	504	1350	1854
5. Oppose a little	90	151	241
6. Oppose moderately	334	662	996
7. Oppose a great deal	635	1233	1868
-8. Don't know	52	0	52
-9. Refused	21	2	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	9.2	5.1	4.1	3.6	5.9	4.2
2. Favor moderately	8.6	7.2	5.7	4.8	6.7	5.6
3. Favor a little	2.6	2.8	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.4
4. Neither favor nor oppose	24.5	22.5	35.0	34.4	31.3	30.3
5. Oppose a little	4.4	5.2	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.4
6. Oppose moderately	16.3	17.6	17.2	17.8	16.8	17.7
7. Oppose a great deal	30.9	36.6	31.9	33.0	31.6	34.3
-8. Don't know	2.5	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	1.0	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.5

fedspend_ss

Label:	Federal Budget Spending: Social Security
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-4
Description:	Federal spending levels on programs
Question:	(What about) SOCIAL SECURITY (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization:	Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe:	IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Logic:	Randomly assign R to be administered the question with either 'THE SAME' or 'ABOUT THE SAME' in the question text and in the last response option; record the value of the assignment in variable FEDSPENDABT: 1='ABOUT THE SAME', 2='THE SAME'. All FEDSPEND items assigned for same value of FEDSPENDABT.
Web Logic:	Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	1267	1700	2967
2. Decreased	95	268	363
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	637	1883	2520
-8. Don't know	50	0	50
-9. Refused	5	9	14

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	61.7	53.6	44.0	44.8	50.2	47.8
2. Decreased	4.6	5.4	6.9	7.4	6.1	6.7
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	31.0	38.3	48.8	47.4	42.6	44.2
-8. Don't know	2.4	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

fedspend_schools

Label:	Federal Budget Spending: public schools
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-6
Description:	Federal spending levels on programs
Question:	(What about) PUBLIC SCHOOLS (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization:	Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe:	IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Web Logic:	Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	1603	2182	3785
2. Decreased	93	496	589
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	336	1171	1507
-8. Don't know	21	0	21
-9. Refused	1	11	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	78.0	71.3	56.5	58.0	64.0	62.6
2. Decreased	4.5	6.0	12.8	12.1	10.0	10.0
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	16.4	21.4	30.3	29.5	25.5	26.7
-8. Don't know	1.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

fedspend_scitech

Label:	Federal Budget Spending: science and technology
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-8
Description:	Federal spending levels on programs
Question:	(What about) SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization:	Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe:	IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Web Logic:	Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	1193	1788	2981
2. Decreased	162	485	647
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	646	1578	2224
-8. Don't know	50	0	50
-9. Refused	3	9	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	58.1	55.6	46.3	41.5	50.4	46.4
2. Decreased	7.9	7.9	12.6	15.2	10.9	12.7
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	31.5	33.8	40.9	42.9	37.6	39.7
-8. Don't know	2.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

fedspend_crime

Label:	Federal Budget Spending: dealing with crime
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-10
Description:	Federal spending levels on programs
Question:	(What about) DEALING WITH CRIME (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization:	Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe:	IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Web Logic:	Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	1364	1781	3145
2. Decreased	141	349	490
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	508	1718	2226
-8. Don't know	38	0	38
-9. Refused	3	12	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	66.4	61.2	46.1	45.2	53.2	50.8
2. Decreased	6.9	6.3	9.0	9.6	8.3	8.4
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	24.7	29.9	44.5	44.8	37.6	39.6
-8. Don't know	1.9	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.9
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3

fedspend_welfare

Label:	Federal Budget Spending: welfare programs
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-12
Description:	Federal spending levels on programs
Question:	(What about) WELFARE PROGRAMS (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization:	Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe:	IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Web Logic:	Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	443	473	916
2. Decreased	732	1799	2531
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	820	1581	2401
-8. Don't know	51	0	51
-9. Refused	8	7	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	21.6	15.6	12.3	12.5	15.5	13.6
2. Decreased	35.6	41.5	46.6	47.8	42.8	45.6
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	39.9	39.8	41.0	39.4	40.6	39.5
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4

fedspend_child

Label:	Federal Budget Spending: child care
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-14
Description:	Federal spending levels on programs
Question:	(What about) CHILD CARE (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization:	Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe:	IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Web Logic:	Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	1204	1003	2207
2. Decreased	187	946	1133
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	609	1902	2511
-8. Don't know	51	0	51
-9. Refused	3	9	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	58.6	49.9	26.0	25.0	37.3	33.7
2. Decreased	9.1	12.7	24.5	24.5	19.2	20.4
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	29.6	34.5	49.3	50.1	42.5	44.6
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

fedspend_poor

Label:	Federal Budget Spending: aid to the poor
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-18
Description:	Federal spending levels on programs
Question:	(What about) AID TO THE POOR (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization:	Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe:	IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Web Logic:	Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	1118	1218	2336
2. Decreased	198	846	1044
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	692	1786	2478
-8. Don't know	40	0	40
-9. Refused	6	10	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	54.4	43.2	31.6	32.1	39.5	35.9
2. Decreased	9.6	13.6	21.9	22.9	17.7	19.7
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	33.7	40.4	46.3	44.7	41.9	43.2
-8. Don't know	1.9	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4

fedspend_enviro

Label:	Federal Budget Spending: protecting the environment
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48-24
Description:	Federal spending levels on programs
Question:	(What about) PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT (Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or kept [ABOUT THE SAME / THE SAME]?)
Randomization:	Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'ABOUT THE SAME' OR 'THE SAME' in wording
Universe:	IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/ IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
Web Logic:	Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	1203	1374	2577
2. Decreased	185	767	952
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	644	1709	2353
-8. Don't know	20	0	20
-9. Refused	2	10	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	58.6	51.7	35.6	34.8	43.6	40.7
2. Decreased	9.0	12.6	19.9	19.7	16.1	17.2
3. <kept about the same/ kept the same>	31.4	34.3	44.3	45.2	39.8	41.4
-8. Don't know	1.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

candrel_dpc

Label:	What is the religion of the Democratic Presidential cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.3-1
Description:	Political knowledge: religion of Presidential candidates
Question:	Now we would like to ask you some questions about the religion of the presidential candidates. Would you say that [preload: dem_pcname] is Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, Mormon, some other religion, or is he not religious?
Logic:	Load name of Democratic Presidential candidate.
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box for option 95 in place of □SPECIFY.□
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Protestant	423	1355	1778
02. Catholic	146	342	488
03. Jewish	1	8	9
04. Muslim	334	898	1232
05. Mormon	4	21	25
06. Not religious	189	595	784
10. Christian (VOL)	148	185	333
11. Baptist (VOL)	57	35	92
12. Other specific Protestant denomination (VOL)	12	4	16
95. Something else (SPECIFY)	25	281	306
-8. Don't know	676	109	785
-9. Refused	39	27	66

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Protestant	20.6	25.4	35.1	31.7	30.1	29.5
02. Catholic	7.1	6.5	8.9	9.4	8.3	8.4
03. Jewish	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
04. Muslim	16.3	19.9	23.3	24.7	20.8	23.1
05. Mormon	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5
06. Not religious	9.2	9.2	15.4	16.8	13.3	14.1
10. Christian (VOL)	7.2	4.7	4.8	4.3	5.6	4.4
11. Baptist (VOL)	2.8	2.0	0.9	0.9	1.6	1.3
12. Other specific Protestant denomination (VOL)	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2
95. Something else (SPECIFY)	1.2	0.9	7.3	6.9	5.2	4.8
-8. Don't know	32.9	29.5	2.8	3.6	13.3	12.6
-9. Refused	1.9	1.3	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.0

candrel_dpc_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- What is the religion of the Dem Presidential cand

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

candrel_rpc

Label:	What is the religion of the Republican Presidential cand
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.3-2
Description:	Political knowledge: religion of Presidential candidates
Question:	Would you say that [preload: rep_pcname] is Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, Mormon, some other religion, or is he not religious?
Logic:	Load name of Republican Presidential candidate.
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box for option 95 in place of □SPECIFY.□
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Protestant	93	220	313
02. Catholic	198	311	509
03. Jewish	18	34	52
04. Muslim	3	8	11
05. Mormon	1041	2923	3964
06. Not religious	112	182	294
10. Christian (VOL)	27	15	42
12. Other specific Protestant denomination (VOL)	2	1	3
95. Something else (SPECIFY)	13	84	97
-8. Don't know	514	56	570
-9. Refused	33	26	59

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Protestant	4.5	4.9	5.7	5.6	5.3	5.4
02. Catholic	9.6	8.6	8.1	9.3	8.6	9.0
03. Jewish	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8
04. Muslim	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
05. Mormon	50.7	60.1	75.7	72.7	67.0	68.3
06. Not religious	5.5	3.9	4.7	6.0	5.0	5.2
10. Christian (VOL)	1.3	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.5
12. Other specific Protestant denomination (VOL)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
95. Something else (SPECIFY)	0.6	0.7	2.2	2.5	1.6	1.9
-8. Don't know	25.0	19.4	1.5	1.5	9.6	7.7
-9. Refused	1.6	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.9

candrel_rpc_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- What is the religion of the DemoPresidential cand

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

trustgov_trustgrev

Label:	[REV] How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-2
Description:	Trust in government
Question:	How often can you trust the federal government in Washington to do what is right? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	11	17	28
2. Most of the time	160	179	339
3. About half the time	294	474	768
4. Some of the time	446	995	1441
5. Never	78	218	296
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	15	0	15
-9. Refused	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3
2. Most of the time	7.8	7.1	4.6	3.8	5.7	4.9
3. About half the time	14.3	14.5	12.3	13.1	13.0	13.6
4. Some of the time	21.7	23.9	25.8	26.4	24.4	25.5
5. Never	3.8	3.4	5.6	5.8	5.0	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

trustgov_trustgstd

Label:	[STD] How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-3
Description:	Trust in government
Question:	How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- [JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, or ONLY SOME OF THE TIME / ONLY SOME OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or JUST ABOUT ALWAYS]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip Assignment to forward/reverse ordering applies only to the options read aloud in the question text;
Web Logic:	Online, omit response option 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Just about always	46	44	90
2. Most of the time	234	393	627
3. Only some of the time	694	1534	2228
4. Never (VOL)	57	0	57
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	15	0	15
-9. Refused	2	4	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Just about always	2.2	1.6	1.1	1.0	1.5	1.2
2. Most of the time	11.4	9.8	10.2	9.9	10.6	9.9
3. Only some of the time	33.8	35.8	39.7	39.4	37.7	38.1
4. Never (VOL)	2.8	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

trustgov_bigintrst

Label:	Govt run by a few big interests or for benefit of all
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-4
Description:	Trust in government
Question:	Would you say the government is pretty much RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS looking out for themselves or that it is run FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL THE PEOPLE?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Run by a few big interests	1405	3197	4602
2. For the benefit of all the people	523	645	1168
-8. Don't know	109	0	109
-9. Refused	17	18	35

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Run by a few big interests	68.4	71.0	82.8	82.6	77.8	78.6
2. For the benefit of all the people	25.5	23.3	16.7	16.8	19.7	19.1
-8. Don't know	5.3	5.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.7
-9. Refused	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6

trustgov_waste

Label:	Does government waste much tax money
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-5
Description:	Trust in government
Question:	Do you think that people in government [WASTE A LOT of the money we pay in taxes, WASTE SOME of it, or DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH of it / DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH of the money we pay in taxes, WASTE SOME of it, or WASTE A LOT of it]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Waste a lot	1353	2730	4083
2. Waste some	600	994	1594
3. Don't waste very much	59	129	188
-8. Don't know	40	0	40
-9. Refused	2	7	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Waste a lot	65.9	69.8	70.7	70.8	69.0	70.5
2. Waste some	29.2	26.9	25.8	25.4	27.0	25.9
3. Don't waste very much	2.9	2.1	3.3	3.5	3.2	3.0
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

trustgov_corrpt

Label:	How many in government are corrupt
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.6-6
Description:	Trust in government
Question:	How many of the people running the government are corrupt? [All, most, about half, a few, or none? / None, a few, about half, most, or all?]
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. All	94	124	218
2. Most	447	980	1427
3. About half	600	1231	1831
4. A few	782	1479	2261
5. None	27	35	62
-8. Don't know	97	0	97
-9. Refused	7	11	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. All	4.6	4.1	3.2	4.0	3.7	4.0
2. Most	21.8	23.0	25.4	26.9	24.1	25.6
3. About half	29.2	29.7	31.9	32.3	31.0	31.4
4. A few	38.1	38.0	38.3	35.5	38.2	36.4
5. None	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.9
-8. Don't know	4.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.3
-9. Refused	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4

trust_social

Label:	How often can people be trusted
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.65-2
Description:	Social trust
Question:	Generally speaking, how often can you trust other people? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	18	21	39
2. Most of the time	691	1537	2228
3. About half the time	503	1073	1576
4. Some of the time	688	1083	1771
5. Never	141	140	281
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	1	6	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7
2. Most of the time	33.6	43.7	39.8	36.3	37.7	38.8
3. About half the time	24.5	24.2	27.8	29.3	26.6	27.5
4. Some of the time	33.5	26.9	28.1	29.5	29.9	28.6
5. Never	6.9	4.2	3.6	4.0	4.8	4.1
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

respons_elections

Label:	Elections make govt pay attention
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 48.7-12
Description:	Government responsiveness
Question:	How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think? [A GOOD DEAL, SOME, or NOT MUCH / NOT MUCH, SOME, or A GOOD DEAL]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A good deal	867	857	1724
2. Some	840	2054	2894
3. Not much	330	942	1272
-8. Don't know	16	0	16
-9. Refused	1	7	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A good deal	42.2	41.3	22.2	20.6	29.2	27.8
2. Some	40.9	42.5	53.2	53.8	48.9	49.9
3. Not much	16.1	15.7	24.4	25.3	21.5	22.0
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

econcand_dwin

Label:	Economy better or worse if Dem Pres cand wins
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.1-1
Description:	Effect of Pres cand win on economy
Question:	If [preload: dem_pcname] wins the election, do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to GET BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Get better	1120	1667	2787
2. Stay about the same	492	833	1325
3. Get worse	403	1350	1753
-8. Don't know	33	0	33
-9. Refused	6	10	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Get better	54.5	43.1	43.2	40.7	47.1	41.5
2. Stay about the same	24.0	26.6	21.6	23.6	22.4	24.7
3. Get worse	19.6	28.6	35.0	35.2	29.6	32.9
-8. Don't know	1.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

econcand_rwin

Label:	Economy better or worse if Rep Pres cand wins
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.1-3
Description:	Effect of Pres cand win on economy
Question:	If [preload: rep_pcname] wins the election, do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to GET BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Get better	584	1485	2069
2. Stay about the same	562	920	1482
3. Get worse	800	1440	2240
-8. Don't know	101	0	101
-9. Refused	7	15	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Get better	28.4	39.4	38.5	38.2	35.0	38.7
2. Stay about the same	27.4	27.8	23.8	23.9	25.1	25.2
3. Get worse	38.9	28.1	37.3	37.2	37.9	34.1
-8. Don't know	4.9	4.4	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.5
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5

envir_drill

Label:	Favor or oppose increased U.S. offshore drilling
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-1
Description:	Environmental policy and conditions
Question:	Now on another topic. Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE increased offshore drilling for oil and natural gas in U.S. waters?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1029	2049	3078
2. Oppose	439	624	1063
3. Neither favor nor oppose	472	1180	1652
-8. Don't know	108	0	108
-9. Refused	6	7	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	50.1	57.6	53.1	51.3	52.0	53.5
2. Oppose	21.4	19.4	16.2	16.2	18.0	17.3
3. Neither favor nor oppose	23.0	19.0	30.6	32.2	27.9	27.6
-8. Don't know	5.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.3
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

envir_nuke

Label:	Should US have more or fewer nuclear power plants
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-3
Description:	Environmental policy and conditions
Question:	Do you think the United States should have MORE nuclear power plants, FEWER nuclear power plants, or the same number it has now?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More	383	1294	1677
2. Fewer	493	833	1326
3. The same number	1032	1713	2745
-8. Don't know	139	0	139
-9. Refused	7	20	27

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More	18.6	24.3	33.5	31.6	28.4	29.1
2. Fewer	24.0	23.1	21.6	23.0	22.4	23.0
3. The same number	50.2	46.1	44.4	44.7	46.4	45.2
-8. Don't know	6.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.2
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.6

envir_gwarm

Label:	Is global warming happening or not
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-6
Description:	Environmental policy and conditions
Question:	You may have heard about the idea that the world's temperature may have been going up slowly over the past 100 years. What is your personal opinion on this? Do you think this has probably been happening, or do you think it probably hasn't been happening?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Has probably been happening	1655	3050	4705
2. Probably hasn't been happening	277	794	1071
-8. Don't know	118	0	118
-9. Refused	4	16	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Has probably been happening	80.6	79.2	79.0	77.6	79.6	78.1
2. Probably hasn't been happening	13.5	16.1	20.6	21.8	18.1	19.8
-8. Don't know	5.7	4.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.5

envir_gwgood

Label:	Rising temperatures good or bad
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-7
Description:	Environmental policy and conditions
Question:	[If / Assuming it's happening, if] temperatures continue to go up in the future, would this be good, bad, or neither good nor bad?
Universe:	IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY BEEN OCCURRING/ IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY NOT BEEN OCCURRING OR DK/RF:
Logic:	If ENVIR_GWARM=1, fill question text 'If' else fill question text 'Assuming it's happening, if'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Good	41	82	123
2. Bad	1599	2693	4292
3. Neither good nor bad	357	1076	1433
-8. Don't know	55	0	55
-9. Refused	2	9	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Good	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.3
2. Bad	77.8	76.0	69.8	68.9	72.6	71.4
3. Neither good nor bad	17.4	19.6	27.9	28.3	24.2	25.3
-8. Don't know	2.7	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

envir_gwhow

Label:	Anthropogenic climate change
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.2-9
Description:	Environmental policy and conditions
Question:	[Do / Assuming it's happening, do] you think a rise in the world's temperatures would be caused mostly by human activity, mostly by natural causes, or about equally by human activity and by natural causes?
Universe:	IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY BEEN OCCURRING/ IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY NOT BEEN OCCURRING OR DK/RF:
Logic:	If ENVIR_GWARM=1, fill question text 'Do' else fill question text 'Assuming it's happening, do'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mostly by human activity	642	1342	1984
2. Mostly by natural causes	320	808	1128
3. About equally by human activity and natural causes	1036	1701	2737
-8. Don't know	55	0	55
-9. Refused	1	9	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mostly by human activity	31.3	30.6	34.8	33.8	33.5	32.7
2. Mostly by natural causes	15.6	16.8	20.9	22.1	19.1	20.2
3. About equally by human activity and natural causes	50.4	50.6	44.1	43.7	46.3	46.1
-8. Don't know	2.7	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

gayrt_discrev

Label:	[REV] Should laws protect gays/lesbians against job discrim
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-3
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE laws to protect gays and lesbians against job discrimination?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	754	1361	2115
2. Oppose	175	502	677
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	55	0	55
-9. Refused	22	22	44

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	36.7	38.1	35.3	35.5	35.8	36.4
2. Oppose	8.5	8.6	13.0	13.3	11.4	11.7
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	2.7	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
-9. Refused	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8

gayrt_discstrev

Label:	[REV] How mch fav/opp laws protect gays/lesbians job discr
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-4
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	[Do you favor such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you oppose such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?]
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE: IF R FAVORS PROTECTING GAYS AND LESBIANS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION/ IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING GAYS AND LESBIANS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
Logic:	Ask if GAYRT_GDISCREV in(1,2) else skip. Use 'favor' if GAYRT_GDISCREV = 1 Use 'oppose' if GAYRT_GDISCREV = 2
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	695	1174	1869
2. Not strongly	231	688	919
-1. Inapplicable	1125	1997	3122
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	33.8	33.2	30.4	30.8	31.6	31.6
2. Not strongly	11.2	13.4	17.8	18.0	15.5	16.4
-1. Inapplicable	54.8	53.3	51.7	51.2	52.8	52.0
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

gayrt_discrev_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY REV version- favor laws against gays/lesbians job discrim

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	583	870	1453
2. Approve not strongly	169	491	660
4. Disapprove not strongly	62	197	259
5. Disapprove strongly	112	304	416
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	58	0	58
-9. Refused	22	23	45

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	28.4	27.7	22.5	23.1	24.6	24.7
2. Approve not strongly	8.2	10.3	12.7	12.4	11.2	11.7
4. Disapprove not strongly	3.0	3.1	5.1	5.6	4.4	4.7
5. Disapprove strongly	5.5	5.5	7.9	7.7	7.0	6.9
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	2.8	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
-9. Refused	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.9

gayrt_discstd

Label:	[STD] Should laws protect homosexuals against job discrim
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-5
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	795	1425	2220
2. Oppose	168	530	698
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	68	0	68
-9. Refused	17	20	37

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	38.7	39.4	36.9	36.2	37.5	37.3
2. Oppose	8.2	7.0	13.7	13.7	11.8	11.3
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	3.3	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
-9. Refused	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6

gayrt_discststd

Label:	[STD] How mch fav/opp laws protect homosexuals job discrim
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-6
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	[Do you favor such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? / Do you oppose such laws STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?]
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICES: IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION/ IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
Logic:	Ask if GAYRT_GDISCSTD in(1,2) else skip. Use 'favor' if GAYRT_GDISCSTD = 1 Use 'oppose' if GAYRT_GDISCSTD = 2
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	727	1215	1942
2. Not strongly	231	739	970
-1. Inapplicable	1091	1905	2996
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	35.4	34.1	31.5	30.6	32.8	31.8
2. Not strongly	11.2	12.1	19.1	19.2	16.4	16.7
-1. Inapplicable	53.1	53.5	49.4	50.2	50.7	51.4
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

gayrt_discstd_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY STD version- favor laws against gays/lesbians job discrim

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	621	923	1544
2. Approve not strongly	171	502	673
4. Disapprove not strongly	60	237	297
5. Disapprove strongly	106	292	398
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	73	0	73
-9. Refused	17	21	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	30.2	29.8	23.9	23.3	26.1	25.6
2. Approve not strongly	8.3	9.5	13.0	12.8	11.4	11.7
4. Disapprove not strongly	2.9	2.5	6.1	6.4	5.0	5.1
5. Disapprove strongly	5.2	4.3	7.6	7.2	6.7	6.2
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	3.6	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2
-9. Refused	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6

gayrt_milrev

Label:	[REV] Should gays and lesbians serve in U.S. armed forces
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-7
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	Do you think gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve	863	1595	2458
2. Gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve	111	276	387
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	20	0	20
-9. Refused	12	14	26

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve	42.0	42.9	41.3	41.5	41.6	42.0
2. Gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve	5.4	5.3	7.2	7.6	6.5	6.8
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
-9. Refused	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5

gayrt_milstrev

Label:	[REV] Strength favor/opp allow gays serve in armed forces
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-8
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	[Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve? / Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve?]
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE: IF R SAYS ALLOW GAYS AND LESBIANS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES/ IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW GAYS AND LESBIANS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:
Logic:	Ask if GAYRT_GMILREV in(1,2) else skip. Use 'favor' if GAYRT_GMILREV = 1 Use 'oppose' if GAYRT_GMILREV = 2
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	769	1305	2074
2. Not strongly	192	564	756
-1. Inapplicable	1080	1989	3069
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	37.4	36.2	33.8	33.9	35.1	34.7
2. Not strongly	9.3	11.4	14.6	15.1	12.8	13.8
-1. Inapplicable	52.6	51.8	51.5	50.9	51.9	51.2
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

gayrt_milrev_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY REV version- allow gays/lesbians serve in US armed forces

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Feel strongly that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve	691	1103	1794
2. Feel not strongly that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve	161	490	651
4. Feel not strongly that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve	31	74	105
5. Feel strongly that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve	78	202	280
-1. Inapplicable	1048	1975	3023
-8. Don't know	32	0	32
-9. Refused	13	16	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Feel strongly that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve	33.6	32.4	28.6	28.5	30.3	29.8
2. Feel not strongly that gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve	7.8	9.9	12.7	13.0	11.0	11.9
4. Feel not strongly that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve	1.5	1.5	1.9	2.2	1.8	1.9
5. Feel strongly that gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve	3.8	3.8	5.2	5.4	4.7	4.9
-1. Inapplicable	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4
-8. Don't know	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
-9. Refused	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.6

gayrt_milstd

Label:	[STD] Should homosexuals serve in U.S. armed forces
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-9
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Homosexuals should be allowed to serve	872	1678	2550
2. Homosexuals should not be allowed to serve	118	282	400
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	44	0	44
-9. Refused	14	15	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Homosexuals should be allowed to serve	42.5	42.1	43.5	42.5	43.1	42.3
2. Homosexuals should not be allowed to serve	5.7	5.7	7.3	7.5	6.8	6.9
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	2.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
-9. Refused	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4

gayrt_milststd

Label:	[STD] Strength fav/opp allow homosexuals serve armed forces
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-10
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	[Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that homosexuals should be allowed to serve? / Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?]
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE: IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES/ IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:
Logic:	Ask if GAYRT_GMILSTD in(1,2) else skip. Use 'favor' if GAYRT_GMILSTD = 1 Use 'oppose' if GAYRT_GMILSTD = 2
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	776	1342	2118
2. Not strongly	207	617	824
-1. Inapplicable	1064	1900	2964
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	37.8	37.4	34.8	33.8	35.8	35.1
2. Not strongly	10.1	9.6	16.0	16.1	13.9	13.8
-1. Inapplicable	51.8	52.3	49.2	50.0	50.1	50.8
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

gayrt_milstd_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY STD version- allow gays/lesbians serve in US armed forces

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Feel strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve	695	1136	1831
2. Feel not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve	172	541	713
4. Feel not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve	35	76	111
5. Feel strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve	81	206	287
-1. Inapplicable	1006	1885	2891
-8. Don't know	51	0	51
-9. Refused	14	16	30

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Feel strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve	33.8	33.4	29.4	28.5	31.0	30.2
2. Feel not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve	8.4	8.2	14.0	13.9	12.1	11.9
4. Feel not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve	1.7	1.3	2.0	2.2	1.9	1.9
5. Feel strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve	3.9	4.1	5.3	5.3	4.9	4.9
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
-9. Refused	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5

gayrt_adopt

Label:	Should gay and lesbian couples be allowed to adopt
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-11
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	Do you think gay or lesbian couples should be legally permitted to adopt children?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1206	2325	3531
2. No	695	1502	2197
-8. Don't know	119	0	119
-9. Refused	34	33	67

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	58.7	61.5	60.2	60.9	59.7	61.1
2. No	33.8	31.4	38.9	38.2	37.1	35.8
-8. Don't know	5.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.9
-9. Refused	1.7	1.6	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1

gayrt_marry

Label:	R position on gay marriage
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.3-12
Description:	Civil rights for gays and lesbians
Question:	Looking at page [preload: prepg_z] of the booklet. Which comes closest to your view? You can just tell me the number of your choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to legally marry.	855	1502	2357
2. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to form civil unions but not legally marry.	635	1327	1962
3. There should be no legal recognition of a gay or lesbian couple's relationship.	505	1010	1515
-8. Don't know	38	0	38
-9. Refused	21	21	42

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to legally marry.	41.6	42.0	38.9	39.8	39.9	40.6
2. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to form civil unions but not legally marry.	30.9	32.6	34.4	33.4	33.2	33.1
3. There should be no legal recognition of a gay or lesbian couple's relationship.	24.6	23.4	26.2	26.1	25.6	25.2
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
-9. Refused	1.0	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7

abortpre_4point

Label:	STD Abortion: self-placement
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 49.5-1
Description:	Abortion std self-placement
Question:	Please look at page [preload: prepg_f] of the booklet. There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the first and last sentences of the question stem so the stem reads as follows: □There has been some discussion of abortion in recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view?□. Also, omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	244	428	672
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger	561	1046	1607
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	319	579	898
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	889	1789	2678
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	5	0	5
-8. Don't know	21	0	21
-9. Refused	15	18	33

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	11.9	11.7	11.1	12.4	11.4	12.2
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger	27.3	27.2	27.1	27.5	27.2	27.4
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	15.5	17.3	15.0	14.5	15.2	15.5
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	43.3	42.4	46.3	44.9	45.3	44.0
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9. Refused	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6

abortpre_4point_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- STD Abortion: self-placement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

penalty_favdpen

Label:	R favor/oppose death penalty
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 51-1
Description:	Position on the death penalty
Question:	(Not looking at the booklet now.) Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?
Web Logic:	Online, omit the parenthetical reference to the booklet.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1272	2808	4080
2. Oppose	649	1023	1672
-8. Don't know	111	0	111
-9. Refused	22	29	51

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	61.9	66.7	72.7	73.9	69.0	71.4
2. Oppose	31.6	27.5	26.5	25.0	28.3	25.9
-8. Don't know	5.4	5.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.7
-9. Refused	1.1	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.0

penalty_dpenstr

Label:	Strength R favors/opposes death penalty
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 51-2
Description:	Position on the death penalty
Question:	Do you [favor / oppose] the death penalty for persons convicted of murder STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER / IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:
Logic:	Ask if PENALTY_FAVDPEN in(1,2), else skip. Load 'favor' if PENALTY_FAVDPEN= 1 Load 'oppose' if PENALTY_FAVDPEN = 2.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1368	2609	3977
2. Not strongly	545	1220	1765
-1. Inapplicable	133	29	162
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	66.6	66.0	67.6	65.9	67.2	65.9
2. Not strongly	26.5	28.0	31.6	33.0	29.8	31.3
-1. Inapplicable	6.5	5.8	0.8	1.1	2.7	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

penalty_favopp_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Favor death penalty

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	973	2042	3015
2. Approve not strongly	292	764	1056
4. Disapprove not strongly	253	456	709
5. Disapprove strongly	395	567	962
-8. Don't know	119	0	119
-9. Refused	22	31	53

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	47.4	49.9	52.9	53.2	51.0	52.0
2. Approve not strongly	14.2	16.6	19.8	20.7	17.9	19.2
4. Disapprove not strongly	12.3	11.4	11.8	12.3	12.0	12.0
5. Disapprove strongly	19.2	16.1	14.7	12.7	16.3	13.9
-8. Don't know	5.8	5.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.8
-9. Refused	1.1	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.0

presadm_secure

Label:	U.S. more or less secure than when Pres took office
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 58-1
Description:	Current presidential administration
Question:	Would you say that compared to 2008, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More secure	753	1247	2000
2. Less secure	404	1160	1564
3. No change	838	1444	2282
-8. Don't know	57	0	57
-9. Refused	2	9	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More secure	36.7	31.5	32.3	31.2	33.8	31.3
2. Less secure	19.7	25.4	30.1	29.8	26.4	28.2
3. No change	40.8	40.8	37.4	38.7	38.6	39.5
-8. Don't know	2.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

pctlikely_whatpct2

Label:	[1/2 SAMPLE 2B] What is percent chance R will vote in Nov
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 58.2-1
Description:	What is the percent chance/likelihood R will vote
Question:	What is the percent chance that you will vote in the general election this November? You can answer with any number between 0 and 100 where 0 means no chance, 45-55 means a fairly even chance, and 100 means you are absolutely certain.
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE PERCENT 2B HERE AND VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A EARLIER IN IW:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_HOWVOTE not in(1,2,3) and likvt=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

44 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	1053	1921	2974
100	602	1455	2057
0	111	115	226
50	51	62	113
90	43	27	70
99	13	51	64
80	18	27	45
45	22	16	38
95	14	24	38
75	20	17	37

pctlikely_howlikvt2

Label:	[1/2 SAMPLE 2A] How likely is it that R will vote in Nov
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 58.2-2
Description:	What is the percent chance/likelihood R will vote
Question:	How likely is it that you will vote in the general election this November? [Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / Not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely]?
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS: IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2B HERE AND VOTE PERCENT 2A EARLIER IN IW:
Logic:	Ask if PREVOTE_HOWVOTE not in(1,2,3) and likvt=0, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely likely	599	1463	2062
2. Very likely	132	158	290
3. Moderately likely	63	94	157
4. Slightly likely	36	71	107
5. Not likely at all	106	129	235
-1. Inapplicable	1113	1939	3052
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	1	6	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely likely	29.2	29.4	37.9	35.7	34.9	33.5
2. Very likely	6.4	5.9	4.1	4.3	4.9	4.9
3. Moderately likely	3.1	2.7	2.4	3.4	2.7	3.2
4. Slightly likely	1.8	1.2	1.8	2.5	1.8	2.0
5. Not likely at all	5.2	5.5	3.3	3.8	4.0	4.4
-1. Inapplicable	54.2	54.9	50.2	50.1	51.6	51.8
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2

relig_import

Label:	Is religion important part of R life
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-1
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Now on another topic.... Do you consider religion to be an IMPORTANT part of your life, or NOT?
Web Logic:	Online, omit the ellipsis and render the item as follows: 'Now on another topic: Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Important	1498	2605	4103
2. Not important	532	1247	1779
-8. Don't know	11	0	11
-9. Refused	13	8	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Important	72.9	67.3	67.5	66.4	69.4	66.7
2. Not important	25.9	31.5	32.3	33.3	30.1	32.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.6	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4

relig_guide

Label:	Religion provides guidance in day-to-day living
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-2
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Would you say your religion provides [SOME guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT of guidance, or a GREAT DEAL of guidance / a GREAT DEAL of guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT of guidance, or SOME guidance] in your day-to-day life?
Universe:	IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELIMP =1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Some	296	542	838
2. Quite a bit	434	791	1225
3. A great deal	762	1272	2034
-1. Inapplicable	556	1255	1811
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Some	14.4	14.4	14.0	15.0	14.2	14.8
2. Quite a bit	21.1	20.2	20.5	19.3	20.7	19.6
3. A great deal	37.1	32.5	33.0	32.1	34.4	32.3
-1. Inapplicable	27.1	32.7	32.5	33.6	30.6	33.3
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_pray

Label:	How often does R pray (forward/reverse images)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-3
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Please look at page [[preload: prepg_r]/[preload: prepg_b]] of the booklet. People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray [SEVERAL TIMES A DAY, ONCE A DAY, A FEW TIMES A WEEK, ONCE A WEEK OR LESS, or NEVER/ NEVER, ONCE A WEEK OR LESS, A FEW TIMES A WEEK, ONCE A DAY, or SEVERAL TIMES A DAY]?
Universe:	IF RESPONSE OPTION ORDER IS FORWARD / IF RESPONSE OPTION ORDER IS REVERSE:
Logic:	Please use reference to [preload: prepg r] if the response option order is forward and use reference to [preload: prepg_s] if the response option order is reverse.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the first sentence of the question, □Please look at page□□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Several times a day	712	1049	1761
02. Once a day	418	674	1092
03. A few times a week	383	696	1079
04. Once a week or less	270	717	987
05. Never	251	704	955
95. Other (VOL) (SPECIFY)	4	0	4
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	13	20	33

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Several times a day	34.7	31.5	27.2	25.8	29.8	27.8
02. Once a day	20.4	19.3	17.5	16.7	18.5	17.6
03. A few times a week	18.6	18.1	18.0	18.8	18.2	18.5
04. Once a week or less	13.1	13.6	18.6	18.5	16.7	16.8
05. Never	12.2	16.7	18.2	19.5	16.1	18.6
95. Other (VOL) (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6

relig_pray_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- How often does R pray

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

relig_wordgod

Label:	Is Bible word of God or men
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-4
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Please look at page [preload: prepg_s] of the booklet. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the first sentence of the question, □Please look at page□□. Also, omit the last sentence, □You can just give me the number of your choice.□ Also, omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. The bible is the actual word of god and is to be taken literally, word for word.	816	1088	1904
2. The bible is the word of god but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word	835	1825	2660
3. The bible is a book written by men and is not the word of god.	339	921	1260
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	8	0	8
-8. Don't know	33	0	33
-9. Refused	23	26	49

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. The bible is the actual word of god and is to be taken literally, word for word.	39.7	32.9	28.2	29.4	32.2	30.6
2. The bible is the word of god but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word	40.7	45.2	47.3	47.6	45.0	46.8
3. The bible is a book written by men and is not the word of god.	16.5	19.4	23.9	22.1	21.3	21.2
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-8. Don't know	1.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9

relig_wordgod_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- Is Bible word of God or men

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

relig_church

Label:	Ever attend church or religious services
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-5
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1362	2184	3546
2. No	675	1667	2342
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	14	9	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	66.3	62.9	56.6	54.7	60.0	57.5
2. No	32.9	36.5	43.2	44.9	39.6	42.0
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3

relig_churchoft

Label:	Attend religious services how often
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-6
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Do you go to religious services [EVERY WEEK, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, or NEVER/ NEVER, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, or EVERY WEEK]?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_CHURCH =1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every week	434	882	1316
2. Almost every week	251	442	693
3. Once or twice a month	319	296	615
4. A few times a year	341	539	880
5. Never	12	22	34
-1. Inapplicable	692	1676	2368
-9. Refused	5	3	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every week	21.1	21.5	22.8	21.1	22.3	21.2
2. Almost every week	12.2	11.3	11.5	11.0	11.7	11.1
3. Once or twice a month	15.5	12.3	7.7	8.1	10.4	9.6
4. A few times a year	16.6	17.1	14.0	13.6	14.9	14.9
5. Never	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	33.7	37.1	43.4	45.3	40.0	42.5
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

relig_churchwk

Label:	Attend church more often than once a week
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-7
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Would you say you go to religious services ONCE A WEEK or MORE OFTEN than once a week?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES: IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_CHURCHOFT =1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Once a week	229	522	751
2. More often than once a week	204	360	564
-1. Inapplicable	1620	2978	4598
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Once a week	11.1	11.9	13.5	13.1	12.7	12.7
2. More often than once a week	9.9	9.6	9.3	8.0	9.5	8.5
-1. Inapplicable	78.9	78.5	77.2	78.9	77.7	78.8
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_chmember

Label:	Ever think of self as part of church or denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-8
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?
Universe:	IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
Logic:	Ask if (RELIG_CHURCH in(2,DK,RF) or (RELIG_CHURCH=1 and RELIG_CHURCHOFT=5) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	318	809	1127
2. No	371	883	1254
-1. Inapplicable	1350	2162	3512
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	10	6	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	15.5	16.3	21.0	20.6	19.1	19.1
2. No	18.1	20.8	22.9	25.2	21.2	23.7
-1. Inapplicable	65.7	62.5	56.0	53.9	59.4	56.9
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3

relig_7cat_x

Label: PRE: Religion summary 7 categories

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mainline Protestant	199	504	703
2. Evangelical Protestant	491	777	1268
3. Black Protestant	31	26	57
4. Roman Catholic	469	908	1377
5. Undifferentiated Christian	338	465	803
6. Jewish	20	90	110
7. Other religion	84	169	253
8. Not religious	372	885	1257
-2. Missing	50	36	86

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mainline Protestant	9.7	11.4	13.1	11.2	11.9	11.3
2. Evangelical Protestant	23.9	20.6	20.1	20.3	21.4	20.4
3. Black Protestant	1.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.7
4. Roman Catholic	22.8	22.2	23.5	21.6	23.3	21.8
5. Undifferentiated Christian	16.5	16.0	12.0	12.8	13.6	13.9
6. Jewish	1.0	1.6	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.0
7. Other religion	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.7
8. Not religious	18.1	20.9	22.9	25.3	21.3	23.8
-2. Missing	2.4	2.0	0.9	1.3	1.5	1.5

relig_mastersummary

Label: PRE: Religion master summary

97 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
400. Roman Catholic	469	908	1377
880. None (no religion)	371	885	1256
149. Baptist (NFS or other Baptist group not in codes 120-135)	141	257	398
010. Protestant, NFS, other, unknown, inter-, or non-denominational	131	233	364
099. Christian, NFS, unknown, inter-, or non-denominational	183	146	329
135. Southern Baptist Convention	148	174	322
230. United Methodist Church; Evangelical United Brethren	62	169	231
220. Evangelical Lutheran Church in America (formerly Lutheran Church); ELCA	22	102	124
199. Pentecostal (NFS); Church of God (NFS); Holiness (NFS); Pentecostal or Charismatic, NA which; unlisted Pentecostal	46	63	109
270. Presbyterian church in the U.S.A.	22	71	93

relig_group

Label:	(Attends church) R major religious group
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-9
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or SOMETHING ELSE?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_CHURCH=1 and RELIG_CHURCHOFT <> 5 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, change response option 4 to □Something else□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Protestant	384	915	1299
2. Catholic	342	617	959
3. Jewish	12	58	70
4. Other	596	570	1166
-1. Inapplicable	704	1698	2402
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	8	2	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Protestant	18.7	22.0	23.7	21.2	22.0	21.5
2. Catholic	16.7	16.0	16.0	14.5	16.2	15.0
3. Jewish	0.6	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.2
4. Other	29.0	22.8	14.8	16.8	19.7	18.9
-1. Inapplicable	34.3	37.5	44.0	46.1	40.6	43.1
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2

relig_groupna

Label:	(Nonattendance) R major religious group
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-10
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Do you consider yourself PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or SOMETHING ELSE?
Universe:	IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_CHMEMBER=1 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, change response option 4 to □Something else□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Protestant	68	308	376
2. Catholic	127	290	417
3. Jewish	8	34	42
4. Other	111	173	284
-1. Inapplicable	1736	3051	4787
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	2	4	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Protestant	3.3	4.4	8.0	7.5	6.4	6.4
2. Catholic	6.2	6.2	7.5	7.1	7.1	6.8
3. Jewish	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.8
4. Other	5.4	4.8	4.5	5.0	4.8	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	84.5	83.7	79.0	79.4	80.9	80.9
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2

relig_denom

Label:	Major relig denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-11
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	What church or denomination is that?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELGRPA in(1,4) or RELIG_RELGRPNA in(1,4) else skip
Source:	Respondent

38 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	895	1895	2790
01. Baptist	368	509	877
10. Christian or just Christian	182	183	365
04. Methodist	83	196	279
03. Lutheran	52	195	247
22. Non-denominational - Protestant	100	140	240
95. Other (SPECIFY) <not recodeable to other categories 01-30>	64	134	198
06. Presbyterian	28	85	113
19. Pentecostal	47	55	102
02. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England	17	66	83

relig_bapt

Label:	Specific Baptist denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-12
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, the National Baptist Convention, an independent Baptist church, or some other Baptist group?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 01 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Southern Baptist Convention	148	173	321
2. American Baptist Churches in USA	27	21	48
3. American Baptist Association	8	18	26
4. National Baptist Convention	33	26	59
5. Independent Baptist	52	77	129
44. Methodist mention made in Baptist other specify	1	0	1
95. Other (SPECIFY)	31	33	64
-1. Inapplicable	1686	3510	5196
-8. Don't know	66	0	66
-9. Refused	2	2	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Southern Baptist Convention	7.2	6.0	4.5	4.0	5.4	4.7
2. American Baptist Churches in USA	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.6
3. American Baptist Association	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
4. National Baptist Convention	1.6	0.8	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.7
5. Independent Baptist	2.5	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.2
44. Methodist mention made in Baptist other specify	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
95. Other (SPECIFY)	1.5	0.9	0.9	0.7	1.1	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	82.1	86.4	90.9	91.5	87.9	89.8
-8. Don't know	3.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

relig_indbapt

Label:	Specific indep. Baptist denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-13
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST: IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_BAPT = 5 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Larger Baptist group (SPECIFY)	1	5	6
2. Local	48	72	120
-1. Inapplicable	2002	3783	5785
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Larger Baptist group (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
2. Local	2.3	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	97.5	97.8	98.0	97.9	97.8	97.8
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_luth

Label:	Specific Lutheran denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-14
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 03 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Evangelical Lutheran Church	22	101	123
2. Missouri Synod	16	57	73
5. Other (SPECIFY)	5	26	31
-1. Inapplicable	2002	3675	5677
-8. Don't know	9	0	9
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Evangelical Lutheran Church	1.1	1.7	2.6	2.6	2.1	2.3
2. Missouri Synod	0.8	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.3
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	97.5	96.3	95.2	95.5	96.0	95.8
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_meth

Label:	Specific Methodist denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-15
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal Church, African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church, or some other Methodist group?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER': IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 04 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. United Methodist Church	59	160	219
2. African Methodist Episcopal	11	4	15
3. African Methodist Episcopal zion	4	4	8
5. Other (SPECIFY)	4	4	8
-1. Inapplicable	1971	3688	5659
-8. Don't know	5	0	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. United Methodist Church	2.9	4.1	4.1	3.4	3.7	3.6
2. African Methodist Episcopal	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1
3. African Methodist Episcopal zion	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	96.0	95.2	95.5	96.4	95.7	96.0
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

relig_presb

Label:	Specific Presbyterian denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-16
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Is this the Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. or some other Presbyterian group?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 06 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Presbyterian Church USA (formerly United Presbyterian Church)	22	71	93
5. Other (SPECIFY)	3	10	13
-1. Inapplicable	2026	3778	5804
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Presbyterian Church USA (formerly United Presbyterian Church)	1.1	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.7
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	98.6	97.9	97.9	97.9	98.1	97.9
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_refrm

Label:	Specific Reformed denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-17
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some other Reformed group?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 07 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Christian Reformed Church	0	7	7
2. The Reformed Church in America	1	5	6
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0	7	7
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3841	5894

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Christian Reformed Church	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
2. The Reformed Church in America	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	99.5	99.5	99.7	99.7

relig_brethr

Label:	Specific Brethren denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-18
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 08 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Church of the Brethren	4	8	12
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3852	5902

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Church of the Brethren	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.7	99.8	99.8	99.8	99.8

relig_discp

Label:	Specific Disciples denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-19
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	When you say 'Christian' does that mean the denomination called the 'Christian Church Disciples of Christ,' or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say 'I am just a Christian'?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN':
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 10 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Disciples of Christ	25	9	34
2. I am just a Christian	143	77	220
5. Other (SPECIFY)	5	5	10
44. Methodist mention made in Christian Other specify	1	0	1
59. Pentacostal mention made in Christian other specify	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1872	3769	5641
-8. Don't know	6	0	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Disciples of Christ	1.2	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.4
2. I am just a Christian	7.0	6.1	2.0	2.2	3.7	3.6
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
44. Methodist mention made in Christian Other specify	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
59. Pentacostal mention made in Christian other specify	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	91.1	92.3	97.6	97.6	95.4	95.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

relig_chchrst

Label:	Specific Church of Christ denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-20
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 12 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Church of Christ	21	36	57
2. United Church of Christ	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	2028	3824	5852
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Church of Christ	1.0	1.4	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1
2. United Church of Christ	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	98.7	98.3	99.1	99.1	99.0	98.9
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_chgod

Label:	Specific Church of God denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-21
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 15 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Anderson, Indiana	5	3	8
2. Cleveland, Tennessee	1	5	6
3. Church of God in Christ	6	8	14
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0	7	7
-1. Inapplicable	2040	3837	5877
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Anderson, Indiana	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
2. Cleveland, Tennessee	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
3. Church of God in Christ	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	99.3	99.3	99.4	99.2	99.4	99.3
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_pent

Label:	Specific Holiness/Pentecostal denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-22
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is the church called?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINESS OR PENTECOSTAL:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM in(18,19) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access text (not available through special access)	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access text (not available through special access)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

relig_denother

Label:	Specific other denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-23
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' : IF R SPECIFIC DENOMINATION 'OTHER':
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 95 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
41. Baptist mentioned in other description	6	0	6
44. Methodist mentioned in other description	5	0	5
45. Just Protestant mentioned in other description	2	0	2
50. Just Christian mentioned in other description	2	0	2
55. Church of God mentioned in other description	1	0	1
56. Assembly of God mentioned in other description	1	0	1
58. Holiness mentioned in other description	2	0	2
59. Pentecostal mentioned in other description	4	0	4
61. Orthodox mentioned in other description	1	0	1
95. Other not codeable to major denomination 01-30	44	0	44
-1. Inapplicable	1985	3860	5845
-9. Refused	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
41. Baptist mentioned in other description	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
44. Methodist mentioned in other description	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
45. Just Protestant mentioned in other description	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50. Just Christian mentioned in other description	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
55. Church of God mentioned in other description	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
56. Assembly of God mentioned in other description	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
58. Holiness mentioned in other description	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
59. Pentecostal mentioned in other description	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
61. Orthodox mentioned in other description	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
95. Other not codeable to major denomination 01-30	2.1	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	96.6	97.4	100.0	100.0	98.8	99.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_othxian

Label:	Specific other denomination Christian
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-24
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Is that group Christian?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' : IF R SPECIFIC DENOMINATION 'OTHER':
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELDENOM = 95 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	53	0	53
2. No	13	0	13
-1. Inapplicable	1985	3860	5845
-8. Don't know	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	2.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.6
2. No	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	96.6	97.4	100.0	100.0	98.8	99.1
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

relig_jewisha

Label:	(Attends) Specific Jewish denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-25
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is ORTHODOX, CONSERVATIVE, REFORM or what?
Universe:	IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH :
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELGRPA=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Orthodox	0	11	11
2. Conservative	3	24	27
3. Reform	8	18	26
5. Other (SPECIFY)	1	5	6
-1. Inapplicable	2042	3802	5844

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Orthodox	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
2. Conservative	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4
3. Reform	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.4	99.0	98.5	98.7	98.8	98.8

relig_jewishna

Label:	(Nonattendance) Specific Jewish denomination
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-26
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Do you consider yourself ORTHODOX, CONSERVATIVE, REFORM or what?
Universe:	IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELGRPNA=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Orthodox	1	0	1
2. Conservative	0	9	9
3. Reform	5	20	25
5. Other (SPECIFY)	1	5	6
-1. Inapplicable	2046	3826	5872
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Orthodox	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Conservative	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
3. Reform	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.6	99.4	99.1	99.1	99.3	99.2
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

relig_bornagn

Label:	Does Christian R consider self born again
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 59-27
Description:	Religious practices, attitudes, and affiliation
Question:	Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?
Universe:	IF R IS CHRISTIAN:
Logic:	Ask if RELIG_RELGRPA in(1,2) or RELIG_RELGRPNA in(1,2) or RELIG_OTHXIAN=1 or RELIG_RELDENOM in(1-26) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	774	1224	1998
2. No	775	1598	2373
-1. Inapplicable	477	1025	1502
-8. Don't know	21	0	21
-9. Refused	7	13	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	37.7	33.1	31.7	31.1	33.8	31.8
2. No	37.7	39.3	41.4	39.6	40.1	39.5
-1. Inapplicable	23.2	26.0	26.6	28.9	25.4	27.9
-8. Don't know	1.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4

relig_ident_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered self-descript R religious identity- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Progressive	485	0	485
2. Non-traditional believer	316	0	316
3. Secular	65	0	65
4. Agnostic	62	0	62
5. Atheist	40	0	40
6. Spiritual but not religious	502	0	502
7. None of the above(1st mention only)	489	0	489
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-8. Don't know	75	0	75
-9. Refused	20	0	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Progressive	23.6	20.3	0.0	0.0	8.2	7.0
2. Non-traditional believer	15.4	14.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.1
3. Secular	3.2	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
4. Agnostic	3.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3
5. Atheist	1.9	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.0
6. Spiritual but not religious	24.4	25.5	0.0	0.0	8.5	8.9
7. None of the above(1st mention only)	23.8	24.8	0.0	0.0	8.3	8.6
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-8. Don't know	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3
-9. Refused	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4

relig_ident_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered self-descript R religious identity- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Non-traditional believer	21	0	21
3. Secular	4	0	4
4. Agnostic	2	0	2
5. Atheist	6	0	6
6. Spiritual but not religious	71	0	71
-1. Inapplicable	1950	3860	5810

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Non-traditional believer	1.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
3. Secular	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Agnostic	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Atheist	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
6. Spiritual but not religious	3.5	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	94.9	94.6	100.0	100.0	98.2	98.1

relig_ident_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered self-descript R religious identity- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Secular	1	0	1
5. Atheist	1	0	1
6. Spiritual but not religious	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	2049	3860	5909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Secular	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Atheist	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6. Spiritual but not religious	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

relig_religident_prog

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention Progressive

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1569	3195	4764
1. Selected by R	485	665	1150

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	76.4	79.7	82.8	84.0	80.6	82.5
1. Selected by R	23.6	20.3	17.2	16.0	19.4	17.5

relig_religident_nontrad

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention nontraditional

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1717	3385	5102
1. Selected by R	337	475	812

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	83.6	84.1	87.7	88.2	86.3	86.7
1. Selected by R	16.4	15.9	12.3	11.8	13.7	13.3

relig_religident_secular

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention secular

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1984	3666	5650
1. Selected by R	70	194	264

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	96.6	96.5	95.0	95.3	95.5	95.7
1. Selected by R	3.4	3.5	5.0	4.7	4.5	4.3

relig_religident_agnostic

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention agnostic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1990	3629	5619
1. Selected by R	64	231	295

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	96.9	96.0	94.0	94.5	95.0	95.1
1. Selected by R	3.1	4.0	6.0	5.5	5.0	4.9

relig_religident_atheist

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention atheist

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	2007	3709	5716
1. Selected by R	47	151	198

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	97.7	96.9	96.1	95.9	96.7	96.2
1. Selected by R	2.3	3.1	3.9	4.1	3.3	3.8

relig_religident_spiritual

Label: PRE: R self-descript relig identity: mention spiritual, not religious

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1478	2780	4258
1. Selected by R	576	1080	1656

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	72.0	70.7	72.0	71.8	72.0	71.4
1. Selected by R	28.0	29.3	28.0	28.2	28.0	28.6

relig_religident_none

Label: PRE: R self-description religious identity: mention none

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1565	2549	4114
1. Selected by R	489	1311	1800

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	76.2	75.2	66.0	64.2	69.6	68.0
1. Selected by R	23.8	24.8	34.0	35.8	30.4	32.0

relig_religident_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: R self-description religious identity: mention DKRF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1959	3825	5784
1. Dk selected by R	75	0	75
2. Rf selected by R	20	35	55

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	95.4	95.3	99.1	98.7	97.8	97.5
1. Dk selected by R	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3
2. Rf selected by R	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.3	0.9	1.2

dem_birthmo

Label:	Birthdate: month of birth
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-1
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What is the month, day and year of your birth? MONTH:
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_birthdy

Label:	Birthdate: day of birth
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-1.2
Description:	Demographics
Question:	DAY:
Logic:	If (DEM_BIRTHMO in(4,6,9,11) and DEM_BIRTHDY = 31) or (DEM_BIRTHMO =2 and DEM_BIRTHDY in(30,31) then error message ('Day invalid for month. Please correct month or day').
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_birthyr

Label:	Birthdate: year of birth
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-1.3
Description:	Demographics
Question:	YEAR:
Logic:	If (DEM_BIRTHMO =2 and DEM_BIRTHDY=29 and DEM_BIRTHYR not in(1912,1916,1920,1924,1928,1932,1936,1940,1944,1948, 1952,1956,1960,1964,1968,1972,1976,1980,1984,1988,1992)) then error message('Feb 29 date in year that is not leap year. Please correct month, day, or year')
Source:	Respondent

75 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1956	50	101	151
1954	44	102	146
1959	55	86	141
1960	46	95	141
1947	38	93	131
1949	35	94	129
1951	28	98	126
1953	31	95	126
1957	38	88	126
1955	26	98	124

dem_age_r_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY: Age of R

75 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
58	49	110	159
56	49	106	155
52	48	98	146
53	52	79	131
61	28	103	131
65	35	95	130
54	35	94	129
55	39	88	127
63	31	92	123
60	22	98	120

dem_agegrp_iwdate_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- R age on interview date (age group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Age group 17-20	93	90	183
02. Age group 21-24	168	160	328
03. Age group 25-29	185	240	425
04. Age group 30-34	209	247	456
05. Age group 35-39	186	219	405
06. Age group 40-44	182	300	482
07. Age group 45-49	142	324	466
08. Age group 50-54	206	435	641
09. Age group 55-59	184	487	671
10. Age group 60-64	141	444	585
11. Age group 65-69	112	408	520
12. Age group 70-74	77	254	331
13. Age group 75 or older	109	252	361
-2. Missing, birthdate fields left blank	60	0	60

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Age group 17-20	4.5	4.4	2.3	4.1	3.1	4.2
02. Age group 21-24	8.2	8.0	4.1	5.4	5.5	6.3
03. Age group 25-29	9.0	8.2	6.2	11.6	7.2	10.4
04. Age group 30-34	10.2	7.3	6.4	8.1	7.7	7.8
05. Age group 35-39	9.1	7.8	5.7	6.9	6.8	7.2
06. Age group 40-44	8.9	9.9	7.8	9.2	8.2	9.4
07. Age group 45-49	6.9	6.9	8.4	7.5	7.9	7.3
08. Age group 50-54	10.0	9.5	11.3	9.8	10.8	9.7
09. Age group 55-59	9.0	8.8	12.6	10.3	11.3	9.8
10. Age group 60-64	6.9	8.1	11.5	8.3	9.9	8.2
11. Age group 65-69	5.5	5.8	10.6	8.0	8.8	7.2
12. Age group 70-74	3.7	5.3	6.6	5.2	5.6	5.2
13. Age group 75 or older	5.3	7.1	6.5	5.7	6.1	6.2
-2. Missing, birthdate fields left blank	2.9	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0

dem_marital

Label:	Marital status
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-6
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated or never married?
Web Logic:	Online, omit response option 2 and make response option 1 □Married□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Married: spouse present	715	2117	2832
2. FTF only: married: spouse absent (VOL)	109	0	109
3. Widowed	139	251	390
4. Divorced	334	551	885
5. Separated	97	98	195
6. Never married	656	837	1493
-9. Refused	4	6	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Married: spouse present	34.8	48.2	54.8	53.2	47.9	51.4
2. FTF only: married: spouse absent (VOL)	5.3	4.9	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.7
3. Widowed	6.8	6.1	6.5	5.7	6.6	5.8
4. Divorced	16.3	12.6	14.3	13.1	15.0	12.9
5. Separated	4.7	2.6	2.5	2.3	3.3	2.4
6. Never married	31.9	25.5	21.7	25.6	25.2	25.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

dem_partner

Label:	Domestic partnership status
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-6.3
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Are you currently living with a partner, or not?
Universe:	IF R MARITAL STATUS IS NEVER MARRIED, WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED: IF ONE OR MORE ADDITIONAL PERSONS AGE 17 OR OLDER WERE LISTED IN THE ROSTER:
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	222	278	500
2. No	1002	1457	2459
-1. Inapplicable	828	2123	2951
-9. Refused	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	10.8	10.1	7.2	8.6	8.5	9.1
2. No	48.8	36.5	37.7	38.0	41.6	37.5
-1. Inapplicable	40.3	53.3	55.0	53.3	49.9	53.3
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_edu

Label:	Highest level of Education
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-7.1
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?
Source:	Respondent

18 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
09. High school graduate- high school diploma or equivalent (for example: ged)	533	909	1442
10. Some college but no degree	427	845	1272
13. Bachelor's degree (for example: ba, ab, bs)	318	802	1120
14. Master's degree (for example: ma, ms, meng, med, msw, mba)	133	397	530
11. Associate degree in college - occupational/vocational program	123	223	346
12. Associate degree in college -- academic program	145	195	340
08. 12th grade no diploma	102	103	205
07. 11th grade	74	52	126
06. 10th grade	49	50	99
15. Professional school degree (for example: md,dds,dvm,llb,jd)	20	68	88

dem_edu_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- R highest level of education

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_dipged

Label:	R: Diploma or GED
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-7.2
Description:	Demographics
Question:	People can get a high school diploma in a variety of ways, such as graduating from high school or by getting a GED or other equivalent. How did you get your high school diploma?
Universe:	IF R'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EDUCR=09, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Graduation from high school	431	723	1154
2. Ged or other equivalent	102	186	288
-1. Inapplicable	1521	2951	4472

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Graduation from high school	21.0	24.9	18.7	24.1	19.5	24.4
2. Ged or other equivalent	5.0	5.3	4.8	5.5	4.9	5.4
-1. Inapplicable	74.1	69.8	76.5	70.4	75.6	70.2

dem_edugroup_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- R level of highest education (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Less than high school credential	322	300	622
2. High school credential	533	909	1442
3. Some post-high-school, no bachelor's degree	695	1277	1972
4. Bachelor's degree	318	802	1120
5. Graduate degree	170	538	708
-2. Missing, other not codeable to 1-5	13	29	42
-9. Refused	3	5	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Less than high school credential	15.7	10.1	7.8	10.2	10.5	10.1
2. High school credential	25.9	30.2	23.5	29.6	24.4	29.8
3. Some post-high-school, no bachelor's degree	33.8	29.9	33.1	30.1	33.3	30.0
4. Bachelor's degree	15.5	19.1	20.8	18.5	18.9	18.7
5. Graduate degree	8.3	10.0	13.9	10.7	12.0	10.5
-2. Missing, other not codeable to 1-5	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_edusp

Label:	Spouse/partner: Highest Level of Education
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-7.3
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What is the highest level of school your [husband or wife / partner] has completed or the highest degree your [husband or wife / partner] has received?
Universe:	IF R IS MARRIED/ IF R IS PARTNERED:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_MARITAL in(1,2) or DEM_PARTN=1, else skip. Load 'husband or wife' if DEM_MARITAL in(1,2); load 'partner' if DEM_PARTN=1.
Source:	Respondent

20 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1464	2472
09. High school graduate- high school diploma or equivalent (for example: ged)	308	513	821
13. Bachelor's degree (for example: ba, ab, bs)	162	492	654
10. Some college but no degree	165	474	639
14. Master's degree (for example: ma, ms, meng, med, msw, mba)	93	270	363
12. Associate degree in college -- academic program	69	137	206
11. Associate degree in college - occupational/vocational program	56	146	202
08. 12th grade no diploma	42	82	124
16. Doctorate degree (for example: phd, edd)	16	56	72
07. 11th grade	29	40	69

dem_edusp_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Spouse highest level of education

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_dipgedsp

Label:	Spouse: Diploma or GED
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-7.4
Description:	Demographics
Question:	How did your [husband or wife / partner] get their high school diploma?
Universe:	IF R IS MARRIED AND SPOUSE'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT / IF R IS PARTNERED AND PARTNER'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_MARITAL in(1,2) or DEM_PARTN=1) and DEM_EDUCSP=09, else skip. Load 'husband or wife' if DEM_MARITAL in(1,2); load 'partner' if DEM_PARTN=1.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Graduation from high school	263	433	696
2. Ged or other equivalent	43	81	124
-1. Inapplicable	1746	3346	5092
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Graduation from high school	12.8	16.8	11.2	12.3	11.8	13.9
2. Ged or other equivalent	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.3
-1. Inapplicable	85.0	80.7	86.7	85.4	86.1	83.8
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem_eduspgroup_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Spouse level of highest education (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Less than high school credential	136	228	364
2. High school credential	308	515	823
3. Some post-high-school, no bachelor's degree	290	763	1053
4. Bachelor's degree	162	492	654
5. Graduate degree	122	381	503
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473
-2. Missing, other not codeable to 1-5	9	12	21
-8. Don't know	17	0	17
-9. Refused	2	4	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Less than high school credential	6.6	5.2	5.9	6.2	6.2	5.9
2. High school credential	15.0	19.3	13.3	14.6	13.9	16.2
3. Some post-high-school, no bachelor's degree	14.1	16.7	19.8	19.8	17.8	18.7
4. Bachelor's degree	7.9	11.6	12.7	11.5	11.1	11.5
5. Graduate degree	5.9	9.3	9.9	9.0	8.5	9.1
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7
-2. Missing, other not codeable to 1-5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_veteran

Label:	R ever served on active duty in Armed Forces
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-13.1
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Did you ever serve on active duty in the U. S. Armed Forces?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	198	577	775
2. No	1854	3281	5135
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	9.6	12.2	14.9	12.5	13.1	12.4
2. No	90.3	87.6	85.0	87.4	86.8	87.5
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_milwhen_1st

Label: PRE: When was R active military service- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. September 2001 or later	41	66	107
2. August 1990 to august 2001	25	66	91
3. May 1975 to july 1990	37	111	148
4. Vietnam era (august 1964 to april 1975)	57	207	264
5. February 1955 to july 1964	19	70	89
6. Korean war (july 1950 to january 1955)	9	40	49
7. January 1947 to june 1950	2	1	3
8. World war ii (december 1941 to december 1946)	6	15	21
-1. Inapplicable	1856	3283	5139
-9. Refused	2	1	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. September 2001 or later	2.0	2.0	1.7	2.2	1.8	2.2
2. August 1990 to august 2001	1.2	1.0	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4
3. May 1975 to july 1990	1.8	1.7	2.9	2.3	2.5	2.1
4. Vietnam era (august 1964 to april 1975)	2.8	4.3	5.4	3.9	4.5	4.0
5. February 1955 to july 1964	0.9	1.9	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.5
6. Korean war (july 1950 to january 1955)	0.4	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8
7. January 1947 to june 1950	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
8. World war ii (december 1941 to december 1946)	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	90.4	87.8	85.1	87.5	86.9	87.6
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_milwhen_2nd

Label: PRE: When was R active military service- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. August 1990 to august 2001	15	34	49
3. May 1975 to july 1990	11	36	47
4. Vietnam era (august 1964 to april 1975)	9	26	35
5. February 1955 to july 1964	6	20	26
6. Korean war (july 1950 to january 1955)	2	7	9
7. January 1947 to june 1950	1	3	4
8. World war ii (december 1941 to december 1946)	0	2	2
-1. Inapplicable	2010	3732	5742

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. August 1990 to august 2001	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.7
3. May 1975 to july 1990	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.7
4. Vietnam era (august 1964 to april 1975)	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.4
5. February 1955 to july 1964	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
6. Korean war (july 1950 to january 1955)	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
7. January 1947 to june 1950	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8. World war ii (december 1941 to december 1946)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	97.9	97.4	96.7	97.6	97.1	97.5

dem_milwhen_3rd

Label: PRE: When was R active military service- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. May 1975 to july 1990	4	14	18
4. Vietnam era (august 1964 to april 1975)	2	14	16
5. February 1955 to july 1964	2	12	14
6. Korean war (july 1950 to january 1955)	0	6	6
7. January 1947 to june 1950	0	2	2
-1. Inapplicable	2046	3812	5858

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. May 1975 to july 1990	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
4. Vietnam era (august 1964 to april 1975)	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2
5. February 1955 to july 1964	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
6. Korean war (july 1950 to january 1955)	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0
7. January 1947 to june 1950	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.6	99.3	98.8	99.1	99.1	99.2

dem_milwhen_4th

Label: PRE: When was R active military service- 4th mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Vietnam era (august 1964 to april 1975)	0	2	2
5. February 1955 to july 1964	0	2	2
6. Korean war (july 1950 to january 1955)	1	1	2
7. January 1947 to june 1950	0	3	3
8. World war ii (december 1941 to december 1946)	0	1	1
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3851	5904

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Vietnam era (august 1964 to april 1975)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
5. February 1955 to july 1964	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
6. Korean war (july 1950 to january 1955)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. January 1947 to june 1950	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
8. World war ii (december 1941 to december 1946)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	99.9	99.8	99.9	99.8	99.9

dem_milnow

Label:	Still in Armed Forces
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-13.3
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Are you [now/still] in the Armed Forces?
Universe:	IF R HAS NEVER SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE ARMED FORCES/ IF R HAS SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE ARMED FORCES:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_MILIT in(1,2), else skip. Load 'now' if DEM_MILIT =2; load 'still' if DEM_MILIT=1.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_emptype_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered R employment status- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Working now	1220	0	1220
2. Temporarily laid off	45	0	45
4. Unemployed	172	0	172
5. Retired	281	0	281
6. Permanently disabled	153	0	153
7. Homemaker	105	0	105
8. Student	70	0	70
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	4	0	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Working now	59.4	60.2	0.0	0.0	20.6	20.9
2. Temporarily laid off	2.2	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
4. Unemployed	8.4	6.7	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.3
5. Retired	13.7	17.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	5.9
6. Permanently disabled	7.4	5.6	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.9
7. Homemaker	5.1	5.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.0
8. Student	3.4	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

dem_emptype_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered R employment status- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. Retired	30	0	30
6. Permanently disabled	42	0	42
7. Homemaker	142	0	142
8. Student	145	0	145
-1. Inapplicable	1695	3860	5555

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. Retired	1.5	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
6. Permanently disabled	2.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5
7. Homemaker	6.9	7.3	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.5
8. Student	7.1	5.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	82.5	84.2	100.0	100.0	93.9	94.5

dem_emptype_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered R employment status- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
6. Permanently disabled	3	0	3
7. Homemaker	11	0	11
8. Student	24	0	24
-1. Inapplicable	2016	3860	5876

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
6. Permanently disabled	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
7. Homemaker	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
8. Student	1.2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	98.1	99.0	100.0	100.0	99.4	99.6

dem_emptype_4th

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered R employment status- 4th mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
7. Homemaker	1	0	1
8. Student	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2052	3860	5912

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
7. Homemaker	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Student	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_emptype_work

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention working now

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	834	1863	2697
1. Selected by R	1220	1997	3217

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.6	39.8	48.3	46.7	45.6	44.3
1. Selected by R	59.4	60.2	51.7	53.3	54.4	55.7

dem_emptype_layoff

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention temporarily laid off

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	2009	3822	5831
1. Selected by R	45	38	83

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	97.8	98.4	99.0	98.9	98.6	98.7
1. Selected by R	2.2	1.6	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.3

dem_emptype_unemp

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention unemployed

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1882	3588	5470
1. Selected by R	172	272	444

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	91.6	93.3	93.0	92.2	92.5	92.6
1. Selected by R	8.4	6.7	7.0	7.8	7.5	7.4

dem_emptype_retire

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention retired

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1743	2834	4577
1. Selected by R	311	1026	1337

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	84.9	81.2	73.4	78.7	77.4	79.6
1. Selected by R	15.1	18.8	26.6	21.3	22.6	20.4

dem_emptype_disabled

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention permanently disabled

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1856	3599	5455
1. Selected by R	198	261	459

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	90.4	92.9	93.2	93.8	92.2	93.5
1. Selected by R	9.6	7.1	6.8	6.2	7.8	6.5

dem_emptype_hmaker

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention homemaker

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1795	3551	5346
1. Selected by R	259	309	568

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	87.4	86.7	92.0	90.9	90.4	89.5
1. Selected by R	12.6	13.3	8.0	9.1	9.6	10.5

dem_emptype_student

Label: PRE: R employment status: mention student

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1814	3670	5484
1. Selected by R	240	190	430

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	88.3	91.1	95.1	93.6	92.7	92.7
1. Selected by R	11.7	8.9	4.9	6.4	7.3	7.3

dem_emptype_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: R employment status: mention DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	2046	3855	5901
1. Dk selected by R	4	0	4
2. Rf selected by R	4	5	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	99.6	99.7	99.9	99.8	99.8	99.7
1. Dk selected by R	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. Rf selected by R	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2

dem_empstatus_2digitfin_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Final 2-digit empl status based on full employmt/occ section

18 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
10. Working now only	1005	1881	2886
50. Retired, no other occupation	280	1023	1303
60. Permanently disabled, not working	161	224	385
40. Unemployed, no mention of retired, disabled, homemaker or student	114	228	342
70. Homemaker, no other occupation	99	221	320
80. Student, no other occupation	73	89	162
81. Student and working now, working <20 hours per wk or DK/RF hours	28	78	106
18. Working now and student, working >20 hours per wk	98	5	103
20. Temporarily laid off	45	37	82
17. Working now and homemaker, working >20 hours per wk	69	11	80

dem_empstatus_1digitfin_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Final 1-digit empl status based on full employmt/occ section

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. R working now (if also retired, disabled, homemaker or student, working 20 or more hrs/wk)	1197	1898	3095
2. R temporarily laid off	45	37	82
4. R unemployed	114	228	342
5. R retired (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk)	290	1025	1315
6. R permanently disabled (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk)	164	230	394
7. R homemaker (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk; incl nonworkg rs both homemaker and student)	135	270	405
8. R student (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk)	101	167	268
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	4	5	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. R working now (if also retired, disabled, homemaker or student, working 20 or more hrs/wk)	58.3	58.6	49.2	51.2	52.3	53.8
2. R temporarily laid off	2.2	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.2
4. R unemployed	5.6	4.2	5.9	6.7	5.8	5.9
5. R retired (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk)	14.1	17.7	26.6	21.3	22.2	20.1
6. R permanently disabled (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk)	8.0	6.1	6.0	5.8	6.7	5.9
7. R homemaker (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk; incl nonworkg rs both homemaker and student)	6.6	7.6	7.0	8.1	6.8	7.9
8. R student (if also working, working <20 hrs/wk)	4.9	3.8	4.3	5.6	4.5	5.0
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2

dem_empstatus_initial

Label: PRE: Initial employment status for R occupation section

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Working now	1193	0	1193
2. Temporarily laid off	45	0	45
4. Unemployed	114	0	114
5. Retired	311	0	311
6. Permanently disabled	147	0	147
7. Homemaker	143	0	143
8. Student	93	0	93
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	4	0	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Working now	58.1	58.6	0.0	0.0	20.2	20.3
2. Temporarily laid off	2.2	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
4. Unemployed	5.6	4.2	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.5
5. Retired	15.1	18.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	6.5
6. Permanently disabled	7.2	5.4	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.9
7. Homemaker	7.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.6
8. Student	4.5	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

dem_hsworkg

Label:	Initial status Homemaker/student: working now
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-15
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EMPTYPE includes 7 and/or 8 either with no other choices or else with other choices that exclude 1,2,5; else skip. If 1 coded, go to -->DEM_OCCNOW
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	33	64	97
2. No	203	324	527
-1. Inapplicable	1818	3472	5290

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.6	1.9	1.7	2.5	1.6	2.3
2. No	9.9	9.2	8.4	9.9	8.9	9.7
-1. Inapplicable	88.5	88.9	89.9	87.6	89.4	88.0

dem_hsjob6mo

Label:	Initial status Homemaker/student: job in last 6 mon
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-16
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Have you had a job in the LAST SIX MONTHS?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: IF R IS NOT WORKING FOR PAY NOW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HSWORKG in(2,DK,RF), else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	37	51	88
2. No	166	273	439
-1. Inapplicable	1851	3536	5387

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.8	1.1	1.3	2.0	1.5	1.7
2. No	8.1	8.0	7.1	7.9	7.4	7.9
-1. Inapplicable	90.1	90.8	91.6	90.1	91.1	90.3

dem_retiremo

Label:	Initial status retiree - when retired
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-17
Description:	Demographics
Question:	When did you retire? MONTH:
Universe:	IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EMPTYPE includes 5 either with no other choices or else with any other choices, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_retiredy

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Initial status retiree - when retired DAY

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_retireyr

Label:	Initial status retiree - when retired
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-17.2
Description:	Demographics
Question:	YEAR:
Universe:	IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EMPTYPE includes 5 either with no other choices or else with any other choices, else skip. If (DEM_RETIREMO =2 and DEM_RETIREDY=29 and DEM_RETIREYR not in(1912,1916,1920,1924,1928,1932,1936,1940,1944,1948, 1952,1956,1960,1964,1968,1972,1976,1980,1984,1988,1992)) then error message('Feb 29 date in year that is not leap year. Please correct month, day, or year'). If DEM_RETIREYR not in(DK,RF) and DEM_BIRTHYR not in(DK,RF) and DEM_BIRTHYR > DEM_RETIREYR then error message ('year of retirement before year of birth. Please correct one or both')
Source:	Respondent

56 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	1743	2834	4577
2010	15	74	89
2007	26	60	86
2011	18	68	86
2009	26	55	81
2008	16	57	73
2005	14	56	70
2012	13	53	66
2000	13	51	64
2002	15	48	63

dem_retireperiod_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- Retiree date of retirement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Retired 1900-1992	53	147	200
2. Retired 1993-2000	53	213	266
3. Retired 2001-2004	42	175	217
4. Retired 2005-2008	66	218	284
5. Retired 2009-2012	72	250	322
-1. Inapplicable	1743	2834	4577
-2. Missing, field left blank	25	0	25
-9. Refused	0	23	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Retired 1900-1992	2.6	3.1	3.8	3.5	3.4	3.4
2. Retired 1993-2000	2.6	3.6	5.5	4.4	4.5	4.1
3. Retired 2001-2004	2.0	2.8	4.5	3.2	3.7	3.1
4. Retired 2005-2008	3.2	3.7	5.6	4.5	4.8	4.3
5. Retired 2009-2012	3.5	4.3	6.5	5.1	5.4	4.8
-1. Inapplicable	84.9	81.2	73.4	78.7	77.4	79.6
-2. Missing, field left blank	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4

dem_everwk

Label:	Initial status unemployed/disabled: R ever work for pay
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-20
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Have you ever done any work for pay?
Universe:	IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EMPTYPE includes 4 and/or 6 either with no other choices or else with other choices that exclude 1,2,5,7,8
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	235	399	634
2. No	24	44	68
-1. Inapplicable	1793	3416	5209
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	11.4	8.6	10.3	10.4	10.7	9.8
2. No	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.8	1.1	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	87.3	90.4	88.5	87.7	88.1	88.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem_occpast

Label:	Past occupation (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-21
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What kind of work did you do on your last regular job? (What was your occupation)? What were your most important activities or duties?)
Universe:	IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS HOME-MAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EMPTYP includes 5 or DEM_HSJOB6MO =1 or DEM_EVERWK=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_indpast

Label:	Past industry (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-22
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What kind of business or industry was that?
Universe:	IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS HOME-MAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EMPTYP includes 5 or DEM_HSJOB6MO =1 or DEM_EVERWK=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_semplpst

Label:	Past self-empl status (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-23
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed, or what?
Universe:	IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS HOME-MAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EMPTYP includes 5 or DEM_HSJOB6MO =1 or DEM_EVERWK=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Someone else	520	1231	1751
2. Both self and someone else	16	140	156
3. Self-employed	43	103	146
-1. Inapplicable	1471	2384	3855
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Someone else	25.3	24.2	31.9	28.3	29.6	26.9
2. Both self and someone else	0.8	1.2	3.6	3.1	2.6	2.4
3. Self-employed	2.1	2.9	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	71.6	71.5	61.8	66.3	65.2	68.1
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_empgovpst

Label:	Past occupation: govt work (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-24
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?
Universe:	IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS HOME-MAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS : IF R DID NOT WORK EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_SEMPLPST in(1,2) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	160	395	555
2. No	364	974	1338
-1. Inapplicable	1518	2489	4007
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	7.8	7.4	10.2	7.9	9.4	7.7
2. No	17.7	17.6	25.2	23.5	22.6	21.4
-1. Inapplicable	73.9	74.6	64.5	68.6	67.8	70.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0

dem_rdjob6mon

Label:	Initial status unempl/ret/disabled: job in last 6 mo
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-25
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Have you had a job in the LAST SIX MONTHS?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY / IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED/ IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_EMPTYP includes 5 or DEM_EVERWK=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	95	191	286
2. No	451	1234	1685
-1. Inapplicable	1508	2435	3943

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	4.6	4.4	4.9	4.0	4.8	4.1
2. No	22.0	23.0	32.0	27.7	28.5	26.1
-1. Inapplicable	73.4	72.6	63.1	68.3	66.7	69.8

dem_hrsrecent

Label:	Recent occupation: how many hours worked avg week
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-26
Description:	Demographics
Question:	About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS / IF INITIAL STATUS IS RETIRED AND HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS / IF INITIAL STATUS IS DISABLED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS / IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_RDJOB6MON = 1 or DEM_HSJOB6MO=1
Source:	Respondent

45 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	1922	3618	5540
40	42	49	91
20	13	29	42
30	15	13	28
35	12	12	24
10	8	12	20
50	5	13	18
8	2	12	14
25	5	9	14
24	3	10	13

dem_rdworkg

Label:	Initial status retired/disabled: working now
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-27
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED / IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EVERWK=1 and DEM_EMPTYPE does not include 4) or (DEM_EMPTYP includes 5), else skip. If 1 coded, go to --> DEM_OCCNOW
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	33	3	36
2. No	402	28	430
-1. Inapplicable	1619	3829	5448

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.6	1.9	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.7
2. No	19.6	21.3	0.7	0.4	7.3	7.7
-1. Inapplicable	78.8	76.8	99.2	99.5	92.1	91.6

dem_lookwk

Label:	Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/st: look for work
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-28
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Are you looking for work at the present time?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED AND NOT ALSO WORKING / IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY AND NOT ALSO WORKING / IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT AND NOT ALSO WORKING / IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY :
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_RDWORKG=2) or (DEM_HSWORKG=2) or (DEM_EVERWK=1 and DEM_EMPTYP includes 4 with no other choices or with choices excluding 1,2,5,7,8); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	176	90	266
2. No	538	468	1006
-1. Inapplicable	1338	3301	4639
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	8.6	6.5	2.3	2.4	4.5	3.8
2. No	26.2	28.1	12.1	13.2	17.0	18.3
-1. Inapplicable	65.1	65.4	85.5	84.4	78.4	77.8
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem_findjob

Label:	Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/st: worry find job
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-29
Description:	Demographics
Question:	How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future: [Not at all, a little, moderately, very, or extremely worried / extremely, very, moderately, a little or not at all worried]?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED, NOT ALSO WORKING, AND LOOKING FOR WORK / IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY, AND LOOKING FOR WORK / IF INIT STATUS HMKR/STUD, NOT ALSO WORKG, WKD PAST 6 MO, AND LOOKG FOR WORK / IF INITIAL STATUS IS UNEMPLOYED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY AND LOOKG FOR WORK :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_LOOKWK=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not at all	29	4	33
2. A little	26	10	36
3. Moderately	41	24	65
4. Very	38	22	60
5. Extremely	42	30	72
-1. Inapplicable	1878	3770	5648

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not at all	1.4	1.3	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.6
2. A little	1.3	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.6
3. Moderately	2.0	1.7	0.6	0.7	1.1	1.1
4. Very	1.9	1.2	0.6	0.5	1.0	0.8
5. Extremely	2.0	1.0	0.8	0.7	1.2	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	91.4	93.5	97.7	97.6	95.5	96.2

dem_occnow

Label:	Working/TLO now - occupation
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-30
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What is your main occupation? (What kind of work do you do? What are your most important activities or duties?)
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EMPTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM_HSWORKG=1) or (DEM_RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_indnow

Label:	Working/TLO - industry
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-31
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What kind of business or industry is that?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EMPTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM_HSWORKG=1) or (DEM_RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_semplnow

Label:	Working/TLO now - work for self
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-32
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed, or what?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EMPTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM_HSWORKG=1) or (DEM_RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Someone else	1092	1590	2682
2. Both self and someone else	49	145	194
3. Self-employed	159	210	369
-1. Inapplicable	750	1910	2660
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	2	5	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Someone else	53.2	51.9	41.2	44.2	45.4	46.9
2. Both self and someone else	2.4	2.9	3.8	3.1	3.3	3.1
3. Self-employed	7.7	9.1	5.4	5.4	6.2	6.7
-1. Inapplicable	36.5	36.0	49.5	47.0	45.0	43.2
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_empgovnow

Label:	Working/TLO now - work for govt
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-33
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG: IF R DOES NOT WORK EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_SEMPLNOW in(1,2), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	273	382	655
2. No	849	1353	2202
-1. Inapplicable	913	2125	3038
-8. Don't know	19	0	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	13.3	11.1	9.9	9.8	11.1	10.3
2. No	41.3	42.7	35.1	37.6	37.2	39.3
-1. Inapplicable	44.4	45.2	55.1	52.6	51.4	50.1
-8. Don't know	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3

dem_hrsnow

Label:	Working/TLO now - how many hours R works
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-34
Description:	Demographics
Question:	About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EMPTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM_HSWORKG=1) or (DEM_RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

77 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	750	1910	2660
40	471	732	1203
50	117	183	300
45	72	164	236
30	67	83	150
35	59	90	149
60	62	72	134
20	57	64	121
25	36	47	83
55	23	40	63

dem_okhrsnow

Label:	Working/TLO now - hours works OK
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-35
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Is that MORE hours than you want to work, FEWER hours than you want to work, or generally ABOUT RIGHT?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG: IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HRSNOW >=1 and DEM_HRSNOW<=168, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More	246	419	665
2. Fewer	208	306	514
3. About right	834	1380	2214
-1. Inapplicable	764	1754	2518
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More	12.0	14.0	10.9	10.6	11.2	11.8
2. Fewer	10.1	8.7	7.9	9.1	8.7	8.9
3. About right	40.6	40.6	35.8	36.1	37.4	37.7
-1. Inapplicable	37.2	36.6	45.4	44.2	42.6	41.6
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem_losejob

Label:	Working/TLO now: worry about losing job in near fute
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-36
Description:	Demographics
Question:	How worried are you about losing your job in the near future? [Not at all, a little, moderately, very, or extremely worried / extremely, very, moderately, a little, or not at all worried]?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EMPTYPE includes 1 or 2 but not 5) or (DEM_HSWORKG=1) or (DEM_RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not at all	667	887	1554
2. A little	284	564	848
3. Moderately	184	310	494
4. Very	69	99	168
5. Extremely	95	87	182
-1. Inapplicable	750	1910	2660
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	1	3	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not at all	32.5	34.0	23.0	25.3	26.3	28.3
2. A little	13.8	14.8	14.6	15.0	14.3	15.0
3. Moderately	9.0	8.9	8.0	7.7	8.4	8.1
4. Very	3.4	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.8	2.5
5. Extremely	4.6	3.4	2.3	2.4	3.1	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	36.5	36.0	49.5	47.0	45.0	43.2
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_offwork

Label:	Working now: out of work or laid off in last 6 mos
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-37
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EMPTYPE includes 1 but not 5) or (DEM_HSWORKG=1) or (DEM_RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	154	188	342
2. No	1103	1760	2863
-1. Inapplicable	795	1910	2705
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	7.5	7.2	4.9	5.7	5.8	6.2
2. No	53.7	55.1	45.6	47.2	48.4	49.9
-1. Inapplicable	38.7	37.6	49.5	47.0	45.7	43.8
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_hrsreduce

Label:	Working now: had reduction in work hrs or pay cut
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-38
Description:	Demographics
Question:	During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time for reasons other than illness or personal choice?
Universe:	IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW / IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKG:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EMPTYPE includes 1 but not 5) or (DEM_HSWORKG=1) or (DEM_RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	210	311	521
2. No	1046	1637	2683
-1. Inapplicable	795	1910	2705
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	10.2	10.2	8.1	8.4	8.8	9.0
2. No	50.9	52.1	42.4	44.5	45.4	47.1
-1. Inapplicable	38.7	37.6	49.5	47.0	45.7	43.8
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dem_emptypesp_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered spouse/partner employment status- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Working now	673	0	673
2. Temporarily laid off	26	0	26
4. Unemployed	77	0	77
5. Retired	127	0	127
6. Permanently disabled	42	0	42
7. Homemaker	76	0	76
8. Student	12	0	12
-1. Inapplicable	1008	3860	4868
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	10	0	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Working now	32.8	39.6	0.0	0.0	11.4	13.8
2. Temporarily laid off	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
4. Unemployed	3.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.1
5. Retired	6.2	10.9	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.8
6. Permanently disabled	2.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.0
7. Homemaker	3.7	4.4	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.5
8. Student	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	100.0	100.0	82.3	78.0
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

dem_emptypesp_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered spouse/partner employment status- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. Retired	13	0	13
6. Permanently disabled	19	0	19
7. Homemaker	49	0	49
8. Student	38	0	38
-1. Inapplicable	1935	3860	5795

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. Retired	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
6. Permanently disabled	0.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
7. Homemaker	2.4	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.1
8. Student	1.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	94.2	93.4	100.0	100.0	98.0	97.7

dem_emptypesp_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered spouse/partner employment status- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
7. Homemaker	3	0	3
8. Student	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	2046	3860	5906

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
7. Homemaker	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
8. Student	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.6	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

dem_emptypesp_4th

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered spouse/partner employment status- 4th mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
8. Student	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3860	5913

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
8. Student	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_emptypesp_work

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention working now

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	373	1000	1373
1. Selected by R	673	1395	2068
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	18.2	23.6	25.9	24.9	23.2	24.5
1. Selected by R	32.8	39.6	36.1	36.8	35.0	37.8
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7

dem_emptypesp_layoff

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention temporarily laid off

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1020	2357	3377
1. Selected by R	26	38	64
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	49.7	62.2	61.1	60.5	57.1	61.1
1. Selected by R	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7

dem_emptypesp_unemp

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention unemployed

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	969	2271	3240
1. Selected by R	77	124	201
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	47.2	60.0	58.8	58.2	54.8	58.8
1. Selected by R	3.7	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.4
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7

dem_emptypesp_retire

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention retired

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	906	1873	2779
1. Selected by R	140	522	662
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	44.1	51.7	48.5	50.5	47.0	50.9
1. Selected by R	6.8	11.6	13.5	11.2	11.2	11.3
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7

dem_emptypesp_disabled

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention permanently disabled

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	985	2287	3272
1. Selected by R	61	108	169
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	48.0	59.5	59.2	58.9	55.3	59.1
1. Selected by R	3.0	3.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.2
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7

dem_emptypesp_hmaker

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention homemaker

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	918	2153	3071
1. Selected by R	128	242	370
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	44.7	55.6	55.8	55.4	51.9	55.5
1. Selected by R	6.2	7.7	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.8
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7

dem_emptypesp_student

Label: PRE: Spouse/partner employment status: mention student

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	990	2345	3335
1. Selected by R	56	50	106
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	48.2	60.5	60.8	59.9	56.4	60.1
1. Selected by R	2.7	2.7	1.3	1.8	1.8	2.1
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7

dem_emptypesp_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Spouse/partner employment status: mention DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1033	2385	3418
1. Dk selected by R	3	0	3
2. Rf selected by R	10	10	20
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1465	2473

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	50.3	62.7	61.8	61.3	57.8	61.8
1. Dk selected by R	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
2. Rf selected by R	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	36.7	38.0	38.3	41.8	37.7

dem_csesjobsp

Label:	Spouse/partner job
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-40.5
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What is your [spouse's/partner's] main occupation? (What kind of work does your [spouse/partner] do? What are the most important activities or duties?)
Universe:	IF R IS MARRIED/PARTNERED AND HAS MENTIONED SPOUSE/PARTNER IS WORKING:
Logic:	Ask if EMPTYPESP has 1 coded for any mention, else skip. Load 'spouse' if DEM_MARITAL in(1,2); load 'partner' if DEM_PARTN=1.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file; coded responses in future release	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_unionhh

Label:	Anyone in HH belong to labor union
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-41.1
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union or to an employee association similar to a union?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	286	642	928
2. No	1749	3208	4957
-8. Don't know	18	0	18
-9. Refused	1	10	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	13.9	16.2	16.6	16.5	15.7	16.4
2. No	85.2	82.7	83.1	83.1	83.8	83.0
-8. Don't know	0.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

dem_unionwho_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered who belongs to labor union- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Respondent	180	0	180
2. Spouse/partner	77	0	77
3. Someone else	29	0	29
-1. Inapplicable	1768	3860	5628

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Respondent	8.8	9.5	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.3
2. Spouse/partner	3.7	4.6	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.6
3. Someone else	1.4	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	86.1	83.8	100.0	100.0	95.2	94.4

dem_unionwho_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered who belongs to labor union- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Spouse/partner	24	0	24
3. Someone else	7	0	7
-1. Inapplicable	2023	3860	5883

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Spouse/partner	1.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
3. Someone else	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	98.5	98.1	100.0	100.0	99.5	99.4

dem_unionwho_r

Label: PRE: Who belongs to labor union: mention Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	106	254	360
1. Selected by R	180	388	568
-1. Inapplicable	1768	3218	4986

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	5.2	6.8	6.6	7.4	6.1	7.2
1. Selected by R	8.8	9.5	10.1	9.1	9.6	9.2
-1. Inapplicable	86.1	83.8	83.4	83.5	84.3	83.6

dem_unionwho_spouse

Label: PRE: Who belongs to labor union: mention spouse

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	185	403	588
1. Selected by R	101	239	340
-1. Inapplicable	1768	3218	4986

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	9.0	10.2	10.4	10.2	9.9	10.2
1. Selected by R	4.9	6.0	6.2	6.3	5.7	6.2
-1. Inapplicable	86.1	83.8	83.4	83.5	84.3	83.6

dem_unionwho_personoth

Label: PRE: Who belongs to labor union: mention another person

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	250	575	825
1. Selected by R	36	67	103
-1. Inapplicable	1768	3218	4986

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	12.2	13.6	14.9	14.2	13.9	14.0
1. Selected by R	1.8	2.6	1.7	2.3	1.7	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	86.1	83.8	83.4	83.5	84.3	83.6

dem_unionwho_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Who belongs to labor union: mention DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	286	640	926
1. Dk selected by R	0	2	2
-1. Inapplicable	1768	3218	4986

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	13.9	16.2	16.6	16.4	15.7	16.4
1. Dk selected by R	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	86.1	83.8	83.4	83.5	84.3	83.6

dem_class

Label: Think of self as belonging to class
Position: Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-46
Description: Demographics
Question: There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?
Source: Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1347	2697	4044
2. No	665	1149	1814
-8. Don't know	31	0	31
-9. Refused	11	14	25

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	65.6	70.0	69.9	68.9	68.4	69.3
2. No	32.4	27.8	29.8	30.5	30.7	29.6
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
-9. Refused	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.6

dem_whichclass

Label:	Social Class: working or middle
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-47
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Which one?
Universe:	IF R THINKS OF SELF AS MEMBER OF EITHER MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_CLASS=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, display only response options 1 and 2. Bank with previous question, CLASS.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Lower class or poor (VOL)	31	0	31
1. Middle class	620	1721	2341
2. Working class	655	974	1629
3. Both (VOL)	33	0	33
4. Upper class (VOL)	4	0	4
5. Other (SPECIFY)	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	707	1163	1870
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Lower class or poor (VOL)	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
1. Middle class	30.2	39.3	44.6	42.3	39.6	41.3
2. Working class	31.9	27.4	25.2	26.5	27.5	26.9
3. Both (VOL)	1.6	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7
4. Upper class (VOL)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	34.4	30.0	30.1	31.1	31.6	30.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

dem_whichclass_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- Social Class: working or middle

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_chclass

Label:	Social Class: had to choose working/middle
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-48
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself MIDDLE CLASS or WORKING CLASS?
Universe:	IF R DOESN'T THINK/ DK IF THINKS OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_CLASS in(2,DK), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, display only response options 1 and 2.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Upper class (VOL)	8	0	8
1. Middle class	196	492	688
2. Working class	378	646	1024
3. Neither (VOL)	37	0	37
4. Lower class or poor (VOL)	27	0	27
5. Other (SPECIFY)	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	1358	2697	4055
-8. Don't know	40	0	40
-9. Refused	7	25	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Upper class (VOL)	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3
1. Middle class	9.5	10.4	12.7	12.5	11.6	11.8
2. Working class	18.4	13.9	16.7	17.5	17.3	16.3
3. Neither (VOL)	1.8	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
4. Lower class or poor (VOL)	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	66.1	70.6	69.9	68.9	68.6	69.5
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.6	1.0	0.5	0.8

dem_chclass_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- Social Class: had to choose working/middle

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_avgclass

Label:	Social class: average or upper working/middle class
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-49
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Would you say that you are about AVERAGE [middle/working] class or that you are in the UPPER PART of the [middle/working] class?]
Universe:	IF R THINKS OF SELF AS MIDDLE CLASS / IF R THINKS OF SELF AS WORKING CLASS:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_WHICHCLASS in(1,2) or DEM_CHCLASS in(1,2), else skip. Load 'middle' if DEM_WHICHCLASS=1 or DEM_CHCLASS=1; load 'working' if DEM_WHICHCLASS=2 or DEM_CHCLASS=2.
Web Logic:	Online, display only response options 1 and 2.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Lower class or poor (VOL)	27	0	27
1. Average <middle/working> class	1408	2996	4404
2. Upper <middle/working> class	292	825	1117
3. Lower <middle/working class> (VOL)	84	0	84
4. Upper class (VOL)	6	0	6
5. Other (SPECIFY)	4	0	4
-1. Inapplicable	205	27	232
-8. Don't know	27	0	27
-9. Refused	1	12	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Lower class or poor (VOL)	1.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
1. Average <middle/working> class	68.5	66.0	77.6	79.3	74.5	74.7
2. Upper <middle/working> class	14.2	17.9	21.4	19.4	18.9	18.9
3. Lower <middle/working class> (VOL)	4.1	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.4
4. Upper class (VOL)	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	10.0	9.1	0.7	1.0	3.9	3.8
-8. Don't know	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1

dem_avgclass_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- Social class: avg or upper working/middle class

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_hisp

Label:	R: Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-50.5
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	472	533	1005
2. No	1577	3314	4891
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	3	13	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	23.0	10.8	13.8	11.2	17.0	11.1
2. No	76.8	89.0	85.9	88.4	82.7	88.6
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3

dem_hisptyp_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered mentions Hispanic type - 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mexican	91	0	91
2. Mexican-American	199	0	199
3. Chicano	42	0	42
4. Puerto Rican	45	0	45
5. Cuban	11	0	11
6. Cuban-American	4	0	4
7. Other	73	0	73
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3860	5442
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mexican	4.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.7
2. Mexican-American	9.7	3.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	1.2
3. Chicano	2.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.2
4. Puerto Rican	2.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
5. Cuban	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
6. Cuban-American	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
7. Other	3.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	100.0	100.0	92.0	96.2
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem_hisptyp_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered mentions Hispanic type - 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mexican	1	0	1
2. Mexican-American	7	0	7
3. Chicano	6	0	6
4. Puerto Rican	1	0	1
5. Cuban	2	0	2
7. Other	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	2032	3860	5892

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mexican	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Mexican-American	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3. Chicano	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
4. Puerto Rican	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Cuban	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Other	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.8

dem_hisptyp_mex

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Mexican

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	381	409	790
1. Selected by R	91	124	215
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	18.5	9.0	10.6	8.4	13.4	8.6
1. Selected by R	4.4	1.9	3.2	2.7	3.6	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9

dem_hisptyp_mexam

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Mexican-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	266	340	606
1. Selected by R	206	193	399
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	13.0	7.2	8.8	7.1	10.2	7.1
1. Selected by R	10.0	3.6	5.0	4.1	6.7	4.0
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9

dem_hisptyp_chicano

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Chicano

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	424	502	926
1. Selected by R	48	31	79
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	20.6	10.1	13.0	10.5	15.7	10.4
1. Selected by R	2.3	0.8	0.8	0.6	1.3	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9

dem_hisptyp_puertorican

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Puerto Rican

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	426	469	895
1. Selected by R	46	64	110
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	20.7	9.5	12.2	9.9	15.1	9.7
1. Selected by R	2.2	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.9	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9

dem_hisptyp_cuban

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Cuban

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	459	495	954
1. Selected by R	13	38	51
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	22.3	10.3	12.8	10.9	16.1	10.7
1. Selected by R	0.6	0.5	1.0	0.3	0.9	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9

dem_hisptyp_cubanam

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention Cuban-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	468	513	981
1. Selected by R	4	20	24
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	22.8	10.6	13.3	10.9	16.6	10.8
1. Selected by R	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9

dem_hisptyp_othhisp

Label: PRE: R: Which Hispanic group are you- mention other Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	392	431	823
1. Selected by R	80	102	182
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	19.1	8.2	11.2	8.7	13.9	8.5
1. Selected by R	3.9	2.7	2.6	2.5	3.1	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9

dem_hisptyp_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: R: Which Hispanic group are you- DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	465	533	998
1. Dk selected by R	5	0	5
2. Rf selected by R	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	22.6	10.6	13.8	11.2	16.9	11.0
1. Dk selected by R	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. Rf selected by R	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9

dem_hispoth

Label:	R: Other Hispanic group
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-51.6
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What is the name of your other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino group?
Universe:	IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO: IF SPANISH/HISPANIC/LATINO GROUP IS 'OTHER' FOR ANY MENTION:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISPTYP mentions include code 7, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_hispmost

Label:	R: Hispanic group R most identifies with
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-51.7
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Which group do you most closely identify with?
Universe:	IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO: IF R GIVES MORE THAN 1 SPANISH/HISPANIC/LATINO MENTION:
Logic:	Ask if count of mentions in DEM_HISPTYP having values in(1,2,3,4,5,6,7) totals more than 1; else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mexican	6	3	9
2. Mexican-American	7	22	29
3. Chicano	4	1	5
4. Puerto Rican	1	0	1
5. Cuban	1	2	3
6. Cuban-American	0	2	2
7. Other (SPECIFY)	2	3	5
-1. Inapplicable	2032	3827	5859
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mexican	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1
2. Mexican-American	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4
3. Chicano	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
4. Puerto Rican	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Cuban	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
6. Cuban-American	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Other (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	99.6	99.1	99.4	99.1	99.4
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem_hispmost_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Hispanic group R most identifies with

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_racecps_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered race self-identification: 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. White	1286	0	1286
02. Black or African-American	554	0	554
03. American Indian or Alaska Native	35	0	35
04. Asian	24	0	24
05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	9	0	9
90. Other mention is 'none' or 'neither' (VOL)	7	0	7
95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90>	74	0	74
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-8. Don't know	50	0	50
-9. Refused	15	0	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. White	62.6	81.2	0.0	0.0	21.7	28.2
02. Black or African-American	27.0	12.8	0.0	0.0	9.4	4.4
03. American Indian or Alaska Native	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2
04. Asian	1.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
90. Other mention is 'none' or 'neither' (VOL)	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90>	3.6	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-8. Don't know	2.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3
-9. Refused	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1

dem_racecps_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered race self-identification: 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. White	1	0	1
02. Black or African-American	24	0	24
03. American Indian or Alaska Native	71	0	71
04. Asian	6	0	6
05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	10	0	10
90. Other mention is 'none' or 'neither' (VOL)	1	0	1
95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90>	12	0	12
-1. Inapplicable	1929	3860	5789

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. White	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
02. Black or African-American	1.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
03. American Indian or Alaska Native	3.5	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.9
04. Asian	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
90. Other mention is 'none' or 'neither' (VOL)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90>	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	95.4	100.0	100.0	97.9	98.4

dem_racecps_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered race self-identification: 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. White	1	0	1
03. American Indian or Alaska Native	12	0	12
04. Asian	1	0	1
05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	1	0	1
95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90>	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2038	3860	5898

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. White	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
03. American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
04. Asian	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
95. Other (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 01-90>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.2	99.5	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.8

dem_racecps_white

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention White

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	771	807	1578
1. Selected by R	1283	3053	4336

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.5	19.1	20.9	20.0	26.7	19.7
1. Selected by R	62.5	80.9	79.1	80.0	73.3	80.3

dem_racecps_black

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1477	3306	4783
1. Selected by R	577	554	1131

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	71.9	86.3	85.6	87.4	80.9	87.1
1. Selected by R	28.1	13.7	14.4	12.6	19.1	12.9

dem_racecps_native

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention Native American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1941	3771	5712
1. Selected by R	113	89	202

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	94.5	96.4	97.7	98.1	96.6	97.5
1. Selected by R	5.5	3.6	2.3	1.9	3.4	2.5

dem_racecps_asian

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention Asian

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	2023	3784	5807
1. Selected by R	31	76	107

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	98.5	98.4	98.0	97.7	98.2	97.9
1. Selected by R	1.5	1.6	2.0	2.3	1.8	2.1

dem_racecps_pacif

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention Pacific Islander

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	2034	3849	5883
1. Selected by R	20	11	31

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	99.0	99.4	99.7	99.6	99.5	99.5
1. Selected by R	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5

dem_racecps_othrace

Label: PRE: Race self-identification: mention other race

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
00. Not selected	1950	3674	5624
01. Selected, not blank or codeable to one of: White, Black, Asian/NatHaw/PacIsl, Native Am/AK, Hispanic	33	45	78
10. Other-specify text codeable to White	2	20	22
20. Other-specify text codeable to Black	3	4	7
40. Other-specify text codeable to Native American or Alaska Native	0	1	1
50. Other-specify text codeable to Hispanic	66	68	134
90. WEB ONLY: Selected by R, text is blank	0	48	48

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
00. Not selected	94.9	96.7	95.2	96.3	95.1	96.4
01. Selected, not blank or codeable to one of: White, Black, Asian/NatHaw/PacIsl, Native Am/AK, Hispanic	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.1
10. Other-specify text codeable to White	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2
20. Other-specify text codeable to Black	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
40. Other-specify text codeable to Native American or Alaska Native	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50. Other-specify text codeable to Hispanic	3.2	2.0	1.8	1.3	2.3	1.6
90. WEB ONLY: Selected by R, text is blank	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

dem_racecps_racedkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Race self-identification: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1989	3833	5822
1. DK selected by R	50	0	50
2. RF selected by R	15	27	42

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	96.8	98.7	99.3	99.1	98.4	99.0
1. DK selected by R	2.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3
2. RF selected by R	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.7

dem_racecps_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Race self-identification

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_raceeth_x

Label: PRE: SUMMARY- R race and ethnicity group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. White, non-Hispanic	916	2593	3509
2. Black, non-Hispanic	512	509	1021
3. Asian, native Hawaiian or other Pacif Islr, non-Hispanic	27	65	92
4. Native American or Alaska Native, non-Hispanic	10	24	34
5. Hispanic	474	535	1009
6. Other non-Hispanic incl multiple races (Web: blank 'Other' counted as a race)	107	113	220
-9. Missing	8	21	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. White, non-Hispanic	44.6	70.4	67.2	70.8	59.3	70.7
2. Black, non-Hispanic	24.9	11.9	13.2	11.9	17.3	11.9
3. Asian, native Hawaiian or other Pacif Islr, non-Hispanic	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.4	1.6	2.1
4. Native American or Alaska Native, non-Hispanic	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.0	0.6	0.8
5. Hispanic	23.1	10.9	13.9	11.2	17.1	11.1
6. Other non-Hispanic incl multiple races (Web: blank 'Other' counted as a race)	5.2	4.6	2.9	2.0	3.7	2.9
-9. Missing	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5

dem_ethnic

Label:	Ethnic or nationality group
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-52.51
Description:	Demographics
Question:	In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_numeth

Label:	FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: number of ethnic mentions
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-52.6
Description:	Demographics
Question:	INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R:
Logic:	Onscreen, please display the text of the response to DEM_ETHNIC for the IWR to view when coding this variable.
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None in addition to American (incl DK) and R did not refuse or indicate no knowledge	412	0	412
1. One	1300	0	1300
2. More than one (two or more)	316	0	316
3. Respondent refused to answer or R said no knowledge of own ethnicity (e.g. Adopted)	26	0	26
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None in addition to American (incl DK) and R did not refuse or indicate no knowledge	20.1	22.3	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.7
1. One	63.3	56.4	0.0	0.0	22.0	19.6
2. More than one (two or more)	15.4	20.2	0.0	0.0	5.3	7.0
3. Respondent refused to answer or R said no knowledge of own ethnicity (e.g. Adopted)	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dem_mosteth

Label:	Ethnic group most close
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-53
Description:	Demographics
Question:	With which of these groups do you most closely identify?
Universe:	IF R MENTIONED MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_NUMETH=2, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, the UNIVERSE for this question is all Rs who type more than 9 characters in response to ETHNIC. The QUESTION text to display is □With which single ethnic or nationality group do you most closely identify?□
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_parents

Label:	Native status of parents
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-57
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Where were your parents born? Were they both born in the U.S., was only one born in the U.S., or were both born in another country?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Both parents born in the U.S.	1582	3097	4679
2. One parent born in the U.S.	140	264	404
3. Neither parent born in the U.S.	325	489	814
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	1	10	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Both parents born in the U.S.	77.0	83.3	80.2	82.2	79.1	82.6
2. One parent born in the U.S.	6.8	5.7	6.8	6.4	6.8	6.2
3. Neither parent born in the U.S.	15.8	10.7	12.7	11.0	13.8	10.9
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

dem_nativity

Label:	Rs: born U.S., Puerto Rico, or some other count
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-58
Description:	Demographics
Question:	In what state, country, or territory were you born?
Logic:	All respondents asked.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A U.S. State or D.C.	1815	3412	5227
2. Puerto Rico	16	34	50
3. Another U.S. Territory (Guam, Amer. Samoa, US Virgin Islands)	0	19	19
4. Another country (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 1,2,3>	215	347	562
11. U.S. Mention for other specify	5	30	35
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	2	18	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A U.S. State or D.C.	88.4	91.6	88.4	90.1	88.4	90.6
2. Puerto Rico	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.6
3. Another U.S. Territory (Guam, Amer. Samoa, US Virgin Islands)	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4
4. Another country (SPECIFY) <not codeable to 1,2,3>	10.5	7.6	9.0	7.3	9.5	7.4
11. U.S. Mention for other specify	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.5

dem_nativity_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Oth spec- R: born U.S., Puerto Rico, or other country

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_hispgpar

Label:	LATINO Rs: how many grandparents born outside the U.S.
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-59
Description:	Demographics
Question:	How many of your grandparents, if any, were born outside the U.S.A.?
Universe:	IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None	104	131	235
1. One	33	33	66
2. Two	87	77	164
3. Three	14	15	29
4. All	210	276	486
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909
-8. Don't know	23	0	23
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None	5.1	2.4	3.4	3.1	4.0	2.8
1. One	1.6	0.7	0.9	0.6	1.1	0.6
2. Two	4.2	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.8	1.9
3. Three	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3
4. All	10.2	4.9	7.2	5.3	8.2	5.2
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9
-8. Don't know	1.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem_hispcntry

Label:	LATINO Rs: country of Latino heritage
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-60
Description:	Demographics
Question:	Families of Hispanic or Latino background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?
Universe:	IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_hispcntry_oth

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Other specify- LATINO Rs: country of Latino heritage

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_arr

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: NON-US BORN Rs: When did R arrive in U.S.

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_arrperiod

Label: PRE: NON-US BORN Rs: Time period when R arrived in U.S.

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Arrived before 1970	41	0	41
2. Arrived 1970-1989	92	0	92
3. Arrived 1990-1999	57	0	57
4. Arrived 2000-2012	35	0	35
-1. Inapplicable	1818	3860	5678
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	4	0	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Arrived before 1970	2.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
2. Arrived 1970-1989	4.5	2.7	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.9
3. Arrived 1990-1999	2.8	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
4. Arrived 2000-2012	1.7	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	88.5	91.7	100.0	100.0	96.0	97.1
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

dem_cit

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: NON-US BORN Rs: In what year did R become a U.S. citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem_citperiod

Label: PRE: NON-US BORN Rs: Time period when R became citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Became citizen before 1970	23	0	23
2. Became citizen 1970-1989	36	0	36
3. Became citizen 1990-1999	47	0	47
4. Became citizen 2000-2012	99	0	99
7. Born outside the U.S. But born a U.S. Citizen (VOL)	9	0	9
-1. Inapplicable	1818	3860	5678
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	8	0	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Became citizen before 1970	1.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
2. Became citizen 1970-1989	1.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
3. Became citizen 1990-1999	2.3	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
4. Became citizen 2000-2012	4.8	2.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.9
7. Born outside the U.S. But born a U.S. Citizen (VOL)	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	88.5	91.7	100.0	100.0	96.0	97.1
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

dem_hisplang

Label:	LATINO Rs: language at home
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62-63
Description:	Demographics
Question:	What language do you primarily speak at home with your family? Is it only English, mostly English, only Spanish, mostly Spanish, or both languages equally?
Universe:	IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Only English	148	145	293
2. Mostly English	85	90	175
3. Both languages equally	141	166	307
4. Mostly Spanish	64	98	162
5. Only Spanish	34	33	67
-1. Inapplicable	1582	3327	4909
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Only English	7.2	3.6	3.8	3.6	5.0	3.6
2. Mostly English	4.1	1.8	2.3	2.0	3.0	1.9
3. Both languages equally	6.9	3.1	4.3	3.0	5.2	3.0
4. Mostly Spanish	3.1	1.4	2.5	1.8	2.7	1.6
5. Only Spanish	1.7	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.1	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	77.0	89.2	86.2	88.8	83.0	88.9
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem2_ch10less

Label:	How many children in HH age 10 and younger
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-1
Description:	Demographics2
Question:	How many children age 10 or younger live in this household?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No children age 10 or younger	1451	3107	4558
1. One child age 10 or younger	289	739	1028
2. Two or more children age 10 or younger	312	0	312
-9. Refused	2	14	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No children age 10 or younger	70.6	72.7	80.5	76.8	77.1	75.4
1. One child age 10 or younger	14.1	13.8	19.1	22.5	17.4	19.5
2. Two or more children age 10 or younger	15.2	13.5	0.0	0.0	5.3	4.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5

dem2_ch11to17

Label:	How many children in HH age 11-17
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-2
Description:	Demographics2
Question:	How many children ages 11 to 17 live in this household?/ How many children ages 11 to 17 live in this household including you?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT 17 YEARS OLD/ IF R IS 17 YEARS OLD:
Logic:	"Logic should be simpler as: If DEM_BIRTHYR=1994 and DEM_BIRTHMO <> current month, use ""including you"" else omit phrase"
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No children age 11-17	1638	3249	4887
1. One child age 11-17	271	595	866
2. Two or more children age 11-17	142	0	142
-9. Refused	3	16	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No children age 11-17	79.7	81.7	84.2	83.2	82.6	82.7
1. One child age 11-17	13.2	11.9	15.4	16.1	14.6	14.6
2. Two or more children age 11-17	6.9	6.3	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5

dem2_numchild

Label: PRE: Total Number of children in HH

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No children in household	1235	2765	4000
1. One child in household	319	464	783
2. Two children in household	281	414	695
3. Three or more children in household	216	217	433
-9. Refused	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No children in household	60.1	62.0	71.6	68.0	67.6	65.9
1. One child in household	15.5	15.8	12.0	13.9	13.2	14.5
2. Two children in household	13.7	14.0	10.7	11.8	11.8	12.6
3. Three or more children in household	10.5	8.1	5.6	6.3	7.3	6.9
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

dem2_schenrl_1st

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered school enrollment of child(ren)- 1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Public school	627	0	627
2. Private religious school	36	0	36
3. Private non-religious school	20	0	20
4. Home school	15	0	15
5. Not enrolled in school	114	0	114
-1. Inapplicable	1238	3860	5098
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Public school	30.5	27.6	0.0	0.0	10.6	9.6
2. Private religious school	1.8	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8
3. Private non-religious school	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
4. Home school	0.7	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
5. Not enrolled in school	5.6	5.6	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	60.3	62.1	100.0	100.0	86.2	86.8
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem2_schenrl_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered school enrollment of child(ren)- 2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Private religious school	7	0	7
3. Private non-religious school	4	0	4
4. Home school	2	0	2
5. Not enrolled in school	24	0	24
-1. Inapplicable	2017	3860	5877

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Private religious school	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
3. Private non-religious school	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Home school	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
5. Not enrolled in school	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	98.2	98.0	100.0	100.0	99.4	99.3

dem2_schenrl_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: Ordered school enrollment of child(ren)- 3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Private non-religious school	1	0	1
4. Home school	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2052	3860	5912

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Private non-religious school	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. Home school	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem2_schenrl_public

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention public

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	189	310	499
1. Selected by R	627	785	1412
-1. Inapplicable	1238	2765	4003

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	9.2	10.3	8.0	9.5	8.4	9.8
1. Selected by R	30.5	27.6	20.3	22.5	23.9	24.3
-1. Inapplicable	60.3	62.1	71.6	68.0	67.7	66.0

dem2_schenrl_privrel

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention private religious

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	773	1001	1774
1. Selected by R	43	94	137
-1. Inapplicable	1238	2765	4003

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.6	35.0	25.9	29.8	30.0	31.6
1. Selected by R	2.1	2.9	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	60.3	62.1	71.6	68.0	67.7	66.0

dem2_schenrl_privnonrel

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention private nonreligious

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	791	1060	1851
1. Selected by R	25	35	60
-1. Inapplicable	1238	2765	4003

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.5	36.6	27.5	31.1	31.3	33.0
1. Selected by R	1.2	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	60.3	62.1	71.6	68.0	67.7	66.0

dem2_schenrl_home

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention home school

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	798	1046	1844
1. Selected by R	18	49	67
-1. Inapplicable	1238	2765	4003

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.9	36.5	27.1	30.8	31.2	32.8
1. Selected by R	0.9	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	60.3	62.1	71.6	68.0	67.7	66.0

dem2_schenrl_enrollno

Label: PRE: School enrollment of child(ren)- mention no enrollment

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	678	924	1602
1. Selected by R	138	171	309
-1. Inapplicable	1238	2765	4003

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	33.0	31.3	23.9	25.7	27.1	27.6
1. Selected by R	6.7	6.6	4.4	6.3	5.2	6.4
-1. Inapplicable	60.3	62.1	71.6	68.0	67.7	66.0

dem2_schenrl_enrolldkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: School enrollment of child(ren)- DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	812	1094	1906
1. Dk selected by R	3	0	3
2. Rf selected by R	1	1	2
-1. Inapplicable	1238	2765	4003

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.5	37.7	28.3	31.9	32.2	33.9
1. Dk selected by R	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
2. Rf selected by R	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.3	62.1	71.6	68.0	67.7	66.0

dem2_inethome

Label:	Internet use at home
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-6
Description:	Demographics2
Question:	Do you or does anyone in this household connect to the Internet from home?
Web Logic:	Online, change 'this' to 'your' and set 'from home' in bold type.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1607	3533	5140
2. No	447	317	764
-9. Refused	0	10	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	78.2	84.4	91.5	89.6	86.9	87.8
2. No	21.8	15.6	8.2	9.9	12.9	11.9
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3

dem2_inettyp

Label:	Broadband or dial-up home Internet connection
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-7
Description:	Demographics2
Question:	Do you currently access the Internet at home using a regular 'dial-up' telephone, DSL, cable modem, fiber optics, satellite, wireless (such as Wi-Fi), mobile phone or PDA, or some other broadband internet connection, or something else?
Universe:	IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD CONNECTS TO THE INTERNET FROM HOME:
Logic:	Ask if DEM2_INETHOME=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A regular 'dial-up' telephone	29	334	363
2. Dsl, cable modem, fiber optics, satellite, wireless (such as wi-fi), mobile phone or	1555	3153	4708
3. Something else	8	45	53
-1. Inapplicable	447	327	774
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A regular 'dial-up' telephone	1.4	1.3	8.7	9.6	6.1	6.7
2. Dsl, cable modem, fiber optics, satellite, wireless (such as wi-fi), mobile phone or	75.7	82.3	81.7	78.6	79.6	79.9
3. Something else	0.4	0.3	1.2	1.3	0.9	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	21.8	15.6	8.5	10.4	13.1	12.2
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem2_landline

Label:	Number of landline telephone numbers at home
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-8
Description:	Demographics2
Question:	How many residential landline telephone numbers are there in your household? A residential landline number is a phone number that can be used to call your home, that is not only for a business, and that is not a cell phone.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	917	891	1808
1	1065	2695	3760
2	59	165	224
3	5	56	61
4	5	26	31
5	1	6	7
-8	1	0	1
-9	1	21	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	44.6	35.3	23.1	29.5	30.6	31.5
1	51.9	59.7	69.8	63.7	63.6	62.3
2	2.9	4.2	4.3	4.0	3.8	4.1
3	0.2	0.2	1.5	1.6	1.0	1.1
4	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4
5	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2
-8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.4

dem2_cellnum

Label:	Number of cell phone numbers in household
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-9
Description:	Demographics2
Question:	How many cell phone numbers are there in your household that are ever answered for personal calls?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	104	301	405
1	668	1107	1775
2	763	1580	2343
3	299	484	783
4	156	265	421
5	53	75	128
6	8	19	27
7	0	6	6
9	0	1	1
10	1	2	3
-8	1	0	1
-9	1	20	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	5.1	4.8	7.8	7.9	6.8	6.8
1	32.5	22.7	28.7	27.2	30.0	25.6
2	37.1	42.1	40.9	41.4	39.6	41.6
3	14.6	15.5	12.5	12.8	13.2	13.7
4	7.6	10.4	6.9	7.0	7.1	8.2
5	2.6	3.6	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.6
6	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.6

dem2_cellpers

Label:	Does R personally have a cell phone
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-10
Description:	Demographics2
Question:	Do you personally have a cell phone, or not?
Universe:	IF AT LEAST 1 CELL PHONE NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD:
Logic:	Ask if DEM2_CELLNUM > 0 or DK, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1859	3347	5206
2. No	89	192	281
-1. Inapplicable	105	321	426
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	90.5	90.8	86.7	85.7	88.0	87.5
2. No	4.3	4.2	5.0	5.5	4.8	5.1
-1. Inapplicable	5.1	4.9	8.3	8.7	7.2	7.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem2_smartph

Label:	Is R's cell phone a smartphone
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.6-11
Description:	Demographics2
Question:	Do you have a 'smartphone' or not? By 'smartphone,' we mean a cell phone that will run apps and connect to the Internet, like an iPhone, Blackberry, or Android phone.
Universe:	IF R HAS A CELL PHONE:
Logic:	Ask if DEM2_CELLPERS=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, have a smartphone	1022	1566	2588
2. No smartphone	832	1780	2612
-1. Inapplicable	195	513	708
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, have a smartphone	49.8	49.8	40.6	41.9	43.8	44.7
2. No smartphone	40.5	40.6	46.1	43.8	44.2	42.7
-1. Inapplicable	9.5	9.2	13.3	14.3	12.0	12.5
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dem3_grewup

Label:	Where R grew up
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-1
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	Where was it that you grew up?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-9. Refused	0	0	0
-8. Don't know	0	0	0
-2. Missing; coded in forthcoming release	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Missing; coded in forthcoming release	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem3_yearscomm

Label:	How long lived in this community YRS
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-2
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	How long have you lived in your present community? YEARS:
Universe:	IF R LIVES IN CITY/ IF R LIVES IN A TOWN/ IF R LIVES IN A TOWNSHIP/ IF R LIVES IN RURAL AREA OF COUNTY:
Logic:	Message should be: Time period greater than age. If birthyear not in(DK,RF) and CITYYR not in(DK,RF) and ((2012-birthyear)+1) < CITYYR then the message should display 'Time period grater than age'
Source:	Respondent

22 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
40. 40 or more years	205	606	811
01. 0-1 years	324	252	576
03. 2-1 years	232	286	518
05. 4-1 years	185	264	449
07. 6-1 years	150	247	397
11. 10-11 years	115	255	370
09. 8-1 years	99	212	311
13. 12-13 years	82	208	290
21. 20-21 years	93	191	284
15. 14-15 years	84	189	273

dem3_yearscomm_full

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: How long lived in this community YRS (full)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem3_moscomm

Label:	How long lived in this community MONTHS
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-2.2
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	MONTHS:
Universe:	IF R LIVES IN CITY/ IF R LIVES IN A TOWN/ IF R LIVES IN A TOWNSHIP/ IF R LIVES IN RURAL AREA OF COUNTY:
Logic:	If DEM2_CITYMON > 11 and DEM2_CITYYR > 0 then error message('more than 11 months cannot be entered if number of years is 1 or greater').
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem3_prevzip

Label:	Zip Code of previous residence
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-4
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	What was the zip code where you previously lived?
Universe:	IF R HAS LIVED IN CURRENT COMMUNITY LESS THAN 2 YEARS:
Logic:	Calculate total months in community as variable commtot: if DEM3_CITYYR ge 0 and DEM3_CITYYR le 100 and DEM3_CITYMON not in(DK,RF) then commtot = (DEM3_CITYYR * 12) + DEM3_CITYMON elseif DEM3_CITYYR ge 0 and DEM3_CITYYR le 100 and DEM3_CITYMON in(DK,RF) then commtot=12*DEM3_CITYYR else if DEM3_CITYYR=777 and agecalc_R is not missing then commtot= agecalc_R * 12 else commtot = missing. Ask if (commtot ge 0 and commtot lt 24) or (commtot =missing). Length of response field limited to 5 chars. Entry should be checked as follows: a) if length of entry < 5 then error message ('enter zip code 5- digit value'); b) if char string does not convert to numeric value then error message ('nonnumeric value, please correct'); c) if converted value of char string does not evaluate to a numeric value between 500 and 99999 then error message ('not a valid zip code for the U.S. or Puerto Rico (00500-99999)'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem3_prevcty

Label:	City/state of previous residence
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-4.5
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	What was the city and state where you previously lived?
Universe:	IF R HAS LIVED IN CURRENT COMMUNITY LESS THAN 2 YEARS: IF R DOES NOT KNOW THE ZIP CODE OF WHERE PREVIOUSLY LIVED:
Logic:	Ask if DEM3_PREVZIP = DK, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

dem3_ownhome

Label:	Does R family own/rent home
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-6
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	[Do you/Does your family] own your home, pay rent, or what?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS / IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
Logic:	If FINANCE_FINFAM = 0 then use 'you' If FINANCE_FINFAM > 0 or in(DK,RF) or missing use 'your family living here'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Own home	1110	2797	3907
2. Pay rent	908	962	1870
5. Other (SPECIFY)	26	86	112
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	4	15	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Own home	54.0	70.2	72.5	71.6	66.1	71.1
2. Pay rent	44.2	28.0	24.9	25.4	31.6	26.3
5. Other (SPECIFY)	1.3	1.1	2.2	2.3	1.9	1.8
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.6

dem3_ownhome_oth

Label: PRE: Other specify- Does R family own/rent home

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem3_namech

Label:	Name ever changed
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-7
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	Has your name ever changed or have you never changed your first or last name?/ Has your name ever changed (for example, because you got married), or have you never changed your first or last name?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT FEMALE/ IF R IS FEMALE:
Logic:	If GEND_GENDOBS = 2 load '(for example, because you got married)', otherwise not.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have changed name	698	1216	1914
2. Never changed name	1347	2624	3971
-9. Refused	9	20	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have changed name	34.0	36.5	31.5	32.4	32.4	33.8
2. Never changed name	65.6	63.1	68.0	66.9	67.1	65.5
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.6

dem3_namechyr

Label:	Year of most recent change
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-8
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	What year did you most recently change your name?
Universe:	IF R HAS CHANGED NAME:
Logic:	Ask if DEM3_NAME3=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem3_altname

Label:	Use of alternate names
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-9
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	Have you ever gone by an alternative or different name?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	320	590	910
2 . No	1723	3253	4976
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	9	17	26

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	15.6	17.2	15.3	15.6	15.4	16.1
2 . No	83.9	82.3	84.3	83.7	84.1	83.2
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.6

dem3_lenaddr

Label:	Years R lived at address
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-10
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	How many years have you lived at this address?
Logic:	Message should be: Time period greater than age. If birthyear not in(DK,RF) and LENADDRE not in(DK,RF) and ((2012-birthyear)) > LENADDR then the message should display
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Number of years: 0-1 years	534	404	938
2. Number of years: 2-3 years	309	462	771
3. Number of years: 4-5 years	209	359	568
4. Number of years: 6-7 years	177	0	177
5. Number of years: 8-9 years	114	326	440
6. Number of years: 10-14 years	246	594	840
7. Number of years: 15-19 years	147	410	557
8. Number of years: 20-29 years	169	703	872
9. Number of years: 30 or more years	135	562	697
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	8	40	48

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Number of years: 0-1 years	26.0	21.3	10.5	13.2	15.9	16.0
2. Number of years: 2-3 years	15.0	11.4	12.0	14.9	13.0	13.7
3. Number of years: 4-5 years	10.2	8.4	9.3	10.3	9.6	9.7
4. Number of years: 6-7 years	8.6	9.4	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.3
5. Number of years: 8-9 years	5.6	5.5	8.4	8.7	7.4	7.6
6. Number of years: 10-14 years	12.0	13.3	15.4	14.3	14.2	13.9
7. Number of years: 15-19 years	7.2	9.1	10.6	9.7	9.4	9.5
8. Number of years: 20-29 years	8.2	12.2	18.2	15.5	14.7	14.4
9. Number of years: 30 or more years	6.6	8.7	14.6	12.1	11.8	10.9
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.4	0.2	1.0	1.3	0.8	0.9

dem3_lenaddr_full

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: Years R lived at address (full)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem3_add5yr_state

Label: PRE: RESTRICTED: If R has lived in current state <5yrs, R state 5 yrs ago

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

dem3_add5yr_samestate

Label: PRE: If R has lived in current state <5yrs, R state 5 yrs ago same

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not same state as current state	172	0	172
1. Same state as current state	764	0	764
-1. Inapplicable	991	3860	4851
-2. Missing: previous state not determined (DK/RF)	127	0	127

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not same state as current state	8.4	8.1	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.8
1. Same state as current state	37.2	28.8	0.0	0.0	12.9	10.0
-1. Inapplicable	48.2	58.4	100.0	100.0	82.0	85.5
-2. Missing: previous state not determined (DK/RF)	6.2	4.8	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.7

dem3_driver

Label:	Have Driver's License
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-12
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	Do you have a non-expired Driver's License?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have a non-expired driver's license	1686	3271	4957
2. Do not have one	365	566	931
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	23	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have a non-expired driver's license	82.1	86.1	84.7	82.7	83.8	83.9
2. Do not have one	17.8	13.7	14.7	16.3	15.7	15.4
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.6

dem3_passport

Label:	Have passport
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-13
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	Do you now have a non-expired U.S. passport, or do you not have one?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have a valid U.S. Passport	696	1779	2475
2. Do not have one	1347	2063	3410
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	1	18	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have a valid U.S. Passport	33.9	39.3	46.1	41.6	41.8	40.8
2. Do not have one	65.6	60.1	53.4	57.5	57.7	58.4
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.6

dem3_govtid

Label:	Have government issued ID
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 62.7-14
Description:	Demographics3
Question:	Do you have another form of non-expired, government issued photo ID, such as a state-issued ID or military ID?
Universe:	IF R DOES NOT HAVE A NON-EXPIRED DRIVER'S LICENSE: IF R DOES NOT HAVE A NON-EXPIRED U.S. PASSPORT:
Logic:	Ask if DEM3_DRIVLIC <> 1 and DEM3_PASSPORT <> 1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have another form of id	166	205	371
2. Do not have	146	213	359
-1. Inapplicable	1740	3427	5167
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	15	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have another form of id	8.1	6.0	5.3	5.8	6.3	5.9
2. Do not have	7.1	5.8	5.5	6.6	6.1	6.3
-1. Inapplicable	84.7	88.1	88.8	86.9	87.4	87.3
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5

casistart_iwrset4

Label:	FTF CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 4
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 62.9-4
Description:	CASI intro and practice screens Pre
Question:	You answer questions by touching the response option with the pen or your finger. When a response is selected, it will turn orange. To answer a question, touch the response you want and then press next [>>]. Do you have a dog?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	898	0	898
2. No	1153	0	1153
-1. Inapplicable	3	3860	3863

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	43.7	47.5	0.0	0.0	15.2	16.5
2. No	56.1	52.3	0.0	0.0	19.5	18.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.1	0.2	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.4

casistart_iwrset6

Label:	FTF CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 6
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 62.9-6
Description:	CASI intro and practice screens Pre
Question:	Other questions will ask you to type an answer in words instead of selecting a response from a list. This morning what did you eat for breakfast? Type in what you ate for breakfast and press next [>>].
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

selfgend_gender

Label:	FTF CASI ONLY: are you (R) male or female
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.1-1
Description:	CASI: Self-identification of gender
Question:	Are you male or female?
Universe:	IF IWR HAS NOT RECORDED OBSERVATION OF GENDER AT START OF INTERVIEW:
Logic:	Ask if GEND_GENDOBS = DK, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-9. Refused	0	0	0
-8. Don't know	0	0	0
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Male	0	0	0
2. Female	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

wealth_stocks

Label:	CASI Money invested in Stock Market
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-1
Description:	CASI: Assets
Question:	Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	539	1836	2375
2. No	1408	1983	3391
-8. Don't know	36	0	36
-9. Refused	71	41	112

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	26.2	37.4	47.6	41.7	40.2	40.2
2. No	68.5	56.4	51.4	56.8	57.3	56.7
-8. Don't know	1.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7
-9. Refused	3.5	4.1	1.1	1.4	1.9	2.4

wealth_whoinvest

Label:	CASI Work or own investments
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-1.1
Description:	CASI: Assets
Question:	Is that from investments through work, investments made on your own, or both?
Universe:	IF R HAS MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET:
Logic:	Ask if WEALTH_STOCKS =1, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous question, STOCKS.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Investments through work	189	443	632
2. Investments made on your own	140	587	727
3. Both	198	803	1001
-1. Inapplicable	1515	2024	3539
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	8	3	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Investments through work	9.2	10.7	11.5	11.4	10.7	11.2
2. Investments made on your own	6.8	10.3	15.2	12.9	12.3	12.0
3. Both	9.6	15.6	20.8	17.3	16.9	16.7
-1. Inapplicable	73.8	62.6	52.4	58.3	59.8	59.8
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2

wealth_vachome

Label:	CASI Owned vacation home
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-2
Description:	CASI: Assets
Question:	Which, if any, of the following have you done? Have you ever owned a vacation home that was not your main residence, or not?
Web Logic:	Online, display items 63.25-2 through 63.25-6 on the same screen in a grid. The stem should ask □Which, if any, of the following have you ever done?□ The response columns should be labeled □Have done this□ and □Have not done this□. The stems should all consist only of the verb phrases: owned a vacation home that was not your main residence? owned property that you rented to someone else? been awarded stock grants or options from your employer? etc.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, have done this	124	380	504
2. No, have not	1883	3458	5341
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	37	22	59

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, have done this	6.0	9.3	9.8	8.4	8.5	8.7
2. No, have not	91.7	88.4	89.6	90.9	90.3	90.0
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	1.8	1.8	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.1

wealth_ownrental

Label:	CASI Owned property that you rented
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-3
Description:	CASI: Assets
Question:	Have you ever owned property that you rented to someone else, or have you never done this?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, have done this	264	739	1003
2. No, have not	1745	3099	4844
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	37	22	59

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, have done this	12.9	16.3	19.1	16.0	17.0	16.1
2. No, have not	85.0	81.6	80.3	83.3	81.9	82.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-9. Refused	1.8	1.6	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.0

wealth_stockgrnts

Label:	CASI Awarded stock grants or options
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-4
Description:	CASI: Assets
Question:	(Have you ever) Been awarded stock grants or options from your employer?
Logic:	1. Yes 2. No
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, have done this	191	490	681
2. No, have not	1778	3347	5125
-8. Don't know	42	0	42
-9. Refused	43	23	66

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, have done this	9.3	11.6	12.7	10.2	11.5	10.7
2. No, have not	86.6	83.1	86.7	89.1	86.7	87.0
-8. Don't know	2.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.9
-9. Refused	2.1	2.6	0.6	0.7	1.1	1.4

wealth_undland

Label:	CASI Owned underdeveloped land
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-5
Description:	CASI: Assets
Question:	(Have you ever) Owned undeveloped land as an investment or for future development?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, have done this	110	337	447
2. No, have not	1895	3499	5394
-8. Don't know	15	0	15
-9. Refused	34	24	58

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, have done this	5.4	7.4	8.7	7.2	7.6	7.3
2. No, have not	92.3	90.2	90.6	92.1	91.2	91.4
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	1.7	1.5	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.0

wealth_investbus

Label:	CASI Invested in someone's business
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.25-6
Description:	CASI: Assets
Question:	(Have you ever) Invested in someone starting a business?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, have done this	144	300	444
2. No, have not	1870	3539	5409
-8. Don't know	13	0	13
-9. Refused	27	21	48

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, have done this	7.0	7.8	7.8	6.3	7.5	6.8
2. No, have not	91.0	90.1	91.7	93.0	91.5	92.0
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
-9. Refused	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.9

inc_totinc

Label:	CASI Total income amount
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-1
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] The next question is about [the total income of all the members of your family living here / your total income] in 2011, before taxes. This figure should include income from all sources, including salaries, wages, pensions, Social Security, dividends, interest, and all other income. What was [the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here / your total income in 2011]?
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD / IF R IS ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN HOUSEHOLD:
Logic:	In preceding module FINANCE, item FINANCE_FINFAM asks the number of family members residing with R. If FINANCE_FINFAM > 0 or FINANCE_FINFAM in(DK,RF) then FILL 'the total income of all the members of your family living here' and 'the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here,' else FILL 'your total income' and 'your total income in 2011'. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.) Conversion: if R uses commas when entering the dollar amount, it should be converted into numeric value.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

inc_totmiss40

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 40K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-3
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 40,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTINC twice in(DK,RF), else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 40,000 or more	66	91	157
2. Less than 40,000	178	80	258
-1. Inapplicable	1518	3631	5149
-2. Missing; IWR mistakenly entered '2' in place of DK code for total income	161	0	161
-8. Don't know	70	0	70
-9. Refused	61	58	119

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 40,000 or more	3.2	4.6	2.4	2.6	2.7	3.3
2. Less than 40,000	8.7	6.9	2.1	2.1	4.4	3.8
-1. Inapplicable	73.9	76.5	94.1	93.3	87.1	87.5
-2. Missing; IWR mistakenly entered '2' in place of DK code for total income	7.8	6.1	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.1
-8. Don't know	3.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.9
-9. Refused	3.0	3.3	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.4

inc_totmiss20

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 20K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-4
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 20,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTMISS40 =2, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 20,000 or more	66	38	104
2. Less than 20,000	88	29	117
-1. Inapplicable	1876	3780	5656
-8. Don't know	17	0	17
-9. Refused	7	13	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 20,000 or more	3.2	3.0	1.0	1.1	1.8	1.8
2. Less than 20,000	4.3	3.0	0.8	0.7	2.0	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	91.3	93.1	97.9	97.9	95.6	96.2
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3

inc_totl20

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories lt 20K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-5
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 20,000:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTMISS20 =2, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Under 5,000	13	6	19
2. 5,000-9,999	15	4	19
3. 10,000-12,499	13	2	15
4. 12,500-14,999	9	2	11
5. 15,000-17,499	10	6	16
6. 17,500-19,999	10	4	14
-1. Inapplicable	1966	3831	5797
-8. Don't know	13	0	13
-9. Refused	5	5	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Under 5,000	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1
2. 5,000-9,999	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2
3. 10,000-12,499	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2
4. 12,500-14,999	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
5. 15,000-17,499	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3
6. 17,500-19,999	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	95.7	97.0	99.2	99.3	98.0	98.5
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1

inc_totg20l40

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories 20-40K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-6
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000: IF R INDICATED INCOME 20,000 OR MORE:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTMISS20 =1, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
07. 20,000-22,499	11	5	16
08. 22,500-24,999	8	1	9
09. 25,000-27,499	5	4	9
10. 27,500-29,999	8	5	13
11. 30,000-34,999	15	5	20
12. 35,000-39,999	5	7	12
-1. Inapplicable	1988	3822	5810
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	6	11	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
07. 20,000-22,499	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2
08. 22,500-24,999	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
09. 25,000-27,499	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3
10. 27,500-29,999	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
11. 30,000-34,999	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
12. 35,000-39,999	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	96.8	97.0	99.0	98.9	98.2	98.2
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3

inc_totmiss70

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 70K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-7
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 70,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTMISS40=1, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 70,000 or more	17	47	64
2. Less than 70,000	28	24	52
-1. Inapplicable	1988	3769	5757
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	11	20	31

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 70,000 or more	0.8	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.1	1.5
2. Less than 70,000	1.4	1.8	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	96.8	95.4	97.6	97.4	97.3	96.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

inc_totg40l70

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories 40-70K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-8
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 70,000:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTMISS70=2, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
13. 40,000-44,999	1	5	6
14. 45,000-49,999	3	0	3
15. 50,000-54,999	8	2	10
16. 55,000-59,999	4	1	5
17. 60,000-64,999	6	4	10
18. 65,000-69,999	2	2	4
-1. Inapplicable	2026	3836	5862
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	2	10	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
13. 40,000-44,999	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
14. 45,000-49,999	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
15. 50,000-54,999	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
16. 55,000-59,999	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
17. 60,000-64,999	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
18. 65,000-69,999	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	98.6	98.2	99.4	99.4	99.1	99.0
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2

inc_totmiss100

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 100K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-9
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 100,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTMISS70=1, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 100,000 or more	6	27	33
2. Less than 100,000	9	10	19
-1. Inapplicable	2037	3813	5850
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	10	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 100,000 or more	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7
2. Less than 100,000	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	99.2	98.5	98.8	98.4	98.9	98.5
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

inc_totg70l100

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories 70-100K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-10
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 100,000:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTMISS100=2, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
19. 70,000-74,999	3	2	5
20. 75,000-79,999	1	1	2
21. 80,000-89,999	1	3	4
22. 90,000-99,999	2	2	4
-1. Inapplicable	2045	3850	5895
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	2	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
19. 70,000-74,999	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
20. 75,000-79,999	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
21. 80,000-89,999	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
22. 90,000-99,999	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.6	99.3	99.7	99.6	99.7	99.5
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

inc_totg100

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories 100+K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 63.3-11
Description:	CASI family and R income - Pre
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF/ IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 100,000 OR MORE:
Logic:	Ask if INC_TOTMISS100=1, else skip. If R answers with DK or RF, the Respondent should be returned to this screen with a 'header' which precedes the question text, in distinctive font color: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' This return event should occur only once; if R responds DK or RF a 2nd time, R should proceed to the next screen. (Upon first arrival at this screen, the default content for the header is an empty string.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
23. 100,000-109,999	2	5	7
24. 110,000-124,999	0	4	4
25. 125,000-149,999	0	2	2
26. 150,000-174,999	1	2	3
27. 175,000-249,999	1	1	2
28. 250,000 or more	0	1	1
-1. Inapplicable	2048	3833	5881
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	12	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
23. 100,000-109,999	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
24. 110,000-124,999	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
25. 125,000-149,999	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
26. 150,000-174,999	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
27. 175,000-249,999	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
28. 250,000 or more	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.4	99.3	99.2	99.4	99.3
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2

inc_incgroup_pre

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB: SUMARY- Pre family income (see also: inc-group_prepost)

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Under 5,000	149	418	567
11. 30,000-34,999	117	188	305
12. 35,000-39,999	93	178	271
15. 50,000-54,999	88	175	263
21. 80,000-89,999	62	191	253
13. 40,000-44,999	90	156	246
-9. Refused	94	141	235
17. 60,000-64,999	73	145	218
23. 100,000-109,999	57	156	213
09. 25,000-27,499	66	138	204

medsrc_campsrcs_1st

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 1st mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Television news programs (morning or evening)	1625	0	1625
2. Newspapers	70	0	70
3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs	120	0	120
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs	82	0	82
5. Radio news or talk shows	46	0	46
6. Have not heard anything about the presidential campaign from any of these sources	26	0	26
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-8. Don't know	58	0	58
-9. Refused	27	0	27

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Television news programs (morning or evening)	79.1	81.4	0.0	0.0	27.5	28.3
2. Newspapers	3.4	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2
3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs	5.8	5.1	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.8
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs	4.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.1
5. Radio news or talk shows	2.2	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
6. Have not heard anything about the presidential campaign from any of these sources	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-8. Don't know	2.8	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
-9. Refused	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4

medsrc_campsrcs_2nd

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 2nd mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Newspapers	752	0	752
3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs	435	0	435
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs	94	0	94
5. Radio news or talk shows	57	0	57
-1. Inapplicable	716	3860	4576

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Newspapers	36.6	42.9	0.0	0.0	12.7	14.9
3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs	21.2	21.3	0.0	0.0	7.4	7.4
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs	4.6	4.9	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.7
5. Radio news or talk shows	2.8	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	34.9	28.0	100.0	100.0	77.4	75.0

medsrc_campsrcs_3rd

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 3rd mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs	599	0	599
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs	278	0	278
5. Radio news or talk shows	170	0	170
-1. Inapplicable	1007	3860	4867

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs	29.2	34.3	0.0	0.0	10.1	11.9
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs	13.5	14.6	0.0	0.0	4.7	5.1
5. Radio news or talk shows	8.3	8.4	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	49.0	42.6	100.0	100.0	82.3	80.1

medsrc_campsrcs_4th

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 4th mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs	373	0	373
5. Radio news or talk shows	291	0	291
-1. Inapplicable	1390	3860	5250

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs	18.2	21.7	0.0	0.0	6.3	7.5
5. Radio news or talk shows	14.2	16.5	0.0	0.0	4.9	5.7
-1. Inapplicable	67.7	61.8	100.0	100.0	88.8	86.7

medsrc_campsrcs_5th

Label: PRE: FTF CASI ONLY: Ordr'd med srces R followd abt the Pres: 5th mentn

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. Radio news or talk shows	289	0	289
-1. Inapplicable	1765	3860	5625

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. Radio news or talk shows	14.1	16.8	0.0	0.0	4.9	5.8
-1. Inapplicable	85.9	83.2	100.0	100.0	95.1	94.2

medsrc_campsrcs_tvnews

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media srces R followed about the Pres: mention TV news

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	429	429	858
1. Selected by R	1625	3431	5056

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	20.9	18.6	11.1	12.8	14.5	14.8
1. Selected by R	79.1	81.4	88.9	87.2	85.5	85.2

medsrc_campsrcs_newsp

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media srces R foll'd about the Pres: mention newspapers

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1232	1734	2966
1. Selected by R	822	2126	2948

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4
1. Selected by R	40.0	46.4	55.1	49.8	49.8	48.6

medsrc_campsrcs_tvtalk

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media srces R foll'd abt the Pres: mention TV talk shows

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	900	1445	2345
1. Selected by R	1154	2415	3569

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	43.8	39.3	37.4	42.1	39.7	41.1
1. Selected by R	56.2	60.7	62.6	57.9	60.3	58.9

medsrc_campsrcs_inet

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media sources R followed about the Pres: mention Intnet

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1227	1913	3140
1. Selected by R	827	1947	2774

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4
1. Selected by R	40.3	44.3	50.4	47.9	46.9	46.6

medsrc_campsrcs_radio

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media sources R followed about the Pres: mention radio

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1201	1793	2994
1. Selected by R	853	2067	2920

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0
1. Selected by R	41.5	47.2	53.5	50.0	49.4	49.0

medsrc_campsrcs_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Media sources R followed about the Pres: none

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	2028	3773	5801
1. Selected by R	26	87	113

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	98.7	98.9	97.7	96.7	98.1	97.5
1. Selected by R	1.3	1.1	2.3	3.3	1.9	2.5

medsrc_campsrcs_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: CASI Media sources R followed about the Pres: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1969	3847	5816
1. Dk selected by R	58	0	58
2. Rf selected by R	27	13	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	95.9	96.9	99.7	99.4	98.3	98.5
1. Dk selected by R	2.8	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
2. Rf selected by R	1.3	1.3	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.8

medsrc_tvprog_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention 20/20

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1231	2722	3953
1. Selected by R	540	788	1328
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	59.9	63.2	70.5	70.8	66.8	68.1
1. Selected by R	26.3	24.5	20.4	18.6	22.5	20.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention 60 Minutes

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1154	2409	3563
1. Selected by R	617	1101	1718
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	56.2	59.2	62.4	64.8	60.2	62.9
1. Selected by R	30.0	28.5	28.5	24.5	29.0	25.9
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv prgs R watches reg'ly: mention ABC News Night-line

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1323	2843	4166
1. Selected by R	448	667	1115
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	64.4	68.8	73.7	74.9	70.4	72.8
1. Selected by R	21.8	18.9	17.3	14.4	18.9	16.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv prgs R watch reg'ly: mentn ABC World News Tonight

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1353	2795	4148
1. Selected by R	418	715	1133
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	65.9	69.7	72.4	73.9	70.1	72.4
1. Selected by R	20.4	18.0	18.5	15.4	19.2	16.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention America Live

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1721	3436	5157
1. Selected by R	50	74	124
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	83.8	85.9	89.0	87.6	87.2	87.0
1. Selected by R	2.4	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.1	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv prgs R watches reg'ly: mentn America This Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1580	3371	4951
1. Selected by R	191	139	330
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	76.9	80.2	87.3	86.3	83.7	84.2
1. Selected by R	9.3	7.5	3.6	3.1	5.6	4.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn America's Newsroom

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1688	3394	5082
1. Selected by R	83	116	199
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	82.2	84.3	87.9	86.8	85.9	85.9
1. Selected by R	4.0	3.4	3.0	2.5	3.4	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mention American Idol

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1480	3036	4516
1. Selected by R	291	474	765
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	72.1	73.4	78.7	77.4	76.4	76.0
1. Selected by R	14.2	14.3	12.3	11.9	12.9	12.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mentn Anderson Cooper

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1495	3128	4623
1. Selected by R	276	382	658
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	72.8	74.9	81.0	80.9	78.2	78.8
1. Selected by R	13.4	12.8	9.9	8.5	11.1	10.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn The Big Bang Theory

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1266	2664	3930
1. Selected by R	505	846	1351
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	61.6	62.9	69.0	67.3	66.5	65.8
1. Selected by R	24.6	24.8	21.9	22.0	22.8	23.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mentn CBS Evening News

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1161	2616	3777
1. Selected by R	610	894	1504
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	56.5	59.5	67.8	68.8	63.9	65.6
1. Selected by R	29.7	28.2	23.2	20.5	25.4	23.2
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mentn CBS This Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1379	3029	4408
1. Selected by R	392	481	873
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	67.1	71.5	78.5	78.6	74.5	76.2
1. Selected by R	19.1	16.2	12.5	10.7	14.8	12.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Chris Matthews Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1655	3286	4941
1. Selected by R	116	224	340
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	80.6	82.1	85.1	85.1	83.5	84.1
1. Selected by R	5.6	5.6	5.8	4.2	5.7	4.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regularly: mention Colbert Report

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1615	3201	4816
1. Selected by R	156	309	465
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	78.6	78.7	82.9	81.4	81.4	80.5
1. Selected by R	7.6	9.0	8.0	7.9	7.9	8.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R wtch reg'ly: mentn Daily Show with Jon Stewart

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1594	3138	4732
1. Selected by R	177	372	549
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	77.6	76.6	81.3	80.4	80.0	79.1
1. Selected by R	8.6	11.1	9.6	8.9	9.3	9.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_16

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watch regly: mentn Dancing with the Stars

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1485	2893	4378
1. Selected by R	286	617	903
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	72.3	74.2	74.9	74.8	74.0	74.6
1. Selected by R	13.9	13.5	16.0	14.5	15.3	14.1
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_17

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Dateline NBC

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1253	2702	3955
1. Selected by R	518	808	1326
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	61.0	63.4	70.0	69.6	66.9	67.5
1. Selected by R	25.2	24.3	20.9	19.7	22.4	21.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_18

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Doctors

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1582	3279	4861
1. Selected by R	189	231	420
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	77.0	81.2	84.9	83.5	82.2	82.7
1. Selected by R	9.2	6.5	6.0	5.8	7.1	6.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_19

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watches reg'ly: mentn The Ellen DeGeneres Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1504	3106	4610
1. Selected by R	267	404	671
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	73.2	75.3	80.5	79.8	78.0	78.2
1. Selected by R	13.0	12.4	10.5	9.6	11.3	10.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_20

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Face the Nation

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1560	3170	4730
1. Selected by R	211	340	551
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	75.9	78.3	82.1	82.2	80.0	80.9
1. Selected by R	10.3	9.4	8.8	7.1	9.3	7.9
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_21

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention The Five

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1707	3314	5021
1. Selected by R	64	196	260
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	83.1	83.4	85.9	85.1	84.9	84.5
1. Selected by R	3.1	4.3	5.1	4.3	4.4	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_22

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Fox Report

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1383	2867	4250
1. Selected by R	388	643	1031
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	67.3	66.7	74.3	74.4	71.9	71.7
1. Selected by R	18.9	21.0	16.7	14.9	17.4	17.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_23

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Frontline

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1639	3278	4917
1. Selected by R	132	232	364
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	79.8	82.1	84.9	83.9	83.1	83.3
1. Selected by R	6.4	5.6	6.0	5.4	6.2	5.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_24

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches regly: mentn Good Morning America

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1235	2734	3969
1. Selected by R	536	776	1312
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	60.1	64.9	70.8	71.3	67.1	69.1
1. Selected by R	26.1	22.8	20.1	18.1	22.2	19.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_25

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Hannity

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1665	3192	4857
1. Selected by R	106	318	424
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	81.1	79.4	82.7	82.0	82.1	81.1
1. Selected by R	5.2	8.3	8.2	7.3	7.2	7.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_26

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Huckabee

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1687	3280	4967
1. Selected by R	84	230	314
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	82.1	80.9	85.0	83.6	84.0	82.7
1. Selected by R	4.1	6.8	6.0	5.7	5.3	6.1
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_27

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Insider

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1629	3379	5008
1. Selected by R	142	131	273
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	79.3	82.1	87.5	86.0	84.7	84.7
1. Selected by R	6.9	5.6	3.4	3.3	4.6	4.1
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_28

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Jimmy Kimmel Live

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1657	3314	4971
1. Selected by R	114	196	310
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	80.7	82.2	85.9	85.2	84.1	84.1
1. Selected by R	5.6	5.5	5.1	4.2	5.2	4.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_29

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention Key and Peele

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1729	3454	5183
1. Selected by R	42	56	98
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	84.2	85.7	89.5	87.5	87.6	86.9
1. Selected by R	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_30

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watch: The Late Late Show with Craig Ferguson

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1712	3356	5068
1. Selected by R	59	154	213
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	83.3	84.3	86.9	85.5	85.7	85.1
1. Selected by R	2.9	3.4	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_31

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watch: mention Late Show with David Letterman

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1543	3111	4654
1. Selected by R	228	399	627
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	75.1	77.6	80.6	81.1	78.7	79.9
1. Selected by R	11.1	10.1	10.3	8.2	10.6	8.9
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_32

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention Meet the Press

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1512	3089	4601
1. Selected by R	259	421	680
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	73.6	75.4	80.0	80.8	77.8	78.9
1. Selected by R	12.6	12.3	10.9	8.5	11.5	9.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_33

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention The Mentalist

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1534	2808	4342
1. Selected by R	237	702	939
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	74.7	74.0	72.7	73.3	73.4	73.5
1. Selected by R	11.5	13.7	18.2	16.0	15.9	15.2
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_34

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv programs R watches reg'ly: mentn NBC Nightly News

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1198	2528	3726
1. Selected by R	573	982	1555
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	58.3	58.7	65.5	66.9	63.0	64.0
1. Selected by R	27.9	29.0	25.4	22.4	26.3	24.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_35

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention NCIS

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1347	2585	3932
1. Selected by R	424	925	1349
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	65.6	64.6	67.0	69.3	66.5	67.6
1. Selected by R	20.6	23.1	24.0	20.1	22.8	21.1
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_36

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention O'Reilly Factor

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1590	3039	4629
1. Selected by R	181	471	652
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	77.4	75.9	78.7	78.6	78.3	77.7
1. Selected by R	8.8	11.8	12.2	10.7	11.0	11.1
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_37

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watches: On the Record with Greta Van Susteren

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1672	3241	4913
1. Selected by R	99	269	368
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	81.4	80.3	84.0	83.0	83.1	82.0
1. Selected by R	4.8	7.4	7.0	6.4	6.2	6.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_38

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Person of Interest

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1614	2987	4601
1. Selected by R	157	523	680
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	78.6	79.1	77.4	77.9	77.8	78.3
1. Selected by R	7.6	8.6	13.5	11.5	11.5	10.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_39

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watch reg'ly: Rock Center with Brian Williams

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1650	3262	4912
1. Selected by R	121	248	369
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	80.3	80.1	84.5	83.7	83.1	82.5
1. Selected by R	5.9	7.6	6.4	5.6	6.2	6.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_40

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Saturday Night Live

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1511	3036	4547
1. Selected by R	260	474	734
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	73.6	73.6	78.7	77.5	76.9	76.1
1. Selected by R	12.7	14.1	12.3	11.8	12.4	12.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_41

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Tv prgs R watches reg'ly: Special Report with Bret Baier

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1694	3266	4960
1. Selected by R	77	244	321
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	82.5	82.4	84.6	83.8	83.9	83.3
1. Selected by R	3.7	5.3	6.3	5.5	5.4	5.4
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_42

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention Tavis Smiley

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1683	3061	4744
1. Selected by R	88	449	537
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	81.9	85.6	79.3	79.4	80.2	81.5
1. Selected by R	4.3	2.1	11.6	10.0	9.1	7.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_43

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Sunday Morning

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1550	3296	4846
1. Selected by R	221	214	435
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	75.5	77.1	85.4	84.1	81.9	81.7
1. Selected by R	10.8	10.6	5.5	5.2	7.4	7.1
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_44

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention The View

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1503	3427	4930
1. Selected by R	268	83	351
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	73.2	77.7	88.8	88.1	83.4	84.5
1. Selected by R	13.0	10.0	2.2	1.2	5.9	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_45

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention This Week

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1712	2866	4578
1. Selected by R	59	644	703
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	83.3	85.2	74.2	73.2	77.4	77.4
1. Selected by R	2.9	2.5	16.7	16.1	11.9	11.4
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_46

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Today Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1397	3131	4528
1. Selected by R	374	379	753
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	68.0	67.4	81.1	80.9	76.6	76.2
1. Selected by R	18.2	20.3	9.8	8.4	12.7	12.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_47

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches reg'ly: mention The Voice

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1470	3410	4880
1. Selected by R	301	100	401
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	71.6	72.3	88.3	87.0	82.5	81.9
1. Selected by R	14.7	15.3	2.6	2.3	6.8	6.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_48

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention The Talk

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	1595	3050	4645
1. Selected by R	176	460	636
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	77.7	81.1	79.0	77.0	78.5	78.4
1. Selected by R	8.6	6.6	11.9	12.3	10.8	10.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_49

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Al Punto

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	359	410	769
1. Selected by R	38	71	109
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	17.5	8.3	10.6	8.0	13.0	8.1
1. Selected by R	1.9	0.9	1.8	1.4	1.8	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_50

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Al Rojo Vivo

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	397	384	781
1. Selected by R	0	97	97
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	19.3	9.2	9.9	7.7	13.2	8.2
1. Selected by R	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.6	1.6	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_51

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches regularly: mention Aqui y Ahora

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	335	393	728
1. Selected by R	62	88	150
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	16.3	7.7	10.2	7.6	12.3	7.7
1. Selected by R	3.0	1.4	2.3	1.8	2.5	1.6
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_52

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention CNN en Espanol

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	363	392	755
1. Selected by R	34	89	123
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	17.7	8.2	10.2	7.9	12.8	8.0
1. Selected by R	1.7	0.9	2.3	1.5	2.1	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_53

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Despierta America

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	351	423	774
1. Selected by R	46	58	104
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	17.1	8.1	11.0	8.4	13.1	8.3
1. Selected by R	2.2	1.1	1.5	0.9	1.8	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_54

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn ElGordo y La Flaca

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	349	398	747
1. Selected by R	48	83	131
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	17.0	7.8	10.3	7.9	12.6	7.9
1. Selected by R	2.3	1.4	2.2	1.4	2.2	1.4
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_55

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Enfoque

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	391	417	808
1. Selected by R	6	64	70
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	19.0	9.1	10.8	8.3	13.7	8.6
1. Selected by R	0.3	0.1	1.7	1.1	1.2	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_56

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: mention Hoy

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	372	459	831
1. Selected by R	25	22	47
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	18.1	8.6	11.9	9.0	14.1	8.8
1. Selected by R	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_57

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv prgs R watches reg'ly: Lo Mejor de Caso Cerrado

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	349	441	790
1. Selected by R	48	40	88
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	17.0	7.9	11.4	8.7	13.4	8.4
1. Selected by R	2.3	1.3	1.0	0.6	1.5	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_58

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: Noticiero Enrique Gratas

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	368	432	800
1. Selected by R	29	49	78
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	17.9	8.6	11.2	8.5	13.5	8.5
1. Selected by R	1.4	0.6	1.3	0.9	1.3	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_59

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches reg'ly: Noticiero Telemundo

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	328	376	704
1. Selected by R	69	105	174
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	16.0	7.4	9.7	7.8	11.9	7.7
1. Selected by R	3.4	1.8	2.7	1.6	2.9	1.6
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_60

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Noticiero Univision

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	397	338	735
1. Selected by R	0	143	143
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	19.3	9.2	8.8	7.0	12.4	7.7
1. Selected by R	0.0	0.0	3.7	2.4	2.4	1.6
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_61

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: Pa'lante con Cristiana

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	385	466	851
1. Selected by R	12	15	27
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	18.7	8.9	12.1	9.0	14.4	9.0
1. Selected by R	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_62

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mentn Pequenos Gigantes

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	373	432	805
1. Selected by R	24	49	73
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	18.2	8.5	11.2	8.5	13.6	8.5
1. Selected by R	1.2	0.7	1.3	0.9	1.2	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_63

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Primer Impacto

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	316	369	685
1. Selected by R	81	112	193
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	15.4	7.3	9.6	7.7	11.6	7.5
1. Selected by R	3.9	1.8	2.9	1.7	3.3	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_64

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv progs R watches reg'ly: mention Sabado Gigante

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	351	407	758
1. Selected by R	46	74	120
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3379	5036

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	17.1	7.8	10.5	8.1	12.8	8.0
1. Selected by R	2.2	1.3	1.9	1.3	2.0	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	87.5	90.6	85.2	90.7

medsrc_tvprog_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which tv programs R watches regularly: none on screen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected on any screen	1164	1844	3008
1. Selected on screen 1	91	1666	1757
2. Selected on screen 2	147	0	147
3. Selected on screen 3	278	0	278
4. Selected on screen 4	91	0	91
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected on any screen	56.7	54.1	47.8	42.9	50.9	46.8
1. Selected on screen 1	4.4	5.2	43.2	46.5	29.7	32.1
2. Selected on screen 2	7.2	8.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.9
3. Selected on screen 3	13.5	17.8	0.0	0.0	4.7	6.2
4. Selected on screen 4	4.4	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_tvprog_dk

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: CASI Which television programs R watches regularly: screen DK

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Dk not on any screen	1701	0	1701
1. Dk screen 1	15	0	15
2. Dk screen 2	22	0	22
3. Dk screen 3	26	0	26
4. Dk screen 4	7	0	7
-1. Inapplicable	283	3860	4143

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Dk not on any screen	82.8	85.4	0.0	0.0	28.8	29.7
1. Dk screen 1	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
2. Dk screen 2	1.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
3. Dk screen 3	1.3	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
4. Dk screen 4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	100.0	100.0	70.1	69.5

medsrc_tvprog_rf

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which television programs R watches regularly: screen RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Rf not on any screen	1739	3498	5237
1. Rf screen 1	5	12	17
2. Rf screen 2	4	0	4
3. Rf screen 3	20	0	20
4. Rf screen 4	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	283	350	633

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Rf not on any screen	84.7	85.9	90.6	89.1	88.6	88.0
1. Rf screen 1	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
2. Rf screen 2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
3. Rf screen 3	1.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
4. Rf screen 4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	9.1	10.7	10.7	11.2

medsrc_radio_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio prgs R lstns reg'ly: All Things Considered (NPR)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	728	1719	2447
1. Selected by R	125	348	473
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	35.4	38.7	44.5	42.3	41.4	41.1
1. Selected by R	6.1	8.5	9.0	7.6	8.0	7.9
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstns reg'ly: mention The Dave Ramsey Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	807	1939	2746
1. Selected by R	46	128	174
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.3	44.4	50.2	47.0	46.4	46.1
1. Selected by R	2.2	2.9	3.3	3.0	2.9	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstns reg'ly: mention The Ed Shultz Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	832	2018	2850
1. Selected by R	21	49	70
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.5	46.3	52.3	49.1	48.2	48.1
1. Selected by R	1.0	1.0	1.3	0.9	1.2	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens regularly: mention Fresh Air (NPR)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	763	1832	2595
1. Selected by R	90	235	325
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.1	41.1	47.5	44.9	43.9	43.6
1. Selected by R	4.4	6.1	6.1	5.0	5.5	5.4
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstns to reg'ly: mentn Glenn Beck Program

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	794	1916	2710
1. Selected by R	59	151	210
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.7	43.0	49.6	46.1	45.8	45.0
1. Selected by R	2.9	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.6	4.0
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio prgs R lstns reg'ly: mentn The Laura Ingraham Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	827	1985	2812
1. Selected by R	26	82	108
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.3	46.0	51.4	47.9	47.5	47.3
1. Selected by R	1.3	1.2	2.1	2.1	1.8	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: mentn The Mark Levin Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	828	1964	2792
1. Selected by R	25	103	128
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.3	45.7	50.9	47.8	47.2	47.1
1. Selected by R	1.2	1.5	2.7	2.2	2.2	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstns reg'ly: mentn Morning Edition (NPR)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	738	1800	2538
1. Selected by R	115	267	382
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	35.9	39.9	46.6	43.9	42.9	42.5
1. Selected by R	5.6	7.4	6.9	6.1	6.5	6.5
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: mentn The Neal Boortz Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	833	2001	2834
1. Selected by R	20	66	86
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.6	46.0	51.8	48.2	47.9	47.5
1. Selected by R	1.0	1.2	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.6
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio prgs R lstns reg'ly: mentn The Power (Joe Madison)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	840	2055	2895
1. Selected by R	13	12	25
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.9	46.7	53.2	49.8	49.0	48.7
1. Selected by R	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstns reg'ly: mentn The Rush Limbaugh Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	748	1741	2489
1. Selected by R	105	326	431
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	36.4	40.2	45.1	42.2	42.1	41.5
1. Selected by R	5.1	7.1	8.4	7.8	7.3	7.5
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio prgs R lstns: The Savage Nation (Michael Savage)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	820	1988	2808
1. Selected by R	33	79	112
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.9	45.4	51.5	48.1	47.5	47.2
1. Selected by R	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.9	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R lstns reg'ly: mentn The Sean Hannity Show

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	778	1829	2607
1. Selected by R	75	238	313
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.9	41.9	47.4	44.4	44.1	43.6
1. Selected by R	3.7	5.3	6.2	5.5	5.3	5.5
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: Talk of the Nation (NPR)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	734	1854	2588
1. Selected by R	119	213	332
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	35.7	41.0	48.0	45.3	43.8	43.8
1. Selected by R	5.8	6.2	5.5	4.7	5.6	5.2
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: The Thom Hartmann Program

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	847	2057	2904
1. Selected by R	6	10	16
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	41.2	46.9	53.3	49.6	49.1	48.7
1. Selected by R	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Radio progs R listens reg'ly: none of the above selected

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	418	883	1301
1. Selected by R	435	1184	1619
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	20.4	24.0	22.9	20.6	22.0	21.8
1. Selected by R	21.2	23.2	30.7	29.4	27.4	27.2
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_radio_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: CASI Which radio programs R listens to regularly: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	791	2064	2855
1. Dk selected by R	47	0	47
3. Rf selected by R	15	3	18
-1. Inapplicable	1201	1793	2994

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.5	44.8	53.5	49.9	48.3	48.1
1. Dk selected by R	2.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
3. Rf selected by R	0.7	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	58.5	52.8	46.5	50.0	50.6	51.0

medsrc_websites_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch websites R visits reg'ly: ABC News (abc-news.go.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	707	1792	2499
1. Selected by R	120	155	275
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	34.4	39.1	46.4	44.4	42.3	42.6
1. Selected by R	5.8	5.2	4.0	3.5	4.6	4.1
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits regularly: CNN (cnn.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	579	1516	2095
1. Selected by R	248	431	679
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	28.2	32.8	39.3	37.6	35.4	35.9
1. Selected by R	12.1	11.5	11.2	10.3	11.5	10.7
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wbsites R visits regly: Drudge Report (drudgereport.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	795	1804	2599
1. Selected by R	32	143	175
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.7	41.8	46.7	44.5	43.9	43.6
1. Selected by R	1.6	2.5	3.7	3.3	3.0	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits regularly: Forbes (forbes.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	798	1880	2678
1. Selected by R	29	67	96
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.9	42.9	48.7	46.3	45.3	45.1
1. Selected by R	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits reg'ly: Fox News (foxnews.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	650	1637	2287
1. Selected by R	177	310	487
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	31.6	34.6	42.4	40.8	38.7	38.6
1. Selected by R	8.6	9.7	8.0	7.1	8.2	8.0
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Websites R visits: Good Morning America (gma.yahoo.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	768	1893	2661
1. Selected by R	59	54	113
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.4	41.8	49.0	46.6	45.0	44.9
1. Selected by R	2.9	2.5	1.4	1.2	1.9	1.7
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch sites R visits reg'ly: Google News (news.google.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	537	1528	2065
1. Selected by R	290	419	709
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	26.1	31.2	39.6	38.0	34.9	35.6
1. Selected by R	14.1	13.1	10.9	9.9	12.0	11.0
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Websites R visits: Huffington Post (huffingtonpost.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	699	1645	2344
1. Selected by R	128	302	430
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	34.0	37.5	42.6	41.0	39.6	39.8
1. Selected by R	6.2	6.8	7.8	6.9	7.3	6.8
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Sites R visits reg'ly: Los Angeles Times (latimes.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	793	1875	2668
1. Selected by R	34	72	106
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.6	43.0	48.6	46.6	45.1	45.3
1. Selected by R	1.7	1.3	1.9	1.3	1.8	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which web sites R visits reg'ly: MSNBC (msnbc.msn.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	612	1595	2207
1. Selected by R	215	352	567
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	29.8	33.3	41.3	39.8	37.3	37.6
1. Selected by R	10.5	11.0	9.1	8.0	9.6	9.1
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch sites R visits reg'ly: New York Times (nytimes.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	729	1743	2472
1. Selected by R	98	204	302
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	35.5	39.2	45.2	43.7	41.8	42.1
1. Selected by R	4.8	5.1	5.3	4.2	5.1	4.5
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Sites R visits reg'ly: Today Show (today.msnbc.msn.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	753	1881	2634
1. Selected by R	74	66	140
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	36.7	41.2	48.7	46.5	44.5	44.6
1. Selected by R	3.6	3.1	1.7	1.4	2.4	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch websites R visits reg'ly: USA Today (usatoday.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	709	1790	2499
1. Selected by R	118	157	275
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	34.5	38.9	46.4	44.0	42.3	42.2
1. Selected by R	5.7	5.4	4.1	3.9	4.6	4.4
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Websites R visits: Washington Post (washington-post.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	769	1814	2583
1. Selected by R	58	133	191
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.4	41.5	47.0	45.0	43.7	43.8
1. Selected by R	2.8	2.8	3.4	2.9	3.2	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch wbsites R visits reg'ly: Yahoo News (news.yahoo.com)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	480	1301	1781
1. Selected by R	347	646	993
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	23.4	27.3	33.7	31.9	30.1	30.3
1. Selected by R	16.9	17.0	16.7	16.0	16.8	16.3
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_othweb

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which websites R visits regularly: mention other website

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	800	1774	2574
1. Selected by R	27	173	200
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.9	42.8	46.0	44.0	43.5	43.6
1. Selected by R	1.3	1.5	4.5	3.9	3.4	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_websites_oth

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Other specify- Which web sites R visits regularly

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

medsrc_websites_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which sites R visits reg'ly: none of the above selected

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	731	0	731
1. Selected by R	96	0	96
-1. Inapplicable	1227	3860	5087

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	35.6	37.5	0.0	0.0	12.4	13.0
1. Selected by R	4.7	6.8	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

medsrc_websites_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: CASI Which web sites R visits regularly: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	813	1494	2307
1. Dk selected by R	5	0	5
2. Rf selected by R	9	453	462
-1. Inapplicable	1227	1913	3140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.6	43.7	38.7	35.6	39.0	38.4
1. Dk selected by R	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. Rf selected by R	0.4	0.5	11.7	12.2	7.8	8.2
-1. Inapplicable	59.7	55.7	49.6	52.1	53.1	53.4

medsrc_printnews_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Print papers R reads: New York Times/ www.nytimes.com

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	767	2015	2782
1. Selected by R	55	111	166
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.3	42.9	52.2	47.8	47.0	46.1
1. Selected by R	2.7	3.6	2.9	2.0	2.8	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Print papers R reads reg'ly: USA Today/ www.usatoday.com

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	703	1975	2678
1. Selected by R	119	151	270
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	34.2	40.5	51.2	46.6	45.3	44.4
1. Selected by R	5.8	6.0	3.9	3.2	4.6	4.2
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Print paprs R reads: Wall Street Journal/ online.wsj.com

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	778	2019	2797
1. Selected by R	44	107	151
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.9	43.2	52.3	47.7	47.3	46.1
1. Selected by R	2.1	3.2	2.8	2.1	2.6	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Pnt papr R read: Washington Post/ www.washingtonpost.com

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	802	2088	2890
1. Selected by R	20	38	58
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.0	45.4	54.1	49.0	48.9	47.7
1. Selected by R	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 05

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	479	1296	1775
1. Selected by R	343	830	1173
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	23.3	28.6	33.6	31.9	30.0	30.7
1. Selected by R	16.7	17.9	21.5	17.9	19.8	17.9
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 06

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	617	1706	2323
1. Selected by R	205	420	625
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	30.0	35.0	44.2	40.1	39.3	38.3
1. Selected by R	10.0	11.5	10.9	9.6	10.6	10.3
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 07

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	769	1894	2663
1. Selected by R	53	232	285
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.4	42.9	49.1	44.1	45.0	43.7
1. Selected by R	2.6	3.5	6.0	5.7	4.8	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 08

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	767	1983	2750
1. Selected by R	55	143	198
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.3	43.3	51.4	46.8	46.5	45.6
1. Selected by R	2.7	3.1	3.7	3.0	3.3	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 09

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	806	2064	2870
1. Selected by R	16	62	78
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.2	45.1	53.5	48.6	48.5	47.4
1. Selected by R	0.8	1.4	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 10

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	814	2103	2917
1. Selected by R	8	23	31
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.6	45.6	54.5	49.3	49.3	48.0
1. Selected by R	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 11

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	812	2111	2923
1. Selected by R	10	15	25
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.5	45.7	54.7	49.5	49.4	48.2
1. Selected by R	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 12

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	820	2121	2941
1. Selected by R	2	5	7
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.9	46.3	54.9	49.6	49.7	48.5
1. Selected by R	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 13

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	821	2123	2944
1. Selected by R	1	3	4
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.0	46.4	55.0	49.7	49.8	48.6
1. Selected by R	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 14

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	822	2126	2948
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.0	46.4	55.1	49.8	49.8	48.6
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 15

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	822	2126	2948
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.0	46.4	55.1	49.8	49.8	48.6
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_othnews

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which print papers R reads reg'ly: mention other newsp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	777	1791	2568
1. Selected by R	45	335	380
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.8	44.2	46.4	41.8	43.4	42.6
1. Selected by R	2.2	2.3	8.7	8.0	6.4	6.0
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Print papers R reads reg'ly: none of the above selected

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	674	1912	2586
1. Selected by R	148	214	362
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	32.8	37.3	49.5	43.0	43.7	41.0
1. Selected by R	7.2	9.2	5.5	6.8	6.1	7.6
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_printnews_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: CASI Which print newspapers R reads regularly: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	798	1976	2774
1. Dk selected by R	14	0	14
2. Rf selected by R	10	150	160
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.9	44.8	51.2	46.5	46.9	45.9
1. Dk selected by R	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
2. Rf selected by R	0.5	0.8	3.9	3.3	2.7	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_01

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Onln paprs R read regly: New York Times/ www.nytimes.com

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	732	1970	2702
1. Selected by R	90	156	246
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	35.6	41.1	51.0	46.6	45.7	44.7
1. Selected by R	4.4	5.4	4.0	3.2	4.2	3.9
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_02

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Online paprs R reads reg'ly: USA Today/ www.usatoday.com

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	728	2000	2728
1. Selected by R	94	126	220
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	35.4	42.3	51.8	46.9	46.1	45.3
1. Selected by R	4.6	4.2	3.3	2.8	3.7	3.3
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_03

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Onlin paprs R reads: Wall Street Journal/ online.wsj.com

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	784	2048	2832
1. Selected by R	38	78	116
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.2	44.0	53.1	48.1	47.9	46.7
1. Selected by R	1.9	2.5	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_04

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Onl pprs R read: Washington Post/ www.washingtonpost.com

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	782	2027	2809
1. Selected by R	40	99	139
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.1	44.9	52.5	47.7	47.5	46.7
1. Selected by R	1.9	1.6	2.6	2.1	2.4	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_05

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 05

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	650	1809	2459
1. Selected by R	172	317	489
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	31.6	36.5	46.9	42.6	41.6	40.5
1. Selected by R	8.4	10.0	8.2	7.2	8.3	8.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_06

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 06

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	715	1970	2685
1. Selected by R	107	156	263
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	34.8	39.7	51.0	46.5	45.4	44.1
1. Selected by R	5.2	6.7	4.0	3.3	4.4	4.5
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_07

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 07

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	783	2038	2821
1. Selected by R	39	88	127
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.1	44.1	52.8	47.8	47.7	46.5
1. Selected by R	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_08

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 08

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	793	2072	2865
1. Selected by R	29	54	83
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	38.6	44.3	53.7	48.4	48.4	47.0
1. Selected by R	1.4	2.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.6
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_09

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 09

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	815	2105	2920
1. Selected by R	7	21	28
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.7	46.0	54.5	49.2	49.4	48.1
1. Selected by R	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_10

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 10

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	818	2115	2933
1. Selected by R	4	11	15
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.8	46.1	54.8	49.5	49.6	48.4
1. Selected by R	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_11

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 11

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	819	2122	2941
1. Selected by R	3	4	7
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.9	46.3	55.0	49.6	49.7	48.5
1. Selected by R	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_12

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 12

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	819	2125	2944
1. Selected by R	3	1	4
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.9	46.2	55.1	49.7	49.8	48.5
1. Selected by R	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_13

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 13

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	822	2124	2946
1. Selected by R	0	2	2
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.0	46.4	55.0	49.7	49.8	48.6
1. Selected by R	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_14

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 14

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	822	2126	2948
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.0	46.4	55.1	49.8	49.8	48.6
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_15

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention newspaper 15

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	822	2126	2948
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	40.0	46.4	55.1	49.8	49.8	48.6
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_othnews

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Which online papers R reads reg'ly: mention other newsp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	810	1974	2784
1. Selected by R	12	152	164
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	39.4	45.8	51.1	46.0	47.1	46.0
1. Selected by R	0.6	0.6	3.9	3.7	2.8	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_none

Label: PRE: CASI/WEB Wch onlin paprs R reads reg'ly: none of the above selctd

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	457	1432	1889
1. Selected by R	365	694	1059
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	22.2	25.7	37.1	32.2	31.9	29.9
1. Selected by R	17.8	20.8	18.0	17.6	17.9	18.7
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_inetnews_dkrf

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: CASI Which online newspapers R reads regularly: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	777	1478	2255
1. Dk selected by R	25	0	25
2. Rf selected by R	20	648	668
-1. Inapplicable	1232	1734	2966

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	37.8	43.8	38.3	34.9	38.1	38.0
1. Dk selected by R	1.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
2. Rf selected by R	1.0	1.3	16.8	14.9	11.3	10.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.0	53.6	44.9	50.2	50.2	51.4

medsrc_socmedia

Label:	CASI No.days in wk R uses soc media to learn Pres
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.4-14
Description:	CASI sources of media information Pre
Question:	During a typical week, how many days do you use social media such as Twitter or Facebook to learn about the election for President?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None	1134	2666	3800
1. One day	132	261	393
2. Two days	88	146	234
3. Three days	102	114	216
4. Four days	46	74	120
5. Five days	69	101	170
6. Six days	36	55	91
7. Seven days	310	433	743
-4. Error	3	0	3
-8. Don't know	83	0	83
-9. Refused	51	10	61

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None	55.2	60.0	69.1	67.1	64.3	64.7
1. One day	6.4	5.4	6.8	7.7	6.6	6.9
2. Two days	4.3	3.4	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.8
3. Three days	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.2	3.7	3.5
4. Four days	2.2	1.7	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.0
5. Five days	3.4	3.2	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0
6. Six days	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.4
7. Seven days	15.1	15.1	11.2	11.3	12.6	12.6
-4. Error	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-8. Don't know	4.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.1
-9. Refused	2.5	2.0	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.0

medsrc_blogs

Label:	CASI No.days in wk R uses blogs to learn abt Pres
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.4-20
Description:	CASI sources of media information Pre
Question:	During a typical week, how many days do you use blogs to learn about the election for President?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None	1668	3473	5141
1. One day	49	122	171
2. Two days	24	71	95
3. Three days	27	56	83
4. Four days	16	28	44
5. Five days	22	30	52
6. Six days	7	11	18
7. Seven days	31	60	91
-4. Error	3	0	3
-8. Don't know	144	0	144
-9. Refused	63	9	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None	81.2	84.7	90.0	90.1	86.9	88.2
1. One day	2.4	1.9	3.2	3.3	2.9	2.9
2. Two days	1.2	0.9	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.5
3. Three days	1.3	0.9	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.3
4. Four days	0.8	0.5	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.8
5. Five days	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.6
6. Six days	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1
7. Seven days	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.1	1.5	1.2
-4. Error	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-8. Don't know	7.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.0
-9. Refused	3.1	2.8	0.2	0.4	1.2	1.3

medsrc_specblogs

Label:	CASI Which blogs R uses to learn abt Pres electn
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.4-21.1
Description:	CASI sources of media information Pre
Question:	PLEASE SPECIFY BLOG(S)
Universe:	IF R INDICATES HAS USED BLOG(S) IN PAST WEEK TO LEARN ABOUT PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN:
Logic:	Ask if MEDSRC_BLOGS in(1,2,3,4,5,6,7), else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

owngun_owngun

Label:	CASI Does R own a gun
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.5-4
Description:	CASI gun ownership
Question:	Do you or anyone else living here own a gun, or not?
Logic:	Self-administered by R
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	480	1340	1820
2. No	1442	2464	3906
-8. Don't know	24	0	24
-9. Refused	108	56	164

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	23.4	30.8	34.7	34.4	30.8	33.2
2. No	70.2	61.9	63.8	63.8	66.0	63.2
-8. Don't know	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	5.3	6.3	1.5	1.8	2.8	3.3

wordsum_setb

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set B
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-3
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Incorrect	58	44	102
2. Incorrect	157	119	276
3. Incorrect	78	50	128
4. Incorrect	86	50	136
5. Correct	1650	3580	5230
-2. Missing: soft refusal	25	0	25
-9. Refused	0	17	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Incorrect	2.8	2.8	1.1	1.2	1.7	1.7
2. Incorrect	7.6	6.8	3.1	3.3	4.7	4.5
3. Incorrect	3.8	2.3	1.3	1.7	2.2	1.9
4. Incorrect	4.2	2.7	1.3	1.2	2.3	1.7
5. Correct	80.3	84.5	92.7	91.9	88.4	89.3
-2. Missing: soft refusal	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.8	0.3	0.5

wordsum_setd

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set D
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-5
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Incorrect	21	26	47
2. Incorrect	125	95	220
3. Correct	1786	3654	5440
4. Incorrect	19	17	36
5. Incorrect	91	55	146
-2. Missing: soft refusal	12	0	12
-9. Refused	0	13	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Incorrect	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
2. Incorrect	6.1	4.9	2.5	3.0	3.7	3.6
3. Correct	87.0	89.9	94.7	93.5	92.0	92.2
4. Incorrect	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6
5. Incorrect	4.4	3.3	1.4	1.6	2.5	2.2
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.4

wordsum_sete

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set E
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-6
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Correct	1504	3303	4807
2. Incorrect	162	163	325
3. Incorrect	121	129	250
4. Incorrect	154	160	314
5. Incorrect	98	89	187
-2. Missing: soft refusal	15	0	15
-9. Refused	0	16	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Correct	73.2	77.2	85.6	84.5	81.3	82.0
2. Incorrect	7.9	5.7	4.2	3.5	5.5	4.3
3. Incorrect	5.9	5.7	3.3	3.9	4.2	4.5
4. Incorrect	7.5	7.6	4.1	4.9	5.3	5.8
5. Incorrect	4.8	3.4	2.3	2.6	3.2	2.8
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.4

wordsum_setf

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set F
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-7
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Incorrect	39	22	61
2. Incorrect	56	42	98
3. Correct	1710	3622	5332
4. Incorrect	45	18	63
5. Incorrect	193	144	337
-2. Missing: soft refusal	11	0	11
-9. Refused	0	12	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Incorrect	1.9	1.4	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.9
2. Incorrect	2.7	1.7	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.5
3. Correct	83.3	88.1	93.8	92.6	90.2	91.0
4. Incorrect	2.2	1.6	0.5	0.5	1.1	0.9
5. Incorrect	9.4	6.9	3.7	4.3	5.7	5.2
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.4

wordsum_setg

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set G
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-8
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Incorrect	87	44	131
2. Incorrect	1175	1706	2881
3. Incorrect	90	88	178
4. Inorrect	82	48	130
5. Correct	605	1952	2557
-2. Missing: soft refusal	15	0	15
-9. Refused	0	22	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Incorrect	4.2	3.2	1.1	1.2	2.2	1.9
2. Incorrect	57.2	54.4	44.2	47.2	48.7	49.7
3. Incorrect	4.4	2.7	2.3	2.9	3.0	2.8
4. Inorrect	4.0	3.6	1.2	1.2	2.2	2.0
5. Correct	29.5	35.3	50.6	46.5	43.2	42.6
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.6

wordsum_seth

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set H
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-9
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Incorrect	725	845	1570
2. Incorrect	329	522	851
3. Incorrect	171	219	390
4. Correct	548	1806	2354
5. Incorrect	264	423	687
-2. Missing: soft refusal	17	0	17
-9. Refused	0	45	45

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Incorrect	35.3	32.9	21.9	23.2	26.5	26.6
2. Incorrect	16.0	15.6	13.5	14.5	14.4	14.9
3. Incorrect	8.3	7.2	5.7	6.3	6.6	6.6
4. Correct	26.7	31.0	46.8	42.9	39.8	38.8
5. Incorrect	12.9	12.5	11.0	11.5	11.6	11.8
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.8	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.6	0.8	1.1

wordsum_setj

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set J
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-11
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Correct	593	1913	2506
2. Incorrect	945	1330	2275
3. Incorrect	69	54	123
4. Incorrect	389	486	875
5. Incorrect	43	52	95
-2. Missing: soft refusal	15	0	15
-9. Refused	0	25	25

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Correct	28.9	35.1	49.6	47.4	42.4	43.1
2. Incorrect	46.0	42.7	34.5	35.2	38.5	37.8
3. Incorrect	3.4	3.0	1.4	1.8	2.1	2.2
4. Incorrect	18.9	16.5	12.6	13.2	14.8	14.3
5. Incorrect	2.1	2.2	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.6
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.7

wordsum_setk

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set K
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-12
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Correct	1148	2877	4025
2. Incorrect	161	193	354
3. Incorrect	385	374	759
4. Incorrect	218	290	508
5. Incorrect	128	96	224
-2. Missing: soft refusal	14	0	14
-9. Refused	0	30	30

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Correct	55.9	62.0	74.5	72.2	68.1	68.7
2. Incorrect	7.8	6.8	5.0	5.6	6.0	6.0
3. Incorrect	18.7	14.8	9.7	10.6	12.8	12.0
4. Incorrect	10.6	10.8	7.5	7.9	8.6	8.9
5. Incorrect	6.2	5.0	2.5	2.6	3.8	3.4
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.7

wordsum_setl

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set L
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-13
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Incorrect	338	309	647
2. Incorrect	225	142	367
3. Incorrect	414	427	841
4. Correct	1007	2918	3925
5. Incorrect	56	42	98
-2. Missing: soft refusal	14	0	14
-9. Refused	0	22	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Incorrect	16.5	13.6	8.0	9.6	10.9	11.0
2. Incorrect	11.0	8.9	3.7	4.4	6.2	5.9
3. Incorrect	20.2	18.4	11.1	12.2	14.2	14.3
4. Correct	49.0	56.3	75.6	71.9	66.4	66.5
5. Incorrect	2.7	2.2	1.1	1.1	1.7	1.5
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5

wordsum_seto

Label:	CASI WORDSUM set O
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.6-15
Description:	CASI Literacy
Question:	 <br clear=all>
Logic:	The choices available for this question should be present as a grid, so that R can click the button for his/her synonym choice.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Incorrect	65	86	151
2. Correct	1030	2636	3666
3. Incorrect	725	907	1632
4. Incorrect	83	62	145
5. Incorrect	136	141	277
-2. Missing: soft refusal	15	0	15
-9. Refused	0	28	28

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Incorrect	3.2	2.8	2.2	2.8	2.6	2.8
2. Correct	50.1	57.2	68.3	64.5	62.0	62.0
3. Incorrect	35.3	30.0	23.5	25.4	27.6	27.0
4. Incorrect	4.0	4.1	1.6	1.9	2.5	2.7
5. Incorrect	6.6	5.4	3.7	4.3	4.7	4.7
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.7

orientn_rgay

Label:	CASI Sexual orientation of R
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.7-1
Description:	CASI sexual orientation
Question:	Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or gay, or bisexual?/ Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or gay or lesbian, or bisexual?
Universe:	IF R IS NOT FEMALE / IF R IS FEMALE:
Logic:	Load text 'or lesbian' if GEND_GENDOBS=2 or SELFGEND_ RGENDSELF=2, else do not include.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Heterosexual or straight	1846	3653	5499
2. Homosexual or gay (or lesbian)	28	96	124
3. Bisexual	41	75	116
-8. Don't know	40	0	40
-9. Refused	99	36	135

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Heterosexual or straight	89.9	92.5	94.6	94.5	93.0	93.8
2. Homosexual or gay (or lesbian)	1.4	1.2	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.0
3. Bisexual	2.0	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.8
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.4
-9. Refused	4.8	3.6	0.9	1.1	2.3	2.0

orientn_knowgay

Label:	CASI Sexual orientation of family and friends
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.7-2
Description:	CASI sexual orientation
Question:	Among your immediate family members, relatives, neighbors, co-workers, or close friends, are any of them gay, lesbian, or bisexual as far as you know?
Logic:	Self-administered by R
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	874	1626	2500
2. No	871	2204	3075
-8. Don't know	227	0	227
-9. Refused	82	30	112

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	42.6	45.1	42.1	40.3	42.3	42.0
2. No	42.4	42.8	57.1	58.7	52.0	53.2
-8. Don't know	11.1	8.2	0.0	0.0	3.8	2.9
-9. Refused	4.0	3.9	0.8	1.0	1.9	2.0

preknow_prestimes

Label:	CASI Political knowledge: number times can be ele
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-1
Description:	CASI political knowledge
Question:	Do you happen to know how many times an individual can be elected President of the United States under current laws?
Logic:	If R attempts to enter a value outside the hard range, if possible a 'neutral' message should appear such as: 'number outside maximum allowed'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	24	0	24
1	81	58	139
2	1714	3570	5284
3	58	77	135
4	101	79	180
5	13	6	19
6	3	4	7
7	2	1	3
8	28	23	51
9	2	0	2
10	1	2	3
12	3	2	5
15	2	1	3
-2	22	0	22
-9	0	37	37

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	1.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
1	3.9	4.2	1.5	1.6	2.4	2.5
2	83.4	86.4	92.5	91.3	89.3	89.6
3	2.8	2.4	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.3
4	4.9	3.7	2.0	2.3	3.0	2.8
5	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3
6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
7	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.8
9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
12	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
15	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
-2	1.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.6	0.9

preknow_sizedef

Label:	CASI Political knowledge: size of federal deficit
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-2
Description:	CASI political knowledge
Question:	Is the U.S. federal budget deficit □ the amount by which the government□s spending exceeds the amount of money it collects □ now bigger, about the same, or smaller than it was during most of the 1990s?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Bigger	1504	3389	4893
2. About the same	456	349	805
3. Smaller	84	101	185
-2. Missing: soft refusal	10	0	10
-9. Refused	0	21	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Bigger	73.2	81.3	87.8	85.8	82.7	84.3
2. About the same	22.2	15.4	9.0	10.4	13.6	12.2
3. Smaller	4.1	2.7	2.6	2.9	3.1	2.8
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.6

preknow_senterm

Label:	CASI Years Senator Elected
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-3
Description:	CASI political knowledge
Question:	For how many years is a United States Senator elected □ that is, how many years are there in one full term of office for a U.S. Senator?
Logic:	If R attempts to enter a value outside the hard range, if possible a 'neutral' message should appear such as: 'number outside maximum allowed'.
Source:	Respondent

27 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
6	417	1635	2052
4	774	1154	1928
2	455	583	1038
8	128	174	302
3	70	71	141
1	55	41	96
-9	0	89	89
12	21	28	49
5	25	21	46
10	20	21	41

preknow_medicare

Label:	CASI Political knowledge: What is Medicare
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-4
Description:	CASI political knowledge
Question:	What is Medicare?
Randomization:	Order of responses
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A program run by the U.S. Federal government to pay for old people's health care	1330	3274	4604
2. A program run by state governments to provide health care to poor people	531	480	1011
3. A private health insurance plan sold to individuals in all 50 states	126	69	195
4. A private, non-profit organization that runs free health clinics	56	16	72
-2. Missing: soft refusal	11	0	11
-9. Refused	0	21	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A program run by the U.S. Federal government to pay for old people's health care	64.8	70.5	84.8	82.2	77.8	78.1
2. A program run by state governments to provide health care to poor people	25.9	21.3	12.4	14.6	17.1	16.9
3. A private health insurance plan sold to individuals in all 50 states	6.1	5.9	1.8	1.9	3.3	3.3
4. A private, non-profit organization that runs free health clinics	2.7	1.7	0.4	0.5	1.2	0.9
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.5

preknow_leastsp

Label:	CASI Political knowledge: program Fed govt spends
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.75-5
Description:	CASI political knowledge
Question:	On which of the following does the U.S. federal government currently spend the least?
Randomization:	Order of responses
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Foreign aid	609	1342	1951
2. Medicare	474	881	1355
3. National defense	236	401	637
4. Social security	728	1196	1924
-2. Missing: soft refusal	7	0	7
-9. Refused	0	40	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Foreign aid	29.6	31.6	34.8	32.5	33.0	32.2
2. Medicare	23.1	22.3	22.8	23.2	22.9	22.9
3. National defense	11.5	11.0	10.4	10.2	10.8	10.5
4. Social security	35.4	34.6	31.0	32.7	32.5	33.3
-2. Missing: soft refusal	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.4	0.7	0.9

happ_lifesatisf

Label:	How satisfied is R with life
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 63.9-1
Description:	R happiness with life
Question:	All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days? Would you say that you are [EXTREMELY SATISFIED, VERY SATISFIED, MODERATELY SATISFIED, SLIGHTLY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL / NOT SATISFIED AT ALL, SLIGHTLY SATISFIED, MODERATELY SATISFIED, VERY SATISFIED, or EXTREMELY SATISFIED]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely satisfied	380	265	645
2. Very satisfied	798	1231	2029
3. Moderately satisfied	624	1551	2175
4. Slightly satisfied	183	561	744
5. Not satisfied at all satisfied	51	234	285
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	6	18	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely satisfied	18.5	17.8	6.9	6.9	10.9	10.7
2. Very satisfied	38.9	43.5	31.9	31.6	34.3	35.8
3. Moderately satisfied	30.4	27.7	40.2	40.7	36.8	36.2
4. Slightly satisfied	8.9	7.9	14.5	13.9	12.6	11.8
5. Not satisfied at all satisfied	2.5	2.5	6.1	6.0	4.8	4.8
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.6

mediapo_tv

Label:	Watch campaign programs on TV
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-3
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1556	2754	4310
2. No	371	827	1198
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	75.8	76.3	71.3	67.6	72.9	70.6
2. No	18.1	17.9	21.4	24.9	20.3	22.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mediapo_tvamt

Label:	How many campaign programs on TV
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-4
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Would you say you watched A GOOD MANY, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
Universe:	IF WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION:
Logic:	Ask if MEDIAPO_TVSTD=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A good many	421	744	1165
2. Several	535	1098	1633
3. Just one or two	599	912	1511
-1. Inapplicable	373	827	1200
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A good many	20.5	22.4	19.3	17.1	19.7	19.0
2. Several	26.0	25.9	28.4	26.1	27.6	26.0
3. Just one or two	29.2	27.9	23.6	24.3	25.5	25.6
-1. Inapplicable	18.2	18.0	21.4	24.9	20.3	22.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mediapo_radio

Label:	Hear radio speeches/discussn about Pres campaign
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-9
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign for President on the radio?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	635	1440	2075
2. No	1294	2141	3435
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	30.9	31.4	37.3	35.2	35.1	33.9
2. No	63.0	62.9	55.5	57.3	58.1	59.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mediapo_radioamt

Label:	How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-10
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Would you say you listened to A GOOD MANY, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
Universe:	IF HEARD ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON RADIO:
Logic:	Ask if MEDIAPO_RADSTD=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A good many	167	392	559
2. Several	212	576	788
3. Just one or two	255	472	727
-1. Inapplicable	1294	2141	3435
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A good many	8.1	8.9	10.2	9.0	9.5	9.0
2. Several	10.3	10.8	14.9	13.9	13.3	12.8
3. Just one or two	12.4	11.6	12.2	12.3	12.3	12.1
-1. Inapplicable	63.0	62.9	55.5	57.3	58.1	59.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mediapo_nwsprev

Label:	Read about Presidential campaign in newspaper
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-28
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Did you read about the campaign for President in any newspaper?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	783	1982	2765
2. No	1146	1599	2745
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	38.1	43.0	51.3	46.8	46.8	45.5
2. No	55.8	51.2	41.4	45.6	46.4	47.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mediapo_nwsprevamt

Label:	How many stories abt Pres campaign in newspaper
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-29
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Would you say you read A GOOD MANY stories, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
Universe:	IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:
Logic:	Ask if MEDIAPO_NWSPREV=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A good many	202	572	774
2. Several	302	858	1160
3. Just one or two	279	552	831
-1. Inapplicable	1146	1599	2745
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A good many	9.8	12.8	14.8	12.6	13.1	12.7
2. Several	14.7	16.1	22.2	19.7	19.6	18.5
3. Just one or two	13.6	14.2	14.3	14.5	14.1	14.4
-1. Inapplicable	55.8	51.2	41.4	45.6	46.4	47.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mediapo_net

Label:	View/hear internet information abt Pres campaign
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-31
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Did you read, watch, or listen to any information about the campaign for President on the Internet?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	955	2135	3090
2. No	973	1445	2418
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	46.5	48.4	55.3	52.7	52.2	51.2
2. No	47.4	45.7	37.4	39.7	40.9	41.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

mediapo_netamt

Label:	How often internet info about Pres campaign
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-32
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Would you say you read, watched, or listened to information on the Internet about the campaign for President A GOOD MANY times, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
Universe:	IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:
Logic:	Ask if MEDIAPO_INETREV=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A good many	275	718	993
2. Several	388	906	1294
3. Just one or two	289	510	799
-1. Inapplicable	974	1446	2420
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	1	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A good many	13.4	15.1	18.6	16.2	16.8	15.8
2. Several	18.9	20.7	23.5	22.2	21.9	21.7
3. Just one or two	14.1	12.7	13.2	14.3	13.5	13.7
-1. Inapplicable	47.4	45.8	37.5	39.7	40.9	41.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

mediapo_site

Label:	Did R visit website of candidate
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-35
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Did you visit any Presidential candidates websites, or did you never do that?
Universe:	IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:
Logic:	Ask if MEDIAPO_INETREV=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Visited Web site(s)	193	483	676
2. Did not visit	762	3097	3859
-1. Inapplicable	974	0	974
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Visited Web site(s)	9.4	9.5	12.5	12.1	11.4	11.2
2. Did not visit	37.1	38.9	80.2	80.3	65.3	66.0
-1. Inapplicable	47.4	45.8	0.0	0.0	16.5	15.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mediapo_siteamt

Label:	How often visited website of candidate or party
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 121-37
Description:	Post media exposure and attention
Question:	Would you say you visited a candidate website A GOOD MANY times, SEVERAL, or JUST ONE OR TWO?
Universe:	IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET: IF R VISITED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE WEBSITE:
Logic:	Ask if MEDIAPO_WSITE=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A good many	23	56	79
2. Several	64	168	232
3. Just one or two	106	259	365
-1. Inapplicable	1736	3098	4834
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A good many	1.1	0.8	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.3
2. Several	3.1	3.0	4.4	4.5	3.9	4.0
3. Just one or two	5.2	5.7	6.7	6.1	6.2	5.9
-1. Inapplicable	84.5	84.8	80.3	80.3	81.7	81.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mobilpo_party

Label:	Did party contact R about 2012 campaign
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-1
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the POLITICAL PARTIES call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	623	1813	2436
2. No	1304	1768	3072
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	30.3	36.6	47.0	40.7	41.2	39.3
2. No	63.5	57.5	45.8	51.8	51.9	53.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1

mobilpo_wparty

Label:	Which party contacted R about 2012 campaign
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-2
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Which party was that?
Universe:	IF SOMEONE FROM POLITICAL PARTY CONTACTED R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:
Logic:	Ask if MOBILPO_PTYMOB=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include option 3 but omit VOL text. In option 5, include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats	215	500	715
2. Republicans	152	447	599
3. Both (VOL)	210	853	1063
5. Other (SPECIFY)	3	13	16
-1. Inapplicable	1306	1768	3074
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	42	0	42
-9. Refused	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats	10.5	9.7	13.0	11.6	12.1	10.9
2. Republicans	7.4	11.0	11.6	10.5	10.1	10.7
3. Both (VOL)	10.2	13.8	22.1	18.3	18.0	16.7
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	63.6	57.7	45.8	51.8	52.0	53.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mobilpo_wparty_oth

Label: POST: Other specify- Did party contact R about 2012 campaign

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

mobilpo_contactoth

Label:	Did anyone other than parties contact R about cands
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-3
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	275	803	1078
2. No	1647	2777	4424
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	13.4	15.1	20.8	19.2	18.2	17.8
2. No	80.2	78.7	71.9	73.3	74.8	75.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mobilpo_latino

Label:	LATINO Rs: Was contact by Latino person(s)
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-4
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Were the people who contacted you Latinos, non-Latinos, or both?
Universe:	IF R INDICATED HISPANIC IN PRE: IF SOMEONE OTHER THAN PARTIES CONTACTED R ABOUT SUPPORTING CANDIDATE(S) :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1 and MOBILPO_OTHMOB=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Latinos	15	13	28
2. Non-latinos	14	24	38
3. Both	10	44	54
4. Don't remember	5	16	21
-1. Inapplicable	1884	3484	5368
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Latinos	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3
2. Non-latinos	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.4
3. Both	0.5	0.3	1.1	0.5	0.9	0.4
4. Don't remember	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	91.7	93.4	90.3	90.7	90.8	91.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mobilpo_lang

Label:	LATINO Rs: Was contact in Spanish or English
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-5
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	When you were contacted, was it mostly in Spanish, mostly in English, or in both?
Universe:	IF R INDICATED HISPANIC IN PRE: IF SOMEONE OTHER THAN PARTIES CONTACTED R ABOUT SUPPORTING CANDIDATE(S) :
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1 and MOBILPO_OTHMOB=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mostly in Spanish	0	8	8
2. Mostly in English	33	60	93
3. Both	12	27	39
4. Don't remember	0	2	2
-1. Inapplicable	1884	3484	5368
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mostly in Spanish	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
2. Mostly in English	1.6	0.6	1.6	1.1	1.6	0.9
3. Both	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.4
4. Don't remember	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	91.7	93.4	90.3	90.7	90.8	91.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mobilpo_reg

Label:	Anyone talk to R abt registering or getting out to vote
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-6
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, someone did	900	1779	2679
2. No, no one did	1026	1801	2827
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, someone did	43.8	40.4	46.1	43.5	45.3	42.4
2. No, no one did	50.0	53.7	46.7	49.0	47.8	50.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mobilpo_rmob

Label:	R talk to anyone about voting for or against cand or pty
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-7
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	735	1559	2294
2. No	1193	2020	3213
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	35.8	37.8	40.4	36.7	38.8	37.1
2. No	58.1	56.3	52.3	55.6	54.3	55.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

mobilpo_rally

Label:	R go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-8
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	101	246	347
2. No	1827	3334	5161
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	4.9	5.3	6.4	5.3	5.9	5.3
2. No	88.9	89.0	86.4	87.2	87.3	87.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

mobilpo_sign

Label:	R wear campaign button or post sign or bumper sticker
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-9
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	277	611	888
2. No	1652	2968	4620
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	13.5	12.3	15.8	14.6	15.0	13.8
2. No	80.4	82.0	76.9	77.8	78.1	79.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

mobilpo_otherwork

Label:	R do any (other) work for party or candidate
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-10
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	70	163	233
2. No	1859	3416	5275
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	3.4	2.9	4.2	3.4	3.9	3.2
2. No	90.5	91.3	88.5	89.0	89.2	89.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

mobilpo_ctbcand

Label:	R contribute money to specific candidate campaign
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-11
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE running for public office?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	169	524	693
2. No	1758	3055	4813
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	8.2	9.1	13.6	10.9	11.7	10.3
2. No	85.6	85.1	79.1	81.5	81.4	82.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

mobilpo_ctbcndpty

Label:	Party of candidate for whom R contributed money
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-12
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Which party did that candidate belong to?
Universe:	IF R CONTRIBUTED MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if MOBILPO_CTBCAND=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, in option 5, include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY'. Include option 3 but omit 'VOL' text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic	104	325	429
2. Republican	53	178	231
3. Both Democratic and Republican (VOL)	6	10	16
5. Other (SPECIFY)	6	11	17
-1. Inapplicable	1760	3057	4817
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic	5.1	4.4	8.4	6.1	7.3	5.5
2. Republican	2.6	3.8	4.6	4.2	3.9	4.0
3. Both Democratic and Republican (VOL)	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	85.7	85.1	79.2	81.6	81.5	82.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mobilpo_ctbcndpty_oth

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: Other specify - party of candidate for whom R contributed money

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	1929	3581	5510
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	93.9	94.3	92.8	92.4	93.2	93.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mobilpo_ctbpty

Label:	R contribute money to political party
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-13
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Did you give money to a POLITICAL PARTY during this election year?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	118	395	513
2. No	1810	3185	4995
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	5.7	5.4	10.2	7.9	8.7	7.0
2. No	88.1	88.9	82.5	84.5	84.5	86.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

mobilpo_ctbwhpty

Label:	Party to which R contributed
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-14
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	To which party did you give money?
Universe:	IF R CONTRIBUTED MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:
Logic:	Ask if MOBILPO_CTBPTY
Web Logic:	Online, in option 5, include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY'. Include option 3 but omit 'VOL' text.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic	85	242	327
2. Republican	32	138	170
3. Both Democratic and Republican parties (VOL)	0	10	10
5. Other (SPECIFY)	1	5	6
-1. Inapplicable	1811	3186	4997
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (post-election)	122	130	252
-7. deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic	4.1	3.2	6.3	4.1	5.5	3.8
2. Republican	1.6	2.2	3.6	3.4	2.9	3.0
3. Both Democratic and Republican parties (VOL)	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	88.2	88.9	82.5	84.6	84.5	86.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (post-election)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mobilpo_ctbwhpty_oth

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: Other specify -party to which R contributed

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	1929	3581	5510
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	93.9	94.3	92.8	92.4	93.2	93.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

mobilpo_ctboth

Label:	R contribute to any other group for/against a cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122-15
Description:	Mobilization and engagement in the political process
Question:	Did you give any money to ANY OTHER GROUP that supported or opposed candidates?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	48	180	228
2. No	1878	3399	5277
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	2.3	3.0	4.7	4.2	3.9	3.8
2. No	91.4	91.2	88.1	88.2	89.2	89.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

dhsinvolv_march

Label:	DHS: Has R in past 4 years: joined a protest march
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-1
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you joined in a protest march, rally, or demonstration, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	126	222	348
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1800	3357	5157
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	6.1	5.7	5.8	4.9	5.9	5.2
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	87.6	88.3	87.0	87.4	87.2	87.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_board

Label:	DHS: Has R in past 4 years: attended city/school brd
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-2
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you attended a meeting of a town or city government or school board, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	387	698	1085
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1540	2881	4421
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	18.8	18.9	18.1	16.1	18.3	17.1
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	75.0	75.2	74.6	76.3	74.8	75.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_netpetition

Label:	DHS: Has R in past 4 years: sign internet petition
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-3
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you signed a petition on the Internet about a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Web Logic:	Online, set 'on the Internet' in boldface.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	330	1044	1374
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1590	2535	4125
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	16.1	18.2	27.0	24.6	23.2	22.3
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	77.4	75.6	65.7	67.8	69.7	70.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_petition

Label:	DHS: Has Rin past 4 years: signed paper petition online
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-4
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you signed a petition on paper about a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Web Logic:	Online, set 'on paper' in boldface.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	392	959	1351
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1528	2621	4149
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	19.1	21.5	24.8	21.6	22.8	21.6
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	74.4	72.1	67.9	70.8	70.2	71.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

dhsinvolv_relig

Label:	DHS: Has R in past 4 years: given money to relig. org
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-5
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you ever given money to a religious organization, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	994	1802	2796
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	929	1775	2704
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	2	4	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	48.4	51.2	46.7	43.2	47.3	46.0
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	45.2	42.9	46.0	49.1	45.7	46.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_org

Label:	DHS: Has R in past 4 years: gave money to soc/pol org
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-6
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	Not counting a religious organization, during the past 4 years, have you given money to any other organization concerned with a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	432	952	1384
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1489	2627	4116
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	21.0	26.4	24.7	20.8	23.4	22.8
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	72.5	67.7	68.1	71.5	69.6	70.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_call

Label:	DHS: Has R in past 4 yrs: called radio/TV about polit iss
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-7
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you called a radio or TV show about a political issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	68	113	181
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1859	3465	5324
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	3	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	3.3	3.8	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.2
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	90.5	90.4	89.8	89.5	90.0	89.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_message

Label:	DHS: sent a message on Facebook/Twitter about polit iss
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-9
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you ever sent a message on Facebook or Twitter about a political issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	393	744	1137
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1533	2833	4366
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	4	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	19.1	20.0	19.3	19.0	19.2	19.3
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	74.6	74.0	73.4	73.3	73.8	73.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_letter

Label:	DHS: written a letter to newspaper/mag about polit iss
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-10
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you written a letter to a newspaper or magazine about a political issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	66	182	248
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1862	3396	5258
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	3	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	3.2	3.7	4.7	4.0	4.2	3.9
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	90.7	90.5	88.0	88.3	88.9	89.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_contact1

Label:	Contact Congressman or Senator
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 122.5-11
Description:	DHS-based civic engagement
Question:	During the past 4 years, have you contacted or tried to contact a member of the U.S. Senate or U.S. House of Representatives, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have done this in past 4 years	243	897	1140
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	1683	2681	4364
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	3	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have done this in past 4 years	11.8	14.6	23.2	19.9	19.3	18.1
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years	81.9	79.5	69.5	72.4	73.8	74.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_contact2_1st

Label: POST: Who did R contact: U.S. Senator or Representative_1st mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. U.S. Senator from respondent's state	167	0	167
2. U.S. Senator from another state	13	0	13
3. U.S. House Representative from respondent's district	51	0	51
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives	7	0	7
-1. Inapplicable	1686	3581	5267
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. U.S. Senator from respondent's state	8.1	9.9	0.0	0.0	2.8	3.4
2. U.S. Senator from another state	0.6	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
3. U.S. House Representative from respondent's district	2.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	82.1	79.6	92.8	92.4	89.1	88.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

dhsinvolv_contact2_2nd

Label: POST: Who did R contact: U.S. Senator or Representative_2nd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. U.S. Senator from another state	30	0	30
3. U.S. House Representative from respondent's district	65	0	65
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1832	3581	5413
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. U.S. Senator from another state	1.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7
3. U.S. House Representative from respondent's district	3.2	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	89.2	88.4	92.8	92.4	91.5	91.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

dhsinvolv_contact2_3rd

Label: POST: Who did R contact: U.S. Senator or Representative_3rd mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. U.S. House Representative from respondent's district	28	0	28
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1899	3581	5480
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. U.S. House Representative from respondent's district	1.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	92.5	92.2	92.8	92.4	92.7	92.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

dhsinvolv_contact2_4th

Label: POST: Who did R contact: U.S. Senator or Representative_4th mention

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives	26	0	26
-1. Inapplicable	1903	3581	5484
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives	1.3	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	92.6	92.5	92.8	92.4	92.7	92.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

dhsinvolv_contact2_stsen

Label: POST: Who did R contact: was it U.S. Senator from R's state

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	76	179	255
1. Selected by R	167	718	885
-1. Inapplicable	1686	2684	4370
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	3.7	4.7	4.6	4.1	4.3	4.3
1. Selected by R	8.1	9.9	18.6	15.9	15.0	13.8
-1. Inapplicable	82.1	79.6	69.5	72.5	73.9	75.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

dhsinvolv_contact2_othsen

Label: POST: Who did R contact: was it U.S. Senator from another state

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	200	805	1005
1. Selected by R	43	92	135
-1. Inapplicable	1686	2684	4370
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	9.7	11.7	20.9	17.9	17.0	15.7
1. Selected by R	2.1	3.0	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	82.1	79.6	69.5	72.5	73.9	75.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

dhsinvolv_contact2_distrep

Label: POST: Who did R contact: was it R's district U.S. Representative

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	99	255	354
1. Selected by R	144	642	786
-1. Inapplicable	1686	2684	4370
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	4.8	5.9	6.6	6.2	6.0	6.1
1. Selected by R	7.0	8.7	16.6	13.7	13.3	12.0
-1. Inapplicable	82.1	79.6	69.5	72.5	73.9	75.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

dhsinvolv_contact2_othrep

Label: POST: Who did R contact: was it other U.S. Representative

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	206	793	999
1. Selected by R	37	104	141
-1. Inapplicable	1686	2684	4370
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	10.0	12.1	20.5	17.9	16.9	15.9
1. Selected by R	1.8	2.5	2.7	2.1	2.4	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	82.1	79.6	69.5	72.5	73.9	75.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

dhsinvolv_contact2_dkrf

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Who did R contact: DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected by R	238	897	1135
1. Dk selected by R	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	1686	2684	4370
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected by R	11.6	14.4	23.2	19.9	19.2	18.0
1. Dk selected by R	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	82.1	79.6	69.5	72.5	73.9	75.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_regpre

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Was R registered Pre-election

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. R not registered pre-election or DK/RF if registered	351	0	351
1. R registered pre-election- at address of residence	1276	0	1276
2. R registered pre-election- at other address	302	0	302
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. R not registered pre-election or DK/RF if registered	17.1	15.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.2
1. R registered pre-election- at address of residence	62.1	68.4	0.0	0.0	21.6	23.7
2. R registered pre-election- at other address	14.7	10.9	0.0	0.0	5.1	3.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_votedpre

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Did registered R report voting Pre-election

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. R reported not voting yet in pre-election	1466	0	1466
1. Dk/RF whether voted already in pre-election	3	0	3
2. R reported voting already in pre-election	109	0	109
-1. Inapplicable	351	3581	3932
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. R reported not voting yet in pre-election	71.4	73.7	0.0	0.0	24.8	25.6
1. Dk/RF whether voted already in pre-election	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
2. R reported voting already in pre-election	5.3	5.4	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	17.1	15.0	92.8	92.4	66.5	65.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_prestatus_nonvtr

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Status of pre-election nonvoters

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0.not registered	334	0	334
1.registered at residence	1181	0	1181
2. Dk/RF if registered at residence	17	0	17
3.not registered at residence - same state and county	178	0	178
4.not registered at residence - same state, different county or wrong county preloaded	72	0	72
5.not registered at residence - same state, DK/RF if same county	2	0	2
6.not registered at residence - different state	26	0	26
7.not registered at residence- state not identified; not determined if registered in county	9	0	9
8.not registered at residence- different state identified but R indicated registered in county of residence	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	109	3581	3690
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0.not registered	16.3	14.4	0.0	0.0	5.6	5.0
1.registered at residence	57.5	63.5	0.0	0.0	20.0	22.1
2. Dk/RF if registered at residence	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
3.not registered at residence - same state and county	8.7	6.4	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.2
4.not registered at residence - same state, different county or wrong county preloaded	3.5	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8
5.not registered at residence - same state, DK/RF if same county	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6.not registered at residence - different state	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
7.not registered at residence-state not identified; not determined if registered in county	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
8.not registered at residence-different state identified but R indicated registered in county of residence	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	5.3	5.4	92.8	92.4	62.4	62.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_regist_addr

Label: POST: Is R registered at address of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Registered at this address	72	45	117
2. Registered at a different address	24	11	35
3. Not currently registered	243	225	468
-1. Inapplicable	1578	3296	4874
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	2	4	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Registered at this address	3.5	2.7	1.2	1.5	2.0	1.9
2. Registered at a different address	1.2	1.0	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.5
3. Not currently registered	11.8	10.9	5.8	7.2	7.9	8.5
-1. Inapplicable	76.8	79.2	85.4	83.3	82.4	81.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

postvote_regist_noaddr

Label: POST: WEB ONLY: Is R registered (address not determined)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Registered to vote	0	1	1
2. Not currently registered	0	15	15
-1. Inapplicable	1929	3564	5493
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Registered to vote	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Not currently registered	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	92.3	91.9	92.9	92.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

postvote_reg

Label:	RESTRICTED: Other Registration location - add1 (etc)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-2
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	What is the address where you are registered to vote now?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY: IF R IS REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_REGIST=2 else skip. On a single screen there should be fields to capture address1, address2, apt number, city, state, zip code For state only, a drop-down list should be present. Fields: PREVOTE_REGADD1 PREVOTE_REGADD2 PREVOTE_REGAPT PREVOTE_REGCITY PREVOTE_REGST (dropdown) PREVOTE_ZIP It must be necessary to include PREVOTE_REGSTATE at minimum.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. City and state not identified in registration address fields (all blank or DK/RF)	4	0	4
1. City and state identified in correct registration address fields	17	0	17
2. State in correct field; city not identified (blank)	1	0	1
3. City in correct field; state identifiable but not entered in correct field	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1905	3581	5486
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. City and state not identified in registration address fields (all blank or DK/RF)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
1. City and state identified in correct registration address fields	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
2. State in correct field; city not identified (blank)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. City in correct field; state identifiable but not entered in correct field	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	92.7	93.3	92.8	92.4	92.8	92.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_reg_state

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Other Regist. location - state of registr. (FIPS CODE)

18 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	1905	3581	5486
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
00. Blank (all fields for location of other registration left blank)	4	0	4
36. New york	3	0	3
48. Texas	3	0	3
01. Alabama	2	0	2
12. Florida	2	0	2
06. California	1	0	1
20. Kansas	1	0	1

postvote_reg_samestate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Oth Regist. location - state same as household's (Y/N)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not same state as state of residence	1	0	1
1. State of registration not determined	4	0	4
2. Same state as state of residence	19	0	19
-1. Inapplicable	1905	3581	5486
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not same state as state of residence	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1. State of registration not determined	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
2. Same state as state of residence	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	92.7	93.3	92.8	92.4	92.8	92.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_regincnty

Label:	Is R registered to vote in preload county (residence)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-2.5
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Is that address in [preload: hhcounty]?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY: IF R REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS: IF R REGISTERED IN SAME STATE AS CURRENT ADDRESS OR REGISTRATION STATE MISSING:
Logic:	R's current address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HHCITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Ask if POSTVOTE_REGST=HHSTATE or POSTVOTE_REGIST=2 and address of registration POSTVOTE_REGST not given, else skip. Note that preload for county should be 'Washington D.C.' if REGST is DC.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, registered in <preload: hhcounty>	17	7	24
2. No, registered in other county	6	3	9
-1. Inapplicable	1906	3569	5475
-4. Error	0	2	2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, registered in <preload: hhcounty>	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4
2. No, registered in other county	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	92.8	93.4	92.5	92.1	92.6	92.6
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_regyrs3cat

Label: POST: How long has R been registered at location (collapsed)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 0-1 years	53	28	81
2. 2-5 years	25	8	33
3. More than 5 years	7	10	17
-1. Inapplicable	1838	3535	5373
-4. Error	1	0	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 0-1 years	2.6	2.0	0.7	1.2	1.4	1.5
2. 2-5 years	1.2	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.4
3. More than 5 years	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	89.5	90.7	91.6	90.9	90.9	90.9
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1

postvote_regyrsfull

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: How long has R been registered at location (full)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	1929	3581	5510
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	93.9	94.3	92.8	92.4	93.2	93.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_regname

Label:	RESTRICTED: name under which R is registered to vote
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-3
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Some people register to vote under their full name and others use different versions of their name. Others might have changed their name since they registered. What about you? Under what name are you registered to vote?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY: IF R INDICATED REGISTERED TO VOTE IN THE POST SURVEY:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_REGIST in(1,2) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. FTF: no text in name field; Web: no text in field (left blank or inapplicable)	6	3527	3533
1. Text in name field	90	54	144
-1. Inapplicable (FTF only)	1833	0	1833
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. FTF: no text in name field; Web: no text in field (left blank or inapplicable)	0.3	0.2	91.4	90.7	59.7	59.3
1. Text in name field	4.4	3.5	1.4	1.7	2.4	2.3
-1. Inapplicable (FTF only)	89.2	90.6	0.0	0.0	31.0	31.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_regpty_state

Label: POST: Does recorded state of registration have party registration

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not not registered in state in with party registration	39	32	71
1. Registered in state with party registration	57	25	82
-1. Inapplicable	1833	3524	5357
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not not registered in state in with party registration	1.9	1.6	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.3
1. Registered in state with party registration	2.8	2.1	0.6	0.6	1.4	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	89.2	90.6	91.3	90.7	90.6	90.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_regpty

Label:	Party of registration
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-4
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	What political party are you registered with, if any?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE IN STATE WHERE PARTY MAY BE REGISTERED:
Logic:	R's current address should be present in preload variables HHADD1, HHADD2, HHCITY, HHAPT, HHSTATE, HHZIP. Ask if (POSTVOTE_REGIST =1 and HHSTATE in(AK, AZ,CA, CO CT, DC, DE, FL, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, OK, OR, PA, RI, SD, WV, WY, or missing)) or if (POSTVOTE_REGIST=2 and POSTVOTE_REGST in(AK, AZ,CA, CO CT, DC, DE, FL,IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, OK, OR, PA, RI, SD, WV, WY, or empty)), else skip
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box for response 5 in place of □SPECIFY□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic party	26	11	37
2. Republican party	8	5	13
4. None or 'independent'	18	7	25
5. Other party (SPECIFY)	0	2	2
-1. Inapplicable	1872	3556	5428
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic party	1.3	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.4
2. Republican party	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
4. None or 'independent'	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4
5. Other party (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	91.1	92.2	92.1	91.8	91.8	92.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

postvote_regpty_oth

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: Other specify- Party of registration

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	1929	3581	5510
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	93.9	94.3	92.8	92.4	93.2	93.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_rvote

Label:	Did R vote
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-10
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you: One, I did not vote (in the election this November); Two, I thought about voting this time, but didn't; Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or Four, I am sure I voted?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
Logic:	Variable vote_pre will be created to indicate whether R said in the Pre that he/she already voted, 1=Voted in the Pre, 0=Did not vote in the Pre (codes defined: 1 if PREVOTE_HOWVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF), else 0). Ask if (POSTVOTE_REGIST in(1,2)) or (variables reg_pre=1 and vote_pre=0); else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit the response options in the question display, ending that with 'Which of the following statements best describes you?'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. I did not vote (in the election this november)	100	169	269
2. I thought about voting this time, but didn't	90	69	159
3. I usually vote, but didn't this time	86	109	195
4. I am sure I voted	1287	2713	4000
-1. Inapplicable	364	518	882
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	2	3	5

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. I did not vote (in the election this november)	4.9	4.5	4.4	5.6	4.5	5.2
2. I thought about voting this time, but didn't	4.4	3.8	1.8	2.2	2.7	2.8
3. I usually vote, but didn't this time	4.2	3.7	2.8	3.9	3.3	3.8
4. I am sure I voted	62.7	65.4	70.3	66.3	67.6	66.0
-1. Inapplicable	17.7	16.8	13.4	14.3	14.9	15.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2

rvote2012_x

Label: PREPOST SUMMARY: Did R vote in November general election

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. R voted in the 2012 elections	1399	3005	4404
2. R did not vote in the 2012 elections	519	587	1106
-2. Missing, 2012 voting status not determined	136	268	404

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. R voted in the 2012 elections	68.1	71.0	77.8	73.2	74.5	72.4
2. R did not vote in the 2012 elections	25.3	22.9	15.2	19.4	18.7	20.6
-2. Missing, 2012 voting status not determined	6.6	6.1	6.9	7.5	6.8	7.0

postvote_ckbcard

Label:	FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: have correct Post ballot card
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-10.5
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS: [var: REG_ST] [var: REG_DIST]. ASSIGNED BALLOT COLOR IS: [var: BALLOTCOLOR]. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHETHER YOU HAVE THE CORRECT BALLOT CARD FOR THE RESPONDENT:
Universe:	IF R DID NOT REPORT IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF HAS NOT REFUSED TO INDICATE REGISTRATION STATUS: IF R IS IS REGISTERED IN COUNTY OF CURRENT ADDRESS OR IF R IS NOT REGISTERED:
Logic:	Ask if (POSTVOTE_REGIST in(1,3) or POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY=1) or (vote_pre=0 and (PREVOTE_REGIST in(1,3) or PREVOTE_REGINCNTY=1)) In text of question, value of preload variable 'hhdist' which identifies the 113th congressional district of R's current address (e.g. '02'), is loaded together with value of preload variable hhstate.
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, have <blue/pink> color ballot card for r's district	1350	3204	4554
2. Have ballot card in wrong color for r's district	12	0	12
3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards.	151	373	524
-1. Inapplicable	237	0	237
-4. Error; FTF: pre reg in same county as residence but no ballot card use	179	4	183
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, have <blue/pink> color ballot card for r's district	65.7	70.8	83.0	81.3	77.0	77.7
2. Have ballot card in wrong color for r's district	0.6	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards.	7.4	6.2	9.7	11.0	8.9	9.3
-1. Inapplicable	11.5	9.8	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.4
-4. Error; FTF: pre reg in same county as residence but no ballot card use	8.7	6.5	0.1	0.2	3.1	2.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_ballotcolor

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Assigned color for Ballot Card (if displayed)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Blue	950	0	950
2. Pink	979	0	979
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Blue	46.3	45.4	0.0	0.0	16.1	15.8
2. Pink	47.7	48.8	0.0	0.0	16.6	17.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_presvt

Label:	Did R vote for President
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-12
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT]?
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidate names in question text
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4, else skip. The ballot card is not shown
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted for President	1278	2685	3963
2. No, didn't vote for President	8	27	35
-1. Inapplicable	642	868	1510
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted for President	62.2	65.1	69.6	65.6	67.0	65.4
2. No, didn't vote for President	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	31.3	28.9	22.5	26.2	25.5	27.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

postvote_presvtwho

Label:	For whom did R vote for President
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-13
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
Randomization:	Order of Presidential candidate names in question
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R ASSIGNED TO DEMOCRATIC NAMES FIRST / IF IF R ASSIGNED TO REPUBLICAN NAMES FIRST:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_PRESVT=1, else skip. Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text, following 'Who did you vote for?' the Presidential candidate names are in order according to the value of variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of □SPECIFY□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	854	1420	2274
2. <preload: rep_pcname	375	1164	1539
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	25	82	107
-1. Inapplicable	651	896	1547
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	24	19	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	41.6	33.4	36.8	33.2	38.5	33.3
2. <preload: rep_pcname	18.3	29.2	30.2	29.3	26.0	29.2
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	1.2	1.1	2.1	2.6	1.8	2.1
-1. Inapplicable	31.7	29.2	23.2	26.9	26.2	27.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	1.2	1.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8

presvote2012_x

Label: PREPOST SUMMARY: For whom did R vote for President in 2012

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Barack obama	922	1574	2496
2. Mitt romney	414	1278	1692
5. Other	25	93	118
-2. R did not vote for Pres or did not report vote for Pres in pre and no post-election data	693	915	1608

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Barack obama	44.9	36.1	40.8	37.1	42.2	36.8
2. Mitt romney	20.2	32.0	33.1	31.7	28.6	31.8
5. Other	1.2	1.1	2.4	2.8	2.0	2.2
-2. R did not vote for Pres or did not report vote for Pres in pre and no post-election data	33.7	30.9	23.7	28.3	27.2	29.2

postvote_presvtwho_oth

Label: POST: Other specify- For whom did R vote for President

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

postvote_presstr

Label:	Preference strong for Pres candidate for whom R vote
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-14
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Would you say your preference for this candidate was STRONG or NOT STRONG?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_PRESVTWHO in(1,2,5), else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong	1083	2160	3243
2. Not strong	170	504	674
-1. Inapplicable	675	915	1590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong	52.7	53.9	56.0	52.3	54.8	52.9
2. Not strong	8.3	9.7	13.1	12.7	11.4	11.6
-1. Inapplicable	32.9	30.6	23.7	27.4	26.9	28.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

postvote_vtpresdec

Label:	How long before election R made decision Pres vote
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-15
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	How long before you voted did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT: IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_PRESVTWHO in(1,2,5), else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

postvote_vtpresdec_dkrf

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: How lng bef electn made decisn Pres vt: DK/RF selectd

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. FTF: code DK selected in place of open-end response	12	0	12
2. FTF: code RF selected in place of open-end response	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1916	3581	5497
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. FTF: code DK selected in place of open-end response	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
2. FTF: code RF selected in place of open-end response	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	93.3	93.8	92.8	92.4	92.9	92.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_prefpres

Label:	Does R prefer Pres candidate (did not vote)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-18
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for President? Did you prefer one of the candidates for PRESIDENT?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_REGIST in(3,DK,RF); or POSTVOTE_RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF), else skip. The ballot card is not shown
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1.yes	395	248	643
2. No	132	117	249
-1. Inapplicable	1396	2991	4387
-2. Missing	0	225	225
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1.yes	19.2	16.7	6.4	8.2	10.9	11.2
2. No	6.4	6.6	3.0	4.1	4.2	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	68.0	70.8	77.5	72.9	74.2	72.2
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	5.8	7.2	3.8	4.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

postvote_prefprwho

Label:	Preference for Pres candidate (did not vote)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-19
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you prefer? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE: IF R PREFERRED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_PREFPRES=1, else skip Even though the ballot card is not displayed, in the question text following 'Who did you prefer?' the Presidential candidate names are in the order indicated in variable ballotcolor (1=Democratic name first, 2=Republican name first).
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of □SPECIFY□.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	289	155	444
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	98	87	185
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	3	5	8
-1. Inapplicable	1534	3332	4866
-4. Error	0	1	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	3	1	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	14.1	10.9	4.0	5.1	7.5	7.1
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	4.8	5.5	2.3	2.9	3.1	3.8
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	74.7	77.6	86.3	84.2	82.3	81.9
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

postvote_prefprwho_oth

Label: POST: Other specify- Preference for Pres cand

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

postvote_prefprstr

Label:	Preference strong for Pres candidate (did not vote)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-20
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Would you say your preference for this candidate was STRONG or NOT STRONG?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE: IF R PREFERRED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE: IF PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_PREFPRWHO in(1,2,5) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong	288	187	475
2. Not strong	101	60	161
-1. Inapplicable	1539	3333	4872
-4. Error	0	1	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong	14.0	12.2	4.8	6.1	8.0	8.2
2. Not strong	4.9	4.4	1.6	2.0	2.7	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	74.9	77.7	86.3	84.2	82.4	82.0
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

postvote_votehs

Label:	Did R vote for U.S. House of Representatives
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-21
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES? / How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4, else skip. If POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence "Here is a list..." does not appear at the start. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives	1110	2405	3515
2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives	146	306	452
-1. Inapplicable	642	868	1510
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	28	0	28
-9. Refused	3	2	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives	54.0	57.8	62.3	57.5	59.4	57.6
2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives	7.1	6.5	7.9	8.7	7.6	7.9
-1. Inapplicable	31.3	28.9	22.5	26.2	25.5	27.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.4	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

postvote_hsvtbc

Label:	For whom did R vote for U.S. House (ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-22
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_VOTEHS=1 and POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit response option 4 and include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	505	1087	1592
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	304	1000	1304
3. <preload: ind_hsename>	9	0	9
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	7	52	59
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	24	41	65
-1. Inapplicable	1049	1387	2436
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	21	0	21
-9. Refused	10	14	24

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	24.6	21.2	28.2	24.2	26.9	23.1
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	14.8	24.2	25.9	25.2	22.0	24.9
3. <preload: ind_hsename>	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	0.3	0.2	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.0
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	51.1	45.3	35.9	40.1	41.2	41.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.6

postvote_hsvtnobc

Label:	For whom did R vote for U.S. House (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-23
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
Logic:	Ask if (POSTVOTE_VOTEHS=1 and POSTVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2)) or POSTVOTE_HSVTBC=4, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <preload: dem_hsename>	65	0	65
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <preload: rep_hsename>	20	0	20
05. Ballot card not shown in error: other name	6	0	6
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	72	108	180
82. Republican (no ballot card)	35	86	121
87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party	13	22	35
-1. Inapplicable	1692	3318	5010
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	23	5	28
-9. Refused	3	42	45

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <preload: dem_hsename>	3.2	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.5
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <preload: rep_hsename>	1.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
05. Ballot card not shown in error: other name	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	3.5	2.0	2.8	2.5	3.0	2.4
82. Republican (no ballot card)	1.7	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.0	2.3
87. Other (no ballot card)-candidate not identified by major party	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	82.4	85.2	86.0	85.9	84.7	85.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.7

hsevote2012_x

Label: PREPOST SUMMARY: For whom did R vote for U.S. House in 2012

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Voted for Democratic House candidate	699	1307	2006
2. Voted for Republican House candidate	393	1200	1593
7. Voted for other House candidate	57	68	125
-1. Inap, R did not or DK/RF if voted; voted but not (or DK/RF if voted for) for U.S. House	721	946	1667
-2. Missing, DK/RF for whom R voted	62	75	137
-6. No post-election data (deleted partial or no post IW) and R did not vote in pre-election (early vote)	122	264	386

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Voted for Democratic House candidate	34.0	27.0	33.9	29.3	33.9	28.5
2. Voted for Republican House candidate	19.1	30.2	31.1	30.1	26.9	30.2
7. Voted for other House candidate	2.8	3.0	1.8	1.6	2.1	2.1
-1. Inap, R did not or DK/RF if voted; voted but not (or DK/RF if voted for) for U.S. House	35.1	31.3	24.5	29.8	28.2	30.4
-2. Missing, DK/RF for whom R voted	3.0	2.9	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.3
-6. No post-election data (deleted partial or no post IW) and R did not vote in pre-election (early vote)	5.9	5.6	6.8	7.2	6.5	6.6

postvote_hspref

Label:	Does R prefer U.S. House candidate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-26
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?/ How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?]
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOTVOTE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_REGIST in(3,DK,RF); or POSTVOTE_RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF), else skip. If POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card and the sentence 'Here is a list...' appears at the start, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card and the sentence 'Here is a list...' does not appear at the start. The text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' according to the variable 'ballotcolor': 1=BLUE and 2=PINK. Do not show CAPI Help Screen if IWR is instructed not to show the ballot card.
Web Logic:	Online, after the first sentence, display the names and parties of the candidates for House of Representatives in the R's district.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	117	111	228
2. No	394	476	870
-1. Inapplicable	1396	2991	4387
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	22	0	22
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	5.7	5.4	2.9	3.3	3.9	4.1
2. No	19.2	17.1	12.3	16.0	14.7	16.4
-1. Inapplicable	68.0	70.8	77.5	72.9	74.2	72.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

postvote_hsprefbc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-27
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you prefer?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_HSPREF=1 and POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, omit response option 4 and include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY' with option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	52	47	99
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	37	47	84
3. <preload: ind_hsename>	1	0	1
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	3	7	10
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	4	0	4
-1. Inapplicable	1825	3479	5304
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_hsename>	2.5	2.3	1.2	1.6	1.7	1.8
2. <preload: rep_hsename>	1.8	2.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6
3. <preload: ind_hsename>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. R vol: names on ballot card are not correct (VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN)	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	88.9	89.3	90.1	89.4	89.7	89.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

postvote_hsprefnobc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-27.3
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you prefer? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR IF R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
Logic:	Ask if (POSTVOTE_HSPREF=1 and POSTVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2)) or POSTVOTE_HSPREFNOBC=4, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_hsename>	2	0	2
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	9	2	11
82. Republican (no ballot card)	0	4	4
87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party	2	1	3
-1. Inapplicable	1913	3565	5478
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	1	4
-9. Refused	0	8	8

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_hsename>	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
82. Republican (no ballot card)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
87. Other (no ballot card)-candidate not identified by major party	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.1	93.7	92.4	92.0	92.6	92.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

postvote_senhhstate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Senate election in state of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No Senate race in state of residence	498	0	498
1. Senate race in state of residence	1322	0	1322
-1. Inapplicable	109	3581	3690
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No Senate race in state of residence	24.2	18.4	0.0	0.0	8.4	6.4
1. Senate race in state of residence	64.4	70.5	0.0	0.0	22.4	24.5
-1. Inapplicable	5.3	5.4	92.8	92.4	62.4	62.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_senregstate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Sen elctn reg state (R not reg at resid Pre or Post)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field	97	0	97
1. Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field	176	0	176
3. No Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	7	0	7
4. Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	9	0	9
-1. Inapplicable	1617	3581	5198
-2. State of registration asked, not recorded	23	0	23
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field	4.7	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.8
1. Senate race- state of registration recorded in correct field	8.6	7.5	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.6
3. No Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
4. Senate race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	78.7	83.0	92.8	92.4	87.9	89.2
-2. State of registration asked, not recorded	1.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_votesen

Label:	Did R vote for U.S. Senate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-28
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for the United States Senate ? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if (POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 and (POSTVOTE_REGIST=1 or PREVOTE_REGIST=1 or (POSTVOTE_REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT, ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 and ((POSTVOTE_REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and POSTVOTE_REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL, HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI, TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)) or (PRE-VOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE_REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL, HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI, TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)))), else skip. If POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and POSTVOTE_HSVOTEBC in(1,2,3,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted for Senate	836	1893	2729
2. No, didn't vote for Senate	66	167	233
-1. Inapplicable	1008	1520	2528
-4. Error- R said voted for Senate but no Sen race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no ballot card)	4	0	4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted for Senate	40.7	48.7	49.0	45.1	46.1	46.4
2. No, didn't vote for Senate	3.2	2.7	4.3	4.9	3.9	4.1
-1. Inapplicable	49.1	42.2	39.4	42.4	42.7	42.3
-4. Error- R said voted for Senate but no Sen race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no ballot card)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

postvote_vtsenbc

Label:	R's vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-29
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_VOTESEN=1 and POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and (PREVOTE_HSVTBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) or PREVOTE_VOTEHS in(2,DK,RF)), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_senname>	431	975	1406
2. <preload: rep_senname>	225	728	953
3. <preload: ind_senname>	0	8	8
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	3	26	29
-1. Inapplicable	1255	1837	3092
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	5	7	12

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_senname>	21.0	21.9	25.3	22.1	23.8	22.0
2. <preload: rep_senname>	11.0	18.9	18.9	18.1	16.1	18.4
3. <preload: ind_senname>	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	61.1	52.7	47.6	51.1	52.3	51.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

postvote_vtsennobc

Label:	R's vote for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-30
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_VOTESEN=1 and (POSTVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or POSTVOTE_HSVTBC=4), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_senname>	60	0	60
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_senname>	17	0	17
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	42	76	118
82. Republican (no ballot card)	21	65	86
87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party	3	2	5
-1. Inapplicable	1767	3432	5199
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	17	4	21
-9. Refused	2	2	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_senname>	2.9	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_senname>	0.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	2.0	1.6	2.0	1.6	2.0	1.6
82. Republican (no ballot card)	1.0	1.6	1.7	2.0	1.5	1.8
87. Other (no ballot card)-candidate not identified by major party	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	86.0	87.0	88.9	88.7	87.9	88.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0

senvote2012_x

Label: PREPOST SUMMARY: For whom did R vote for U.S. Senate in 2012

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Voted for Democratic Senate candidate	574	1164	1738
2. Voted for Republican Senate candidate	292	883	1175
7. Voted for other Senate candidate	6	40	46
-1. Inap, R did not vote or DK/RF if voted; voted but not (or DK/RF if) for us Senate; no us Sen race in state of vote	1019	1490	2509
-2. Missing, DK/RF for whom R voted	39	18	57
-6. No post-election data (deleted partial or no post IW) and R did not vote in pre-election (early vote)	124	265	389

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Voted for Democratic Senate candidate	27.9	27.4	30.2	26.3	29.4	26.7
2. Voted for Republican Senate candidate	14.2	23.5	22.9	22.2	19.9	22.7
7. Voted for other Senate candidate	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.9
-1. Inap, R did not vote or DK/RF if voted; voted but not (or DK/RF if) for us Senate; no us Sen race in state of vote	49.6	41.6	38.6	42.7	42.4	42.3
-2. Missing, DK/RF for whom R voted	1.9	1.3	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.8
-6. No post-election data (deleted partial or no post IW) and R did not vote in pre-election (early vote)	6.0	5.7	6.9	7.2	6.6	6.6

postvote_senpref

Label:	Does R prefer U.S. Senate candidate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-33
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. SENATE?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R NOT REGISTERED OR R REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if (POSTVOTE_RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and (POSTVOTE_REGIST=1 or PREVOTE_REGIST=1 or (POSTVOTE_REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT, ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)) or (POSTVOTE_RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and ((POSTVOTE_REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and POSTVOTE_REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL, HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI, TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)) or (PRE-VOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE_REGST in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL, HI,IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI, TN,TX,UT,VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY,DK,RF)))) or (POSTVOTE_REGIST in(3,DK,RF) and HHSTATE in(AZ,CA,CT,DE,FL,HI, IN,MA,MD,ME,MI,MN,MO,MS,MT,ND,NE,NJ,NM,NV,NY,OH,PA,RI,TN,TX,UT, VA,VT,WA,WI,WV,WY)), else skip. If POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and POSTVOTE_HSPREFBC in(1,2,3,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	113	35	148
2. No	276	158	434
-1. Inapplicable	1524	3212	4736
-2. Missing	0	120	120
-4. Error; FTF: R said preferred Senate but no Sen race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no ballot card)	0	54	54
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	16	0	16
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	5.5	6.1	0.9	1.2	2.5	2.9
2. No	13.4	11.9	4.1	5.0	7.3	7.4
-1. Inapplicable	74.2	75.8	83.2	80.9	80.1	79.1
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.6	2.0	2.4
-4. Error; FTF: R said preferred Senate but no Sen race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no ballot card)	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7	0.9	1.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

postvote_senprefbc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-34
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you prefer?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR THE U.S. SENATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_SENPREF=1 and POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and (POSTVOTE_HSPREFBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) or POSTVOTE_HSPREF in(2,DK,RF)), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_senname>	67	14	81
2. <preload: rep_senname>	30	14	44
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	1	4	5
-1. Inapplicable	1831	3500	5331
-4. Error	0	49	49
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_senname>	3.3	3.4	0.4	0.4	1.4	1.4
2. <preload: rep_senname>	1.5	1.9	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.0
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	89.1	88.8	90.7	89.8	90.1	89.5
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.6	0.8	1.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_senprefnobc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-34.3
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you prefer? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR THE U.S. SENATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_SENPREF=1 and (POSTVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or PREVOTE_HSPREFNOBC=4), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_senname>	3	0	3
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_senname>	1	0	1
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	6	0	6
82. Republican (no ballot card)	0	1	1
87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party	4	1	5
-1. Inapplicable	1914	3573	5487
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	6	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_senname>	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_senname>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
82. Republican (no ballot card)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
87. Other (no ballot card)-candidate not identified by major party	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.2	93.6	92.6	92.2	92.8	92.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

postvote_govhhstate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Gubernatorial election in state of residence

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No governor race in state of residence	1597	0	1597
1. Governor race in state of residence	223	0	223
-1. Inapplicable	109	3581	3690
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No governor race in state of residence	77.8	77.0	0.0	0.0	27.0	26.7
1. Governor race in state of residence	10.9	11.8	0.0	0.0	3.8	4.1
-1. Inapplicable	5.3	5.4	92.8	92.4	62.4	62.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_govregstate

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Gov elctn reg state (R not reg at resid Pre or Post)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field	237	0	237
1. Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field	36	0	36
3. No governor race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	16	0	16
-1. Inapplicable	1617	3581	5198
-2. State of registration asked, not recorded	23	0	23
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field	11.5	8.4	0.0	0.0	4.0	2.9
1. Governor race- state of registration recorded in correct field	1.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
3. No governor race- state of registration not recorded in correct field	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	78.7	83.0	92.8	92.4	87.9	89.2
-2. State of registration asked, not recorded	1.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

postvote_votegov

Label:	Did R vote for governor
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-35
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for governor ? Did you vote for a candidate for GOVERNOR?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/ IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if (POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 and (POSTVOTE_REGIST=1 or PREVOTE_REGIST=1 or (POSTVOTE_REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 and ((POSTVOTE_REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and POSTVOTE_REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH, UT,VT,WA,WV,DK,RF)) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE_REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH, UT,VT,WA,WV,DK,RF)))), else skip. If POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and POSTVOTE_HSVOTEBC in(1,2,3,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, voted for governor	157	348	505
2. No, didn't vote for governor	13	22	35
-1. Inapplicable	1754	3211	4965
-4. Error- R said voted for governor but no gov race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no ballot card)	4	0	4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, voted for governor	7.6	9.3	9.0	8.8	8.5	9.0
2. No, didn't vote for governor	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	85.4	84.5	83.2	82.8	84.0	83.4
-4. Error- R said voted for governor but no gov race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no ballot card)	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

postvote_vtgovbc

Label:	R's vote for governor(ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-36
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R VOTED FOR GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_VOTESGOV=1 and POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and (POSTVOTE_HSVTBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) or POSTVOTE_VOTEHS in(2,DK,RF)), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_govname>	73	159	232
2. <preload: rep_govname>	37	150	187
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0	5	5
-1. Inapplicable	1816	3265	5081
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	2	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_govname>	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9
2. <preload: rep_govname>	1.8	3.2	3.9	3.7	3.2	3.5
5. Other candidate (SPECIFY)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	88.4	87.3	84.6	84.6	85.9	85.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0

postvote_vtgovnobc

Label:	R's vote for governor (no ballot card)
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-37
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE: IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R VOTED FOR GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_VOTESGOV=1 and (POSTVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or POSTVOTE_HSVTBC=4), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_govname>	6	0	6
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_govname>	2	0	2
05. Ballot card not shown in error: other name	1	0	1
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	16	19	35
82. Republican (no ballot card)	3	12	15
87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party	14	0	14
-1. Inapplicable	1885	3549	5434
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Ballot card not shown in error: <dem_govname>	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_govname>	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
05. Ballot card not shown in error: other name	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5
82. Republican (no ballot card)	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4
87. Other (no ballot card)-candidate not identified by major party	0.7	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	91.8	91.9	91.9	91.5	91.9	91.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

govvote2012_x

Label: PREPOST SUMMARY: For whom did R vote for Governer in 2012

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Voted for Democratic gubernatorial candidate	103	192	295
2. Voted for Republican gubernatorial candidate	48	174	222
7. Voted for other gubernatorial candidate	15	7	22
-1. Inap, R did not vote or DK/RF if voted; voted but not (or DK/RF if) for governor; no gov race in state of vote	1759	3206	4965
-2. Missing, DK/RF for whom R voted	5	4	9
-6. No post-election data (deleted partial or no post IW) and R did not vote in pre-election (early vote)	124	277	401

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Voted for Democratic gubernatorial candidate	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8
2. Voted for Republican gubernatorial candidate	2.3	4.1	4.5	4.4	3.8	4.3
7. Voted for other gubernatorial candidate	0.7	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5
-1. Inap, R did not vote or DK/RF if voted; voted but not (or DK/RF if) for governor; no gov race in state of vote	85.6	84.1	83.1	83.0	84.0	83.4
-2. Missing, DK/RF for whom R voted	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
-6. No post-election data (deleted partial or no post IW) and R did not vote in pre-election (early vote)	6.0	5.7	7.2	7.5	6.8	6.9

postvote_govpref

Label:	Does R prefer gubernatorial candidate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-40
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	How about the election for governor? Did you prefer one of the candidates for GOVERNOR?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT / IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if (POSTVOTE_RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and (POSTVOTE_REGIST=1 or PREVOTE_REGIST=1 or (POSTVOTE_REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY = 1)) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (POSTVOTE_RVOTE in(1,2,3,DK,RF) and ((POSTVOTE_REGIST =2 and POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and POSTVOTE_REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH, UT,VT,WA,WV)) or (PREVOTE_REGIST =2 and PREVOTE_REGINCNTY <> 1 and PREVOTE_REGST in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)))) or (POSTVOTE_REGIST in(3,DK,RF) and HHSTATE in(DE,IN,MO,MT,NC,ND,NH,UT,VT,WA,WV)), else skip. If POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and POSTVOTE_HSPREFBC in(1,2,3,DK,RF) then the IWR is instructed to show the ballot card, else the IWR instruction is to not show the ballot card. If the ballot card is shown, the text of the onscreen interviewer instruction to show the ballot card will read 'BLUE' or 'PINK' appropriately; both ballot card color and the order of the major party candidate names on the ballot card will be determined by the value of variable 'ballotcolor': if ballotcolor=1 or BLUE all Democratic names will be listed first, and if ballotcolor=2 or PINK all Republican names will be listed first.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	25	25	50
2. No	43	57	100
-1. Inapplicable	1854	3344	5198
-2. Missing	5	0	5
-4. Error- R said preferred governor but no gov race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no ballot card)	0	161	161
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	143	146
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.2	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.9
2. No	2.1	1.8	1.5	2.5	1.7	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	90.3	91.1	86.6	84.6	87.9	86.8
-2. Missing	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-4. Error- R said preferred governor but no gov race in reg state (not recorded correctly or error, no ballot card)	0.0	0.0	4.2	5.0	2.7	3.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.7	3.7	2.5	2.4
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

postvote_govprefbc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for governor
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-41
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you prefer?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R PREFERRED A GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE: IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_GOVPREF=1 and POSTVOTE_CKBCARD in(1,2) and (POSTVOTE_HSPREFBC in(1,2,3,5,DK,RF) or POSTVOTE_HSPREF in(2,DK,RF)), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, include small text box in place of □SPECIFY□ at response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_govname>	8	11	19
2. <preload: rep_govname>	9	12	21
-1. Inapplicable	1911	3413	5324
-4. Error	0	144	144
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	148	151
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_govname>	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4
2. <preload: rep_govname>	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	93.0	93.4	88.4	87.3	90.0	89.4
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	3.7	4.5	2.4	2.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.8	4.0	2.6	2.6
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

postvote_govprefnobc

Label:	Whom does R prefer for governor
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 123.5-41.3
Description:	Post registration, turnout, and vote choice
Question:	Who did you prefer? Which party was that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED: IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE: IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE: IF R PREFERRED A GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE: IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
Logic:	Ask if POSTVOTE_GOVPREF=1 and (POSTVOTE_CKBCARD not in(1,2) or POSTVOTE_HSPREFBC=4), else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_govname>	1	0	1
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	4	0	4
82. Republican (no ballot card)	1	0	1
87. Other (no ballot card)- candidate not identified by major party	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1922	3581	5503
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
02. Ballot card not shown in error: <rep_govname>	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
81. Democrat (no ballot card)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
82. Republican (no ballot card)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
87. Other (no ballot card)-candidate not identified by major party	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	93.6	94.0	92.8	92.4	93.1	93.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ptywom_bettrpty

Label:	Party does better job for the interests of women
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 124.8-1
Description:	Better Party for women
Question:	Which party do you think does a better job looking out for the interests of women? [The Democratic Party, the Republican Party / The Republican party, the Democratic Party], or do both parties do an equally good or bad job?
Randomization:	Order of parties in question text
Logic:	Respondents should be randomly assigned to have either 'The Democratic Party, the Republican Party' or 'The Republican Party, the Democratic Party' loaded. The order of response options should match the order in the text (code 3 always last).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic party	1069	1660	2729
2. Republican party	127	537	664
3. Both parties do an equally good or bad job	630	1366	1996
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	99	0	99
-9. Refused	4	18	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic party	52.0	44.5	43.0	41.0	46.1	42.2
2. Republican party	6.2	8.4	13.9	14.8	11.2	12.6
3. Both parties do an equally good or bad job	30.7	35.9	35.4	36.0	33.8	36.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.8	5.2	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.8
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

ofcrec_speaker

Label:	Office recognition: Speaker of the House Boehner
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 124.9-2
Description:	Political knowledge: office recognition
Question:	The first name is: John Boehner. What job or political office does he NOW hold?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

ofcrec_speaker_correct

Label: POST: Office recognition: Correct for Speaker of the House Boehner

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not correct	1464	1840	3304
1. Correct	465	1741	2206
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not correct	71.3	64.8	47.7	50.4	55.9	55.4
1. Correct	22.6	29.5	45.1	42.0	37.3	37.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ofcrec_speaker_probe

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Speaker of the House Boehner

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1185	0	1185
5. No	744	0	744
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	57.7	53.2	0.0	0.0	20.0	18.5
5. No	36.2	41.1	0.0	0.0	12.6	14.3
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ofcrec_vp

Label:	Office recognition: Vice-President Biden
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 124.9-4
Description:	Political knowledge: office recognition
Question:	Joe Biden What job or political office does he NOW hold?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

ofcrec_vp_correct

Label: POST: Office recognition: Correct for Vice-President Biden

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not correct	442	379	821
1. Correct	1487	3202	4689
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not correct	21.5	15.0	9.8	11.1	13.9	12.5
1. Correct	72.4	79.2	83.0	81.3	79.3	80.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ofcrec_vp_probe

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Vice-President Biden

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	521	0	521
5. No	1408	0	1408
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	25.4	19.5	0.0	0.0	8.8	6.8
5. No	68.5	74.8	0.0	0.0	23.8	26.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ofcrec_pmuk

Label:	Office recognition: Prime Minister of UK Cameron
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 124.9-6
Description:	Political knowledge: office recognition
Question:	David Cameron What job or political office does he NOW hold?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

ofcrec_pmuk_correct

Label: POST: Office recognition: Correct for Prime Minister of UK Cameron

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not correct	1783	2673	4456
1. Correct	146	908	1054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not correct	86.8	84.6	69.2	70.7	75.3	75.5
1. Correct	7.1	9.7	23.5	21.7	17.8	17.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ofcrec_pmuk_probe

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Prime Minister of UK Cameron

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1440	0	1440
5. No	489	0	489
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	70.1	70.0	0.0	0.0	24.3	24.3
5. No	23.8	24.2	0.0	0.0	8.3	8.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ofcrec_cj

Label:	Office recognition: US Supreme Ct Chief Justice Roberts
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 124.9-8
Description:	Political knowledge: office recognition
Question:	JOHN ROBERTS What job or political office does he NOW hold?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

ofcrec_cj_correct

Label: POST: Office recognition: Correct for US Supreme Ct Chief Justice Roberts

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0.5	190	491	681
0	1613	2095	3708
-0.7	3	149	152
-0.6	122	130	252
1	126	995	1121

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0.5	9.3	12.4	12.7	12.0	11.5	12.2
0	78.5	74.3	54.3	56.8	62.7	62.8
-0.7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-0.6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
1	6.1	7.6	25.8	23.7	19.0	18.1

ofcrec_cj_probe

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: US Supreme Ct Chief Justice

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1296	0	1296
5. No	633	0	633
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	63.1	61.3	0.0	0.0	21.9	21.3
5. No	30.8	33.0	0.0	0.0	10.7	11.5
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ftpo_pres

Label:	Feeling thermometer: Democratic Presidential candidate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 125-2
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet. How would you rate: [preload: DEM_PCNAME]
Randomization:	Order of Presidential cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of the Democratic Presidential candidate and Republican Presidential candidate thermometers (as 1st and 2nd thermometers). Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Presidential candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

81 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
100	595	561	1156
85	383	454	837
0	120	544	664
70	200	285	485
60	159	225	384
15	71	250	321
40	108	202	310
30	100	195	295
50	111	179	290
-6	122	130	252

ftpo_rpc

Label:	Feeling thermometer: Republican Presidential candidate
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 125-4
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet. How would you rate: [preload: REP_PCNAME]
Randomization:	Order of Presidential cands
Logic:	Load the preloaded name of the Republican Presidential candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	283	521	804
50	360	358	718
15	177	345	522
85	158	362	520
40	230	289	519
30	193	314	507
70	175	329	504
60	194	295	489
-6	122	130	252
100	72	179	251

ftpo_rpcsp

Label:	Feeling thermometer: spouse of Republican Presidential cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-5
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: RPC_SPNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Logic:	Load the preloaded name of the spouse of the Republican Presidential candidate. Randomize the order of names after the Presidential candidates.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

62 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	721	1029	1750
0	167	303	470
70	156	304	460
85	126	326	452
60	160	286	446
100	77	265	342
30	118	197	315
40	116	171	287
15	105	175	280
-6	122	130	252

ftpo_dpcsp

Label:	Feeling thermometer: spouse of Democratic Presidential cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-6
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: DPC_SPNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Logic:	Load the preloaded name of the spouse of the Democratic Presidential candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
100	626	762	1388
85	355	450	805
50	210	379	589
70	203	276	479
0	72	404	476
60	172	238	410
-6	122	130	252
30	72	168	240
15	54	163	217
40	60	132	192

ftpo_hdc

Label:	Feeling thermometer: HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-7
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: DEM_HSENAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded Democratic House Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Democratic House candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	443	1065	1508
-2	494	0	494
70	210	258	468
60	169	251	420
-1	79	322	401
85	171	217	388
0	34	225	259
-6	122	130	252
-4	0	225	225
100	84	123	207

ftpo_hrc

Label:	Feeling thermometer: HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-8
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: REP_HSENAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded Republican House Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Republican House candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

58 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	520	1080	1600
-2	514	0	514
60	158	267	425
70	130	268	398
-1	92	291	383
0	58	231	289
85	98	187	285
-6	122	130	252
40	88	151	239
-4	0	222	222

ftpo_hoth

Label:	Feeling thermometer: HOUSE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-9
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: IND_HSENAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF INDEPENDENT/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded independent/3rd party House Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the independent/ 3rd party House candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

26 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	1690	3330	5020
-6	122	130	252
50	57	109	166
-7	3	149	152
-4	69	26	95
-2	61	0	61
60	7	17	24
-9	0	23	23
40	8	12	20
0	6	13	19

ftpo_sdc

Label:	Feeling thermometer: SENATE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-10
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: DEM_SENNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded Democratic Senate Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Senate candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	524	827	1351
50	284	509	793
70	211	246	457
60	162	268	430
85	186	223	409
0	39	236	275
-4	0	270	270
-6	122	130	252
40	62	171	233
100	75	146	221

ftpo_src

Label:	Feeling thermometer: SENATE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-11
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: REP_SENNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded Republican Senate Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Republican Senate candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

51 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	524	820	1344
50	361	733	1094
60	152	259	411
0	62	271	333
-2	333	0	333
70	126	200	326
-4	0	271	271
40	95	171	266
-6	122	130	252
30	65	143	208

ftpo_soth

Label:	Feeling thermometer: SENATE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-12
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: IND_SENNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE: IF INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded Independent Senate Candidate name is not null or blank, else skip. Load the preloaded name of the Independent Senate candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	0	1	1
15	0	1	1
40	0	1	1
48	0	1	1
50	0	1	1
55	0	1	1
65	0	1	1
70	0	2	2
85	0	4	4
95	0	1	1
100	0	2	2
-1	1929	3563	5492
-4	0	2	2
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
40	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
48	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
55	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
65	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
70	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
85	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
95	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
100	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
-1	93.9	94.3	92.3	92.0	92.9	92.8
-4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ftpo_sensr

Label:	Feeling thermometer: SR. SENATOR IN STATE WITHOUT RACE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-13
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: NORACE_SRSENNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF NO SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded name of the senior Senator is not null or blank, else skip. (Note: field will always be blank if there is a race in the state.) Load the preloaded name of the senior Senator.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

39 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	1405	2770	4175
50	149	195	344
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
70	59	70	129
60	51	66	117
85	47	49	96
-2	95	0	95
-4	0	91	91
40	35	55	90

ftpo_senjr

Label:	Feeling thermometer: JR. SENATOR IN STATE WITHOUT RACE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-14
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: NORACE_JRSENNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF NO SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded name of the junior Senator is not null or blank, else skip. (Note: field will always be blank if there is a race in the state.) Load the preloaded name of the junior Senator.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

43 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	1405	2770	4175
50	169	251	420
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
70	54	64	118
60	48	69	117
-2	102	0	102
-4	0	91	91
0	31	57	88
85	26	36	62

ftpo_sennot

Label:	Feeling thermometer: NONRUNNING SENATOR IN STATE W/RACE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-15
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) [preload: RACE_SENNOTUP]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Universe:	IF SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded name of the Senator not up for re-election not null or a blank, else skip. (Note: field will always be empty if there is no race in the state). Load the preloaded name of the Senator not up for re-election
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	524	820	1344
50	357	611	968
60	175	232	407
70	135	246	381
85	123	212	335
0	51	253	304
-4	0	260	260
-2	252	0	252
-6	122	130	252
40	82	129	211

ftpo_dvpc

Label:	Feeling thermometer: Democratic Vice Presidential cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-18
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet) ((How would you rate:)) [preload: DEM_VPCNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Logic:	Load the preloaded name of the Democratic Vice-Presidential candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

77 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	334	427	761
85	290	365	655
0	107	529	636
70	280	338	618
100	232	303	535
60	207	275	482
40	103	214	317
15	82	233	315
30	85	187	272
-6	122	130	252

ftpo_rvpc

Label:	Feeling thermometer: Republican Vice Presidential cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-19
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet) ((How would you rate:)) [preload: REP_VPCNAME]
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Logic:	Load the preloaded name of the Republican Vice-Presidential candidate.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	504	641	1145
0	182	541	723
60	199	275	474
85	124	288	412
70	140	268	408
40	146	238	384
30	117	237	354
15	116	226	342
-6	122	130	252
-2	236	0	236

ftpo_roberts

Label:	Feeling thermometer: John Roberts
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 125-21
Description:	Post individual political figure thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet) ((How would you rate:)) Supreme Court Chief Justice JOHN ROBERTS
Randomization:	Order of names after Presidential candidates
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

58 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	749	1591	2340
60	229	364	593
70	181	327	508
-2	319	0	319
40	80	216	296
-6	122	130	252
85	102	142	244
0	32	153	185
-9	0	159	159
-7	3	149	152

ftgr_xfund

Label:	Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-3
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Logic:	Randomize the order of group thermometers.
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

58 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	577	950	1527
0	71	506	577
60	224	291	515
70	225	243	468
40	140	269	409
85	178	197	375
100	141	186	327
15	87	237	324
30	83	190	273
-6	122	130	252

ftgr_catholics

Label:	Feeling thermometer: CATHOLICS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-4
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) CATHOLICS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	516	849	1365
70	309	439	748
85	348	346	694
60	220	466	686
100	268	258	526
40	79	250	329
-6	122	130	252
0	25	164	189
30	30	152	182
-7	3	149	152

ftgr_feminists

Label:	Feeling thermometer: FEMINISTS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-5
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) FEMINISTS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

69 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	597	965	1562
60	264	401	665
70	233	318	551
40	159	360	519
85	174	190	364
0	68	294	362
30	88	230	318
-6	122	130	252
15	54	171	225
100	106	99	205

ftgr_fedgov

Label:	Feeling thermometer: FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-6
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
40	287	592	879
50	308	470	778
60	330	382	712
30	169	391	560
70	261	239	500
0	88	404	492
15	109	343	452
85	176	92	268
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152

ftgr_liberals

Label:	Feeling thermometer: LIBERALS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-8
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) LIBERALS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

69 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	561	782	1343
60	296	365	661
0	67	473	540
70	229	296	525
40	175	294	469
85	175	183	358
30	110	210	320
15	65	241	306
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152

ftgr_middle

Label:	Feeling thermometer: MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-9
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

61 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
85	528	780	1308
100	470	596	1066
70	366	581	947
50	230	460	690
60	200	332	532
-6	122	130	252
80	31	215	246
90	25	170	195
-7	3	149	152
75	20	120	140

ftgr_unions

Label:	Feeling thermometer: LABOR UNIONS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-10
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) LABOR UNIONS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	388	673	1061
60	303	385	688
70	310	295	605
40	166	325	491
0	75	378	453
85	218	222	440
30	124	271	395
15	69	239	308
100	129	150	279
-6	122	130	252

ftgr_poor

Label:	Feeling thermometer: POOR PEOPLE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-11
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) POOR PEOPLE
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	326	771	1097
100	442	474	916
70	351	544	895
85	370	472	842
60	248	535	783
-6	122	130	252
40	39	116	155
-7	3	149	152
80	19	123	142
75	15	103	118

ftgr_military

Label:	Feeling thermometer: THE MILITARY
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-12
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) THE MILITARY
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

62 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
100	793	1067	1860
85	451	628	1079
70	269	403	672
50	102	287	389
60	120	242	362
-6	122	130	252
90	33	211	244
80	24	170	194
-7	3	149	152
75	13	109	122

ftgr_bigbus

Label:	Feeling thermometer: BIG BUSINESS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-13
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) BIG BUSINESS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

80 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	440	690	1130
60	310	425	735
40	258	453	711
70	251	326	577
30	159	330	489
85	178	198	376
0	63	254	317
15	76	234	310
-6	122	130	252
100	83	86	169

ftgr_welfare

Label:	Feeling thermometer: PEOPLE ON WELFARE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-14
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) PEOPLE ON WELFARE
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	520	923	1443
40	242	494	736
60	290	424	714
70	259	290	549
30	109	286	395
85	152	134	286
15	64	190	254
-6	122	130	252
100	123	106	229
0	46	180	226

ftgr_cons

Label:	Feeling thermometer: CONSERVATIVES
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-15
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) CONSERVATIVES
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	492	837	1329
60	315	403	718
70	260	335	595
40	206	331	537
85	184	287	471
30	111	223	334
0	64	210	274
-6	122	130	252
100	68	177	245
15	56	161	217

ftgr_working

Label:	Feeling thermometer: WORKING CLASS PEOPLE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-16
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) WORKING CLASS PEOPLE
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
100	784	1064	1848
85	528	780	1308
70	302	425	727
60	123	224	347
50	84	262	346
-6	122	130	252
90	25	222	247
80	20	202	222
-7	3	149	152
75	15	124	139

ftgr_ussc

Label:	Feeling thermometer: THE U.S. SUPREME COURT
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-18
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) THE U.S. SUPREME COURT
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

70 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	498	948	1446
60	388	506	894
70	358	468	826
40	150	352	502
85	214	265	479
-6	122	130	252
30	61	145	206
100	85	96	181
-7	3	149	152
80	15	109	124

ftgr_gay

Label:	Feeling thermometer: GAY MEN AND LESBIANS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-19
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) GAY MEN AND LESBIANS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

57 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	674	1180	1854
0	179	429	608
70	214	280	494
85	220	256	476
60	176	244	420
100	160	233	393
40	94	197	291
-6	122	130	252
15	57	156	213
30	59	132	191

ftgr_congress

Label:	Feeling thermometer: CONGRESS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-21
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) CONGRESS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	440	626	1066
40	298	521	819
60	347	322	669
30	174	418	592
15	95	387	482
0	77	395	472
70	232	195	427
-6	122	130	252
85	128	66	194
-7	3	149	152

ftgr_rich

Label:	Feeling thermometer: RICH PEOPLE
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-25
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) RICH PEOPLE
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

72 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	637	1056	1693
60	257	384	641
70	245	337	582
40	177	360	537
30	118	221	339
85	148	184	332
0	97	214	311
-6	122	130	252
15	58	176	234
100	85	99	184

ftgr_muslims

Label:	Feeling thermometer: MUSLIMS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-28
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) MUSLIMS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	787	1329	2116
0	118	442	560
40	134	328	462
60	191	221	412
70	196	206	402
30	128	223	351
15	72	206	278
-6	122	130	252
85	100	96	196
-7	3	149	152

ftgr_xian

Label:	Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIANS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-30
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) CHRISTIANS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
100	582	671	1253
50	280	697	977
85	413	515	928
70	267	420	687
60	191	363	554
-6	122	130	252
80	24	140	164
40	50	108	158
90	27	127	154
-7	3	149	152

ftgr_atheists

Label:	Feeling thermometer: ATHEISTS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-31
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) ATHEISTS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	753	1322	2075
0	439	720	1159
40	121	250	371
15	108	213	321
30	94	184	278
60	81	173	254
-6	122	130	252
70	94	137	231
-7	3	149	152
85	48	79	127

ftgr_mormons

Label:	Feeling thermometer: MORMONS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-32
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.) (How would you rate:) MORMONS
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	801	1365	2166
60	194	348	542
70	193	277	470
40	135	293	428
0	106	237	343
85	109	183	292
30	104	156	260
-6	122	130	252
15	75	133	208
100	53	115	168

ftgr_tea

Label:	Feeling thermometer: TEA PARTY
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126-33
Description:	Post group thermometers
Question:	Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet. (How would you rate:) THE TEA PARTY
Randomization:	Order of group thermometers
Web Logic:	Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.' Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.
Source:	Respondent

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	619	947	1566
0	259	671	930
60	198	251	449
40	143	263	406
15	118	228	346
30	105	226	331
70	142	182	324
85	96	162	258
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152

hseinc_approval

Label:	Approve or disapprove of House incumbent
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126.3-3
Description:	Performance of House incumbent
Question:	Not looking at the booklet now. In general, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way [preload: inc_hsename] has been handling [preload: inc_hsegen2] job?
Logic:	Ask if preload variable inc_hsename is not blank, else skip Name and possessive pronoun ('his' or 'her') for House incumbent are values in preload variable inc_hsegen2.
Web Logic:	Online, omit 'Not looking at the booklet now.'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	820	2049	2869
2. Disapprove	355	1210	1565
-1. Inapplicable	0	135	135
-4. Error	0	45	45
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	738	0	738
-9. Refused	16	142	158

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	39.9	43.5	53.1	51.4	48.5	48.7
2. Disapprove	17.3	18.3	31.3	31.6	26.5	27.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.4	2.3	2.2
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	35.9	31.7	0.0	0.0	12.5	11.0
-9. Refused	0.8	0.8	3.7	5.0	2.7	3.5

hseinc_appstr

Label:	How much approve House incumbent
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126.3-4
Description:	Performance of House incumbent
Question:	Do you approve STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R APPROVES HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB:
Logic:	Ask if HSEINC_HINCAPP=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with HSEINC_HINCAPP.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	427	748	1175
2. Not strongly	388	1298	1686
-1. Inapplicable	1109	1532	2641
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	1	3	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	20.8	21.8	19.4	17.4	19.9	18.9
2. Not strongly	18.9	21.5	33.6	34.0	28.5	29.6
-1. Inapplicable	54.0	50.8	39.7	41.0	44.7	44.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

hseinc_disstr

Label:	How much disapprove House incumbent
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126.3-5
Description:	Performance of House incumbent
Question:	Do you disapprove STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R DISAPPROVES HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB:
Logic:	Ask if HSEINC_HINCAPP=2, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with HSEINC_HINCAPP.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	179	503	682
2. Not strongly	175	706	881
-1. Inapplicable	1574	2371	3945
-4. Error	0	1	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	8.7	8.7	13.0	11.7	11.5	10.6
2. Not strongly	8.5	9.5	18.3	19.9	14.9	16.3
-1. Inapplicable	76.6	76.0	61.4	60.8	66.7	66.1
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hseinc_approval_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- House incumbent approval

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve strongly	427	748	1175
2. Approve not strongly	388	1298	1686
4. Disapprove not strongly	175	706	881
5. Disapprove strongly	179	503	682
-1. Inapplicable	0	135	135
-4. Error	0	46	46
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	743	0	743
-9. Refused	17	145	162

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve strongly	20.8	21.8	19.4	17.4	19.9	18.9
2. Approve not strongly	18.9	21.5	33.6	34.0	28.5	29.6
4. Disapprove not strongly	8.5	9.5	18.3	19.9	14.9	16.3
5. Disapprove strongly	8.7	8.7	13.0	11.7	11.5	10.6
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.4	2.3	2.2
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	36.2	32.0	0.0	0.0	12.6	11.1
-9. Refused	0.8	0.9	3.8	5.1	2.7	3.6

hseinc_hinctouch

Label:	How good a job does House incumbent do in district
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 126.3-6
Description:	Performance of House incumbent
Question:	How good a job would you say U.S. Representative [preload: inc_hsename] does of keeping in touch with the people in your district -- does [preload: inc_hsegen1] do a VERY GOOD job, FAIRLY GOOD, FAIRLY POOR, or a VERY POOR job of keeping in touch with the people in this district?
Logic:	Ask if preload variable inc_hsename is not blank, else skip. Name and pronoun ('he' or 'she') for House incumbent are values in preload variable inc_hsegen1.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very good	212	472	684
2. Fairly good	632	1606	2238
3. Fairly poor	399	898	1297
4. Very poor	255	325	580
-1. Inapplicable	0	135	135
-4. Error	0	45	45
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	422	0	422
-9. Refused	9	100	109

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very good	10.3	10.9	12.2	10.5	11.6	10.6
2. Fairly good	30.8	32.7	41.6	41.4	37.8	38.4
3. Fairly poor	19.4	22.5	23.3	24.1	21.9	23.5
4. Very poor	12.4	10.7	8.4	8.5	9.8	9.3
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.4	2.3	2.2
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	20.5	16.9	0.0	0.0	7.1	5.9
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	2.6	3.5	1.8	2.5

mip_problem1

Label: POST: Mention 1 most important problems facing the country

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

mip_prob1_dkrf

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: DK/RF selectd- 1st most import probs to USA (no text)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Dk or RF not selected (text recorded)	1902	0	1902
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't now	19	0	19
-9. Refused	8	0	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Dk or RF not selected (text recorded)	92.6	93.3	0.0	0.0	32.2	32.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't now	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

mip_prob1pty

Label:	Party to deal with mention 1 MIP
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-2
Description:	Most important problems in the country
Question:	Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
Randomization:	Order of party names in question text
Universe:	IF FIRST MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:
Logic:	Ask if MIP_PROB1 not in(DK,RF), else skip. R should be randomly assigned to have either 'the Democrats, the Republicans' or 'the Republicans, the Democrts' loaded into the question text (same order used for all mentions if R mentions more than 1 problem).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats	773	1278	2051
2. Republicans	409	1057	1466
3. Wouldn't be much difference	682	1136	1818
-1. Inapplicable	27	108	135
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	36	0	36
-9. Refused	2	2	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats	37.6	28.7	33.1	30.2	34.7	29.7
2. Republicans	19.9	28.6	27.4	28.1	24.8	28.2
3. Wouldn't be much difference	33.2	34.5	29.4	30.6	30.7	31.9
-1. Inapplicable	1.3	0.9	2.8	3.5	2.3	2.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.8	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

mip_problem2

Label: POST: Mention 2 most important problems facing the country

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

mip_prob2_dkrf

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: DK/RF selectd- 2nd most import probs to USA (no text)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Dk or RF not selected (text recorded)	1734	0	1734
-1. Inapplicable	27	3581	3608
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't now	147	0	147
-9. Refused	21	0	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Dk or RF not selected (text recorded)	84.4	85.5	0.0	0.0	29.3	29.7
-1. Inapplicable	1.3	0.9	92.8	92.4	61.0	60.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't now	7.2	6.8	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.4
-9. Refused	1.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4

mip_prob2pty

Label:	Party to deal with mention 2 MIP
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-4
Description:	Most important problems in the country
Question:	Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
Randomization:	Order of party names in question text
Universe:	IF SECOND MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:
Logic:	Ask if MIP_PROB2 not in(DK,RF), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats	702	1143	1845
2. Republicans	381	968	1349
3. Wouldn't be much difference	584	1133	1717
-1. Inapplicable	195	333	528
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	60	0	60
-9. Refused	7	4	11

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats	34.2	27.2	29.6	27.3	31.2	27.3
2. Republicans	18.5	26.6	25.1	24.8	22.8	25.4
3. Wouldn't be much difference	28.4	28.8	29.4	29.8	29.0	29.5
-1. Inapplicable	9.5	8.8	8.6	10.4	8.9	9.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.9	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1

mip_problem3

Label: POST: Mention 3 most important problems facing the country

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

mip_prob3_dkrf

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: DK/RF selectd- 3rd most import probs to USA (no text)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Dk or RF not selected (text recorded)	1388	0	1388
-1. Inapplicable	195	3581	3776
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't now	311	0	311
-9. Refused	35	0	35

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Dk or RF not selected (text recorded)	67.6	67.2	0.0	0.0	23.5	23.3
-1. Inapplicable	9.5	8.8	92.8	92.4	63.8	63.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't now	15.1	16.1	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.6
-9. Refused	1.7	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7

mip_prob3pty

Label:	Party to deal with mention 3 MIP
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 127.2-6
Description:	Most important problems in the country
Question:	Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
Randomization:	Order of party names in question text
Universe:	IF THIRD MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:
Logic:	Ask if MIP_PROB3 not in(DK,RF), else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats	531	944	1475
2. Republicans	297	766	1063
3. Wouldn't be much difference	440	1122	1562
-1. Inapplicable	541	746	1287
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	103	0	103
-9. Refused	17	3	20

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats	25.9	21.7	24.5	21.8	24.9	21.8
2. Republicans	14.5	19.9	19.8	20.4	18.0	20.2
3. Wouldn't be much difference	21.4	21.0	29.1	28.7	26.4	26.0
-1. Inapplicable	26.3	27.1	19.3	21.6	21.8	23.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.4
-9. Refused	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.2

mip_mostproblem

Label: POST: Which among mentions is the most important problem

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

mip_mostprob_dkrf

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: DK/RF slctd- most among import probs 2 USA (no text)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Dk or RF not selected (text recorded)	1703	0	1703
-1. Inapplicable	195	3581	3776
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't now	28	0	28
-9. Refused	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Dk or RF not selected (text recorded)	82.9	83.8	0.0	0.0	28.8	29.1
-1. Inapplicable	9.5	8.8	92.8	92.4	63.8	63.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't now	1.4	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

securpub_secchg

Label:	Have changes in security at public places gone too far
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 127.4-1
Description:	Security and Civil Liberties, public security measures
Question:	Since the September 11, 2001 attacks there have been changes in security at public places such as airports, stadiums and government buildings. Have these changes in security gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have gone too far	337	897	1234
2. Are just about right	1179	2115	3294
3. Do not go far enough	369	546	915
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	43	0	43
-9. Refused	1	23	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have gone too far	16.4	16.8	23.2	22.3	20.9	20.4
2. Are just about right	57.4	58.6	54.8	55.1	55.7	56.3
3. Do not go far enough	18.0	16.8	14.1	14.2	15.5	15.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.6

knowl_housemaj

Label:	Know party with most members in House before election
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 127.6-1
Description:	Political knowledge
Question:	Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington BEFORE the election [this/last] month?
Universe:	IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS NOVEMBER/ IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS DECEMBER:
Logic:	Load 'this' if current date is in November; load 'last' if current date is in December. (Assumes no Post interviews in January)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats	302	900	1202
2. Republicans (correct)	929	2574	3503
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	698	0	698
-9. Refused	0	107	107

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats	14.7	15.4	23.3	26.1	20.3	22.4
2. Republicans (correct)	45.2	48.2	66.7	62.9	59.2	57.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	34.0	30.7	0.0	0.0	11.8	10.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.8	3.5	1.8	2.3

knowl_senmaj

Label:	Know party with most members in Senate before electn
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 127.6-2
Description:	Political knowledge
Question:	Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election [this/last] month?
Universe:	IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS NOVEMBER/ IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS DECEMBER:
Logic:	Load 'this' if current date is in November; load 'last' if current date is in December. (Assumes no Post interviews in January)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats (correct)	679	2345	3024
2. Republicans	401	1099	1500
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	847	0	847
-9. Refused	2	137	139

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats (correct)	33.1	40.1	60.8	57.4	51.1	51.4
2. Republicans	19.5	16.5	28.5	30.6	25.4	25.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	41.2	37.5	0.0	0.0	14.3	13.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	3.5	4.5	2.4	2.9

wpres_gdbd

Label:	Women President in next 20 years
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-1
Description:	Woman President
Question:	Would it be good, bad, or neither good nor bad if the United States has a woman President in the next 20 years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Good if the united states has a woman President	1073	1195	2268
2. Bad if the united states has a woman President	92	207	299
3. Neither good nor bad if the united states has a woman President	724	2161	2885
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	37	0	37
-9. Refused	3	18	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Good if the united states has a woman President	52.2	47.7	31.0	30.5	38.3	36.5
2. Bad if the united states has a woman President	4.5	4.0	5.4	5.9	5.1	5.3
3. Neither good nor bad if the united states has a woman President	35.2	41.1	56.0	55.4	48.8	50.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4

wpres_gdstr

Label:	How good would it be if we had a woman President
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-1.1
Description:	Woman President
Question:	How good? [Extremely good, moderately good, or a little good / a little good, moderately good or extremely good]?
Universe:	IF R SAYS IT WOULD BE GOOD IF U.S. HAS WOMAN PRESIDENT IN NEXT 20 YEARS:
Logic:	Ask if WPRES_GCBD =1 else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with WPRES_GCBD.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely	588	631	1219
2. Moderately	423	510	933
3. A little	50	51	101
-1. Inapplicable	856	2386	3242
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	0	3	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely	28.6	24.1	16.3	14.8	20.6	18.0
2. Moderately	20.6	20.9	13.2	14.2	15.8	16.5
3. A little	2.4	2.1	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.7
-1. Inapplicable	41.7	46.6	61.8	62.0	54.8	56.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

wpres_bdstr

Label:	How bad would it be if we had a woman President
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-1.2
Description:	Woman President
Question:	How bad? [Extremely bad, moderately bad, or a little bad/ a little bad, moderately bad, or extremely bad]?
Universe:	IF R SAYS IT WOULD BE BAD IF U.S. HAS WOMAN PRESIDENT IN NEXT 20 YEARS:
Logic:	Ask if WPRES_GDBD =2, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with WPRES_GCBD.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely	33	107	140
2. Moderately	34	83	117
3. A little	23	17	40
-1. Inapplicable	1837	3374	5211
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely	1.6	1.2	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.3
2. Moderately	1.7	1.6	2.2	2.4	2.0	2.1
3. A little	1.1	1.2	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	89.4	90.2	87.4	86.5	88.1	87.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

wpres_gdbd_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- How good/bad woman Pres in next 20yrs

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely good	588	631	1219
2. Moderately good	423	510	933
3. A little good	50	51	101
4. Neither good nor bad	724	2161	2885
5. A little bad	23	17	40
6. Moderately bad	34	83	117
7. Extremely bad	33	107	140
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	51	0	51
-9. Refused	3	21	24

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely good	28.6	24.1	16.3	14.8	20.6	18.0
2. Moderately good	20.6	20.9	13.2	14.2	15.8	16.5
3. A little good	2.4	2.1	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.7
4. Neither good nor bad	35.2	41.1	56.0	55.4	48.8	50.4
5. A little bad	1.1	1.2	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8
6. Moderately bad	1.7	1.6	2.2	2.4	2.0	2.1
7. Extremely bad	1.6	1.2	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

wpres_importyes

Label:	Important that woman elected president - Good
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-2
Description:	Woman President
Question:	How important is it to you that a woman is elected President of the United States? [Extemely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important /Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important]?
Universe:	IF GOOD OR ELSE NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD THAT A WOMAN PRES IS ELECTED IN NEXT 20 YRS:
Logic:	Ask if WPRES_GDBD in(1,3), else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	191	217	408
2. Very important	284	356	640
3. Moderately important	374	871	1245
4. A little important	125	406	531
5. Not at all important	95	1504	1599
-1. Inapplicable	856	225	1081
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	9.3	6.9	5.6	5.4	6.9	5.9
2. Very important	13.8	11.6	9.2	8.0	10.8	9.3
3. Moderately important	18.2	17.4	22.6	24.2	21.1	21.8
4. A little important	6.1	6.6	10.5	11.1	9.0	9.5
5. Not at all important	4.6	5.0	39.0	37.2	27.0	26.1
-1. Inapplicable	41.7	46.6	5.8	6.5	18.3	20.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

wpres_importno

Label:	Important that woman elected president --Bad
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 128.7-2.1
Description:	Woman President
Question:	How important is it to you that a woman is not elected President of the United States? [Extemely important, very important, moderately important, slightly important, or not at all important /Not at all important, slightly important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important]?
Universe:	IF BAD THAT A WOMAN PRES IS ELECTED IN NEXT 20 YRS:
Logic:	Ask if WPRES_GDBD =2, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	13	49	62
2. Very important	17	62	79
3. Moderately important	30	51	81
4. A little important	18	22	40
5. Not at all important	14	22	36
-1. Inapplicable	1837	3374	5211
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	0.6	0.5	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.0
2. Very important	0.8	0.7	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.1
3. Moderately important	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.8	1.4	1.6
4. A little important	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
5. Not at all important	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	89.4	90.2	87.4	86.5	88.1	87.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

budget_deficit

Label:	Favor or oppose reducing federal deficit
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-2
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the federal government doing things now to reduce this budget deficit?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with BUDGINTRO.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1465	2583	4048
2. Oppose	129	300	429
3. Neither favor nor oppose	289	683	972
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	41	0	41
-9. Refused	5	15	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	71.3	76.0	66.9	63.3	68.4	67.7
2. Oppose	6.3	5.5	7.8	8.9	7.3	7.7
3. Neither favor nor oppose	14.1	11.2	17.7	19.7	16.4	16.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4

budget_deficitfav

Label:	How strongly favor reducing deficit
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-3
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	Do you favor that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:
Logic:	Ask if BUDGET_BUDGRED = 1 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with BUDGRED.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1266	2158	3424
2. Not strongly	195	421	616
-1. Inapplicable	464	998	1462
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	61.6	66.4	55.9	52.0	57.9	57.0
2. Not strongly	9.5	9.5	10.9	11.1	10.4	10.5
-1. Inapplicable	22.6	18.2	25.9	29.1	24.7	25.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

budget_deficitopp

Label:	How strongly oppose reducing deficit
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-4
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	Do you oppose that STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:
Logic:	Ask if BUDGET_BUDGRED = 2 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with BUDGRED.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	87	210	297
2. Not strongly	41	88	129
-1. Inapplicable	1800	3281	5081
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	4.2	3.5	5.4	5.9	5.0	5.1
2. Not strongly	2.0	1.9	2.3	2.8	2.2	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	87.6	88.7	85.0	83.6	85.9	85.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1

budget_deficitlean

Label:	Lean to favor or oppose deficit reduction
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-5
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:
Logic:	Ask if BUDGET_BUDGRED = 3 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with BUDGRED.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	43	174	217
2. Lean toward opposing	18	94	112
3. Do not lean either way	224	413	637
-1. Inapplicable	1640	2898	4538
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	2.1	1.6	4.5	4.9	3.7	3.8
2. Lean toward opposing	0.9	0.6	2.4	2.9	1.9	2.1
3. Do not lean either way	10.9	8.8	10.7	11.8	10.8	10.8
-1. Inapplicable	79.8	83.1	75.1	72.7	76.7	76.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

budget_deficit_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor reducing the Federal budget deficit

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly favor	1266	2158	3424
2. Not very strongly favor	195	421	616
3. Lean toward favoring	43	174	217
4. Do not lean either way	224	413	637
5. Lean toward opposing	18	94	112
6. Not very strongly oppose	41	88	129
7. Strongly oppose	87	210	297
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	50	0	50
-9. Refused	5	23	28

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly favor	61.6	66.4	55.9	52.0	57.9	57.0
2. Not very strongly favor	9.5	9.5	10.9	11.1	10.4	10.5
3. Lean toward favoring	2.1	1.6	4.5	4.9	3.7	3.8
4. Do not lean either way	10.9	8.8	10.7	11.8	10.8	10.8
5. Lean toward opposing	0.9	0.6	2.4	2.9	1.9	2.1
6. Not very strongly oppose	2.0	1.9	2.3	2.8	2.2	2.5
7. Strongly oppose	4.2	3.5	5.4	5.9	5.0	5.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.4	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.7

budget_defimp

Label:	Importance of reducing deficit
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-6
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	How important is it to reduce the deficit? [EXTREMELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, A LITTLE IMPORTANT, or NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT? / NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT, A LITTLE IMPORTANT, MODERATELY IMPORTANT, VERY IMPORTANT, or EXTREMELY IMPORTANT?]
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	874	1580	2454
2. Very important	631	1154	1785
3. Moderately important	293	612	905
4. A little important	69	168	237
5. Not at all important	34	50	84
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	26	0	26
-9. Refused	2	17	19

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	42.6	45.2	40.9	39.7	41.5	41.6
2. Very important	30.7	29.4	29.9	29.3	30.2	29.3
3. Moderately important	14.3	15.2	15.9	17.1	15.3	16.4
4. A little important	3.4	2.2	4.4	4.5	4.0	3.7
5. Not at all important	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4

budget_rdef250k

Label: POST: Reduce deficit by raising personal inc tax for over 250K inc

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1417	2446	3863
2. Oppose	310	587	897
3. Neither favor nor oppose	179	531	710
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	18	0	18
-9. Refused	5	17	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	69.0	66.3	63.4	61.3	65.3	63.0
2. Oppose	15.1	17.3	15.2	15.1	15.2	15.9
3. Neither favor nor oppose	8.7	9.7	13.8	15.4	12.0	13.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5

budget_rdefmed

Label:	Reduce deficit by replacing medicare with voucher system
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-8
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)] Replace Medicare with a voucher program
Randomization:	Order of deficit reduction items
Universe:	IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	232	472	704
2. Oppose	1219	2052	3271
3. Neither favor nor oppose	310	1038	1348
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	161	0	161
-9. Refused	7	19	26

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	11.3	10.6	12.2	12.2	11.9	11.7
2. Oppose	59.3	58.5	53.2	50.3	55.3	53.2
3. Neither favor nor oppose	15.1	17.9	26.9	29.2	22.8	25.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	7.8	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.4
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

budget_rdefctax

Label:	Reduce deficit by increasing corporate taxes
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-9
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)] Increase corporate taxes
Randomization:	Order of deficit reduction items
Universe:	IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1257	1994	3251
2. Oppose	382	705	1087
3. Neither favor nor oppose	232	863	1095
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	54	0	54
-9. Refused	4	19	23

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	61.2	57.1	51.7	51.0	55.0	53.1
2. Oppose	18.6	23.1	18.3	17.2	18.4	19.3
3. Neither favor nor oppose	11.3	11.6	22.4	23.5	18.5	19.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.6	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

budget_rdefmil

Label:	Reduce deficit by cutting military spending
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-10
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)] Cut military spending
Randomization:	Order of deficit reduction items
Universe:	IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	665	1321	1986
2. Oppose	1005	1442	2447
3. Neither favor nor oppose	225	802	1027
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	29	0	29
-9. Refused	5	16	21

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	32.4	31.2	34.2	32.3	33.6	32.0
2. Oppose	48.9	51.8	37.4	37.4	41.4	42.4
3. Neither favor nor oppose	11.0	10.1	20.8	22.1	17.4	18.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.4	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4

budget_rdefemp

Label:	Reduce deficit by cutting fed employees by 10 percent
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-11
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)] Reduce the number of federal government employees by 10 percent
Randomization:	Order of deficit reduction items
Universe:	IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	982	2123	3105
2. Oppose	592	488	1080
3. Neither favor nor oppose	305	955	1260
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	45	0	45
-9. Refused	5	15	20

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	47.8	53.6	55.0	53.2	52.5	53.3
2. Oppose	28.8	24.5	12.6	12.6	18.3	16.7
3. Neither favor nor oppose	14.8	14.4	24.7	26.1	21.3	22.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.2	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4

budget_rdefgov

Label:	Reduce deficit by cutting govt activities excluding military
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129-12
Description:	Federal deficit reduction
Question:	[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: / (Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)] Spend less on all U.S. government activities besides the military
Randomization:	Order of deficit reduction items
Universe:	IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES / IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1221	2235	3456
2. Oppose	425	545	970
3. Neither favor nor oppose	234	786	1020
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	45	0	45
-9. Refused	4	15	19

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	59.4	62.7	57.9	56.8	58.4	58.9
2. Oppose	20.7	18.3	14.1	14.2	16.4	15.7
3. Neither favor nor oppose	11.4	11.2	20.4	20.8	17.2	17.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.2	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.5

patriot_flag

Label:	Emotion seeing flag fly
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129.5-1
Description:	Patriotism
Question:	When you see the American flag flying does it make you feel [EXTREMELY GOOD, VERY GOOD, MODERATELY GOOD, SLIGHTLY GOOD, or NOT GOOD AT ALL / NOT GOOD AT ALL, SLIGHTLY GOOD, MODERATELY GOOD, VERY GOOD, or EXTREMELY GOOD]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely good	836	1520	2356
2. Very good	612	1055	1667
3. Moderately good	295	627	922
4. Slightly good	124	244	368
5. Not good at all	44	109	153
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	4	26	30

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely good	40.7	43.5	39.4	36.7	39.8	39.1
2. Very good	29.8	30.2	27.3	27.1	28.2	28.2
3. Moderately good	14.4	12.9	16.2	18.0	15.6	16.2
4. Slightly good	6.0	5.2	6.3	6.5	6.2	6.1
5. Not good at all	2.1	1.4	2.8	3.2	2.6	2.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.7

patriot_love

Label:	Love of country
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129.5-2
Description:	Patriotism
Question:	How do you feel about this country? Do you [hate it, dislike it, neither like nor dislike it, like it, or love it / love it, like it, neither like nor dislike it, dislike it, or hate it]?
Logic:	Please note that this is forward/reverse although it is not a unipolar item.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Hate it	7	10	17
2. Dislike it	18	50	68
3. Neither like nor dislike it	96	244	340
4. Like it	545	882	1427
5. Love it	1262	2382	3644
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	13	13

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Hate it	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4
2. Dislike it	0.9	0.7	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.1
3. Neither like nor dislike it	4.7	3.6	6.3	8.9	5.7	7.1
4. Like it	26.5	23.0	22.8	23.8	24.1	23.5
5. Love it	61.4	66.6	61.7	57.3	61.6	60.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3

patriot_amident

Label:	Important being American
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 129.5-4
Description:	Patriotism
Question:	How important is being an American to you personally? [EXTREMELY important, VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, A LITTLE important, or NOT AT ALL important /NOT AT ALL important, A LITTLE important, SOMEWHAT important, VERY important, or EXTREMELY important]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	1088	2054	3142
2. Very important	596	911	1507
3. Somewhat important	157	387	544
4. A little important	54	146	200
5. Not at all important	29	70	99
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	13	13

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	53.0	57.2	53.2	49.0	53.1	51.8
2. Very important	29.0	26.5	23.6	24.7	25.5	25.3
3. Somewhat important	7.6	7.0	10.0	11.4	9.2	9.8
4. A little important	2.6	1.8	3.8	5.0	3.4	3.9
5. Not at all important	1.4	1.5	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

milln_milltax

Label:	Favor or oppose tax on millionaires
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 130-1
Description:	Millionaire tax
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increasing income taxes on people making over one million dollars per year?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1570	2748	4318
2. Oppose	197	364	561
3. Neither favor nor oppose	145	453	598
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	15	0	15
-9. Refused	2	16	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	76.4	74.2	71.2	68.4	73.0	70.4
2. Oppose	9.6	10.8	9.4	10.0	9.5	10.3
3. Neither favor nor oppose	7.1	8.5	11.7	13.5	10.1	11.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4

milln_milltaxst

Label:	How strongly favor/oppose
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 130-2
Description:	Millionaire tax
Question:	Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal] / Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal] ?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS INCREASING THE INCOME TAX PAID BY MILLIONAIRES / IF R OPPOSES INCREASING THE INCOME TAX PAID BY MILLIONAIRES:
Logic:	Ask if MILL_MILLTAX in(1,2), esle skip.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with MILL_MILLTAX.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	1206	2177	3383
2. A moderate amount	447	755	1202
3. A little	110	177	287
-1. Inapplicable	162	469	631
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	3	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	58.7	55.5	56.4	55.1	57.2	55.2
2. A moderate amount	21.8	24.2	19.6	18.5	20.3	20.5
3. A little	5.4	5.2	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.9
-1. Inapplicable	7.9	9.2	12.2	14.0	10.7	12.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

milln_milltax_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor tax on millionaires

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	1105	1959	3064
2. Favor a moderate amount	376	641	1017
3. Favor a little	86	146	232
4. Neither favor nor oppose	145	453	598
5. Oppose a little	24	31	55
6. Oppose a moderate amount	71	114	185
7. Oppose a great deal	101	218	319
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	19	0	19
-9. Refused	2	19	21

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	53.8	50.3	50.8	49.1	51.8	49.5
2. Favor a moderate amount	18.3	19.6	16.6	15.5	17.2	16.9
3. Favor a little	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.9
4. Neither favor nor oppose	7.1	8.5	11.7	13.5	10.1	11.7
5. Oppose a little	1.2	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.0
6. Oppose a moderate amount	3.5	4.6	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.6
7. Oppose a great deal	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.9	5.4	5.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

fairjob_opin

Label:	Opinion about govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 131-2
Description:	Govt ensure fair jobs for blacks
Question:	Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?
Web Logic:	Online, include a small text box in place of 'SPECIFY'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Government in washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs	1066	1293	2359
2. This is not the federal government's business	740	1816	2556
5. Other (SPECIFY)	39	440	479
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	64	0	64
-9. Refused	20	32	52

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Government in washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs	51.9	46.0	33.5	30.0	39.9	35.5
2. This is not the federal government's business	36.0	41.8	47.0	50.2	43.2	47.3
5. Other (SPECIFY)	1.9	2.4	11.4	11.2	8.1	8.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.1	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0
-9. Refused	1.0	1.1	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.1

fairjob_opin_oth

Label: POST: Other specify- opinion about fair jobs

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

fairjob_yes

Label:	How much feel govt should ensure fair jobs for blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 131-3
Description:	Govt ensure fair jobs for blacks
Question:	Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that the government in Washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs?
Universe:	IF R POSITION IS THAT GOVT SHOULD SEE TO FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS:
Logic:	Ask if FAIRJOB_FJOBOPIN =1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	915	925	1840
2. Not strongly	148	367	515
-1. Inapplicable	863	2288	3151
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	44.5	37.6	24.0	20.7	31.1	26.5
2. Not strongly	7.2	8.3	9.5	9.3	8.7	8.9
-1. Inapplicable	42.0	48.3	59.3	62.5	53.3	57.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

fairjob_no

Label:	How much feel govt not ensure fair jobs for blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 131-4
Description:	Govt ensure fair jobs for blacks
Question:	Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that this is not the federal government's business?
Universe:	IF R POSITION IS THAT FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS:
Logic:	Ask if FAIRJOB_FJOBOPIN =2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	545	1282	1827
2. Not strongly	189	531	720
-1. Inapplicable	1189	1765	2954
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	26.5	31.8	33.2	34.3	30.9	33.4
2. Not strongly	9.2	9.8	13.8	15.8	12.2	13.8
-1. Inapplicable	57.9	52.4	45.7	42.2	49.9	45.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

fairjob_opin_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Opinion about govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Feel strongly that govt should see to fair treatment	915	925	1840
2. Feel not strongly that govt should see to fair treatment	148	367	515
4. Feel not strongly that fair treatment is not govt business	189	531	720
5. Feel strongly that fair treatment is not govt business	545	1282	1827
-1. Inapplicable	39	440	479
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	73	0	73
-9. Refused	20	36	56

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Feel strongly that govt should see to fair treatment	44.5	37.6	24.0	20.7	31.1	26.5
2. Feel not strongly that govt should see to fair treatment	7.2	8.3	9.5	9.3	8.7	8.9
4. Feel not strongly that fair treatment is not govt business	9.2	9.8	13.8	15.8	12.2	13.8
5. Feel strongly that fair treatment is not govt business	26.5	31.8	33.2	34.3	30.9	33.4
-1. Inapplicable	1.9	2.4	11.4	11.2	8.1	8.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.6	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1
-9. Refused	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.2

imports_limit

Label:	Favor or oppose limits on foreign imports
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 133-1
Description:	Limits on foreign imports
Question:	Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	712	1229	1941
2. Oppose	296	680	976
-2. Haven't thought much about this	894	1653	2547
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	25	0	25
-9. Refused	2	19	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	34.7	36.0	31.8	31.4	32.8	33.0
2. Oppose	14.4	16.7	17.6	15.9	16.5	16.2
-2. Haven't thought much about this	43.5	40.3	42.8	44.4	43.1	43.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5

israel_support

Label:	Is U.S. too supportive of Israel or not supportive enough
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 133.3-1
Description:	U.S. Support for Israel
Question:	Thinking about the relationship between the United States and Israel, is the U.S. too supportive of Israel, not supportive enough of Israel, or is U.S. support of Israel about right?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Too supportive	435	912	1347
2. Not supportive enough	286	838	1124
3. About right	1006	1787	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	189	0	189
-9. Refused	13	44	57

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Too supportive	21.2	20.5	23.6	23.8	22.8	22.6
2. Not supportive enough	13.9	17.1	21.7	21.4	19.0	19.9
3. About right	49.0	48.4	46.3	45.5	47.2	46.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	9.2	7.8	0.0	0.0	3.2	2.7
-9. Refused	0.6	0.5	1.1	1.7	1.0	1.3

immigpo_level

Label:	What should immigration levels be
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134-1
Description:	Immigration levels and consequences
Question:	Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be [INCREASED A LOT, INCREASED A LITTLE, LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW, DECREASED A LITTLE, or DECREASED A LOT / DECREASED A LOT, DECREASED A LITTLE, LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW, INCREASED A LITTLE, or INCREASED A LOT]?
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased a lot	99	142	241
2. Increased a little	168	363	531
3. Left the same as it is now	857	1461	2318
4. Decreased a little	383	720	1103
5. Decreased a lot	335	862	1197
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	78	0	78
-9. Refused	9	33	42

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased a lot	4.8	4.3	3.7	3.4	4.1	3.7
2. Increased a little	8.2	8.9	9.4	8.9	9.0	8.9
3. Left the same as it is now	41.7	40.1	37.8	36.8	39.2	37.9
4. Decreased a little	18.6	19.7	18.7	17.5	18.7	18.3
5. Decreased a lot	16.3	17.2	22.3	24.7	20.2	22.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.8	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3
-9. Refused	0.4	0.3	0.9	1.2	0.7	0.9

immigpo_jobs

Label:	How likely immigration will take away jobs
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134-2
Description:	Immigration levels and consequences
Question:	Now I'd like to ask you about immigration in recent years. How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here -- [EXTREMELY likely, VERY likely, SOMEWHAT likely, or NOT AT ALL likely / NOT AT ALL likely, SOMEWHAT likely, VERY likely, or EXTREMELY likely]?
Web Logic:	Online, change 'I'd' to 'we'd'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely	402	623	1025
2. Very	365	695	1060
3. Somewhat	744	1566	2310
4. Not at all	387	669	1056
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	28	0	28
-9. Refused	3	28	31

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely	19.6	18.2	16.1	17.5	17.3	17.7
2. Very	17.8	19.4	18.0	18.4	17.9	18.8
3. Somewhat	36.2	39.1	40.6	39.9	39.1	39.6
4. Not at all	18.8	16.6	17.3	15.5	17.9	15.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.8

hlthlaw_qual

Label:	Health Care Law effect on health care services
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.2-1
Description:	Effect of health care law
Question:	[After/If] the health care law is fully implemented, will it have improved, worsened, or had no effect on the quality of health care services in the United States?
Universe:	IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE OBAMA / IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ROMNEY:
Logic:	Preload variable winner will contain the value for the winner of the 2012 Presidential election. Its initial value will be a dummy value. It will be necessary immediately after the election results and prior to any Post-election interviewing for the interviewer to sync in order to capture the name of the winning candidate (Romney or Obama) which will be made available to the interviewer ASAP after the election through syncing. Load 'After' if preload variable winner=Obama; load 'If' if preload variable winner=Romney.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Improved	815	1324	2139
2. Worsened	550	1574	2124
3. Had no effect	301	648	949
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	258	0	258
-9. Refused	5	35	40

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Improved	39.7	31.4	34.3	30.2	36.2	30.6
2. Worsened	26.8	36.8	40.8	43.3	35.9	41.0
3. Had no effect	14.7	14.1	16.8	17.7	16.0	16.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	12.6	11.8	0.0	0.0	4.4	4.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.2	0.7	0.9

hlthlaw_num

Label:	Health Care Law effect on number insured
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.2-2
Description:	Effect of health care law
Question:	[After/If] the health care law is fully implemented, will it have increased, decreased, or had no effect on the number of Americans with health insurance?
Universe:	IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE OBAMA / IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ROMNEY:
Logic:	Load 'After' if preload variable winner=Obama; load 'If' if preload variable winner=Romney.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Increased	1227	2211	3438
2. Decreased	217	507	724
3. Had no effect	257	830	1087
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	224	0	224
-9. Refused	4	33	37

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Increased	59.7	58.7	57.3	54.8	58.1	56.1
2. Decreased	10.6	12.3	13.1	14.1	12.2	13.5
3. Had no effect	12.5	13.1	21.5	22.4	18.4	19.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	10.9	10.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.5
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.1	0.6	0.8

iran_nukdev

Label:	Iran trying to develop nuclear weapons
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-1
Description:	Policy and attitudes toward Iran
Question:	Do you think Iran is or is not trying to develop nuclear weapons?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Trying to develop nuclear weapons	1536	3206	4742
2. Not trying to develop nuclear weapons	112	305	417
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	275	0	275
-9. Refused	6	70	76

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Trying to develop nuclear weapons	74.8	78.4	83.1	80.6	80.2	79.9
2. Not trying to develop nuclear weapons	5.5	4.7	7.9	9.6	7.1	7.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	13.4	10.8	0.0	0.0	4.6	3.8
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	1.8	2.2	1.3	1.6

iran_nukdip

Label:	Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: direct diplomatic talks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-2
Description:	Policy and attitudes toward Iran
Question:	To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose..... Direct diplomatic talks between the United States and Iran to try to resolve the situation
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1551	2426	3977
2. Oppose	146	298	444
3. Neither favor nor oppose	171	830	1001
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	56	0	56
-9. Refused	5	27	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	75.5	76.7	62.8	59.5	67.2	65.4
2. Oppose	7.1	6.4	7.7	8.2	7.5	7.6
3. Neither favor nor oppose	8.3	9.1	21.5	23.7	16.9	18.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.7	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.8

iran_nuksanct

Label:	Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: increase sanctions
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-4
Description:	Policy and attitudes toward Iran
Question:	To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose... Increasing international economic sanctions against Iran
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1208	2464	3672
2. Oppose	224	243	467
3. Neither favor nor oppose	344	841	1185
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	148	0	148
-9. Refused	5	33	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	58.8	62.9	63.8	59.7	62.1	60.8
2. Oppose	10.9	9.2	6.3	7.2	7.9	7.9
3. Neither favor nor oppose	16.7	15.8	21.8	24.4	20.0	21.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	7.2	6.2	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.2
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.2	0.6	0.8

iran_nuksite

Label:	Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: bomb development sites
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-6
Description:	Policy and attitudes toward Iran
Question:	(To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose...) The United States bombing Iran's nuclear development sites
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	579	1096	1675
2. Oppose	899	1271	2170
3. Neither favor nor oppose	361	1187	1548
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	82	0	82
-9. Refused	8	27	35

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	28.2	27.3	28.4	28.0	28.3	27.7
2. Oppose	43.8	44.4	32.9	31.7	36.7	36.1
3. Neither favor nor oppose	17.6	18.4	30.8	31.8	26.2	27.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.3
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.8

iran_nukeinvd

Label:	Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: invade with U.S. troops
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.4-8
Description:	Policy and attitudes toward Iran
Question:	(To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose...) Invading with U.S. forces to remove the Iranian government from power
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	455	643	1098
2. Oppose	1038	1758	2796
3. Neither favor nor oppose	336	1150	1486
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	93	0	93
-9. Refused	7	30	37

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	22.2	18.5	16.7	16.6	18.6	17.3
2. Oppose	50.5	55.1	45.5	42.6	47.3	47.0
3. Neither favor nor oppose	16.4	17.0	29.8	32.2	25.1	26.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.5	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.2
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.8	1.0	0.6	0.8

china_econ

Label:	Effect of China's economic expansion on U.S.
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.7-1
Description:	Policy and attitudes toward China
Question:	Do you think the recent economic expansion of China has been generally good for the U.S., bad for the U.S., or had no effect on the U.S. economy?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Good	377	719	1096
2. Bad	1010	2007	3017
3. No effect	291	812	1103
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	249	0	249
-9. Refused	2	43	45

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Good	18.4	18.4	18.6	16.1	18.5	16.9
2. Bad	49.2	53.1	52.0	51.8	51.0	52.3
3. No effect	14.2	12.1	21.0	22.7	18.7	19.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	12.1	10.5	0.0	0.0	4.2	3.6
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	1.1	1.7	0.8	1.2

china_mil

Label:	China military threat
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.7-2
Description:	Policy and attitudes toward China
Question:	Do you think China's military is [a major threat to the security of the United States, a minor threat, or not a threat / not a threat, a minor threat, or a major threat to the security of the United States]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Major threat	571	973	1544
2. Minor threat	820	1805	2625
3. Not a threat	388	755	1143
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	146	0	146
-9. Refused	4	48	52

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Major threat	27.8	26.3	25.2	24.0	26.1	24.8
2. Minor threat	39.9	43.3	46.8	47.2	44.4	45.8
3. Not a threat	18.9	18.7	19.6	19.6	19.3	19.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	7.1	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.0
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	1.2	1.7	0.9	1.2

ecperil_putoffmed

Label:	Put off checkup and vaccines
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-1
Description:	Economic Peril
Question:	We are interested in finding out whether or not people are getting regular check ups and vaccinations as well as treatment for illness and injury. During the past 12 months, have you or anyone living here put off getting this kind of health care because of the cost, or has no one living here put off getting this kind of health care because of the cost?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Someone has put off health care	496	876	1372
2. No one has put off health care	1420	2689	4109
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	13	0	13
-9. Refused	0	16	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Someone has put off health care	24.1	21.4	22.7	23.8	23.2	23.0
2. No one has put off health care	69.1	72.6	69.7	68.0	69.5	69.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4

ecperil_payhlthcst

Label:	Will you pay all costs
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-2
Description:	Economic Peril
Question:	During the next 12 months, how likely is it that you will be able to pay for all of your health care costs? [Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely?]
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely likely	490	1085	1575
2. Very likely	440	933	1373
3. Moderately likely	355	701	1056
4. Slightly likely	254	352	606
5. Not likely at all	366	490	856
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	24	0	24
-9. Refused	0	20	20

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely likely	23.9	28.9	28.1	25.6	26.6	26.8
2. Very likely	21.4	23.4	24.2	22.6	23.2	22.9
3. Moderately likely	17.3	16.3	18.2	19.5	17.9	18.4
4. Slightly likely	12.4	10.1	9.1	9.8	10.2	9.9
5. Not likely at all	17.8	14.8	12.7	14.1	14.5	14.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.5

ecperil_worry

Label:	Worry about financial situation
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-3
Description:	Economic Peril
Question:	So far as you and your family are concerned, how worried are you about your current financial situation? [Extremely worried, very worried, moderately worried, a little worried, or not at all worred / not at all worried, a little worried, moderately worried, very worried, or extremely worried?]
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely worried	263	425	688
2. Very worried	277	486	763
3. Moderately worried	563	1073	1636
4. A little worried	480	1027	1507
5. Not at all worried	343	556	899
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	14	15

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely worried	12.8	9.5	11.0	11.6	11.6	10.9
2. Very worried	13.5	12.4	12.6	12.1	12.9	12.2
3. Moderately worried	27.4	29.3	27.8	28.8	27.7	29.0
4. A little worried	23.4	24.7	26.6	25.4	25.5	25.2
5. Not at all worried	16.7	18.2	14.4	14.0	15.2	15.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4

ecperil_home

Label:	Home tenure
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-4
Description:	Economic Peril
Question:	Do you pay rent for your home, make monthly mortgage payments for your home, own your home outright with no payments due, or have some other living arrangement?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Pay rent	820	881	1701
2. Pay mortgage	692	1588	2280
3. Own home with no payments due	256	853	1109
4. Some other arrangement	154	245	399
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	6	14	20

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Pay rent	39.9	26.8	22.8	23.4	28.8	24.6
2. Pay mortgage	33.7	42.1	41.1	40.9	38.6	41.3
3. Own home with no payments due	12.5	17.1	22.1	20.4	18.8	19.3
4. Some other arrangement	7.5	7.9	6.3	7.1	6.7	7.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.5

ecperil_payany

Label:	Any payments for home for non-owners non-renters
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-5
Description:	Economic Peril
Question:	Do you pay any money for your housing, or do you not pay any money for your housing?
Universe:	IF R HAS A LIVING ARRANGEMENT OTHER THAN HOME OWNERSHIP OR RENTAL:
Logic:	Ask if ECPERIL_HOME=4, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Pay money for housing	57	90	147
2. Do not pay money for housing	94	151	245
-1. Inapplicable	1775	3336	5111
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	2	4	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Pay money for housing	2.8	3.1	2.3	2.6	2.5	2.8
2. Do not pay money for housing	4.6	4.7	3.9	4.4	4.1	4.5
-1. Inapplicable	86.4	86.4	86.4	85.3	86.4	85.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

ecperil_payhouse

Label:	Able to make housing payments
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-6
Description:	Economic Peril
Question:	During the next 12 months, how likely is it that you will be able to make all of your [rent/mortgage/housing] payments on time? [Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely?]
Universe:	IF R PAYS RENT/ IF R OWNS HIS OR HER HOME AND PAYS A MORTGAGE / IF R DOES NOT PAY RENT OR A MORTGAGE BUT STILL PAYS MONEY FOR HIS OR HER HOUSING:
Logic:	Ask if ECPERIL_HOME in(1,2) or if ECPERIL_PAYANY=1, else skip. If ECPERIL_HOME=1 load 'rent'; if ECPERIL_HOME=2 load 'mortgage'; if ECPERIL_PAYANY=1 load 'housing'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely likely	680	0	680
2. Very likely	480	0	480
3. Moderately likely	263	0	263
4. Slightly likely	85	0	85
5. Not likely at all	51	0	51
-1. Inapplicable	360	3581	3941
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	10	0	10

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely likely	33.1	36.1	0.0	0.0	11.5	12.5
2. Very likely	23.4	20.7	0.0	0.0	8.1	7.2
3. Moderately likely	12.8	9.7	0.0	0.0	4.4	3.4
4. Slightly likely	4.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.1
5. Not likely at all	2.5	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	17.5	22.3	92.8	92.4	66.6	68.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1

ecperil_lostjobs

Label:	Anyone lost jobs
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 134.9-7
Description:	Economic Peril
Question:	During the past 12 months, has anyone in your family or a close personal friend lost a job, or has no one in your family and no close personal friend lost a job in the past 12 months?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Someone lost a job	1117	1455	2572
2. No one lost a job	798	2113	2911
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	13	0	13
-9. Refused	1	13	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Someone lost a job	54.4	52.0	37.7	35.6	43.5	41.3
2. No one lost a job	38.9	41.5	54.7	56.3	49.2	51.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3

libcpo_self

Label:	7pt scale liberal-Conservate: self placement
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 135-2
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	58	108	166
2. Liberal	216	430	646
3. Slightly liberal	189	450	639
4. Moderate; middle of the road	519	1237	1756
5. Slightly conservative	211	460	671
6. Conservative	247	728	975
7. Extremely conservative	54	134	188
-2. Haven't thought much (do not probe)	410	0	410
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	23	0	23
-9. Refused	2	34	36

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	2.8	1.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.4
2. Liberal	10.5	9.8	11.1	10.1	10.9	10.0
3. Slightly liberal	9.2	10.0	11.7	11.5	10.8	11.0
4. Moderate; middle of the road	25.3	23.5	32.0	32.7	29.7	29.5
5. Slightly conservative	10.3	13.2	11.9	12.4	11.3	12.7
6. Conservative	12.0	16.7	18.9	17.6	16.5	17.3
7. Extremely conservative	2.6	3.2	3.5	4.0	3.2	3.7
-2. Haven't thought much (do not probe)	20.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	6.9	5.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.9	1.3	0.6	0.9

libcpo_selfch

Label:	If had to choose, liberal or conservative
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 135-3
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?
Universe:	IF MODERATE, DK, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE:
Logic:	Ask if LIBCPO_LIBCPOSELF in(4,DK,99) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Liberal	295	0	295
2. Conservative	389	0	389
3. Moderate (VOL)	151	0	151
-1. Inapplicable	977	3581	4558
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	106	0	106
-9. Refused	11	0	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Liberal	14.4	11.6	0.0	0.0	5.0	4.0
2. Conservative	18.9	17.7	0.0	0.0	6.6	6.2
3. Moderate (VOL)	7.4	5.6	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	47.6	54.6	92.8	92.4	77.1	79.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.2	4.1	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.4
-9. Refused	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

libcpo_hdc

Label:	7pt scale liberal-conservative: Democratic House cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 135-4
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: dem_hsename on this scale?
Randomization:	Order of House candidates
Universe:	IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE: IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded name of Democratic House candidate in var dem_hsename is not null or blank and if thermometer rating in THERMPO_THPOHDC (thermometer rating for House Democratic candidate) is not 999. 'Don't know who this is'; else skip. Randomize the order in which placements for the Democratic and Republican House candidates are asked.
Web Logic:	Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet)'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	68	242	310
2. Liberal	267	658	925
3. Slightly liberal	212	584	796
4. Moderate; middle of the road	281	908	1189
5. Slightly conservative	95	153	248
6. Conservative	91	128	219
7. Extremely conservative	21	32	53
-1. Inapplicable	573	745	1318
-4. Error	0	6	6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	316	0	316
-9. Refused	5	125	130

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	3.3	3.4	6.3	5.3	5.2	4.6
2. Liberal	13.0	15.3	17.0	15.1	15.6	15.2
3. Slightly liberal	10.3	11.8	15.1	14.0	13.5	13.2
4. Moderate; middle of the road	13.7	12.6	23.5	24.5	20.1	20.4
5. Slightly conservative	4.6	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2
6. Conservative	4.4	2.3	3.3	2.9	3.7	2.7
7. Extremely conservative	1.0	0.5	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	27.9	27.9	19.3	20.9	22.3	23.3
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	15.4	16.4	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	3.2	4.1	2.2	2.8

libcpo_hrc

Label:	7pt scale liberal-conservative: Republican House cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 135-5
Description:	Liberal-conservative placement
Question:	(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: rep_hsename] on this scale?
Randomization:	Order of House candidates
Universe:	IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE: IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:
Logic:	Ask if preloaded name of Republican House candidate in var rep_hsename is not null or blank and if thermometer rating in THERMPO_THPOHRC (thermometer rating for House Republican candidate) is not 999. 'Don't know who this is'; else skip
Web Logic:	Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet)'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	17	39	56
2. Liberal	36	131	167
3. Slightly liberal	75	193	268
4. Moderate; middle of the road	225	801	1026
5. Slightly conservative	205	481	686
6. Conservative	330	861	1191
7. Extremely conservative	84	237	321
-1. Inapplicable	606	716	1322
-4. Error	0	4	4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	347	0	347
-9. Refused	4	118	122

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	0.8	0.4	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8
2. Liberal	1.8	1.6	3.4	3.2	2.8	2.6
3. Slightly liberal	3.7	3.9	5.0	5.1	4.5	4.7
4. Moderate; middle of the road	11.0	11.0	20.8	21.7	17.3	18.0
5. Slightly conservative	10.0	12.8	12.5	12.3	11.6	12.5
6. Conservative	16.1	21.3	22.3	20.4	20.1	20.7
7. Extremely conservative	4.1	4.4	6.1	5.3	5.4	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	29.5	23.8	18.5	19.1	22.4	20.7
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	16.9	14.7	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	3.1	4.1	2.1	2.8

science_use

Label:	How oft shd govt use scientific methods to solve imp probs
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 135.7-1
Description:	Scientific literacy
Question:	When trying to solve important problems, how often should the government rely on scientific approaches? [always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, never / never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always]
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	256	311	567
2. Most of the time	484	1011	1495
3. About half the time	328	954	1282
4. Some of the time	733	1087	1820
5. Never	64	165	229
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	59	0	59
-9. Refused	5	53	58

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	12.5	11.5	8.1	7.1	9.6	8.6
2. Most of the time	23.6	25.7	26.2	24.4	25.3	24.9
3. About half the time	16.0	16.4	24.7	26.3	21.7	22.8
4. Some of the time	35.7	35.5	28.2	27.9	30.8	30.6
5. Never	3.1	2.6	4.3	4.8	3.9	4.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.9	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	1.4	1.8	1.0	1.3

abort_dpc4

Label:	STD Abortion: Democratic Presidential cand placemt
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-3
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Now on another topic. (Looking at page [preload:postpg_f] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: DEM_PCNAME]? (on abortion)?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Logic:	Randomize the order of questions asking for placement of the Democratic and Republican Presidential candidates on abortion (ABORTPO_ABDPCSTD and ABORTPO_ABRPCSTD).
Web Logic:	Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet)'. The question stem should read, 'Where would you place [preload: DEM_PCNAME] on abortion?' Omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	110	162	272
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.	283	463	746
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	316	530	846
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	1097	2363	3460
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	2	0	2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	120	0	120
-9. Refused	1	63	64

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	5.4	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.2
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.	13.8	11.1	12.0	12.3	12.6	11.9
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	15.4	16.2	13.7	13.6	14.3	14.5
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	53.4	57.3	61.2	59.8	58.5	58.9
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.8	5.8	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	1.6	2.2	1.1	1.5

abort_dpc4_oth

Label: POST: Other specify- abortion placement of Dem Pres cand

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

abort_rpc4

Label:	STD Abortion: Republican Presidential cand placemt
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-5
Description:	Abortion
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_f] of the booklet) Where would you place [preload: REP_PCNAME] (on abortion)?
Randomization:	Order of Pres cands
Web Logic:	Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_f] of the booklet)'. The question stem should read, 'Where would you place [preload: REP_PCNAME] on abortion?' Omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	732	1249	1981
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.	685	1586	2271
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	205	396	601
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	134	275	409
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	3	0	3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	169	0	169
-9. Refused	1	75	76

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	35.6	31.8	32.4	32.1	33.5	32.0
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.	33.3	41.6	41.1	39.2	38.4	40.1
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	10.0	8.2	10.3	10.9	10.2	10.0
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	6.5	4.9	7.1	7.5	6.9	6.6
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	8.2	7.4	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	1.9	2.7	1.3	1.8

abort_rpc4_oth

Label: POST: Other specify- abortion placement of Rep Pres cand

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

abort_dem4

Label:	STD Abortion: Democratic party placement
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-8
Description:	Abortion
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_f] of the booklet) Where would you place the Democratic Party? (on abortion)?
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Logic:	Randomize the order of questions asking for placement of the Democratic and Republican parties on abortion (ABORTPO_ABDPTYSTD and ABORTPO_ABRPTYSTD).
Web Logic:	Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_f] of the booklet)'. The question stem should read, 'Where would you place the Democratic Party on abortion?' Omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	91	138	229
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.	292	434	726
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	400	605	1005
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	979	2315	3294
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	1	0	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	162	0	162
-9. Refused	4	89	93

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	4.4	3.3	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.7
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.	14.2	12.3	11.2	11.2	12.3	11.6
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	19.5	19.3	15.7	15.4	17.0	16.8
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	47.7	51.8	60.0	58.6	55.7	56.2
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	7.9	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.5
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	2.3	3.3	1.6	2.2

abort_dem4_oth

Label: POST: Other specify- abortion placement of Dem party

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

abort_rep4

Label:	STD Abortion: Republican party placement
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-9
Description:	Abortion
Question:	(Looking at page [preload:postpg_f] of the booklet) Where would you place the Republican Party? (on abortion)?
Randomization:	Order of major parties
Web Logic:	Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_f] of the booklet)'. The question stem should read, 'Where would you place the Republican Party on abortion?' Omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	623	1384	2007
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.	731	1430	2161
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	260	434	694
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	130	242	372
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	2	0	2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	180	0	180
-9. Refused	3	91	94

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.	30.3	28.4	35.9	35.3	33.9	32.9
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.	35.6	40.8	37.0	35.8	36.5	37.5
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman	12.7	12.4	11.2	11.4	11.7	11.7
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	6.3	5.2	6.3	6.7	6.3	6.2
5. Other (SPECIFY) (VOL)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	8.8	7.3	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	2.4	3.3	1.6	2.2

abort_rep4_oth

Label: POST: Other specify- abortion placement of Rep party

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

abort_health

Label:	REV Abortion: R favor/oppose when nonfatal health risk
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-11
Description:	Abortion
Question:	[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: staying pregnant would hurt the woman's health but is very unlikely to cause her to die
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic:	Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'. Randomize the order of the abortion scenarios which precede the question on abortion choice (ABORTPO_ABNCHOICE)
Web Logic:	Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	823	1699	2522
2. Oppose	741	971	1712
3. Neither favor nor oppose	311	885	1196
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	30	0	30
-9. Refused	24	26	50

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	40.1	41.9	44.0	43.4	42.6	42.8
2. Oppose	36.1	36.0	25.2	25.4	28.9	29.1
3. Neither favor nor oppose	15.1	14.5	22.9	22.5	20.2	19.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	1.2	0.9	0.7	1.2	0.8	1.1

abort_healthfav

Label:	REV How much R favor abortion for nonfatal health risk
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-12
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R FAVORS ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABNFAT=1 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous question.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	417	1050	1467
2. Moderately	301	490	791
3. A little	104	158	262
-1. Inapplicable	1106	1882	2988
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	20.3	19.8	27.2	27.0	24.8	24.5
2. Moderately	14.7	16.3	12.7	12.0	13.4	13.5
3. A little	5.1	5.7	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.8
-1. Inapplicable	53.8	52.4	48.8	49.1	50.5	50.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_healthopp

Label:	REV How much R oppose abortn for nonfatal health risk
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-13
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABNFAT=2 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous question.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	380	533	913
2. Moderately	277	347	624
3. A little	84	91	175
-1. Inapplicable	1188	2610	3798
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	18.5	18.7	13.8	14.6	15.4	16.0
2. Moderately	13.5	13.8	9.0	8.8	10.6	10.5
3. A little	4.1	3.4	2.4	2.1	3.0	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	57.8	58.3	67.6	67.1	64.2	64.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

abort_healthln

Label:	REV R lean favor/opp abortion for nonfatal health risk
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-14
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABNFAT=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	45	181	226
2. Lean toward opposing	59	142	201
3. Do not lean either way	202	560	762
-1. Inapplicable	1618	2696	4314
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	2	2	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	2.2	2.3	4.7	4.9	3.8	4.0
2. Lean toward opposing	2.9	3.0	3.7	3.1	3.4	3.1
3. Do not lean either way	9.8	9.1	14.5	14.4	12.9	12.5
-1. Inapplicable	78.8	79.8	69.8	69.9	72.9	73.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

abort_health_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for nonfatal health risk of mother

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	417	1050	1467
2. Favor moderately	301	490	791
3. Favor a little	104	158	262
4. Lean toward favoring	45	181	226
5. Do not lean either way	202	560	762
6. Lean toward opposing	59	142	201
7. Oppose a little	84	91	175
8. Oppose moderately	277	347	624
9. Oppose a great deal	380	533	913
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	33	0	33
-9. Refused	27	29	56

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	20.3	19.8	27.2	27.0	24.8	24.5
2. Favor moderately	14.7	16.3	12.7	12.0	13.4	13.5
3. Favor a little	5.1	5.7	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.8
4. Lean toward favoring	2.2	2.3	4.7	4.9	3.8	4.0
5. Do not lean either way	9.8	9.1	14.5	14.4	12.9	12.5
6. Lean toward opposing	2.9	3.0	3.7	3.1	3.4	3.1
7. Oppose a little	4.1	3.4	2.4	2.1	3.0	2.6
8. Oppose moderately	13.5	13.8	9.0	8.8	10.6	10.5
9. Oppose a great deal	18.5	18.7	13.8	14.6	15.4	16.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.6	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
-9. Refused	1.3	1.0	0.8	1.3	0.9	1.2

abort_fatal

Label:	REV Abortion: R favor/oppose when fatal health risk
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-15
Description:	Abortion
Question:	[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: staying pregnant could cause the woman to die
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic:	Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic:	Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1483	2624	4107
2. Oppose	203	354	557
3. Neither favor nor oppose	177	577	754
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	39	0	39
-9. Refused	27	26	53

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	72.2	73.5	68.0	65.6	69.4	68.3
2. Oppose	9.9	9.7	9.2	9.8	9.4	9.8
3. Neither favor nor oppose	8.6	8.2	14.9	15.9	12.7	13.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	1.3	1.1	0.7	1.1	0.9	1.1

abort_fatalfav

Label:	REV How much R favor abortion for fatal health risk
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-16
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R FAVORS ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABFAT=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	1151	2092	3243
2. Moderately	251	441	692
3. A little	77	89	166
-1. Inapplicable	446	957	1403
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	2	2	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	56.0	57.0	54.2	52.0	54.8	53.8
2. Moderately	12.2	12.9	11.4	11.3	11.7	11.8
3. A little	3.7	3.5	2.3	2.2	2.8	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	21.7	20.8	24.8	26.9	23.7	24.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

abort_fatalopp

Label:	REV How much R oppose abortion for fatal health risk
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-17
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABFAT=2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	131	223	354
2. Moderately	38	96	134
3. A little	34	35	69
-1. Inapplicable	1726	3227	4953
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	6.4	5.5	5.8	5.7	6.0	5.6
2. Moderately	1.9	2.4	2.5	3.0	2.3	2.8
3. A little	1.7	1.8	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	84.0	84.6	83.6	82.7	83.8	83.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

abort_fatalln

Label:	REV R lean favor/oppose abortion for fatal health risk
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-18
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABFAT=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	28	114	142
2. Lean toward opposing	18	84	102
3. Do not lean either way	127	377	504
-1. Inapplicable	1752	3004	4756
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	1.4	1.7	3.0	3.5	2.4	2.9
2. Lean toward opposing	0.9	1.1	2.2	2.1	1.7	1.7
3. Do not lean either way	6.2	5.4	9.8	10.3	8.5	8.6
-1. Inapplicable	85.3	86.0	77.8	76.5	80.4	79.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

abort_fatal_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for fatal risk of mother

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	1151	2092	3243
2. Favor moderately	251	441	692
3. Favor a little	77	89	166
4. Lean toward favoring	28	114	142
5. Do not lean either way	127	377	504
6. Lean toward opposing	18	84	102
7. Oppose a little	34	35	69
8. Oppose moderately	38	96	134
9. Oppose a great deal	131	223	354
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	45	0	45
-9. Refused	29	30	59

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	56.0	57.0	54.2	52.0	54.8	53.8
2. Favor moderately	12.2	12.9	11.4	11.3	11.7	11.8
3. Favor a little	3.7	3.5	2.3	2.2	2.8	2.7
4. Lean toward favoring	1.4	1.7	3.0	3.5	2.4	2.9
5. Do not lean either way	6.2	5.4	9.8	10.3	8.5	8.6
6. Lean toward opposing	0.9	1.1	2.2	2.1	1.7	1.7
7. Oppose a little	1.7	1.8	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.3
8. Oppose moderately	1.9	2.4	2.5	3.0	2.3	2.8
9. Oppose a great deal	6.4	5.5	5.8	5.7	6.0	5.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.2	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7
-9. Refused	1.4	1.2	0.8	1.3	1.0	1.3

abort_incest

Label:	REV Abortion: R favor/oppose in incest cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-19
Description:	Abortion
Question:	[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: the pregnancy was caused by the woman having sex with a blood relative
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic:	Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic:	Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	988	1792	2780
2. Oppose	586	838	1424
3. Neither favor nor oppose	263	923	1186
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	59	0	59
-9. Refused	33	28	61

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	48.1	50.8	46.4	46.5	47.0	48.0
2. Oppose	28.5	27.3	21.7	22.4	24.1	24.1
3. Neither favor nor oppose	12.8	12.7	23.9	22.3	20.1	19.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
-9. Refused	1.6	1.4	0.7	1.2	1.0	1.3

abort_incestfav

Label:	REV How much R favor abortion in incest cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-20
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABINC=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	667	1252	1919
2. Moderately	246	424	670
3. A little	74	115	189
-1. Inapplicable	941	1789	2730
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	32.5	32.7	32.4	32.9	32.4	32.9
2. Moderately	12.0	14.1	11.0	10.2	11.3	11.5
3. A little	3.6	4.0	3.0	3.4	3.2	3.6
-1. Inapplicable	45.8	43.4	46.3	46.0	46.2	45.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_incestopp

Label:	REV How much R oppose abortion in incest cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-21
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABINC=2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	359	536	895
2. Moderately	169	233	402
3. A little	57	69	126
-1. Inapplicable	1343	2743	4086
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	17.5	16.2	13.9	14.5	15.1	15.1
2. Moderately	8.2	8.7	6.0	6.2	6.8	7.1
3. A little	2.8	2.4	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	65.4	67.0	71.1	70.0	69.1	69.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_incestln

Label:	REV R lean favor/oppose abortion in incest cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-22
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABINC=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	35	155	190
2. Lean toward opposing	35	157	192
3. Do not lean either way	188	611	799
-1. Inapplicable	1666	2658	4324
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	1.7	1.9	4.0	3.6	3.2	3.0
2. Lean toward opposing	1.7	1.7	4.1	3.7	3.2	3.0
3. Do not lean either way	9.2	8.8	15.8	15.0	13.5	12.8
-1. Inapplicable	81.1	81.6	68.9	70.1	73.1	74.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_incest_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for cases of incest

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	667	1252	1919
2. Favor moderately	246	424	670
3. Favor a little	74	115	189
4. Lean toward favoring	35	155	190
5. Do not lean either way	188	611	799
6. Lean toward opposing	35	157	192
7. Oppose a little	57	69	126
8. Oppose moderately	169	233	402
9. Oppose a great deal	359	536	895
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	64	0	64
-9. Refused	35	29	64

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	32.5	32.7	32.4	32.9	32.4	32.9
2. Favor moderately	12.0	14.1	11.0	10.2	11.3	11.5
3. Favor a little	3.6	4.0	3.0	3.4	3.2	3.6
4. Lean toward favoring	1.7	1.9	4.0	3.6	3.2	3.0
5. Do not lean either way	9.2	8.8	15.8	15.0	13.5	12.8
6. Lean toward opposing	1.7	1.7	4.1	3.7	3.2	3.0
7. Oppose a little	2.8	2.4	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.9
8. Oppose moderately	8.2	8.7	6.0	6.2	6.8	7.1
9. Oppose a great deal	17.5	16.2	13.9	14.5	15.1	15.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.1	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.8
-9. Refused	1.7	1.5	0.8	1.2	1.1	1.3

abort_rape

Label:	REV Abortion: R favor/oppose in rape cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-23
Description:	Abortion
Question:	[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: the pregnancy was caused by the woman being raped
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic:	Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic:	Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1441	2476	3917
2. Oppose	288	524	812
3. Neither favor nor oppose	156	552	708
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	25	0	25
-9. Refused	19	29	48

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	70.2	70.7	64.1	62.9	66.2	65.6
2. Oppose	14.0	14.3	13.6	14.3	13.7	14.3
3. Neither favor nor oppose	7.6	7.7	14.3	14.0	12.0	11.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	0.9	0.7	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.0

abort_rapefav

Label:	REV How much R favor abortion in rape cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-24
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABRAPE=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	1131	1985	3116
2. Moderately	220	383	603
3. A little	86	107	193
-1. Inapplicable	488	1105	1593
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	1	1	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	55.1	55.9	51.4	50.2	52.7	52.2
2. Moderately	10.7	11.1	9.9	9.9	10.2	10.3
3. A little	4.2	3.6	2.8	2.8	3.3	3.1
-1. Inapplicable	23.8	23.5	28.6	29.5	26.9	27.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

abort_rapeopp

Label:	REV How much R oppose abortion in rape cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-25
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABRAPE=2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	166	324	490
2. Moderately	76	147	223
3. A little	45	52	97
-1. Inapplicable	1641	3057	4698
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	8.1	8.0	8.4	9.0	8.3	8.6
2. Moderately	3.7	3.7	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.9
3. A little	2.2	2.6	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.7
-1. Inapplicable	79.9	79.9	79.2	78.2	79.4	78.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_rapelean

Label:	REV R lean favor/oppose abortion in rape cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-26
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABRAPE=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	18	104	122
2. Lean toward opposing	27	87	114
3. Do not lean either way	110	360	470
-1. Inapplicable	1773	3029	4802
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	0.9	0.9	2.7	2.4	2.1	1.8
2. Lean toward opposing	1.3	1.5	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.9
3. Do not lean either way	5.4	5.3	9.3	9.5	7.9	8.0
-1. Inapplicable	86.3	86.6	78.5	78.4	81.2	81.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_rape_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for cases of rape

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	1131	1985	3116
2. Favor moderately	220	383	603
3. Favor a little	86	107	193
4. Lean toward favoring	18	104	122
5. Do not lean either way	110	360	470
6. Lean toward opposing	27	87	114
7. Oppose a little	45	52	97
8. Oppose moderately	76	147	223
9. Oppose a great deal	166	324	490
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	30	0	30
-9. Refused	20	32	52

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	55.1	55.9	51.4	50.2	52.7	52.2
2. Favor moderately	10.7	11.1	9.9	9.9	10.2	10.3
3. Favor a little	4.2	3.6	2.8	2.8	3.3	3.1
4. Lean toward favoring	0.9	0.9	2.7	2.4	2.1	1.8
5. Do not lean either way	5.4	5.3	9.3	9.5	7.9	8.0
6. Lean toward opposing	1.3	1.5	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.9
7. Oppose a little	2.2	2.6	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.7
8. Oppose moderately	3.7	3.7	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.9
9. Oppose a great deal	8.1	8.0	8.4	9.0	8.3	8.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	1.0	0.7	0.8	1.3	0.9	1.1

abort_bd

Label:	REV Abortion: R favor/oppose in birth defect cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-27
Description:	Abortion
Question:	[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: the fetus will be born with a serious birth defect
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic:	Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic:	Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1025	1821	2846
2. Oppose	542	799	1341
3. Neither favor nor oppose	286	931	1217
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	51	0	51
-9. Refused	25	30	55

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	49.9	50.7	47.2	46.2	48.1	47.7
2. Oppose	26.4	26.6	20.7	21.7	22.7	23.4
3. Neither favor nor oppose	13.9	14.0	24.1	23.3	20.6	20.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	1.2	0.8	0.8	1.3	0.9	1.1

abort_bdfav

Label:	REV How much R favor abortion in birth defect cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-28
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABDEF=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	576	1121	1697
2. Moderately	307	537	844
3. A little	142	163	305
-1. Inapplicable	904	1760	2664
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	28.0	28.7	29.0	27.8	28.7	28.1
2. Moderately	14.9	15.5	13.9	14.0	14.3	14.5
3. A little	6.9	6.6	4.2	4.4	5.2	5.1
-1. Inapplicable	44.0	43.5	45.6	46.3	45.0	45.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

abort_bdopp

Label:	REV How much R oppose abortion in birth defect cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-29
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABDEF=2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	310	490	800
2. Moderately	166	231	397
3. A little	62	77	139
-1. Inapplicable	1387	2782	4169
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	15.1	15.3	12.7	13.1	13.5	13.9
2. Moderately	8.1	8.2	6.0	6.1	6.7	6.8
3. A little	3.0	2.9	2.0	2.5	2.4	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	67.5	67.7	72.1	70.7	70.5	69.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_bdln

Label:	REV R lean favor/oppose abortion in birth defect case
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-30
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABDEF=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	37	178	215
2. Lean toward opposing	46	149	195
3. Do not lean either way	201	602	803
-1. Inapplicable	1643	2650	4293
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	1.8	2.0	4.6	4.2	3.6	3.5
2. Lean toward opposing	2.2	2.3	3.9	3.4	3.3	3.0
3. Do not lean either way	9.8	9.7	15.6	15.5	13.6	13.5
-1. Inapplicable	80.0	80.2	68.7	69.2	72.6	73.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

abort_bd_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for cases of birth defect

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	576	1121	1697
2. Favor moderately	307	537	844
3. Favor a little	142	163	305
4. Lean toward favoring	37	178	215
5. Do not lean either way	201	602	803
6. Lean toward opposing	46	149	195
7. Oppose a little	62	77	139
8. Oppose moderately	166	231	397
9. Oppose a great deal	310	490	800
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	57	0	57
-9. Refused	25	33	58

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	28.0	28.7	29.0	27.8	28.7	28.1
2. Favor moderately	14.9	15.5	13.9	14.0	14.3	14.5
3. Favor a little	6.9	6.6	4.2	4.4	5.2	5.1
4. Lean toward favoring	1.8	2.0	4.6	4.2	3.6	3.5
5. Do not lean either way	9.8	9.7	15.6	15.5	13.6	13.5
6. Lean toward opposing	2.2	2.3	3.9	3.4	3.3	3.0
7. Oppose a little	3.0	2.9	2.0	2.5	2.4	2.7
8. Oppose moderately	8.1	8.2	6.0	6.1	6.7	6.8
9. Oppose a great deal	15.1	15.3	12.7	13.1	13.5	13.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.8	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
-9. Refused	1.2	0.8	0.9	1.4	1.0	1.2

abort_fin

Label:	REV Abortion: R favor/oppose in financial hardshp cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-31
Description:	Abortion
Question:	[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: having the child would be extremely difficult for the woman financially
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic:	Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic:	Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	517	903	1420
2. Oppose	1148	1828	2976
3. Neither favor nor oppose	219	825	1044
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	25	0	25
-9. Refused	20	25	45

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	25.2	25.3	23.4	23.1	24.0	23.8
2. Oppose	55.9	56.7	47.4	46.9	50.3	50.3
3. Neither favor nor oppose	10.7	10.4	21.4	21.3	17.7	17.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	1.0	0.8	0.6	1.2	0.8	1.0

abort_finfav

Label:	REV How much R favor abortion in finan hardship cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-32
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABFIN=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	259	493	752
2. Moderately	162	286	448
3. A little	95	124	219
-1. Inapplicable	1412	2678	4090
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	12.6	12.0	12.8	12.6	12.7	12.4
2. Moderately	7.9	8.6	7.4	7.1	7.6	7.6
3. A little	4.6	4.7	3.2	3.4	3.7	3.9
-1. Inapplicable	68.7	69.0	69.4	69.4	69.2	69.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_finopp

Label:	REV How much R oppose abortion in finan hardship cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-33
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABFIN=2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	841	1378	2219
2. Moderately	239	381	620
3. A little	64	66	130
-1. Inapplicable	781	1753	2534
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	2	3	5

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	40.9	42.1	35.7	35.8	37.5	38.0
2. Moderately	11.6	11.4	9.9	9.0	10.5	9.8
3. A little	3.1	3.0	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.3
-1. Inapplicable	38.0	37.5	45.4	45.6	42.8	42.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

abort_finln

Label:	REV Lean fav/oppose abortion in finan hardship cases
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-34
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABFIN=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	31	131	162
2. Lean toward opposing	41	157	198
3. Do not lean either way	145	537	682
-1. Inapplicable	1710	2756	4466
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	1.5	1.6	3.4	3.2	2.7	2.6
2. Lean toward opposing	2.0	1.6	4.1	4.1	3.3	3.2
3. Do not lean either way	7.1	7.2	13.9	14.1	11.5	11.7
-1. Inapplicable	83.3	83.8	71.4	71.1	75.5	75.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_fin_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal for cases of financial hardship

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	259	493	752
2. Favor moderately	162	286	448
3. Favor a little	95	124	219
4. Lean toward favoring	31	131	162
5. Do not lean either way	145	537	682
6. Lean toward opposing	41	157	198
7. Oppose a little	64	66	130
8. Oppose moderately	239	381	620
9. Oppose a great deal	841	1378	2219
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	29	0	29
-9. Refused	23	28	51

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	12.6	12.0	12.8	12.6	12.7	12.4
2. Favor moderately	7.9	8.6	7.4	7.1	7.6	7.6
3. Favor a little	4.6	4.7	3.2	3.4	3.7	3.9
4. Lean toward favoring	1.5	1.6	3.4	3.2	2.7	2.6
5. Do not lean either way	7.1	7.2	13.9	14.1	11.5	11.7
6. Lean toward opposing	2.0	1.6	4.1	4.1	3.3	3.2
7. Oppose a little	3.1	3.0	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.3
8. Oppose moderately	11.6	11.4	9.9	9.0	10.5	9.8
9. Oppose a great deal	40.9	42.1	35.7	35.8	37.5	38.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	1.1	1.0	0.7	1.3	0.9	1.2

abort_sex

Label:	REV Abortion: R favor/oppose when child gender 'wrong'
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-35
Description:	Abortion
Question:	[First,/Next,] do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE abortion being LEGAL if: the child will not be the sex the woman wants it to be
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:
Logic:	Question should begin with 'First,' if this is the first abortion scenario administered. Otherwise, question should begin with 'Next,'.
Web Logic:	Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	152	276	428
2. Oppose	1616	2766	4382
3. Neither favor nor oppose	117	513	630
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	21	0	21
-9. Refused	23	26	49

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	7.4	8.9	7.2	6.8	7.2	7.5
2. Oppose	78.7	78.2	71.7	71.4	74.1	73.7
3. Neither favor nor oppose	5.7	5.4	13.3	13.1	10.7	10.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	1.1	0.9	0.7	1.2	0.8	1.1

abort_sexfav

Label:	REV How much R favor abortn when child gender 'wrong'
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-36
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R FAVORS ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABGEN=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	74	133	207
2. Moderately	28	67	95
3. A little	49	75	124
-1. Inapplicable	1777	3305	5082
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	3.6	4.1	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.6
2. Moderately	1.4	1.8	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.5
3. A little	2.4	2.9	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	86.5	85.4	85.6	85.6	85.9	85.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_sexopp

Label:	REV How much R oppose abortn when child gender 'wrong'
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-37
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Randomization:	Order of abortion scenarios
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABGEN=2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	1431	2455	3886
2. Moderately	135	261	396
3. A little	45	49	94
-1. Inapplicable	313	815	1128
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	2	1	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	69.7	69.4	63.6	62.7	65.7	65.1
2. Moderately	6.6	6.8	6.8	7.2	6.7	7.1
3. A little	2.2	1.8	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	15.2	16.1	21.1	21.1	19.1	19.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

abort_sexln

Label: POST: REV R lean favor/opp abortn legal to select child gender

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	8	50	58
2. Lean toward opposing	28	107	135
3. Do not lean either way	81	356	437
-1. Inapplicable	1812	3068	4880
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	0.4	0.3	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.0
2. Lean toward opposing	1.4	1.7	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.2
3. Do not lean either way	3.9	3.4	9.2	9.2	7.4	7.2
-1. Inapplicable	88.2	88.8	79.5	79.3	82.5	82.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

abort_sex_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal to select child gender

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	74	133	207
2. Favor moderately	28	67	95
3. Favor a little	49	75	124
4. Lean toward favoring	8	50	58
5. Do not lean either way	81	356	437
6. Lean toward opposing	28	107	135
7. Oppose a little	45	49	94
8. Oppose moderately	135	261	396
9. Oppose a great deal	1431	2455	3886
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	24	0	24
-9. Refused	26	28	54

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	3.6	4.1	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.6
2. Favor moderately	1.4	1.8	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.5
3. Favor a little	2.4	2.9	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.4
4. Lean toward favoring	0.4	0.3	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.0
5. Do not lean either way	3.9	3.4	9.2	9.2	7.4	7.2
6. Lean toward opposing	1.4	1.7	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.2
7. Oppose a little	2.2	1.8	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.5
8. Oppose moderately	6.6	6.8	6.8	7.2	6.7	7.1
9. Oppose a great deal	69.7	69.4	63.6	62.7	65.7	65.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-9. Refused	1.3	1.0	0.7	1.2	0.9	1.1

abort_choice

Label:	Abortion: favor/oppose woman's choice
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-39
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Next, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose abortion being legal if the woman chooses to have one?
Logic:	This question follows the randomized sequence of abortion scenarios.
Web Logic:	Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	852	1390	2242
2. Oppose	786	1415	2201
3. Neither favor nor oppose	241	750	991
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	33	0	33
-9. Refused	17	26	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	41.5	42.1	36.0	34.9	37.9	37.4
2. Oppose	38.3	38.5	36.7	38.0	37.2	38.2
3. Neither favor nor oppose	11.7	11.9	19.4	18.4	16.8	16.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
-9. Refused	0.8	0.6	0.7	1.1	0.7	0.9

abort_choicefav

Label:	How much R favor abortion for woman's choice
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-40
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABCHOICE=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	473	884	1357
2. Moderately	258	361	619
3. A little	119	143	262
-1. Inapplicable	1077	2191	3268
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	23.0	23.0	22.9	22.1	22.9	22.4
2. Moderately	12.6	12.3	9.4	8.8	10.5	10.0
3. A little	5.8	6.7	3.7	3.9	4.4	4.9
-1. Inapplicable	52.4	52.2	56.8	57.6	55.3	55.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

abort_choiceopp

Label:	How much R oppose abortn for woman's choice
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-41
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABCHOICE=1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	602	1136	1738
2. Moderately	146	228	374
3. A little	38	50	88
-1. Inapplicable	1143	2166	3309
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	29.3	29.9	29.4	30.1	29.4	30.0
2. Moderately	7.1	6.9	5.9	6.4	6.3	6.6
3. A little	1.9	1.6	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.6
-1. Inapplicable	55.6	55.8	56.1	54.4	56.0	54.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

abort_choiceln

Label:	R lean favor/opp abortion for woman's choice
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136-42
Description:	Abortion
Question:	Do you LEAN TOWARD FAVORING IT, LEAN TOWARD OPPOSING IT, or do you NOT LEAN EITHER WAY?
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:
Logic:	Ask if ABORTPO_ABCHOICE=3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	29	100	129
2. Lean toward opposing	40	109	149
3. Do not lean either way	172	539	711
-1. Inapplicable	1688	2831	4519
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	1.4	1.9	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.2
2. Lean toward opposing	1.9	1.6	2.8	2.8	2.5	2.4
3. Do not lean either way	8.4	8.3	14.0	13.3	12.0	11.6
-1. Inapplicable	82.2	82.4	73.3	74.0	76.4	76.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

abort_choice_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor abortion legal as woman's choice

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor a great deal	473	884	1357
2. Favor moderately	258	361	619
3. Favor a little	119	143	262
4. Lean toward favoring	29	100	129
5. Do not lean either way	172	539	711
6. Lean toward opposing	40	109	149
7. Oppose a little	38	50	88
8. Oppose moderately	146	228	374
9. Oppose a great deal	602	1136	1738
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	34	0	34
-9. Refused	18	31	49

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor a great deal	23.0	23.0	22.9	22.1	22.9	22.4
2. Favor moderately	12.6	12.3	9.4	8.8	10.5	10.0
3. Favor a little	5.8	6.7	3.7	3.9	4.4	4.9
4. Lean toward favoring	1.4	1.9	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.2
5. Do not lean either way	8.4	8.3	14.0	13.3	12.0	11.6
6. Lean toward opposing	1.9	1.6	2.8	2.8	2.5	2.4
7. Oppose a little	1.9	1.6	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.6
8. Oppose moderately	7.1	6.9	5.9	6.4	6.3	6.6
9. Oppose a great deal	29.3	29.9	29.4	30.1	29.4	30.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
-9. Refused	0.9	0.6	0.8	1.3	0.8	1.1

wiretap_warrant

Label:	Favor or oppose court auth for terr suspect wiretaps
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 136.4-3
Description:	Courts authorize wiretapping
Question:	Now for some other topics. Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. government being required to present evidence to get a court's permission before it can listen in on phone calls made by American citizens who are suspected of being terrorists?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1388	2242	3630
2. Oppose	388	627	1015
3. Neither favor nor oppose	111	682	793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	36	0	36
-9. Refused	6	30	36

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	67.6	68.5	58.1	55.7	61.4	60.2
2. Oppose	18.9	19.3	16.2	16.1	17.2	17.2
3. Neither favor nor oppose	5.4	5.0	17.7	19.4	13.4	14.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.8	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.8	1.3	0.6	0.9

outsource_enc

Label:	Should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 137-1
Description:	Outsourcing of U.S. jobs
Question:	Recently, some big American companies have been hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the U.S. Do you think the federal government should DISCOURAGE companies from doing this, ENCOURAGE companies to do this, or STAY OUT of this matter?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Discourage	1299	2461	3760
2. Encourage	71	104	175
3. Should stay out of this matter	514	987	1501
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	39	0	39
-9. Refused	6	29	35

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Discourage	63.2	63.3	63.8	62.8	63.6	62.9
2. Encourage	3.5	2.9	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0
3. Should stay out of this matter	25.0	26.4	25.6	25.5	25.4	25.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5
-9. Refused	0.3	0.1	0.8	1.2	0.6	0.8

outsource_encst

Label:	How much should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 137-2
Description:	Outsourcing of U.S. jobs
Question:	Do you think the government should do this a GREAT DEAL or ONLY A LITTLE?
Universe:	IF GOVERNMENT SHOULD DISCOURAGE COMPANIES FROM OUT-SOURCING / IF GOVERNMENT SHOULD ENCOURAGE COMPANIES FROM OUTSOURCING:
Logic:	Ask if OUTS_OUTSGOVT in(1,2) else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	1114	1895	3009
2. Only a little	251	664	915
-1. Inapplicable	559	1016	1575
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	6	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	54.2	51.8	49.1	46.9	50.9	48.6
2. Only a little	12.2	14.0	17.2	18.6	15.5	17.0
-1. Inapplicable	27.2	28.1	26.3	26.7	26.6	27.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

outsource_enc_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Encourage outsourcing of jobs

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Discourage a great deal	1073	1839	2912
2. Discourage a little	221	616	837
3. Should stay out of this matter	514	987	1501
4. Encourage a little	30	48	78
5. Encourage a great deal	41	56	97
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	44	0	44
-9. Refused	6	35	41

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Discourage a great deal	52.2	50.1	47.6	45.4	49.2	47.0
2. Discourage a little	10.8	12.8	16.0	17.1	14.2	15.6
3. Should stay out of this matter	25.0	26.4	25.6	25.5	25.4	25.8
4. Encourage a little	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.4
5. Encourage a great deal	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.1	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.1	0.9	1.5	0.7	1.0

ssinv_invest

Label:	Favor or oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 138-1
Description:	Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds
Question:	A proposal has been made that would allow people to put a portion of their Social Security payroll taxes into personal retirement accounts that would be invested in stocks and bonds. Do you FAVOR this idea, OPPOSE it, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE it?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	726	1281	2007
2. Oppose	470	963	1433
3. Neither favor nor oppose	692	1309	2001
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	37	0	37
-9. Refused	4	28	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	35.3	38.4	33.2	34.7	33.9	36.0
2. Oppose	22.9	22.4	24.9	21.4	24.2	21.8
3. Neither favor nor oppose	33.7	32.4	33.9	35.3	33.8	34.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.7

ssinv_invfav

Label:	How much favor Social Security in stocks and bonds
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 138-2
Description:	Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds
Question:	Do you favor it STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:
Logic:	Ask if SSINV_SSINVEST = 1 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with SSINV_SSINVEST.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	517	898	1415
2. Not strongly	204	383	587
-1. Inapplicable	1203	2300	3503
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	25.2	25.9	23.3	23.8	23.9	24.5
2. Not strongly	9.9	12.3	9.9	10.9	9.9	11.4
-1. Inapplicable	58.6	55.9	59.6	57.8	59.2	57.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

ssinv_invopp

Label:	How much oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 138-3
Description:	Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds
Question:	Do you oppose it STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:
Logic:	Ask if SSINV_SSINVEST = 2 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with SSINV_SSINVEST.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	394	779	1173
2. Not strongly	76	181	257
-1. Inapplicable	1459	2618	4077
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	19.2	18.7	20.2	16.9	19.8	17.5
2. Not strongly	3.7	3.7	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.2
-1. Inapplicable	71.0	71.8	67.8	71.0	68.9	71.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

ssinv_invln

Label:	R lean favor or oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 138-4
Description:	Invest Social Security into stocks and bonds
Question:	Do you lean toward favoring it, lean toward opposing it, or don't you lean either way?
Universe:	IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:
Logic:	Ask if SSINV_SSINVEST = 3 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with SSINV_SSINVEST.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward favoring	96	291	387
2. Lean toward opposing	74	157	231
3. Do not lean either way	516	861	1377
-1. Inapplicable	1237	2272	3509
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	6	0	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward favoring	4.7	5.3	7.5	7.6	6.5	6.8
2. Lean toward opposing	3.6	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1
3. Do not lean either way	25.1	22.9	22.3	23.6	23.3	23.4
-1. Inapplicable	60.2	61.9	58.9	57.2	59.3	58.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

ssinv_invest_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor Social Security investment in stocks and bonds

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor strongly	517	898	1415
2. Favor not strongly	204	383	587
3. Lean toward favoring	96	291	387
4. Do not lean either way	516	861	1377
5. Lean toward opposing	74	157	231
6. Oppose not strongly	76	181	257
7. Oppose trongly	394	779	1173
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	48	0	48
-9. Refused	4	31	35

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor strongly	25.2	25.9	23.3	23.8	23.9	24.5
2. Favor not strongly	9.9	12.3	9.9	10.9	9.9	11.4
3. Lean toward favoring	4.7	5.3	7.5	7.6	6.5	6.8
4. Do not lean either way	25.1	22.9	22.3	23.6	23.3	23.4
5. Lean toward opposing	3.6	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1
6. Oppose not strongly	3.7	3.7	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.2
7. Oppose trongly	19.2	18.7	20.2	16.9	19.8	17.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.8	1.2	0.6	0.8

neonull_strule

Label:	Favor or oppose state decision whether federal law applies
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 138.4-1
Description:	Neo-Nullification
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose states being able to decide whether federal laws do or do not apply within the state?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor states being able to decide	781	1223	2004
2. Oppose states being able to decide	765	1357	2122
3. Neither favor nor oppose	279	973	1252
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	98	0	98
-9. Refused	6	28	34

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor states being able to decide	38.0	37.7	31.7	32.4	33.9	34.2
2. Oppose states being able to decide	37.2	39.6	35.2	31.6	35.9	34.4
3. Neither favor nor oppose	13.6	13.1	25.2	27.3	21.2	22.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.8	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.3
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.7	1.1	0.6	0.8

neonull_strulest

Label:	How much favor/opp state decision whether fed law applies
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 138.4-1.5
Description:	Neo-Nullification
Question:	Do you [favor/ oppose] that strongly or not strongly?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS STATES BEING ABLE TO DECIDE WHETHER FEDERAL LAW APPLIES IN THE STATE / IF R OPPOSES STATES BEING ABLE TO DECIDE WHETHER FEDERAL LAW APPLIES IN THE STATE:
Logic:	Ask if NEONULL in(1,2) else skip. Load 'favor' if NEONULL_STRULE = 1; load 'oppose' if NEONULL_STRULE=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with NEONULL_STRULE.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1088	2044	3132
2. Not strongly	453	534	987
-1. Inapplicable	383	1001	1384
-4. Error	0	1	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	53.0	53.1	53.0	49.6	53.0	50.8
2. Not strongly	22.1	24.0	13.8	14.3	16.7	17.7
-1. Inapplicable	18.6	17.0	25.9	28.4	23.4	24.5
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

neonull_strule_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor state decision when federal law applies to state

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor strongly	521	940	1461
2. Favor not strongly	257	282	539
3. Neither favor nor oppose	279	973	1252
4. Oppose not strongly	196	252	448
5. Oppose strongly	567	1104	1671
-4. Error	0	1	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	103	0	103
-9. Refused	6	29	35

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor strongly	25.4	25.1	24.4	24.5	24.7	24.7
2. Favor not strongly	12.5	12.5	7.3	7.8	9.1	9.4
3. Neither favor nor oppose	13.6	13.1	25.2	27.3	21.2	22.4
4. Oppose not strongly	9.5	11.5	6.5	6.5	7.6	8.2
5. Oppose strongly	27.6	28.0	28.6	25.1	28.3	26.1
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.4
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.8

pot_legal

Label:	Should marijuana be legal
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 138.7-1
Description:	Legalization of marijuana use
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the use of marijuana being legal?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	778	1342	2120
2. Oppose	729	1255	1984
3. Neither favor nor oppose	398	964	1362
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	20	0	20
-9. Refused	4	20	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	37.9	38.1	34.8	34.7	35.8	35.9
2. Oppose	35.5	35.2	32.5	32.0	33.5	33.1
3. Neither favor nor oppose	19.4	20.0	25.0	24.9	23.0	23.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.6

govrole_big

Label:	Govt bigger because too involved OR bigger problems
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 139-2
Description:	Size of government role
Question:	ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; OR: TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.
Web Logic:	Online, display question text as follows: Which of the two statements comes closer to your view? 1. The main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves. 2. Government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger. In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Gov't bigger because it's involved in things people should handle themselves	724	2049	2773
2. Gov't bigger because problems bigger	1170	1497	2667
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	31	0	31
-9. Refused	4	35	39

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Gov't bigger because it's involved in things people should handle themselves	35.2	44.7	53.1	54.3	46.9	50.9
2. Gov't bigger because problems bigger	57.0	48.4	38.8	37.0	45.1	41.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.9	1.2	0.7	0.8

govrole_market

Label:	Need strong govt for complex problems OR free market
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 139-4
Description:	Size of government role
Question:	ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; OR TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.
Web Logic:	Online, display question text as follows: Which of the two statements comes closer to your view? 1. We need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems. 2. The free market can handle these problems without government being involved. In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Need a strong gov't to handle complex economic problems	1344	2094	3438
2. Free market can handle without gov't involvement	527	1449	1976
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	50	0	50
-9. Refused	8	38	46

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Need a strong gov't to handle complex economic problems	65.4	60.2	54.2	52.5	58.1	55.2
2. Free market can handle without gov't involvement	25.7	31.2	37.5	38.4	33.4	35.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.6	0.8	1.2

govrole_lessmore

Label:	Less govt better OR more that govt should be doing
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 139-6
Description:	Size of government role
Question:	ONE, the less government, the better; OR TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?
Web Logic:	Online, display question text as follows: Which of the two statements comes closer to your view? 1. The less government, the better. 2. There are more things that government should be doing. In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. The less government the better	693	2003	2696
2. More things government should be doing	1160	1535	2695
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	68	0	68
-9. Refused	8	43	51

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. The less government the better	33.7	44.4	51.9	51.5	45.6	49.0
2. More things government should be doing	56.5	46.6	39.8	39.2	45.6	41.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.3	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0
-9. Refused	0.4	0.3	1.1	1.7	0.9	1.2

govrole_regbus

Label:	Regulation of Business
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 139-7
Description:	Size of government role
Question:	How much government regulation of business is good for society? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / None at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	241	202	443
2. A lot	251	419	670
3. A moderate amount	1006	2089	3095
4. A little	340	715	1055
5. None at all	44	125	169
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	45	0	45
-9. Refused	2	31	33

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	11.7	7.4	5.2	4.8	7.5	5.7
2. A lot	12.2	10.2	10.9	10.0	11.3	10.1
3. A moderate amount	49.0	52.3	54.1	53.4	52.3	53.0
4. A little	16.6	20.6	18.5	19.4	17.8	19.8
5. None at all	2.1	2.3	3.2	3.5	2.9	3.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.3	0.6	0.9

discuss_disc

Label:	Ever discuss politics with family or friends
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 140-1
Description:	Discuss politics with family or friends
Question:	Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1420	2515	3935
2. No	507	1051	1558
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	15	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	69.1	71.9	65.2	60.5	66.5	64.4
2. No	24.7	22.3	27.2	31.3	26.3	28.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.4

discuss_discpstwk

Label:	Days in past week discussed politics
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 140-2
Description:	Discuss politics with family or friends
Question:	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you talk about politics with family or friends?
Universe:	IF EVER DISCUSSES POLITICS WITH FAMILY AND FRIENDS:
Logic:	Ask if DISCUSS_DISC=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Zero days	216	420	636
1. One day	280	684	964
2. Two days	312	575	887
3. Three days	187	330	517
4. Four days	112	176	288
5. Five days	100	107	207
6. Six days	26	44	70
7. Seven days	182	175	357
-1. Inapplicable	509	1066	1575
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	4	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Zero days	10.5	9.7	10.9	11.2	10.8	10.7
1. One day	13.6	13.7	17.7	16.9	16.3	15.8
2. Two days	15.2	15.6	14.9	14.4	15.0	14.8
3. Three days	9.1	9.1	8.5	7.4	8.7	8.0
4. Four days	5.5	5.8	4.6	3.7	4.9	4.5
5. Five days	4.9	5.2	2.8	2.4	3.5	3.4
6. Six days	1.3	1.7	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.2
7. Seven days	8.9	10.7	4.5	3.5	6.0	6.0
-1. Inapplicable	24.8	22.3	27.6	32.0	26.6	28.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

mormon_xn

Label:	Mormon a Christian religion
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141-1
Description:	Attitudes toward and exposure to Mormonism
Question:	Do you think the Mormon religion is Christian, or is it not Christian?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Is Christian	700	1862	2562
2. Not Christian	831	1645	2476
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	387	0	387
-9. Refused	11	74	85

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Is Christian	34.1	39.0	48.2	46.7	43.3	44.0
2. Not Christian	40.5	38.2	42.6	43.3	41.9	41.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	18.8	16.8	0.0	0.0	6.5	5.8
-9. Refused	0.5	0.4	1.9	2.4	1.4	1.7

mormon_known

Label:	Mormon people you know
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141-2
Description:	Attitudes toward and exposure to Mormonism
Question:	How many people do you know personally who are Mormon?
Source:	Respondent

40 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	1169	1707	2876
1	170	510	680
2	143	346	489
-6	122	130	252
3	70	156	226
5	73	151	224
-7	3	149	152
4	52	96	148
10	47	98	145
-9	6	139	145

mormon_beliefs

Label:	Mormon common beliefs with self
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141-3
Description:	Attitudes toward and exposure to Mormonism
Question:	How much does the Mormon religion have in common with your own beliefs? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or nothing at all / nothing at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	38	85	123
2. A lot	67	136	203
3. A moderate amount	279	753	1032
4. A little	489	1205	1694
5. Nothing at all	704	1323	2027
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	349	0	349
-9. Refused	3	79	82

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	1.9	2.7	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.5
2. A lot	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.1	3.4	3.3
3. A moderate amount	13.6	17.2	19.5	18.5	17.5	18.1
4. A little	23.8	25.1	31.2	30.2	28.6	28.4
5. Nothing at all	34.3	30.0	34.3	35.6	34.3	33.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	17.0	15.5	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	2.0	2.6	1.4	1.7

factor_rpcrel

Label:	Pres cand aversion-pref factor: Mitt Romney's Mormon relig
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-1
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor1]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions: 1 = Mitt Romney's Mormon faith 2 = Barack Obama's race 3 = the Affordable Health Care Act, or 'Obamacare' 4 = the economy 5 = foreign policy 6 = Barack Obama's religion 7 = Mitt Romney's wealth 8 = the fact that Obama is a Democrat 9 = the fact that Romney is Republican
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Preload variables used in this module are as follows: presfactor1 = Mitt Romney's Mormon faith presfactor2 = Barack Obama's race presfactor3 = the health care reform law of 2010 presfactor4 = the economy presfactor5 = foreign policy presfactor6 = Barack Obama's religion presfactor7 = Mitt Romney's wealth presfactor8 = the fact that Obama is a Democrat presfactor9 = the fact that Romney is a Republican Load presfactor1 in question text. The order in which the Presidential candidate names are read in the question text should be randomized, with value stored in a variable randpfactor; the same ordering of names applies for all preference factor questions in this module. Also: randomize the order of the 9 preference-aversion factors in this module. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	258	549	807
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	22	203	225
3. Made no difference	1621	2799	4420
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	24	0	24
-9. Refused	4	30	34

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	12.6	10.1	14.2	14.6	13.6	13.0
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	1.1	1.4	5.3	6.5	3.8	4.7
3. Made no difference	78.9	81.4	72.5	70.4	74.7	74.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.7

factor_dpcrace

Label:	Pres cand aversion-pref factor: Barack Obama's race
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-2
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor2]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Load presfactor2 in question text. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	192	338	530
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	29	207	236
3. Made no difference	1700	3014	4714
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	3	22	25

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	9.3	5.3	8.8	8.5	9.0	7.4
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	1.4	2.1	5.4	6.3	4.0	4.8
3. Made no difference	82.8	86.5	78.1	76.9	79.7	80.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.6

factor_ahcare

Label:	Pcand factor: the Affordable Health Care Act or 'Obamacare'
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-3
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor3]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Load presfactor3 in question text. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	746	1273	2019
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	457	1352	1809
3. Made no difference	689	928	1617
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	34	0	34
-9. Refused	3	28	31

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	36.3	26.9	33.0	29.9	34.1	28.9
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	22.2	34.3	35.0	36.0	30.6	35.4
3. Made no difference	33.5	31.4	24.0	25.6	27.3	27.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.7	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.7

factor_econ

Label:	Pres cand aversion-pref factor: the economy
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-4
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor4]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Load presfactor4 in question text. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	923	1438	2361
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	510	1375	1885
3. Made no difference	481	742	1223
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	13	0	13
-9. Refused	2	26	28

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	44.9	36.0	37.3	34.4	39.9	35.0
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	24.8	36.7	35.6	36.0	31.9	36.3
3. Made no difference	23.4	20.9	19.2	21.1	20.7	21.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6

factor_fpol

Label:	Pres cand aversion-pref factor: foreign policy
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-5
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor5]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Load presfactor5 in question text. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	689	1361	2050
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	312	1022	1334
3. Made no difference	886	1172	2058
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	38	0	38
-9. Refused	4	26	30

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	33.5	28.5	35.3	32.3	34.7	31.0
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	15.2	23.3	26.5	26.7	22.6	25.5
3. Made no difference	43.1	40.8	30.4	32.5	34.8	35.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.7

factor_dpcrel

Label:	Pres cand aversion-preference factor: Dem Pres cand relig
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-6
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor6]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Load presfactor6 in question text. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	109	335	444
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	140	561	701
3. Made no difference	1656	2659	4315
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	18	0	18
-9. Refused	6	26	32

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	5.3	3.8	8.7	8.6	7.5	7.0
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	6.8	10.4	14.5	15.7	11.9	13.8
3. Made no difference	80.6	79.1	68.9	67.3	73.0	71.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.9	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.7

factor_rpcwlth

Label:	Pres cand aversion-preference factor: Mitt Romney's wealth
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-7
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor7]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Load presfactor7 in question text. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	403	926	1329
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	56	198	254
3. Made no difference	1455	2428	3883
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	1	29	30

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	19.6	16.9	24.0	23.2	22.5	21.0
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	2.7	2.9	5.1	5.7	4.3	4.7
3. Made no difference	70.8	73.7	62.9	62.7	65.7	66.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

factor_pcdem

Label:	Pres cand aver-pref factor: the fact that Obama is Democrat
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-8
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor8]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Load presfactor8 in question text. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	719	1170	1889
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	246	831	1077
3. Made no difference	952	1555	2507
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	9	0	9
-9. Refused	3	25	28

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	35.0	26.4	30.3	28.6	31.9	27.8
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	12.0	18.4	21.5	22.8	18.2	21.3
3. Made no difference	46.3	49.0	40.3	40.2	42.4	43.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.6

factor_pcrep

Label:	Pres cand aver-pref factor:the fact that Romney is Republican
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 141.5-9
Description:	Presidential candidate preference and averstion factors
Question:	What about [preload: presfactor9]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?
Randomization:	Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
Universe:	IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS / IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
Logic:	Load presfactor9 in question text. If POSTVOTE_RVOTE=4 or vote_pre=1 load 'vote for', else load 'prefer'.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	622	1107	1729
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	289	907	1196
3. Made no difference	1001	1543	2544
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	3	24	27

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <preload: dem_pcname>	30.3	22.5	28.7	26.5	29.2	25.1
2. <preload: rep_pcname>	14.1	21.3	23.5	24.4	20.2	23.4
3. Made no difference	48.7	49.7	40.0	40.7	43.0	43.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.6

ptydiff_diff

Label:	Important differences in what major parties stand for
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 142-1
Description:	Comparisons of major parties
Question:	Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, differences	1495	3029	4524
2. No, no differences	378	525	903
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	54	0	54
-9. Refused	2	27	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, differences	72.8	72.2	78.5	75.7	76.5	74.5
2. No, no differences	18.4	19.5	13.6	15.8	15.3	17.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6

ptycons_cons

Label:	Is one of the parties more conservative than the other
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 142.7-1
Description:	Comparisons of major parties
Question:	Would you say that one of the parties is more conservative than the other at the national level?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, one party more conservative	1622	2952	4574
2. No, one party not more conservative	195	579	774
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	111	0	111
-9. Refused	1	50	51

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, one party more conservative	79.0	80.9	76.5	73.8	77.3	76.2
2. No, one party not more conservative	9.5	9.4	15.0	17.0	13.1	14.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.4	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	1.3	1.6	0.9	1.1

ptycons_ptyconswh

Label:	Which is the party that is more conservative
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 142.7-2
Description:	Comparisons of major parties
Question:	Which party is more conservative?
Universe:	IF R SAYS THAT ONE OF THE MAJOR PARTIES IS MORE CONSERVATIVE:
Logic:	Ask if PTYCONS_PTYCONS =1 , else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats	348	282	630
2. Republicans	1236	2666	3902
-1. Inapplicable	307	629	936
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	34	0	34
-9. Refused	4	4	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats	16.9	12.1	7.3	7.0	10.7	8.8
2. Republicans	60.2	66.8	69.1	66.6	66.0	66.6
-1. Inapplicable	14.9	13.4	16.3	18.7	15.8	16.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.7	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

tarp_favopp

Label:	Does R favor or oppose the TARP program
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 143-1
Description:	TARP
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the Troubled Asset Relief Program of 2008, or TARP, also known as the Wall Street bailout?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	339	562	901
2. Oppose	721	1496	2217
3. Neither favor nor oppose	584	1484	2068
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	278	0	278
-9. Refused	7	39	46

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	16.5	17.5	14.6	12.0	15.2	13.9
2. Oppose	35.1	40.2	38.8	39.0	37.5	39.4
3. Neither favor nor oppose	28.4	25.2	38.4	40.0	35.0	34.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	13.5	11.2	0.0	0.0	4.7	3.9
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	1.0	1.5	0.8	1.0

tarp_favoppst

Label:	How much does R favor or oppose the TARP program
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 143-2
Description:	TARP
Question:	Do you [favor/ oppose] the TARP program strongly or not strongly?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS THE 2008 TARP PROGRAM / IF R OPPOSES THE 2008 TARP PROGRAM:
Logic:	Ask if TARP_FAVTARP in(1,2), else skip. Load 'favor' if TARP_FAVTARP=1; load 'oppose' if TARP_FAVTARP=2.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	685	1268	1953
2. Not strongly	370	787	1157
-1. Inapplicable	869	1523	2392
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	33.3	37.6	32.8	30.8	33.0	33.2
2. Not strongly	18.0	19.9	20.4	20.0	19.6	20.0
-1. Inapplicable	42.3	36.6	39.5	41.5	40.4	39.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

tarp_favopp_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor the TARP program

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor strongly	169	242	411
2. Favor not strongly	167	320	487
3. Neither favor nor oppose	584	1484	2068
4. Oppose not strongly	203	467	670
5. Oppose strongly	516	1026	1542
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	283	0	283
-9. Refused	7	42	49

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor strongly	8.2	8.6	6.3	4.7	6.9	6.1
2. Favor not strongly	8.1	8.8	8.3	7.3	8.2	7.8
3. Neither favor nor oppose	28.4	25.2	38.4	40.0	35.0	34.9
4. Oppose not strongly	9.9	11.1	12.1	12.7	11.3	12.2
5. Oppose strongly	25.1	29.0	26.6	26.1	26.1	27.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	13.8	11.4	0.0	0.0	4.8	3.9
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	1.1	1.6	0.8	1.1

scourt_elim

Label:	Do away with the Supreme Court
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 144-1
Description:	Supreme Court
Question:	If the U.S. Supreme Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose doing away with the Supreme Court altogether?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	356	457	813
2. Oppose	915	1688	2603
3. Neither favor nor oppose	560	1401	1961
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	94	0	94
-9. Refused	4	35	39

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	17.3	17.9	11.8	12.1	13.7	14.1
2. Oppose	44.5	48.3	43.7	40.6	44.0	43.3
3. Neither favor nor oppose	27.3	25.1	36.3	38.5	33.2	33.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.6	2.7	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.9
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.3	0.7	0.9

scourt_elimstr

Label:	Do away with court - strength
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 144-1.1
Description:	Supreme Court
Question:	Do you [favor/oppose] that strongly or not strongly?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE/ IF R OPPOSES REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE:
Logic:	Ask if SCOURT_ELIM in(1,2), else skip. Load 'favor' if SCOURT_ELIM=1; load 'oppose' if SCOURT_ELIM=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with SCOURT_ELIM.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	935	1656	2591
2. Not strongly	332	488	820
-1. Inapplicable	658	1436	2094
-4. Error	0	1	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	45.5	49.3	42.9	39.2	43.8	42.7
2. Not strongly	16.2	16.8	12.6	13.5	13.9	14.6
-1. Inapplicable	32.0	28.1	37.2	39.8	35.4	35.7
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

scourt_elimln

Label:	Do away with court - lean
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 144-1.2
Description:	Supreme Court
Question:	If the U.S. Supreme Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, would you lean toward doing away with the Supreme Court altogether, lean against doing away with it, or not lean either way?
Universe:	IF R DOES NOT FAVOR NOR OPPOSE REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE:
Logic:	Ask if SCOURT_ELIM =3, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward	60	147	207
2. Lean against	69	194	263
3. Not lean either way	422	1058	1480
-1. Inapplicable	1369	2180	3549
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	9	0	9
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward	2.9	3.4	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.8
2. Lean against	3.4	3.5	5.0	5.1	4.4	4.6
3. Not lean either way	20.5	18.0	27.4	29.4	25.0	25.4
-1. Inapplicable	66.7	69.1	56.5	53.9	60.0	59.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

scourt_elim_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Should the Supreme Court be done away with

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor strongly	226	304	530
2. Favor not strongly	129	153	282
3. Lean toward	60	147	207
4. Do not lean either way	422	1058	1480
5. Lean against	69	194	263
6. Oppose not strongly	203	335	538
7. Oppose trongly	709	1352	2061
-4. Error	0	1	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	107	0	107
-9. Refused	4	37	41

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor strongly	11.0	10.8	7.9	7.6	9.0	8.7
2. Favor not strongly	6.3	7.1	4.0	4.5	4.8	5.4
3. Lean toward	2.9	3.4	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.8
4. Do not lean either way	20.5	18.0	27.4	29.4	25.0	25.4
5. Lean against	3.4	3.5	5.0	5.1	4.4	4.6
6. Oppose not strongly	9.9	9.7	8.7	9.0	9.1	9.2
7. Oppose trongly	34.5	38.5	35.0	31.5	34.8	34.0
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.2	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.9

scourt_remove

Label:	Remove Supreme Court Judges
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 144-2
Description:	Supreme Court
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose removing judges from the U.S. Supreme Court if those judges consistently make decisions that a majority of Americans oppose?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	1105	1347	2452
2. Oppose	478	995	1473
3. Neither favor nor oppose	281	1197	1478
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	58	0	58
-9. Refused	7	42	49

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	53.8	53.6	34.9	36.3	41.5	42.3
2. Oppose	23.3	26.4	25.8	22.3	24.9	23.7
3. Neither favor nor oppose	13.7	12.2	31.0	32.3	25.0	25.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.6
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.5	0.8	1.1

scourt_removestr

Label:	Remove Supreme Court Judges -- strength
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 144-2.1
Description:	Supreme Court
Question:	Do you [favor/oppose] that strongly or not strongly?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE/ IF R OPPOSES REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE:
Logic:	Ask if SCOURT_ELIMJDG in(1,2), else skip. Load 'favor' if SCOURT_ELIMJDG=1; load 'oppose' if SCOURT_ELIMJDG=2.
Web Logic:	Online, bank with SCOURT_ELIMJDG.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	1197	1686	2883
2. Not strongly	381	620	1001
-1. Inapplicable	346	1234	1580
-4. Error	0	15	15
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	26	26

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	58.3	60.2	43.7	40.8	48.7	47.5
2. Not strongly	18.5	19.4	16.1	16.8	16.9	17.7
-1. Inapplicable	16.8	14.3	32.0	33.7	26.7	27.0
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.9	0.4	0.6

scourt_removeln

Label:	Remove Supreme Court Judges - lean
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 144-2.2
Description:	Supreme Court
Question:	If U.S. Supreme Court judges consistently make decisions that a majority of Americans oppose, would you lean toward removing those judges from office, lean against removing those judges, not lean either way?
Universe:	IF R DOES NOT FAVOR NOR OPPOSE REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE:
Logic:	Ask if SCOURT_ELIMJDG =3, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Lean toward removing judges	49	171	220
2. Lean against removing judges	22	133	155
3. Do not lean either way	205	889	1094
-1. Inapplicable	1648	2384	4032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Lean toward removing judges	2.4	2.3	4.4	4.6	3.7	3.8
2. Lean against removing judges	1.1	1.0	3.4	3.6	2.6	2.7
3. Do not lean either way	10.0	8.9	23.0	24.0	18.5	18.7
-1. Inapplicable	80.2	82.1	61.8	60.1	68.2	67.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

scourt_remove_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Should it be possible to remove Supreme Ct judges

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor strongly	841	915	1756
2. Favor not strongly	260	406	666
3. Lean toward	49	171	220
4. Do not lean either way	205	889	1094
5. Lean against	22	133	155
6. Oppose not strongly	121	212	333
7. Oppose trongly	356	768	1124
-4. Error	0	15	15
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	68	0	68
-9. Refused	7	72	79

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor strongly	40.9	40.3	23.7	23.9	29.7	29.6
2. Favor not strongly	12.7	13.0	10.5	11.5	11.3	12.0
3. Lean toward	2.4	2.3	4.4	4.6	3.7	3.8
4. Do not lean either way	10.0	8.9	23.0	24.0	18.5	18.7
5. Lean against	1.1	1.0	3.4	3.6	2.6	2.7
6. Oppose not strongly	5.9	6.4	5.5	5.2	5.6	5.7
7. Oppose trongly	17.3	19.9	19.9	16.7	19.0	17.9
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.8
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	1.9	2.6	1.3	1.8

involv_communwk

Label:	Has R done community work in past 12 months
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 145-1
Description:	Community involvement
Question:	During the PAST 12 MONTHS, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	564	1144	1708
2. No	1356	2437	3793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	27.5	30.9	29.6	28.2	28.9	29.2
2. No	66.0	63.0	63.1	64.2	64.1	63.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

involv_govoffic

Label:	Has R contacted official to express view in past 12 mo
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 145-2
Description:	Community involvement
Question:	During the PAST 12 MONTHS, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	260	914	1174
2. No	1666	2667	4333
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	12.7	15.2	23.7	21.1	19.9	19.1
2. No	81.1	78.9	69.1	71.3	73.3	74.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

involv_commmtg

Label:	Did R attend meeting on school/community issue past 12 mo
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 145-3
Description:	Community involvement
Question:	During the PAST 12 MONTHS, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	444	945	1389
2. No	1484	2635	4119
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	21.6	22.1	24.5	23.2	23.5	22.8
2. No	72.2	72.1	68.3	69.1	69.6	70.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0

involv_numorgs

Label:	Number of organizations in which R is a member
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 145-5
Description:	Community involvement
Question:	How many organizations are you currently a member of?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item, INVOLV_INVLVINT.
Source:	Respondent

22 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	1120	1668	2788
1	352	944	1296
2	217	562	779
3	120	214	334
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
4	52	96	148
5	29	57	86
6	11	18	29
10	7	6	13

involv_voltr

Label:	Has R done any volunteer work in past 12 months
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 145-6
Description:	Community involvement
Question:	Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?
Web Logic:	Online, display response option 1 as 'Did volunteer work in past 12 months' and display option 2 as 'Did not do so'
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	828	1620	2448
2. No	1097	1959	3056
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	40.3	42.5	42.0	39.2	41.4	40.3
2. No	53.4	51.7	50.8	53.2	51.7	52.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

involv_charity

Label:	Has R contributed to church or charity in past 12 mo
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 145-7
Description:	Community involvement
Question:	Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1336	2609	3945
2. No	590	969	1559
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	65.0	69.4	67.6	63.5	66.7	65.5
2. No	28.7	24.8	25.1	28.8	26.4	27.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

link_black

Label:	Black R: life be affected by what happens to blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-1
Description:	Group links
Question:	Do you think that what happens generally to BLACK PEOPLE in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS BLACK AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICAITON
Logic:	Ask if 2 (black or African-American) is any MENTION in DEM_CPSRACE , else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	321	356	677
2. No	197	163	360
-1. Inapplicable	1385	3062	4447
-2. Missing; R gave Black identification in text of other specify for race	1	0	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	22	0	22
-9. Refused	3	0	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	15.6	7.6	9.2	7.5	11.4	7.6
2. No	9.6	4.8	4.2	4.0	6.1	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	67.4	81.2	79.3	80.9	75.2	81.0
-2. Missing; R gave Black identification in text of other specify for race	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

link_blackamt

Label:	Black R: how much life affected by what happens to blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-2
Description:	Group links
Question:	Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS BLACK AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICAITON: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO BLACK PEOPLE WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic:	Ask if LINK_LNKBLACK =1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	130	123	253
2. Some	155	203	358
3. Not very much	34	30	64
-1. Inapplicable	1608	3225	4833
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	6.3	3.3	3.2	2.3	4.3	2.7
2. Some	7.5	3.6	5.3	4.6	6.1	4.3
3. Not very much	1.7	0.7	0.8	0.6	1.1	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	78.3	86.7	83.5	84.9	81.7	85.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

link_hisp

Label:	Hisp R: life be affected by what happens to Hispanics
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-3
Description:	Group links
Question:	Do you think that what happens generally to HISPANIC PEOPLE in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	230	228	458
2. No	180	254	434
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	25	0	25
-9. Refused	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	11.2	5.4	5.9	4.7	7.7	4.9
2. No	8.8	3.7	6.6	5.5	7.3	4.8
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

link_hispamt

Label:	Hisp R: how much life affected by what happens to Hisps
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-4
Description:	Group links
Question:	Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO HISPANICS WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic:	Ask if LINK_LNKHISP =1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	90	37	127
2. Some	117	148	265
3. Not very much	22	43	65
-1. Inapplicable	1699	3353	5052
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	4.4	2.1	1.0	0.7	2.1	1.2
2. Some	5.7	2.7	3.8	3.0	4.5	2.9
3. Not very much	1.1	0.5	1.1	0.9	1.1	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	82.7	88.9	86.9	87.7	85.4	88.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

link_white

Label:	White R: life be affected by what happens to whites
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-7
Description:	Group links
Question:	Do you think what happens generally to WHITE people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS WHITE AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic:	Ask if 1 (white) is any MENTION in DEM_CPSRACE , else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	605	1804	2409
2. No	456	1015	1471
-1. Inapplicable	717	749	1466
-2. Missing; R gave White identification in text of other specify for race	2	0	2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	133	0	133
-9. Refused	16	13	29

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	29.5	39.9	46.7	46.8	40.7	44.4
2. No	22.2	27.3	26.3	26.8	24.9	26.9
-1. Inapplicable	34.9	17.6	19.4	18.5	24.8	18.2
-2. Missing; R gave White identification in text of other specify for race	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	6.5	8.3	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.9
-9. Refused	0.8	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6

link_whiteamt

Label:	White R: how much life affected by what happens to whites
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-8
Description:	Group links
Question:	Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS WHITE AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO WHITES WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic:	Ask if LINK_LNKWHITE =1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	178	409	587
2. Some	322	1141	1463
3. Not very much	88	252	340
-1. Inapplicable	1324	1777	3101
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	17	0	17
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	8.7	11.6	10.6	10.3	9.9	10.7
2. Some	15.7	21.6	29.6	29.7	24.7	26.9
3. Not very much	4.3	6.0	6.5	6.8	5.7	6.5
-1. Inapplicable	64.5	54.3	46.0	45.7	52.4	48.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

link_wom

Label:	Female R: life be affected by what happens to women
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-8.3
Description:	Group links
Question:	Do you think that what happens generally to WOMEN in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS FEMALE IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if GEND_GENDOBS=2 or SELFGEND_RGENDSELF=2, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	683	1178	1861
2. No	353	549	902
-1. Inapplicable	828	1847	2675
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	55	0	55
-9. Refused	10	7	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	33.3	29.5	30.5	32.0	31.5	31.2
2. No	17.2	16.6	14.2	15.4	15.3	15.9
-1. Inapplicable	40.3	45.4	47.8	44.8	45.2	45.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.7	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3

link_womamt

Label:	Female R: how much life affected by what happens to women
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-8.7
Description:	Group links
Question:	Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS FEMALE IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF WHAT HAPPENS TO WOMEN WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic:	Ask if LINK_LNKWOM =1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	285	364	649
2. Some	338	666	1004
3. Not very much	54	147	201
-1. Inapplicable	1246	2403	3649
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	13.9	12.2	9.4	10.0	11.0	10.8
2. Some	16.5	15.7	17.3	18.0	17.0	17.2
3. Not very much	2.6	1.4	3.8	4.0	3.4	3.1
-1. Inapplicable	60.7	64.7	62.3	60.4	61.7	61.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

link_oth

Label:	Life affected by what happens to other people
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-9
Description:	Group links
Question:	Do you think what happens generally to OTHER people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1147	2634	3781
2. No	656	940	1596
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	116	0	116
-9. Refused	10	7	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	55.8	60.8	68.2	66.0	63.9	64.2
2. No	31.9	27.5	24.4	26.3	27.0	26.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.6	5.6	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.9
-9. Refused	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3

link_othamt

Label:	How much life affected by what happens to others
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 146-10
Description:	Group links
Question:	Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?
Universe:	IF WHAT HAPPENS TO OTHERS WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:
Logic:	Ask if LINK_LINKOTH =1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	281	544	825
2. Some	704	1804	2508
3. Not very much	140	284	424
-1. Inapplicable	782	947	1729
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	22	0	22
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	13.7	14.5	14.1	12.8	13.9	13.4
2. Some	34.3	38.1	46.7	45.5	42.4	43.0
3. Not very much	6.8	7.1	7.4	7.5	7.2	7.4
-1. Inapplicable	38.1	33.5	24.5	26.5	29.2	28.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

trad_adjust

Label:	Agree/disagree: world is changing and we should adjust
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 148-2
Description:	Moral traditionalism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.' Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	406	269	675
2. Agree somewhat	667	992	1659
3. Neither agree nor disagree	195	542	737
4. Disagree somewhat	284	820	1104
5. Disagree strongly	368	954	1322
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	2	4	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	19.8	15.2	7.0	7.0	11.4	9.8
2. Agree somewhat	32.5	30.9	25.7	26.1	28.1	27.8
3. Neither agree nor disagree	9.5	9.2	14.0	14.8	12.5	12.9
4. Disagree somewhat	13.8	15.2	21.2	20.7	18.7	18.8
5. Disagree strongly	17.9	23.5	24.7	23.5	22.4	23.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

trad_lifestyle

Label:	Agree/disagree: newer lifestyles breaking down society
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 148-3
Description:	Moral traditionalism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	494	884	1378
2. Agree somewhat	664	1246	1910
3. Neither agree nor disagree	345	608	953
4. Disagree somewhat	257	535	792
5. Disagree strongly	154	305	459
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	1	3	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	24.1	24.2	22.9	22.2	23.3	22.9
2. Agree somewhat	32.3	31.6	32.3	31.9	32.3	31.8
3. Neither agree nor disagree	16.8	16.0	15.8	16.5	16.1	16.3
4. Disagree somewhat	12.5	13.7	13.9	13.7	13.4	13.7
5. Disagree strongly	7.5	8.4	7.9	8.0	7.8	8.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

trad_tolerant

Label:	Agree/disagree: be more tolerant of other moral stds
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 148-4
Description:	Moral traditionalism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	546	584	1130
2. Agree somewhat	752	1284	2036
3. Neither agree nor disagree	283	805	1088
4. Disagree somewhat	234	625	859
5. Disagree strongly	103	279	382
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	5	4	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	26.6	22.7	15.1	14.8	19.1	17.5
2. Agree somewhat	36.6	37.5	33.3	32.3	34.4	34.1
3. Neither agree nor disagree	13.8	13.2	20.9	22.0	18.4	19.0
4. Disagree somewhat	11.4	14.4	16.2	16.4	14.5	15.7
5. Disagree strongly	5.0	5.9	7.2	6.8	6.5	6.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2

trad_famval

Label:	Agree/disagree: more emphasis on traditional family values
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 148-5
Description:	Moral traditionalism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	762	1228	1990
2. Agree somewhat	609	1079	1688
3. Neither agree nor disagree	299	653	952
4. Disagree somewhat	152	391	543
5. Disagree strongly	92	225	317
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	14	0	14
-9. Refused	1	5	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	37.1	39.1	31.8	30.6	33.6	33.5
2. Agree somewhat	29.6	29.2	28.0	28.3	28.5	28.6
3. Neither agree nor disagree	14.6	13.2	16.9	17.9	16.1	16.3
4. Disagree somewhat	7.4	7.0	10.1	9.6	9.2	8.7
5. Disagree strongly	4.5	5.3	5.8	5.8	5.4	5.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

resent_workway

Label:	Agree/disagree: blacks shd work way up w/o special favors
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 149-2
Description:	Reasons for position of blacks in U.S.
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	729	1107	1836
2. Agree somewhat	504	1108	1612
3. Neither agree nor disagree	275	698	973
4. Disagree somewhat	225	464	689
5. Disagree strongly	176	199	375
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	9	0	9
-9. Refused	11	5	16

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	35.5	38.2	28.7	30.1	31.0	32.9
2. Agree somewhat	24.5	24.9	28.7	29.0	27.3	27.6
3. Neither agree nor disagree	13.4	12.9	18.1	18.9	16.5	16.9
4. Disagree somewhat	11.0	10.5	12.0	9.6	11.7	10.0
5. Disagree strongly	8.6	7.0	5.2	4.6	6.3	5.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3

resent_slavery

Label:	Agree/disagree: past slavery make more diff for blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 149-3
Description:	Reasons for position of blacks in U.S.
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	328	301	629
2. Agree somewhat	581	910	1491
3. Neither agree nor disagree	223	568	791
4. Disagree somewhat	375	948	1323
5. Disagree strongly	401	850	1251
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	9	4	13

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	16.0	11.9	7.8	6.7	10.6	8.5
2. Agree somewhat	28.3	30.4	23.6	20.6	25.2	24.0
3. Neither agree nor disagree	10.9	9.8	14.7	16.0	13.4	13.9
4. Disagree somewhat	18.3	18.9	24.6	25.2	22.4	23.0
5. Disagree strongly	19.5	22.6	22.0	23.7	21.2	23.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2

resent_deserve

Label:	Agree/disagree: blacks have gotten less than deserve
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 149-4
Description:	Reasons for position of blacks in U.S.
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	225	169	394
2. Agree somewhat	314	467	781
3. Neither agree nor disagree	401	950	1351
4. Disagree somewhat	469	990	1459
5. Disagree strongly	485	999	1484
-1. Inapplicable	0	1	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	27	0	27
-9. Refused	8	5	13

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	11.0	6.5	4.4	4.0	6.7	4.9
2. Agree somewhat	15.3	13.9	12.1	10.8	13.2	11.9
3. Neither agree nor disagree	19.5	20.0	24.6	25.1	22.8	23.3
4. Disagree somewhat	22.8	25.1	25.6	25.9	24.7	25.6
5. Disagree strongly	23.6	27.6	25.9	26.4	25.1	26.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.3	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3

resent_try

Label:	Agree/disagree: blacks must try harder to get ahead
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 149-5
Description:	Reasons for position of blacks in U.S.
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	502	649	1151
2. Agree somewhat	494	1082	1576
3. Neither agree nor disagree	335	866	1201
4. Disagree somewhat	307	671	978
5. Disagree strongly	270	308	578
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	9	5	14

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	24.4	23.4	16.8	18.2	19.5	20.0
2. Agree somewhat	24.1	24.6	28.0	28.6	26.6	27.2
3. Neither agree nor disagree	16.3	19.3	22.4	23.6	20.3	22.1
4. Disagree somewhat	14.9	14.7	17.4	15.3	16.5	15.1
5. Disagree strongly	13.1	11.5	8.0	6.5	9.8	8.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3

trustgvpo_crook

Label:	How many in government are crooked
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 150-1
Description:	Trust in government
Question:	Do you think that [QUITE A FEW of the people running the government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY are, or do you think HARDLY ANY of them are crooked / HARDLY ANY of the people running the government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY are, or do you think QUITE A FEW of them are crooked]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Quite a few	1217	2254	3471
2. Not very many	518	1179	1697
3. Hardly any	126	137	263
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	67	0	67
-9. Refused	1	11	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Quite a few	59.3	60.6	58.4	59.4	58.7	59.8
2. Not very many	25.2	26.1	30.5	29.7	28.7	28.4
3. Hardly any	6.1	5.1	3.5	3.0	4.4	3.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

efficpo_complicstd

Label:	[STD] Politics/govt too complicated to understand
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-1
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	Please look at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] of the booklet. I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them. 'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.' Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h. (Note: the standard version is asked in EFFICPO if R was asked the revised version in the Pre, thus the setting of splice_revstd is 'backword' for module EFFICPO.)
Web Logic:	Online, display question text as follows: Now we will show you a few statements about public life. We'll show them one at a time. Please tell us how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them. 'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.' Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	295	233	528
2. Agree somewhat	376	713	1089
3. Neither agree nor disagree	80	267	347
4. Disagree somewhat	127	354	481
5. Disagree strongly	64	263	327
-1. Inapplicable	984	1748	2732
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	14.4	13.5	6.0	6.3	8.9	8.8
2. Agree somewhat	18.3	19.3	18.5	19.3	18.4	19.3
3. Neither agree nor disagree	3.9	3.8	6.9	6.9	5.9	5.8
4. Disagree somewhat	6.2	6.8	9.2	8.5	8.1	7.9
5. Disagree strongly	3.1	3.4	6.8	5.9	5.5	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	47.9	47.5	45.3	45.5	46.2	46.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

efficpo_undstd

Label:	[STD] Good understanding of political issues
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-2
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	192	394	586
2. Agree somewhat	513	906	1419
3. Neither agree nor disagree	90	330	420
4. Disagree somewhat	112	163	275
5. Disagree strongly	32	37	69
-1. Inapplicable	984	1748	2732
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	0	3	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	9.3	9.8	10.2	9.3	9.9	9.5
2. Agree somewhat	25.0	25.6	23.5	22.6	24.0	23.6
3. Neither agree nor disagree	4.4	4.3	8.5	9.4	7.1	7.7
4. Disagree somewhat	5.5	5.6	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.9
5. Disagree strongly	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	47.9	47.5	45.3	45.5	46.2	46.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

efficpo_carestd

Label:	[STD] Publ officials don't care what people think
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-3
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	226	417	643
2. Agree somewhat	365	779	1144
3. Neither agree nor disagree	138	330	468
4. Disagree somewhat	176	273	449
5. Disagree strongly	37	30	67
-1. Inapplicable	984	1748	2732
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	4	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	11.0	10.2	10.8	10.8	10.9	10.6
2. Agree somewhat	17.8	20.1	20.2	20.4	19.3	20.3
3. Neither agree nor disagree	6.7	6.7	8.5	8.6	7.9	7.9
4. Disagree somewhat	8.6	8.5	7.1	6.4	7.6	7.1
5. Disagree strongly	1.8	1.2	0.8	0.7	1.1	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	47.9	47.5	45.3	45.5	46.2	46.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

efficpo_saystd

Label:	[STD] Have no say about what govt does
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-4
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=1, else skip This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	175	285	460
2. Agree somewhat	256	657	913
3. Neither agree nor disagree	107	295	402
4. Disagree somewhat	286	488	774
5. Disagree strongly	117	105	222
-1. Inapplicable	984	1748	2732
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	3	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	8.5	7.7	7.4	8.3	7.8	8.1
2. Agree somewhat	12.5	14.5	17.0	17.1	15.4	16.2
3. Neither agree nor disagree	5.2	5.1	7.6	7.9	6.8	6.9
4. Disagree somewhat	13.9	14.9	12.6	11.4	13.1	12.6
5. Disagree strongly	5.7	4.3	2.7	2.1	3.8	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	47.9	47.5	45.3	45.5	46.2	46.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

efficpo_complicrev

Label:	[REV] Politics/govt too complicatd to understand
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-5
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, or ALWAYS]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip. (Note: the revised version is asked in EFFICPO if R was asked the standard version in the Pre, thus the setting of splice_revstd is 'backword' for module EFFICPO.)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	87	81	168
2. Most of the time	273	408	681
3. About half the time	231	471	702
4. Some of the time	323	643	966
5. Never	63	142	205
-1. Inapplicable	945	1833	2778
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	7	0	7
-9. Refused	0	3	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	4.2	4.0	2.1	2.5	2.8	3.0
2. Most of the time	13.3	12.7	10.6	11.2	11.5	11.7
3. About half the time	11.2	11.1	12.2	12.7	11.9	12.1
4. Some of the time	15.7	15.1	16.7	15.7	16.3	15.5
5. Never	3.1	4.2	3.7	3.2	3.5	3.6
-1. Inapplicable	46.0	46.8	47.5	47.0	47.0	46.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

efficpo_undrev

Label:	[REV] Good understanding of political issues
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-6
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? [EXTREMELY WELL, VERY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, SLIGHTLY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL / NOT WELL AT ALL, SLIGHTLY WELL, MODERATELY WELL, VERY WELL, or EXTREMELY WELL]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	47	146	193
2. Very well	166	406	572
3. Moderately well	448	812	1260
4. Slightly well	244	299	543
5. Not well at all	75	83	158
-1. Inapplicable	945	1833	2778
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	1	2	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	2.3	2.1	3.8	3.4	3.3	3.0
2. Very well	8.1	8.8	10.5	8.4	9.7	8.6
3. Moderately well	21.8	22.4	21.0	21.7	21.3	21.9
4. Slightly well	11.9	9.9	7.7	8.9	9.2	9.2
5. Not well at all	3.7	4.1	2.2	2.9	2.7	3.3
-1. Inapplicable	46.0	46.8	47.5	47.0	47.0	46.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

efficpo_carerev

Label:	[REV] Publ officials don't care what peopl think
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-7
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	How much do public officials care what people like you think? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL/ NOT AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	43	28	71
2. A lot	53	96	149
3. A moderate amount	369	544	913
4. A little	372	770	1142
5. Not at all	138	308	446
-1. Inapplicable	945	1833	2778
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	2.1	1.8	0.7	0.6	1.2	1.0
2. A lot	2.6	3.4	2.5	1.9	2.5	2.4
3. A moderate amount	18.0	18.3	14.1	13.8	15.4	15.3
4. A little	18.1	17.3	19.9	20.7	19.3	19.5
5. Not at all	6.7	6.3	8.0	8.4	7.5	7.7
-1. Inapplicable	46.0	46.8	47.5	47.0	47.0	46.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

efficpo_sayrev

Label:	[REV] Have no say about what govt does
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 150.7-8
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	How much can people like you affect what the government does? [A GREAT DEAL, A LOT, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL / NOT AT ALL, A LITTLE, A MODERATE AMOUNT, A LOT, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
Logic:	Ask if variable splice_revstd=2, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	97	81	178
2. A lot	128	176	304
3. A moderate amount	261	530	791
4. A little	356	718	1074
5. Not at all	129	242	371
-1. Inapplicable	945	1833	2778
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	3	1	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	4.7	3.6	2.1	1.8	3.0	2.4
2. A lot	6.2	5.9	4.6	4.0	5.1	4.7
3. A moderate amount	12.7	13.4	13.7	13.8	13.4	13.6
4. A little	17.3	18.5	18.6	18.7	18.2	18.6
5. Not at all	6.3	5.7	6.3	7.1	6.3	6.6
-1. Inapplicable	46.0	46.8	47.5	47.0	47.0	46.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

efficpo_bothside

Label:	How often see both disagreeing parties as right
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 150.7-10
Description:	Efficacy - Post
Question:	Of the situations when you see two people disagreeing with one another, in how many of them can you see how both people could be right? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME,or ALWAYS]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	67	34	101
2. Most of the time	417	678	1095
3. About half the time	545	1293	1838
4. Some of the time	801	1479	2280
5. Never	73	91	164
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	23	0	23
-9. Refused	3	6	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	3.3	3.5	0.9	1.2	1.7	2.0
2. Most of the time	20.3	21.9	17.6	17.5	18.5	19.0
3. About half the time	26.5	26.0	33.5	34.1	31.1	31.2
4. Some of the time	39.0	39.9	38.3	36.8	38.6	37.9
5. Never	3.6	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

electintpo_countfair

Label:	Electoral integrity Post: are votes counted fairly
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-1
Description:	Electoral Intergrity WVS Post
Question:	In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections? [Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]? Votes are counted fairly
Web Logic:	Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very often	675	1112	1787
2. Fairly often	878	1793	2671
3. Not often	219	504	723
4. Not at all often	108	160	268
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	46	0	46
-9. Refused	3	12	15

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very often	32.9	36.7	28.8	26.4	30.2	30.0
2. Fairly often	42.7	40.5	46.5	46.9	45.2	44.7
3. Not often	10.7	9.4	13.1	14.3	12.2	12.6
4. Not at all often	5.3	5.4	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.2	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

electintpo_jrnlfair

Label:	Electoral integrity Post: is journalist coverage fair
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-2
Description:	Electoral Intergrity WVS Post
Question:	(In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?) ([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?) Journalists provide fair coverage of elections
Web Logic:	Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very often	307	277	584
2. Fairly often	828	1392	2220
3. Not often	493	1260	1753
4. Not at all often	245	645	890
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	54	0	54
-9. Refused	2	7	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very often	14.9	13.0	7.2	6.5	9.9	8.7
2. Fairly often	40.3	37.1	36.1	36.0	37.5	36.4
3. Not often	24.0	27.1	32.6	33.3	29.6	31.1
4. Not at all often	11.9	14.8	16.7	16.4	15.0	15.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.6	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

electintpo_elecofffair

Label:	Electoral integrity Post: are election officials fair
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-3
Description:	Electoral Intergrity WVS Post
Question:	(In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?) ([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?) Election officials are fair
Web Logic:	Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very often	402	697	1099
2. Fairly often	992	1906	2898
3. Not often	316	788	1104
4. Not at all often	129	179	308
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	88	0	88
-9. Refused	2	11	13

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very often	19.6	23.1	18.1	16.7	18.6	18.9
2. Fairly often	48.3	47.1	49.4	49.1	49.0	48.4
3. Not often	15.4	14.7	20.4	21.2	18.7	18.9
4. Not at all often	6.3	5.8	4.6	4.9	5.2	5.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.2
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3

electintpo_richbuy

Label:	Electoral integrity Post: do the rich buy elections
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-4
Description:	Electoral Intergrity WVS Post
Question:	(In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?) ([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?) Rich people buy elections
Web Logic:	Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very often	544	832	1376
2. Fairly often	704	1504	2208
3. Not often	361	991	1352
4. Not at all often	178	242	420
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	136	0	136
-9. Refused	6	12	18

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very often	26.5	23.7	21.6	21.8	23.3	22.4
2. Fairly often	34.3	36.2	39.0	39.6	37.3	38.4
3. Not often	17.6	19.7	25.7	25.0	22.9	23.2
4. Not at all often	8.7	9.0	6.3	5.6	7.1	6.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	6.6	5.4	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.9
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

electintpo_votechc

Label:	Electoral integrity Post: do voters have a genuine choice
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 151.3-5
Description:	Electoral Intergrity WVS Post
Question:	(In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?) ([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?) Voters are offered a genuine choice at the ballot box
Web Logic:	Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very often	691	718	1409
2. Fairly often	818	1550	2368
3. Not often	273	1050	1323
4. Not at all often	83	250	333
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	61	0	61
-9. Refused	3	13	16

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very often	33.6	32.5	18.6	19.5	23.8	24.0
2. Fairly often	39.8	41.4	40.2	39.0	40.0	39.9
3. Not often	13.3	14.6	27.2	26.9	22.4	22.6
4. Not at all often	4.0	3.8	6.5	6.6	5.6	5.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

women_bond

Label:	Easier or harder for working mother to bond with child
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152-2
Description:	Working women
Question:	Now for some other questions. Do you think it is easier, harder, or neither easier nor harder for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children than it is for mothers who stay at home?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Easier	81	78	159
2. Harder	1302	2047	3349
3. Neither easier nor harder	522	1452	1974
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	20	0	20
-9. Refused	4	4	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Easier	3.9	2.8	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.3
2. Harder	63.4	64.9	53.0	53.0	56.6	57.1
3. Neither easier nor harder	25.4	25.6	37.6	37.2	33.4	33.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2

women_bondea

Label:	How much easier for working mother to bond with child
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152-2.2
Description:	Working women
Question:	Is it [a great deal easier, somewhat easier, or slightly easier / slightly easier, somewhat easier, or a great deal easier] for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children ?
Universe:	IF R SAYS IT IS EASIER FOR WORKING MOTHERS TO ESTABLISH RELATIONSHIP WITH CHILDREN:
Logic:	Ask if WOMEN_WORKMOTH =1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	33	28	61
2. Somewhat	27	41	68
3. Slightly	21	9	30
-1. Inapplicable	1848	3503	5351
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	1.6	1.1	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.8
2. Somewhat	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2
3. Slightly	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	90.0	91.5	90.8	90.4	90.5	90.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

women_bondha

Label:	How much harder for working mother to bond with child
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152-2.3
Description:	Working women
Question:	Is it [a great deal harder, somewhat harder, or slightly harder / slightly harder, somewhat harder, or a great deal harder] for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children?
Universe:	IF R SAYS IT IS HARDER FOR WORKING MOTHERS TO ESTABLISH RELATIONSHIP WITH CHILDREN:
Logic:	Ask if WOMEN_WORKMOTH =2, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	542	559	1101
2. Somewhat	529	1229	1758
3. Slightly	227	258	485
-1. Inapplicable	627	1534	2161
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	26.4	26.1	14.5	14.4	18.6	18.5
2. Somewhat	25.8	27.4	31.8	31.1	29.7	29.8
3. Slightly	11.1	11.0	6.7	7.5	8.2	8.7
-1. Inapplicable	30.5	29.4	39.7	39.5	36.5	36.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

women_bond_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Working mother's bond with child

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal easier	33	28	61
2. Somewhat easier	27	41	68
3. Slightly easier	21	9	30
4. Neither easier nor harder	522	1452	1974
5. Slightly harder	227	258	485
6. Somewhat harder	529	1229	1758
7. A great deal harder	542	559	1101
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	24	0	24
-9. Refused	4	5	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal easier	1.6	1.1	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.8
2. Somewhat easier	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2
3. Slightly easier	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4
4. Neither easier nor harder	25.4	25.6	37.6	37.2	33.4	33.2
5. Slightly harder	11.1	11.0	6.7	7.5	8.2	8.7
6. Somewhat harder	25.8	27.4	31.8	31.1	29.7	29.8
7. A great deal harder	26.4	26.1	14.5	14.4	18.6	18.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2

women_works

Label:	Better if man works and woman takes care of home
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152-3
Description:	Working women
Question:	Do you think it is better, worse, or makes no difference for the family as a whole if the man works outside the home and the woman takes care of the home and family?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Better	864	1679	2543
2. Worse	66	156	222
3. Makes no difference	960	1735	2695
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	34	0	34
-9. Refused	5	11	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Better	42.1	42.4	43.5	42.6	43.0	42.5
2. Worse	3.2	2.4	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.5
3. Makes no difference	46.7	47.1	44.9	45.3	45.6	45.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.7	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

women_worksbetter

Label:	How much better if man works and woman at home
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152-3.2
Description:	Working women
Question:	Is it [much better, somewhat better, or slightly better / slightly better, somewhat better or much better]?
Universe:	IF R SAYS IT IS BETTER FOR THE MAN TO WORK AND THE WOMAN TO STAY AT HOME:
Logic:	Ask if WOMEN_WKWIFE=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with WOMEN_WKWIFE.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	491	893	1384
2. Somewhat	261	641	902
3. Slightly	108	145	253
-1. Inapplicable	1065	1902	2967
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	23.9	23.2	23.1	22.4	23.4	22.6
2. Somewhat	12.7	13.7	16.6	16.2	15.3	15.3
3. Slightly	5.3	5.4	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.5
-1. Inapplicable	51.9	51.8	49.3	49.9	50.2	50.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

women_worksworse

Label:	How much worse if man works and woman at home
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152-3.3
Description:	Working women
Question:	Is it [much worse, somewhat worse, or slightly worse / slightly worse, somewhat worse or much worse]?
Universe:	IF R SAYS IT IS WORSE FOR THE MAN TO WORK AND THE WOMAN TO STAY AT HOME:
Logic:	Ask if WOMEN_WKWIFE=2, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with WOMEN_WKWIFE.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much	19	32	51
2. Somewhat	28	92	120
3. Slightly	19	31	50
-1. Inapplicable	1863	3425	5288
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8
2. Somewhat	1.4	1.0	2.4	2.5	2.0	2.0
3. Slightly	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	90.7	91.8	88.7	88.3	89.4	89.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

women_works_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Better if man works and woman takes care of home

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much better	491	893	1384
2. Somewhat better	261	641	902
3. Slightly better	108	145	253
4. Makes no difference	960	1735	2695
5. Slightly worse	19	31	50
6. Somewhat worse	28	92	120
7. Much worse	19	32	51
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	38	0	38
-9. Refused	5	12	17

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much better	23.9	23.2	23.1	22.4	23.4	22.6
2. Somewhat better	12.7	13.7	16.6	16.2	15.3	15.3
3. Slightly better	5.3	5.4	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.5
4. Makes no difference	46.7	47.1	44.9	45.3	45.6	45.9
5. Slightly worse	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7
6. Somewhat worse	1.4	1.0	2.4	2.5	2.0	2.0
7. Much worse	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.9	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

modsex_discamt

Label:	Discrimination against women
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-1
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	How serious a problem is discrimination against women in the United States? [Not a problem at all, a minor problem, a moderately serious problem, a very serious problem, or an extremely serious problem / An extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a moderately serious problem, a minor problem, or not a problem at all]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not a problem at all	122	295	417
2. A minor problem	508	1287	1795
3. A moderately serious problem	820	1392	2212
4. A very serious problem	311	470	781
5. An extremely serious problem	133	128	261
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	33	0	33
-9. Refused	2	9	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not a problem at all	5.9	6.8	7.6	7.7	7.1	7.4
2. A minor problem	24.7	27.4	33.3	34.9	30.4	32.3
3. A moderately serious problem	39.9	42.1	36.1	35.1	37.4	37.5
4. A very serious problem	15.1	11.9	12.2	10.7	13.2	11.1
5. An extremely serious problem	6.5	4.6	3.3	3.6	4.4	3.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

modsex_media

Label:	Media pay more attention to discrimination
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-2
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	Should the news media pay more attention to discrimination against women, less attention, or the same amount of attention they have been paying lately?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More attention	787	1238	2025
2. Less attention	146	588	734
3. Same amount of attention	945	1746	2691
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	50	0	50
-9. Refused	1	9	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More attention	38.3	32.8	32.1	29.4	34.2	30.6
2. Less attention	7.1	9.6	15.2	16.5	12.4	14.1
3. Same amount of attention	46.0	49.2	45.2	46.2	45.5	47.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

modsex_mediamore

Label:	How much more attn should media pay to discrim agst women
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-2.1
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	Should the media pay [a great deal more attention, somewhat more attention, or a little more attention / a little more attention, somewhat more attention, or a great deal more attention]?
Universe:	IF THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD PAY MORE ATTENTION TO DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN:
Logic:	Ask if MODSEXM_MEDIAATT= 1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	367	495	862
2. Somewhat	321	635	956
3. A little	99	106	205
-1. Inapplicable	1142	2343	3485
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	17.9	13.2	12.8	11.5	14.6	12.0
2. Somewhat	15.6	14.6	16.5	15.1	16.2	14.9
3. A little	4.8	5.0	2.7	2.8	3.5	3.5
-1. Inapplicable	55.6	61.5	60.7	63.1	58.9	62.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

modsex_medialess

Label:	How much less attn should media pay to discrim agst women
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-2.2
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	Should the media pay [a great deal less attention, somewhat less attention, or a little less attention / a little less attention, somewhat less attention, or a great deal less attention]?
Universe:	IF THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD PAY LESS ATTENTION TO DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN:
Logic:	Ask if MODSEXM_MEDIAATT= 2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	31	188	219
2. Somewhat	58	260	318
3. A little	57	140	197
-1. Inapplicable	1783	2993	4776
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	1.5	2.6	4.9	5.2	3.7	4.3
2. Somewhat	2.8	3.9	6.7	7.1	5.4	6.0
3. A little	2.8	3.1	3.6	4.3	3.3	3.8
-1. Inapplicable	86.8	84.7	77.5	75.9	80.8	79.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

modsex_media_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- How much attn media should pay to discrim against women

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal more	367	495	862
2. Somewhat more	321	635	956
3. A little more	99	106	205
4. Same amount of attention	945	1746	2691
5. A little less	57	140	197
6. Somewhat less	58	260	318
7. A great deal less	31	188	219
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	50	0	50
-9. Refused	1	11	12

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal more	17.9	13.2	12.8	11.5	14.6	12.0
2. Somewhat more	15.6	14.6	16.5	15.1	16.2	14.9
3. A little more	4.8	5.0	2.7	2.8	3.5	3.5
4. Same amount of attention	46.0	49.2	45.2	46.2	45.5	47.3
5. A little less	2.8	3.1	3.6	4.3	3.3	3.8
6. Somewhat less	2.8	3.9	6.7	7.1	5.4	6.0
7. A great deal less	1.5	2.6	4.9	5.2	3.7	4.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

modsex_special

Label:	Do women demanding equality seek special favors
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-3
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	When women demand equality these days, how often are they actually seeking special favors? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never] ?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Never	419	685	1104
2. Some of the time	885	1831	2716
3. About half the time	274	600	874
4. Most of the time	205	365	570
5. Always	37	88	125
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	104	0	104
-9. Refused	5	12	17

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Never	20.4	19.7	17.7	18.0	18.7	18.6
2. Some of the time	43.1	46.2	47.4	45.8	45.9	46.0
3. About half the time	13.3	11.9	15.5	16.3	14.8	14.7
4. Most of the time	10.0	10.6	9.5	9.7	9.6	10.0
5. Always	1.8	1.1	2.3	2.2	2.1	1.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.1	4.5	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4

modsex_disc

Label:	How often do hiring and promotion discriminate agst women
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-4
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	When employers make decisions about hiring and promotion, how often do they discriminate against women? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Never	98	185	283
2. Some of the time	1069	2319	3388
3. About half the time	367	711	1078
4. Most of the time	229	304	533
5. Always	68	46	114
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	93	0	93
-9. Refused	5	16	21

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Never	4.8	5.1	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9
2. Some of the time	52.0	58.7	60.1	58.9	57.3	58.8
3. About half the time	17.9	15.0	18.4	19.3	18.2	17.8
4. Most of the time	11.1	8.1	7.9	7.4	9.0	7.6
5. Always	3.3	2.3	1.2	1.5	1.9	1.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.5	4.7	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5

modsex_prob

Label:	Do women complaining about discrim cause more problems
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-4.5
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	When women complain about discrimination, how often do they cause more problems than they solve? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Never	192	330	522
2. Some of the time	989	1971	2960
3. About half the time	313	728	1041
4. Most of the time	262	443	705
5. Always	82	97	179
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	83	0	83
-9. Refused	8	12	20

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Never	9.3	8.5	8.5	8.3	8.8	8.4
2. Some of the time	48.1	50.8	51.1	48.3	50.1	49.2
3. About half the time	15.2	14.2	18.9	20.5	17.6	18.3
4. Most of the time	12.8	12.8	11.5	11.8	11.9	12.1
5. Always	4.0	3.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.4
-9. Refused	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5

modsex_oppor

Label:	Do women or men have more opport for achievmt in the U.S.
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-5
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	In the U.S. today, do men have more opportunities for achievement than women have, do women have more opportunities than men, or do they have equal opportunities?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Men have more	1086	2128	3214
2. Women have more	77	221	298
3. Equal opportunities	742	1223	1965
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	22	0	22
-9. Refused	2	9	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Men have more	52.9	53.7	55.1	54.4	54.3	54.2
2. Women have more	3.7	3.4	5.7	5.1	5.0	4.5
3. Equal opportunities	36.1	35.8	31.7	32.6	33.2	33.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

modsex_menmore

Label:	How much more opportunities for achievement do men have
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-5.1
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	Do men have [many more opportunities, moderately more opportunities, or slightly more opportunities / slightly more opportunities, moderately more opportunities or many more opportunities]?
Universe:	IF MEN HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACHIEVEMENT IN THE U.S. TODAY:
Logic:	Ask if MODSEXM_OPPOR= 1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Many more	425	681	1106
2. Moderately more	420	954	1374
3. Slightly more	235	493	728
-1. Inapplicable	843	1453	2296
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Many more	20.7	17.1	17.6	16.4	18.7	16.6
2. Moderately more	20.4	23.2	24.7	24.9	23.2	24.3
3. Slightly more	11.4	13.1	12.8	13.1	12.3	13.1
-1. Inapplicable	41.0	40.5	37.6	38.1	38.8	38.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

modsex_wommore

Label:	How much more opportunities for achievement do women have
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 152.1-5.2
Description:	Modern Sexism
Question:	Do women have [many more opportunities, moderately more opportunities, or slightly more opportunities / slightly more opportunities, moderately more opportunities or many more opportunities]?
Universe:	IF WOMEN HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACHIEVEMENT IN THE U.S. TODAY:
Logic:	Ask if MODSEXM_OPPOR= 2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Many more	20	38	58
2. Moderately more	24	120	144
3. Slightly more	31	63	94
-1. Inapplicable	1852	3360	5212
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Many more	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.7
2. Moderately more	1.2	1.1	3.1	3.0	2.4	2.3
3. Slightly more	1.5	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	90.2	90.9	87.0	87.4	88.1	88.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

modsex_oppor_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Opportunities compared for women and men

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Men have many more	425	681	1106
2. Men have moderately more	420	954	1374
3. Men have slightly more	235	493	728
4. Equal opportunities	742	1223	1965
5. Women have slightly more	31	63	94
6. Women have moderately more	24	120	144
7. Women have many more	20	38	58
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	29	0	29
-9. Refused	3	9	12

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Men have many more	20.7	17.1	17.6	16.4	18.7	16.6
2. Men have moderately more	20.4	23.2	24.7	24.9	23.2	24.3
3. Men have slightly more	11.4	13.1	12.8	13.1	12.3	13.1
4. Equal opportunities	36.1	35.8	31.7	32.6	33.2	33.7
5. Women have slightly more	1.5	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.5
6. Women have moderately more	1.2	1.1	3.1	3.0	2.4	2.3
7. Women have many more	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

aapost_hire

Label:	For or against preferential hiring/promotion of blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 153-2
Description:	Affirmative action in hiring
Question:	What about your opinion -- are you FOR or AGAINST preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with AFFIRM_AFFINTRO. Omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. For preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	460	760	1220
2. Against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks	1298	2784	4082
5. Other (SPECIFY)(VOL)	57	0	57
6. Other specify response recoded: against	10	0	10
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	77	0	77
-9. Refused	27	37	64

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. For preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	22.4	15.3	19.7	18.5	20.6	17.4
2. Against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks	63.2	71.1	72.1	73.0	69.0	72.3
5. Other (SPECIFY)(VOL)	2.8	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
6. Other specify response recoded: against	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.7	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.9
-9. Refused	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0

aapost_hire_oth

Label: POST: Other specify - for or against pref hiring/promotion of blacks

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

aapost_hirefav

Label:	Strength favor preferential hiring/promotion of blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 153-3
Description:	Affirmative action in hiring
Question:	Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R IS FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION FOR BLACKS:
Logic:	Ask if AFFIRM_AFFOPIN = 1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	331	366	697
2. Not strongly	125	393	518
-1. Inapplicable	1469	2821	4290
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	16.1	10.0	9.5	9.0	11.8	9.4
2. Not strongly	6.1	5.2	10.2	9.5	8.8	8.0
-1. Inapplicable	71.5	78.9	73.1	73.9	72.5	75.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

aapost_hireopp

Label:	Strength oppose preferential hiring/promotion blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 153-4
Description:	Affirmative action in hiring
Question:	Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Universe:	IF R IS AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION FOR BLACKS:
Logic:	Ask if AFFIRM_AFFOPIN = 2 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly	990	1910	2900
2. Not strongly	304	872	1176
-1. Inapplicable	631	797	1428
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	1	2	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly	48.2	56.4	49.5	51.1	49.0	52.9
2. Not strongly	14.8	14.5	22.6	21.8	19.9	19.3
-1. Inapplicable	30.7	23.2	20.6	19.5	24.1	20.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0

aapost_hire_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Favor preferential hiring and promotion of blacks

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly for preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	331	366	697
2. Not strongly for preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	125	393	518
4. Not strongly against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	304	872	1176
5. Strongly against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	990	1910	2900
-1. Inapplicable	67	0	67
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	83	0	83
-9. Refused	29	40	69

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly for preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	16.1	10.0	9.5	9.0	11.8	9.4
2. Not strongly for preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	6.1	5.2	10.2	9.5	8.8	8.0
4. Not strongly against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	14.8	14.5	22.6	21.8	19.9	19.3
5. Strongly against preferential hiring and promotion of Blacks	48.2	56.4	49.5	51.1	49.0	52.9
-1. Inapplicable	3.3	4.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.0
-9. Refused	1.4	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1

auth_ind

Label:	Child trait more important: independence or respect
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 154-2
Description:	Authoritarianism
Question:	Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have: INDEPENDENCE or RESPECT FOR ELDERS
Web Logic:	Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?' Omit response options 3 and 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Independence	282	762	1044
2. Respect for elders	1421	2812	4233
3. Both (VOL)	219	0	219
4. Neither (VOL)	1	0	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	2	7	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Independence	13.7	14.8	19.7	20.3	17.7	18.4
2. Respect for elders	69.2	69.3	72.8	71.9	71.6	71.0
3. Both (VOL)	10.7	9.8	0.0	0.0	3.7	3.4
4. Neither (VOL)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

auth_cur

Label:	Child trait more important: curiosity or good manners
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 154-3
Description:	Authoritarianism
Question:	(Which one is more important for a child to have:) CURIOSITY or GOOD MANNERS
Web Logic:	Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?' Omit response options 3 and 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Curiosity	376	1071	1447
2. Good manners	1346	2501	3847
3. Both (VOL)	204	0	204
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	9	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Curiosity	18.3	23.0	27.7	25.7	24.5	24.8
2. Good manners	65.5	61.9	64.8	66.5	65.0	64.9
3. Both (VOL)	9.9	9.2	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

auth_obed

Label:	Child trait more important: obedience or self-reliance
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 154-4
Description:	Authoritarianism
Question:	(Which one is more important for a child to have:) OBEDIENCE or SELF-RELIANCE
Web Logic:	Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?' Omit response options 3 and 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Obedience	1052	1997	3049
2. Self-reliance	637	1577	2214
3. Both (VOL)	227	0	227
4. Neither (VOL)	1	0	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	11	0	11
-9. Refused	1	7	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Obedience	51.2	47.1	51.7	52.1	51.6	50.3
2. Self-reliance	31.0	36.9	40.9	40.1	37.4	39.0
3. Both (VOL)	11.1	9.8	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.4
4. Neither (VOL)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

auth_consid

Label:	Child trait more important: considerate or well-behaved
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 154-5
Description:	Authoritarianism
Question:	(Which one is more important for a child to have:) BEING CONSIDERATE or WELL BEHAVED
Web Logic:	Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?' Omit response options 3 and 4.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Being considerate	877	2266	3143
2. Well behaved	700	1309	2009
3. Both (VOL)	347	0	347
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	1	6	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Being considerate	42.7	49.7	58.7	56.0	53.1	53.8
2. Well behaved	34.1	29.1	33.9	36.2	34.0	33.7
3. Both (VOL)	16.9	15.2	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2

egal_equal

Label:	Society should make sure everyone has equal opportunity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 155-2
Description:	Equalitarianism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference. Bank with EGAL_EGALINTRO.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	1204	1528	2732
2. Agree somewhat	477	986	1463
3. Neither agree nor disagree	116	647	763
4. Disagree somewhat	79	260	339
5. Disagree strongly	46	156	202
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	2	4	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	58.6	53.2	39.6	36.9	46.2	42.5
2. Agree somewhat	23.2	25.6	25.5	26.5	24.7	26.2
3. Neither agree nor disagree	5.6	6.9	16.8	17.9	12.9	14.1
4. Disagree somewhat	3.8	4.9	6.7	7.3	5.7	6.5
5. Disagree strongly	2.2	3.3	4.0	3.7	3.4	3.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

egal_toofar

Label:	We have gone too far pushing equal rights
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 155-3
Description:	Equalitarianism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	220	404	624
2. Agree somewhat	419	834	1253
3. Neither agree nor disagree	345	1001	1346
4. Disagree somewhat	405	654	1059
5. Disagree strongly	529	683	1212
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	1	5	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	10.7	11.2	10.5	10.8	10.6	10.9
2. Agree somewhat	20.4	22.4	21.6	21.1	21.2	21.5
3. Neither agree nor disagree	16.8	18.9	25.9	28.2	22.8	25.0
4. Disagree somewhat	19.7	19.9	16.9	16.6	17.9	17.7
5. Disagree strongly	25.8	21.3	17.7	15.6	20.5	17.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

egal_bigprob

Label:	Its a big problem that we dont give equal chance to succeed
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 155-4
Description:	Equalitarianism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	500	482	982
2. Agree somewhat	643	1080	1723
3. Neither agree nor disagree	221	805	1026
4. Disagree somewhat	368	800	1168
5. Disagree strongly	189	411	600
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	2	3	5

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	24.3	17.5	12.5	11.4	16.6	13.5
2. Agree somewhat	31.3	31.6	28.0	26.5	29.1	28.3
3. Neither agree nor disagree	10.8	11.8	20.9	21.5	17.3	18.1
4. Disagree somewhat	17.9	21.5	20.7	22.6	19.7	22.2
5. Disagree strongly	9.2	11.4	10.6	10.3	10.1	10.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

egal_worryless

Label:	We'd be better off if worried less about equality
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 155-5
Description:	Equalitarianism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	301	390	691
2. Agree somewhat	450	883	1333
3. Neither agree nor disagree	325	1031	1356
4. Disagree somewhat	420	750	1170
5. Disagree strongly	414	522	936
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	16	0	16
-9. Refused	3	5	8

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	14.7	15.7	10.1	9.9	11.7	11.9
2. Agree somewhat	21.9	24.7	22.9	24.3	22.5	24.5
3. Neither agree nor disagree	15.8	16.9	26.7	27.9	22.9	24.1
4. Disagree somewhat	20.4	20.3	19.4	17.7	19.8	18.6
5. Disagree strongly	20.2	15.7	13.5	12.4	15.8	13.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

egal_notbigprob

Label:	Not a big problem if some have more chance in life
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 155-6
Description:	Equalitarianism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	126	176	302
2. Agree somewhat	400	670	1070
3. Neither agree nor disagree	366	1064	1430
4. Disagree somewhat	498	1020	1518
5. Disagree strongly	515	647	1162
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	22	0	22
-9. Refused	2	4	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	6.1	5.4	4.6	4.5	5.1	4.8
2. Agree somewhat	19.5	20.7	17.4	17.8	18.1	18.8
3. Neither agree nor disagree	17.8	19.9	27.6	29.2	24.2	26.0
4. Disagree somewhat	24.2	25.7	26.4	25.3	25.7	25.4
5. Disagree strongly	25.1	21.4	16.8	15.5	19.6	17.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

egal_fewerprobs

Label:	If people were treated more fairly would be fewer probs
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 155-7
Description:	Equalitarianism
Question:	(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet) 'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.' (Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?)
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar. If forward order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_g; if reverse order of response options is set, then page number is postpg_h.
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	671	665	1336
2. Agree somewhat	659	1229	1888
3. Neither agree nor disagree	252	908	1160
4. Disagree somewhat	237	545	782
5. Disagree strongly	94	231	325
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	4	3	7

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	32.7	24.9	17.2	15.8	22.6	19.0
2. Agree somewhat	32.1	33.7	31.8	30.5	31.9	31.6
3. Neither agree nor disagree	12.3	15.2	23.5	25.8	19.6	22.1
4. Disagree somewhat	11.5	14.0	14.1	14.3	13.2	14.2
5. Disagree strongly	4.6	5.7	6.0	5.8	5.5	5.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2

wiretappo_toofar

Label:	Has increase in govt wiretap powers gone too far
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 155.7-2
Description:	Security and Civil Liberties, increase in wiretapping
Question:	Have increases in the government's wiretapping powers since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Have gone too far	483	1275	1758
2. Are just about right	965	1948	2913
3. Do not go far enough	254	328	582
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	223	0	223
-9. Refused	4	30	34

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Have gone too far	23.5	23.9	33.0	32.2	29.7	29.3
2. Are just about right	47.0	47.5	50.5	49.9	49.3	49.1
3. Do not go far enough	12.4	11.3	8.5	9.0	9.8	9.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	10.9	11.3	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.9
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.4	0.6	1.0

cog_opinions

Label:	Does R have opinions about many, some or few things
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 156-2
Description:	Cognitive style
Question:	What about you? Would you say you have opinions about [ALMOST EVERYTHING, about MANY THINGS, about SOME THINGS, or about VERY FEW THINGS / VERY FEW THINGS, about SOME THINGS, about MANY THINGS, or about ALMOST EVERYTHING]?
Web Logic:	Online, bank with COG_COGINT.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Almost everything	329	604	933
2. Many things	652	1586	2238
3. Some things	743	1269	2012
4. Very few things	203	117	320
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	1	5	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Almost everything	16.0	17.4	15.6	14.8	15.8	15.7
2. Many things	31.7	36.8	41.1	39.5	37.8	38.6
3. Some things	36.2	32.9	32.9	34.2	34.0	33.8
4. Very few things	9.9	7.1	3.0	3.7	5.4	4.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2

cog_opin

Label:	Does R have fewer or more opinions than average person
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 156-3
Description:	Cognitive style
Question:	Compared to the average person do you have FEWER opinions about whether things are good or bad, ABOUT THE SAME number of opinions, or MORE opinions?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Fewer opinions	332	473	805
2. About the same number of opinions	1037	2224	3261
3. More opinions	536	877	1413
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	23	0	23
-9. Refused	1	7	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Fewer opinions	16.2	15.1	12.3	13.2	13.6	13.9
2. About the same number of opinions	50.5	50.7	57.6	57.1	55.1	54.9
3. More opinions	26.1	27.4	22.7	21.8	23.9	23.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

cog_opinfew

Label:	R have a lot or somewhat fewer opinions than average
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 156-4
Description:	Cognitive style
Question:	Would you say that you have A LOT fewer opinions or just SOMEWHAT fewer opinions?
Universe:	IF R HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAT THE AVERAGE PERSON:
Logic:	Ask if COG_NUMOPIN = 1 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	38	76	114
2. Somewhat	294	397	691
-1. Inapplicable	1597	3108	4705
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	1.9	1.5	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.0
2. Somewhat	14.3	13.6	10.3	11.0	11.7	11.9
-1. Inapplicable	77.8	79.2	80.5	79.2	79.6	79.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cog_opinmore

Label:	R have a lot or somewhat more opinions than average
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 156-5
Description:	Cognitive style
Question:	Would you say that you have A LOT more opinions or just SOMEWHAT more opinions?
Universe:	IF R HAS MORE OPINIONS THAT THE AVERAGE PERSON:
Logic:	Ask if COG_NUMOPIN = 3 else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	227	375	602
2. Somewhat	309	502	811
-1. Inapplicable	1393	2704	4097
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	11.1	10.6	9.7	8.6	10.2	9.3
2. Somewhat	15.0	16.8	13.0	13.2	13.7	14.5
-1. Inapplicable	67.8	66.9	70.1	70.6	69.3	69.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cog_opin_x

Label: POST: SUMMARY- Number of opinions R has compared to average person

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot fewer opinions than the average person	38	76	114
2. Somewhat fewer opinions than the average person	294	397	691
3. About the same number of opinions	1037	2224	3261
4. Somewhat more opinions than the average person	309	502	811
5. A lot more opinions than the average person	227	375	602
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	23	0	23
-9. Refused	1	7	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot fewer opinions than the average person	1.9	1.5	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.0
2. Somewhat fewer opinions than the average person	14.3	13.6	10.3	11.0	11.7	11.9
3. About the same number of opinions	50.5	50.7	57.6	57.1	55.1	54.9
4. Somewhat more opinions than the average person	15.0	16.8	13.0	13.2	13.7	14.5
5. A lot more opinions than the average person	11.1	10.6	9.7	8.6	10.2	9.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

nonmain_born

Label:	Was the President born in the U.S.
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 157-1
Description:	Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question:	Was Barack Obama definitely born in the United States, probably born in the United States, probably born in another country, or definitely born in another country?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Definitely born in the U.S.	918	1804	2722
2. Probably born in the U.S.	457	905	1362
3. Probably born in another country	264	626	890
4. Definitely born in another country	89	223	312
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	194	0	194
-9. Refused	7	23	30

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Definitely born in the U.S.	44.7	39.0	46.7	42.6	46.0	41.3
2. Probably born in the U.S.	22.2	24.7	23.4	25.7	23.0	25.3
3. Probably born in another country	12.9	15.4	16.2	17.3	15.0	16.7
4. Definitely born in another country	4.3	5.1	5.8	5.9	5.3	5.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	9.4	9.7	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.4
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.7

nonmain_endlife

Label:	Does Health Care Act authorize end-of-life decision
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 157-2
Description:	Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question:	Does the health care law passed in 2010 definitely authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, probably authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, probably not authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, or definitely not authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Definitely authorizes	137	375	512
2. Probably authorizes	388	1056	1444
3. Probably does not authorize	428	1027	1455
4. Definitely does not authorize	516	1069	1585
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	456	0	456
-9. Refused	4	54	58

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Definitely authorizes	6.7	6.2	9.7	9.1	8.7	8.1
2. Probably authorizes	18.9	19.3	27.4	29.4	24.4	25.9
3. Probably does not authorize	20.8	22.9	26.6	27.9	24.6	26.1
4. Definitely does not authorize	25.1	24.4	27.7	24.2	26.8	24.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	22.2	21.3	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	1.4	1.9	1.0	1.3

nonmain_govt911

Label:	DId the U.S. government know about 9/11 in advance
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 157-3
Description:	Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question:	Did senior federal government officials definitely know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, probably knew about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, probably did not know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, or definitely did not know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Definitely knew	216	326	542
2. Probably knew	569	1011	1580
3. Probably did not know	584	1453	2037
4. Definitely did not know	445	771	1216
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	110	0	110
-9. Refused	5	20	25

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Definitely knew	10.5	10.1	8.4	9.2	9.2	9.5
2. Probably knew	27.7	25.3	26.2	27.0	26.7	26.4
3. Probably did not know	28.4	31.3	37.6	37.7	34.4	35.5
4. Definitely did not know	21.7	23.1	20.0	17.8	20.6	19.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	5.4	4.1	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.4
-9. Refused	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.6

nonmain_bias

Label:	Does the Administration favor blacks or whites
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 157-4
Description:	Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question:	Do the policies of the Obama administration favor whites over blacks, favor blacks over whites, or do they treat both groups the same?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favors Whites over Blacks	50	70	120
2. Favors Blacks over Whites	187	977	1164
3. Treats both groups the same	1607	2510	4117
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	77	0	77
-9. Refused	8	24	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favors Whites over Blacks	2.4	2.2	1.8	1.7	2.0	1.9
2. Favors Blacks over Whites	9.1	13.3	25.3	26.6	19.7	22.0
3. Treats both groups the same	78.2	74.9	65.0	63.2	69.6	67.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	3.7	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.2
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	0.6	1.0	0.5	0.8

nonmain_hurric

Label:	Did the govt direct Katrina flooding into poor areas
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 157-5
Description:	Nonmainstream mass public allegations
Question:	Some people say that when Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast in the summer of 2005, the federal government intentionally breached flood levees in New Orleans so that poor neighborhoods would be flooded and middle-class neighborhoods would be spared. Do you think the federal government definitely did this, probably did this, probably did not do this, or definitely did not do this?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Definitely did this	67	94	161
2. Prob ably did this	266	498	764
3. Probably did not do this	663	1361	2024
4. Definitely did not do this	781	1602	2383
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	148	0	148
-9. Refused	4	26	30

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Definitely did this	3.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.5
2. Prob ably did this	13.0	10.1	12.9	14.2	12.9	12.8
3. Probably did not do this	32.3	32.6	35.3	35.3	34.2	34.3
4. Definitely did not do this	38.0	42.7	41.5	39.5	40.3	40.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	7.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.2
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6

cses_exphlth

Label:	CSES: Public expenditure Health
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Thinking about public expenditure on HEALTH, should there be [much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now]?
Logic:	All CSES expenditure questions are forward/ reverse although not unipolar.
Web Logic:	Online, for all CSES expenditure questions, set the expenditure area (health, welfare benefits, Social Security, etc.) in lower case boldface.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much more than now	279	348	627
2. Somewhat more than now	614	987	1601
3. The same as now	573	1371	1944
4. Somewhat less than now	282	551	833
5. Much less than now	122	310	432
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	52	0	52
-9. Refused	7	14	21

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much more than now	13.6	10.2	9.0	8.7	10.6	9.2
2. Somewhat more than now	29.9	28.6	25.6	24.2	27.1	25.7
3. The same as now	27.9	31.0	35.5	36.1	32.9	34.3
4. Somewhat less than now	13.7	15.4	14.3	14.6	14.1	14.9
5. Much less than now	5.9	6.8	8.0	8.1	7.3	7.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.5

cses_expeduc

Label:	CSES: Public expenditure: eduction
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Thinking about public expenditure on EDUCATION, should there be [much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much more than now	685	752	1437
2. Somewhat more than now	690	1255	1945
3. The same as now	363	1059	1422
4. Somewhat less than now	117	310	427
5. Much less than now	54	194	248
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	19	0	19
-9. Refused	1	11	12

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much more than now	33.3	26.5	19.5	19.1	24.3	21.6
2. Somewhat more than now	33.6	35.2	32.5	32.4	32.9	33.4
3. The same as now	17.7	22.1	27.4	28.0	24.0	25.9
4. Somewhat less than now	5.7	6.9	8.0	7.8	7.2	7.5
5. Much less than now	2.6	2.8	5.0	4.7	4.2	4.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.4

cses_expunemp

Label:	CSES: Public expenditure: unemployment benefits
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.3
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Thinking about public expenditure on UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much more than now	234	206	440
2. Somewhat more than now	387	590	977
3. The same as now	812	1624	2436
4. Somewhat less than now	327	755	1082
5. Much less than now	128	394	522
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	38	0	38
-9. Refused	3	12	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much more than now	11.4	7.6	5.3	5.5	7.4	6.2
2. Somewhat more than now	18.8	14.8	15.3	14.8	16.5	14.8
3. The same as now	39.5	44.0	42.1	41.1	41.2	42.1
4. Somewhat less than now	15.9	19.2	19.6	20.1	18.3	19.8
5. Much less than now	6.2	6.8	10.2	10.3	8.8	9.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.5

cses_expdef

Label:	CSES: Public expenditure: defense
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.4
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Thinking about public expenditure on DEFENSE, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now) / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much more than now	229	260	489
2. Somewhat more than now	391	679	1070
3. The same as now	735	1418	2153
4. Somewhat less than now	362	829	1191
5. Much less than now	161	383	544
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	51	0	51
-9. Refused	0	12	12

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much more than now	11.1	8.9	6.7	7.2	8.3	7.8
2. Somewhat more than now	19.0	20.1	17.6	17.4	18.1	18.4
3. The same as now	35.8	37.4	36.7	38.4	36.4	38.1
4. Somewhat less than now	17.6	19.0	21.5	19.6	20.1	19.4
5. Much less than now	7.8	6.9	9.9	9.3	9.2	8.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.5	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.4

cses_expss

Label:	CSES: Public expenditure: pensions
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.5
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Thinking about public expenditure on SOCIAL SECURITY, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much more than now	365	431	796
2. Somewhat more than now	584	1084	1668
3. The same as now	793	1666	2459
4. Somewhat less than now	88	291	379
5. Much less than now	44	96	140
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	52	0	52
-9. Refused	3	13	16

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much more than now	17.8	13.4	11.2	11.6	13.5	12.2
2. Somewhat more than now	28.4	26.2	28.1	27.4	28.2	27.0
3. The same as now	38.6	46.1	43.2	41.8	41.6	43.3
4. Somewhat less than now	4.3	4.7	7.5	8.1	6.4	6.9
5. Much less than now	2.1	1.4	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.5	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.4

cses_expbusind

Label:	CSES: Public expenditure: business and industry
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.6
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Thinking about public expenditure on BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much more than now	138	137	275
2. Somewhat more than now	339	586	925
3. The same as now	728	1340	2068
4. Somewhat less than now	475	1025	1500
5. Much less than now	149	480	629
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	97	0	97
-9. Refused	3	13	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much more than now	6.7	4.9	3.5	4.0	4.6	4.3
2. Somewhat more than now	16.5	15.6	15.2	16.2	15.6	16.0
3. The same as now	35.4	34.9	34.7	34.7	35.0	34.7
4. Somewhat less than now	23.1	27.0	26.6	25.2	25.4	25.8
5. Much less than now	7.3	7.3	12.4	11.8	10.6	10.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	4.7	4.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.6
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.5

cses_exppolc

Label:	CSES: Public expenditure: police and law enforcement
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.7
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Thinking about public expenditure on POLICE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much more than now	287	272	559
2. Somewhat more than now	609	1071	1680
3. The same as now	818	1822	2640
4. Somewhat less than now	136	310	446
5. Much less than now	45	92	137
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	33	0	33
-9. Refused	1	14	15

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much more than now	14.0	10.4	7.0	7.1	9.5	8.3
2. Somewhat more than now	29.6	31.0	27.7	27.0	28.4	28.4
3. The same as now	39.8	44.2	47.2	46.7	44.6	45.8
4. Somewhat less than now	6.6	5.4	8.0	8.7	7.5	7.5
5. Much less than now	2.2	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.4

cses_expwelf

Label:	CSES: Public expenditure: welfare benefits
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-1.8
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Thinking about public expenditure on WELFARE BENEFITS, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much more than now	123	112	235
2. Somewhat more than now	260	360	620
3. The same as now	740	1280	2020
4. Somewhat less than now	520	1052	1572
5. Much less than now	231	765	996
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	54	0	54
-9. Refused	1	12	13

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much more than now	6.0	3.5	2.9	3.3	4.0	3.4
2. Somewhat more than now	12.7	10.0	9.3	8.6	10.5	9.1
3. The same as now	36.0	35.1	33.2	33.4	34.2	34.0
4. Somewhat less than now	25.3	30.6	27.3	25.6	26.6	27.4
5. Much less than now	11.2	12.7	19.8	20.8	16.8	18.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.6	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.4

cses_impstdliv

Label:	CSES: CSES: Improving standard of living
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Over the next ten years or so, how likely or unlikely is it that you will improve your standard of living? Very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very likely	700	560	1260
2. Somewhat likely	768	1410	2178
4. Somewhat unlikely	258	1064	1322
5. Very unlikely	171	539	710
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	30	0	30
-9. Refused	2	8	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very likely	34.1	27.6	14.5	15.7	21.3	19.8
2. Somewhat likely	37.4	37.6	36.5	38.1	36.8	37.9
4. Somewhat unlikely	12.6	17.3	27.6	25.8	22.4	22.8
5. Very unlikely	8.3	10.3	14.0	12.5	12.0	11.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

cses_econ

Label:	CSES: State of economy
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-3
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in the United States has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Gotten better	609	1140	1749
2. Stayed the same	768	1191	1959
3. Gotten worse	532	1245	1777
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	20	0	20
-9. Refused	0	5	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Gotten better	29.6	28.6	29.5	26.6	29.6	27.3
2. Stayed the same	37.4	33.6	30.9	32.3	33.1	32.8
3. Gotten worse	25.9	31.3	32.3	33.3	30.0	32.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2

cses_econb

Label:	CSES: State of economy better
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-3.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Would you say much better or somewhat better?
Universe:	IF R SAYS U.S. ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_ECON=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with CSES_ECON.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much better	69	174	243
2. Somewhat better	540	965	1505
-1. Inapplicable	1320	2441	3761
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much better	3.4	2.1	4.5	3.9	4.1	3.3
2. Somewhat better	26.3	26.5	25.0	22.7	25.4	24.0
-1. Inapplicable	64.3	65.7	63.2	65.8	63.6	65.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_econw

Label:	CSES: State of economy worse
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-3.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Would you say much worse or somewhat worse?
Universe:	IF R SAYS U.S. ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_ECON=3, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with CSES_ECON.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Much worse	206	631	837
2. Somewhat worse	326	614	940
-1. Inapplicable	1397	2336	3733
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Much worse	10.0	13.9	16.3	16.6	14.2	15.7
2. Somewhat worse	15.9	17.4	15.9	16.6	15.9	16.9
-1. Inapplicable	68.0	62.9	60.5	59.2	63.1	60.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_govtact

Label:	CSES: Govt action income inequality
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-4
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Please say to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statement: 'The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.' Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY, DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT, or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?
Logic:	This question is forward/reverse although not unipolar.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agree strongly	228	291	519
2. Agree somewhat	479	649	1128
3. Neither agree nor disagree	449	984	1433
4. Disagree somewhat	309	575	884
5. Disagree strongly	404	1075	1479
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	59	0	59
-9. Refused	1	7	8

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agree strongly	11.1	6.6	7.5	7.8	8.8	7.4
2. Agree somewhat	23.3	20.0	16.8	18.0	19.1	18.7
3. Neither agree nor disagree	21.9	20.9	25.5	25.9	24.2	24.2
4. Disagree somewhat	15.0	17.0	14.9	14.4	14.9	15.3
5. Disagree strongly	19.7	27.3	27.8	26.0	25.0	26.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.9	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.3

cses_diffpower

Label:	CSES: 5pt scale: make a difference who is in power
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-7
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Please look at page [preload: postpg_k] in the booklet. Some people say that IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE who is in power. Others say that IT MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE who is in power. Using the scale in the booklet, (where ONE means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power and FIVE means that it makes a big difference who is in power), where would you place yourself?
Web Logic:	Online, omit the booklet reference in the first line. Change 'Using the scale in the booklet' to 'Using the scale below' Show numbers on all five scale points in the response options.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	115	292	407
2	109	314	423
3	373	833	1206
4	540	931	1471
5	767	1202	1969
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	23	0	23
-9	2	9	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	5.6	5.3	7.6	7.9	6.9	7.0
2	5.3	6.0	8.1	8.3	7.2	7.5
3	18.2	18.1	21.6	23.0	20.4	21.3
4	26.3	31.0	24.1	23.5	24.9	26.1
5	37.3	32.6	31.1	29.3	33.3	30.4
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-9	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3

cses_diffvote

Label:	CSES: 5pt scale: make a difference who one votes for
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-8
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Now turning to page [preload: postpg_m] in the booklet. Some people say that no matter who people vote for, IT WON'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE to what happens. Others say that who people vote for CAN MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE to what happens. Using the scale in the booklet, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?
Web Logic:	Online, omit the booklet reference in the first line. Change 'Using the scale in the booklet' to 'Using the scale below'. Show numbers on all five scale points in the response options.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	90	250	340
2	66	328	394
3	283	800	1083
4	497	833	1330
5	979	1360	2339
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	13	0	13
-9	1	10	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	4.4	4.0	6.5	6.7	5.7	5.7
2	3.2	3.5	8.5	9.9	6.7	7.7
3	13.8	14.9	20.7	22.1	18.3	19.6
4	24.2	29.3	21.6	20.3	22.5	23.4
5	47.7	41.9	35.2	33.0	39.6	36.1
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3

cses_dptylike

Label:	CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Democratic Party
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-9.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Please turn to page [preload: postpg_n] of the booklet. I'd like to know what you think about our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first party is the DEMOCRATIC PARTY.
Web Logic:	Online, number each response option 0 to 10. Render the question text as follows: We would like to know what you think about our political parties. Please rate each political party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If we come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, you don't have to answer. The first party is the DEMOCRATIC PARTY.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	75	439	514
1	37	187	224
2	84	259	343
3	110	290	400
4	124	199	323
5	246	514	760
6	105	239	344
7	204	355	559
8	249	458	707
9	162	174	336
10	478	448	926
-2	6	0	6
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	44	0	44
-9	5	19	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	3.7	4.8	11.4	11.3	8.7	9.1
1	1.8	3.0	4.8	4.6	3.8	4.0
2	4.1	6.6	6.7	7.3	5.8	7.0
3	5.4	8.4	7.5	8.7	6.8	8.6
4	6.0	7.2	5.2	5.9	5.5	6.4
5	12.0	14.5	13.3	13.9	12.9	14.1
6	5.1	5.9	6.2	6.4	5.8	6.2
7	9.9	10.1	9.2	8.3	9.5	8.9
8	12.1	10.2	11.9	11.2	12.0	10.8
9	7.9	6.6	4.5	3.9	5.7	4.8
10	23.3	14.4	11.6	10.3	15.7	11.7
-2	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	2.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
-9	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.6

cses_rptylike

Label:	CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Republican Party
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-9.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Using the same scale, where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY?
Web Logic:	Online, number each response option 0 to 10.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	253	523	776
1	104	276	380
2	164	342	506
3	206	360	566
4	186	324	510
5	377	572	949
6	166	294	460
7	142	315	457
8	148	285	433
9	73	127	200
10	54	147	201
-2	5	0	5
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	46	0	46
-9	5	16	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	12.3	7.9	13.5	13.2	13.1	11.4
1	5.1	4.2	7.2	6.3	6.4	5.6
2	8.0	7.7	8.9	8.1	8.6	7.9
3	10.0	7.8	9.3	8.8	9.6	8.5
4	9.1	9.1	8.4	8.6	8.6	8.8
5	18.4	17.6	14.8	15.7	16.0	16.4
6	8.1	9.1	7.6	7.9	7.8	8.3
7	6.9	9.7	8.2	8.5	7.7	8.9
8	7.2	10.8	7.4	7.7	7.3	8.8
9	3.6	5.1	3.3	3.0	3.4	3.7
10	2.6	3.0	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.5
-2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	2.2	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7
-9	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.6

cses_rpclike

Label:	CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Republican Pres cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-10.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	And what do you think of the presidential candidates? After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven□t heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first is [preload: REP_PCNAME]
Web Logic:	Online, number each response option 0 to 10. Render the question text as follows: And what do you think of the presidential candidates? Please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If we name a presidential candidate you haven□t heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, you don't have to answer the question. The first is [preload: REP_PCNAME]
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	354	629	983
1	138	285	423
2	183	353	536
3	197	300	497
4	191	243	434
5	273	445	718
6	129	259	388
7	132	325	457
8	136	344	480
9	77	162	239
10	84	215	299
-2	1	0	1
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	32	0	32
-9	2	21	23

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	17.2	11.6	16.3	16.1	16.6	14.5
1	6.7	5.6	7.4	7.0	7.2	6.5
2	8.9	8.1	9.1	8.6	9.1	8.4
3	9.6	8.5	7.8	7.5	8.4	7.9
4	9.3	8.1	6.3	6.3	7.3	6.9
5	13.3	12.7	11.5	12.1	12.1	12.3
6	6.3	8.1	6.7	6.7	6.6	7.2
7	6.4	9.7	8.4	8.8	7.7	9.1
8	6.6	9.5	8.9	9.3	8.1	9.3
9	3.7	5.7	4.2	3.5	4.0	4.2
10	4.1	5.4	5.6	5.7	5.1	5.6
-2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	1.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
-9	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.7

cses_dpclike

Label:	CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Democratic Pres cand
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-10.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Using the same scale, where would you place [preload: DEM_PCNAME]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	121	665	786
1	61	214	275
2	91	210	301
3	93	181	274
4	85	188	273
5	165	298	463
6	102	191	293
7	143	277	420
8	241	422	663
9	234	310	544
10	575	611	1186
-2	1	0	1
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	15	0	15
-9	2	14	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	5.9	8.5	17.2	17.0	13.3	14.1
1	3.0	4.6	5.5	5.8	4.6	5.4
2	4.4	7.2	5.4	5.7	5.1	6.3
3	4.5	6.7	4.7	5.3	4.6	5.8
4	4.1	5.8	4.9	5.2	4.6	5.4
5	8.0	10.5	7.7	8.7	7.8	9.3
6	5.0	4.7	4.9	5.4	5.0	5.2
7	7.0	7.6	7.2	7.3	7.1	7.4
8	11.7	11.0	10.9	9.4	11.2	10.0
9	11.4	8.9	8.0	7.4	9.2	7.9
10	28.0	17.9	15.8	14.5	20.1	15.7
-2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
-9	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5

cses_dptyleft

Label:	CSES: 10pt scale: left-right Democratic Party
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-11.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Please turn to page [preload: postpg_p] of the booklet. In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the DEMOCRATIC PARTY on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?
Web Logic:	Online, omit booklet reference. Show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	197	630	827
1	82	292	374
2	193	471	664
3	181	458	639
4	130	300	430
5	174	644	818
6	67	142	209
7	116	149	265
8	138	147	285
9	98	72	170
10	285	218	503
-2	67	0	67
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	195	0	195
-9	6	58	64

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	9.6	12.2	16.3	16.5	14.0	15.0
1	4.0	5.1	7.6	6.9	6.3	6.3
2	9.4	13.6	12.2	12.0	11.2	12.5
3	8.8	11.3	11.9	11.2	10.8	11.2
4	6.3	7.3	7.8	8.0	7.3	7.7
5	8.5	9.6	16.7	16.8	13.8	14.3
6	3.3	3.1	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.6
7	5.6	5.2	3.9	4.5	4.5	4.8
8	6.7	4.5	3.8	3.8	4.8	4.0
9	4.8	2.9	1.9	1.6	2.9	2.0
10	13.9	7.5	5.6	5.5	8.5	6.2
-2	3.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.7
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	9.5	9.5	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3
-9	0.3	0.3	1.5	2.0	1.1	1.4

cses_rptyleft

Label:	CSES: 10pt scale: left-right Republican Party
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-11.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Using the same scale, where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY?
Web Logic:	Online, show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	177	219	396
1	64	92	156
2	74	128	202
3	110	173	283
4	137	197	334
5	219	510	729
6	116	276	392
7	130	373	503
8	259	489	748
9	158	476	634
10	213	589	802
-2	68	0	68
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	198	0	198
-9	6	59	65

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	8.6	4.6	5.7	5.8	6.7	5.4
1	3.1	1.6	2.4	2.0	2.6	1.9
2	3.6	2.6	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.4
3	5.4	3.6	4.5	4.1	4.8	3.9
4	6.7	5.2	5.1	5.7	5.6	5.6
5	10.7	11.2	13.2	15.1	12.3	13.7
6	5.6	5.6	7.2	7.4	6.6	6.8
7	6.3	8.5	9.7	9.1	8.5	8.9
8	12.6	16.9	12.7	11.7	12.6	13.5
9	7.7	10.0	12.3	11.0	10.7	10.6
10	10.4	12.3	15.3	14.7	13.6	13.9
-2	3.3	2.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.7
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	9.6	9.6	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3
-9	0.3	0.3	1.5	1.9	1.1	1.4

cses_selfleft

Label:	CSES: 10pt scale: left-right self placement
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-12
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale?
Web Logic:	Online, show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	36	70	106
1	23	74	97
2	59	173	232
3	61	218	279
4	117	245	362
5	475	1202	1677
6	190	331	521
7	173	376	549
8	171	347	518
9	114	185	299
10	265	309	574
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-8	238	0	238
-9	7	51	58

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	1.8	1.4	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.6
1	1.1	1.2	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.6
2	2.9	3.3	4.5	4.1	3.9	3.8
3	3.0	3.3	5.6	5.6	4.7	4.8
4	5.7	5.7	6.3	6.1	6.1	6.0
5	23.1	25.7	31.1	31.3	28.4	29.3
6	9.3	11.1	8.6	8.0	8.8	9.1
7	8.4	9.8	9.7	10.5	9.3	10.2
8	8.3	8.2	9.0	8.7	8.8	8.5
9	5.6	5.2	4.8	4.3	5.1	4.6
10	12.9	9.0	8.0	8.5	9.7	8.7
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8	11.6	10.1	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.5
-9	0.3	0.3	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.4

cses_satisdem

Label:	CSES: Satisfied with way democracy works in the U.S
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-15
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	On the whole, are you [VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED / NOT AT ALL SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, or VERY SATISFIED] with the way democracy works in the United States?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very satisfied	347	330	677
2. Fairly satisfied	1148	1907	3055
3. Not very satisfied	282	1068	1350
4. Not satisfied at all	103	262	365
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	44	0	44
-9. Refused	5	14	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very satisfied	16.9	15.1	8.5	7.6	11.4	10.2
2. Fairly satisfied	55.9	55.2	49.4	47.5	51.7	50.2
3. Not very satisfied	13.7	15.3	27.7	29.8	22.8	24.8
4. Not satisfied at all	5.0	6.6	6.8	6.8	6.2	6.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.1	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.5

cses_closepty

Label:	CSES: Close to any political party
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-16
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1166	2010	3176
2. No	752	1562	2314
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	1	9	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	56.8	56.6	52.1	49.5	53.7	51.9
2. No	36.6	37.1	40.5	42.7	39.1	40.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

cses_ptymore

Label:	CSES: Closer to one party
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-16.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?
Universe:	IF R DOES NOT THINK OF SELF OR DK IF THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_CLOSEPTY in(2,DK), else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	359	745	1104
2. No	391	817	1208
-1. Inapplicable	1167	2010	3177
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	12	0	12
-9. Refused	0	9	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	17.5	19.3	19.3	19.7	18.7	19.5
2. No	19.0	17.9	21.2	23.0	20.4	21.2
-1. Inapplicable	56.8	56.7	52.1	49.5	53.7	52.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

cses_ptyclost

Label:	CSES: Party closest to
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-16.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Which party do you feel closest to?
Universe:	IF R THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO POLITICAL PARTY / IF R DOES NOT THINK SELF CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY BUT CLOSER TO ONE PARTY THAN OTHERS:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_CLOSEPTY=1 or CSES_PTYMORE=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, include a text box in place of 'SPECIFY' for response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic party	1046	1518	2564
2. Republican party	428	1095	1523
5. Other (SPECIFY)	49	140	189
-1. Inapplicable	404	826	1230
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic party	50.9	41.0	39.3	36.5	43.4	38.0
2. Republican party	20.8	32.0	28.4	29.3	25.8	30.2
5. Other (SPECIFY)	2.4	2.9	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.2
-1. Inapplicable	19.7	18.4	21.4	23.3	20.8	21.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_ptyclost_oth

Label: POST: RESTRICTED: CSES: Party closest to

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	1929	3581	5510
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	93.9	94.3	92.8	92.4	93.2	93.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_degclose

Label:	CSES: Degree closeness
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-16.3
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Do you feel [very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close / not very close, somewhat close, or very close to this party]?
Universe:	IF R THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO POLITICAL PARTY / IF R DOES NOT THINK SELF CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY BUT CLOSER TO ONE PARTY THAN OTHERS: IF PARTY R IS CLOSEST TO IS NOT DK/RF:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_PTYCLOST in(1,2,5), else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very close	555	646	1201
2. Somewhat close	825	1580	2405
3. Not very close	141	385	526
-1. Inapplicable	406	968	1374
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very close	27.0	22.9	16.7	15.1	20.3	17.8
2. Somewhat close	40.2	45.0	40.9	40.3	40.7	41.9
3. Not very close	6.9	7.9	10.0	10.4	8.9	9.5
-1. Inapplicable	19.8	18.4	25.1	26.7	23.2	23.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_contct

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	During the campaign, did a party or candidate contact you in person or by any other means?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	600	1878	2478
2. No	1323	1696	3019
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	1	7	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	29.2	34.7	48.7	42.1	41.9	39.5
2. No	64.4	59.2	43.9	50.1	51.0	53.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

cses_ftf

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - in person
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they contact you in person, face-to-face?
Universe:	IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	Online, create a grid for items CSES_FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET. Bank these together on the same screen. At the top of the screen, display this text: 'How did a party or candidate contact you?' Below this question, list each question on the left with response columns for Yes and No on the right.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	132	399	531
2. No	468	1478	1946
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	6.4	7.4	10.3	8.9	9.0	8.4
2. No	22.8	27.3	38.3	33.2	32.9	31.1
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_mail

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - by mail
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they contact you by mail?
Universe:	IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	471	1671	2142
2. No	127	206	333
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	22.9	29.6	43.3	36.3	36.2	34.0
2. No	6.2	4.8	5.3	5.7	5.6	5.4
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_phone

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - by phone
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.3
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they contact you by phone?
Universe:	IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	460	1647	2107
2. No	137	230	367
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	22.4	28.4	42.7	36.0	35.6	33.4
2. No	6.7	5.8	6.0	6.0	6.2	5.9
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_txtmsg

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - text messaging
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.4
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they contact you by text message or SMS?
Universe:	IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	71	154	225
2. No	527	1721	2248
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	3.5	3.3	4.0	3.0	3.8	3.1
2. No	25.7	31.3	44.6	39.0	38.0	36.3
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

cses_email

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - email
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.5
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they contact you by email?
Universe:	IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	230	817	1047
2. No	366	1058	1424
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	11.2	14.0	21.2	16.5	17.7	15.6
2. No	17.8	20.5	27.4	25.5	24.1	23.8
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

cses_socnet

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - social network
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-17.6
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they contact you through a social network site or other Web-based method?
Universe:	IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_CONTCT=1, else skip.
Web Logic:	See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	139	296	435
2. No	458	1578	2036
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	6.8	8.0	7.7	5.7	7.4	6.5
2. No	22.3	26.5	40.9	36.3	34.4	32.9
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

cses_ptycont_1st

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Candidate - Democratic	334	0	334
2. Candidate - Republican	86	0	86
3. Party - Democratic	117	0	117
4. Party - Republican	29	0	29
5. Other (SPECIFY)	7	0	7
-1. Inapplicable	1329	3581	4910
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	23	0	23
-9. Refused	4	0	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Candidate - Democratic	16.3	18.6	0.0	0.0	5.6	6.5
2. Candidate - Republican	4.2	6.7	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.3
3. Party - Democratic	5.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.9
4. Party - Republican	1.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	92.8	92.4	83.0	81.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.1	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

cses_ptycont_2nd

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Candidate - Republican	199	0	199
3. Party - Democratic	74	0	74
4. Party - Republican	103	0	103
5. Other (SPECIFY)	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1551	3581	5132
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Candidate - Republican	9.7	13.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	4.7
3. Party - Democratic	3.6	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.1
4. Party - Republican	5.0	7.2	0.0	0.0	1.7	2.5
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	75.5	70.4	92.8	92.4	86.8	84.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_3rd

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Party - Democratic	148	0	148
4. Party - Republican	22	0	22
5. Other (SPECIFY)	7	0	7
-1. Inapplicable	1752	3581	5333
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Party - Democratic	7.2	10.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	3.5
4. Party - Republican	1.1	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	85.3	82.2	92.8	92.4	90.2	88.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_4th

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention 4

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Party - Republican	141	0	141
5. Other (SPECIFY)	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1786	3581	5367
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Party - Republican	6.9	9.5	0.0	0.0	2.4	3.3
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	87.0	84.6	92.8	92.4	90.8	89.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_5th

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact mention 5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. Other (SPECIFY)	8	0	8
-1. Inapplicable	1921	3581	5502
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	93.5	93.7	92.8	92.4	93.0	92.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_dc

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Democratic candidate

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not mentioned by R	266	761	1027
1. Mentioned by R	334	1117	1451
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not mentioned by R	13.0	16.1	19.7	18.2	17.4	17.5
1. Mentioned by R	16.3	18.6	28.9	23.9	24.5	22.0
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_rc

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Republican candidate

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not mentioned by R	315	786	1101
1. Mentioned by R	285	1092	1377
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not mentioned by R	15.3	14.6	20.4	18.0	18.6	16.8
1. Mentioned by R	13.9	20.1	28.3	24.1	23.3	22.7
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_dp

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Democratic party

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not mentioned by R	261	713	974
1. Mentioned by R	339	1165	1504
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not mentioned by R	12.7	16.1	18.5	16.9	16.5	16.6
1. Mentioned by R	16.5	18.6	30.2	25.2	25.4	22.9
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_rp

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Republican party

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not mentioned by R	305	706	1011
1. Mentioned by R	295	1172	1467
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not mentioned by R	14.8	14.4	18.3	16.0	17.1	15.4
1. Mentioned by R	14.4	20.3	30.4	26.1	24.8	24.1
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_othcont

Label: POST: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact Other

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not mentioned by R	574	1806	2380
1. Mentioned by R	26	72	98
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not mentioned by R	27.9	33.3	46.8	40.4	40.2	37.9
1. Mentioned by R	1.3	1.4	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.6
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_ptycont_oth

Label: POST: Other Specify- CSES Mobilization: institutional contact

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

cses_ptycont_dkrf

Label: POST: FTF ONLY: CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact DK/RF

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not mentioned by R	573	1877	2450
1. Dk mentioned by R	23	0	23
2. Rf mentioned by R	4	1	5
-1. Inapplicable	1329	1703	3032
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not mentioned by R	27.9	32.9	48.6	42.1	41.4	38.9
1. Dk mentioned by R	1.1	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
2. Rf mentioned by R	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	64.7	59.6	44.1	50.4	51.3	53.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

cses_persuade

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: personal contact
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbor, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	719	1034	1753
2. No	1203	2540	3743
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	1	7	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	35.0	38.7	26.8	25.0	29.6	29.7
2. No	58.6	55.3	65.8	67.2	63.3	63.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

cses_persftf

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - in person
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they try to persuade you in person, face-to-face?
Universe:	IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank the following items together in a grid: CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB. At the top of the screen include this question text: 'How did they try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate?' Include Yes and No response options in columns to the right of each question.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	634	891	1525
2. No	84	143	227
-1. Inapplicable	1210	2547	3757
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	30.9	34.1	23.1	21.1	25.8	25.6
2. No	4.1	4.5	3.7	3.9	3.8	4.1
-1. Inapplicable	58.9	55.6	66.0	67.5	63.5	63.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_persmail

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - by mail
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they try to persuade you by mail?
Universe:	IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic:	See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	94	159	253
2. No	624	874	1498
-1. Inapplicable	1210	2547	3757
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	4.6	5.3	4.1	3.4	4.3	4.1
2. No	30.4	33.2	22.6	21.5	25.3	25.6
-1. Inapplicable	58.9	55.6	66.0	67.5	63.5	63.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_persph

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - by phone
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.3
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they try to persuade you by phone?
Universe:	IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic:	See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	257	364	621
2. No	462	669	1131
-1. Inapplicable	1210	2547	3757
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	12.5	13.6	9.4	8.1	10.5	10.0
2. No	22.5	25.1	17.3	16.9	19.1	19.7
-1. Inapplicable	58.9	55.6	66.0	67.5	63.5	63.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_perstxt

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - text message
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.4
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they try to persuade you by text message or SMS?
Universe:	IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic:	See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	104	97	201
2. No	615	936	1551
-1. Inapplicable	1210	2547	3757
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	5.1	3.9	2.5	2.1	3.4	2.7
2. No	29.9	34.8	24.2	22.8	26.2	27.0
-1. Inapplicable	58.9	55.6	66.0	67.5	63.5	63.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_perseml

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - email
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.5
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they try to persuade you by email?
Universe:	IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic:	See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	174	359	533
2. No	545	674	1219
-1. Inapplicable	1210	2547	3757
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	8.5	10.5	9.3	7.6	9.0	8.6
2. No	26.5	28.2	17.5	17.3	20.6	21.1
-1. Inapplicable	58.9	55.6	66.0	67.5	63.5	63.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

cses_persweb

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - social network
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.6
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Did they try to persuade you through a social network site or other Web-based method?
Universe:	IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:
Logic:	Ask if CSES_PERSUADE=1, else skip
Web Logic:	See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	261	307	568
2. No	458	725	1183
-1. Inapplicable	1210	2547	3757
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	12.7	15.7	8.0	7.7	9.6	10.5
2. No	22.3	23.0	18.8	17.2	20.0	19.2
-1. Inapplicable	58.9	55.6	66.0	67.5	63.5	63.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

cses_mobph

Label:	CSES: Mobilization: sign up online information or alerts
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-18.7
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Prior to or during the campaign, did you use the Internet or your mobile phone to sign up for information or alerts from a party or candidate?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	209	438	647
2. No	1717	3137	4854
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	6	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	10.2	9.7	11.3	10.6	10.9	10.3
2. No	83.6	84.4	81.3	81.6	82.1	82.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

cses_poliinfone

Label:	CSES: Political information - Secy Treasury
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-20
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Which of these persons was the Secretary of the Treasury before the recent election? [Hillary Clinton, Eric Holder, Leon Panetta, or Timothy Geithner]?
Randomization:	Order of names in question text
Logic:	Randomize the order of the names in the question text in the same order that the names in the response options appear.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Hillary clinton	337	511	848
2. Eric holder	137	466	603
3. Leon panetta	137	324	461
4. Timothy geithner	536	2116	2652
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	781	0	781
-9. Refused	1	164	165

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Hillary clinton	16.4	13.2	13.2	16.3	14.3	15.2
2. Eric holder	6.7	6.4	12.1	12.7	10.2	10.5
3. Leon panetta	6.7	6.5	8.4	8.8	7.8	8.0
4. Timothy geithner	26.1	32.6	54.8	49.4	44.8	43.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	38.0	35.5	0.0	0.0	13.2	12.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	4.2	5.3	2.8	3.5

cses_polinftwo_rate

Label: POST: CSES: Political information - number used for unemployment rate

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-0.7	3	149	152
-0.6	122	130	252
-0.1	0	3581	3581
7.9	1929	0	1929

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-0.7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-0.6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-0.1	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
7.9	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7

cses_polinftwo_date

Label: POST: CSES: Political information - date used for date of unempl rate

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	125	3860	3985
20121102	1929	0	1929

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	6.1	5.7	100.0	100.0	67.4	67.3
20121102	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7

cses_polinftwo

Label:	CSES: Political information - unemployment rate
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-20.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	What was the current unemployment rate in the United States as of [preload: dateunemprt] -- [preload: unemprt - 2.0], [preload: unemprt], [preload: unemprt + 2.0], or [preload: unemprt + 4.0]?
Logic:	Preload variables dateunemprt and unemprt will contain the values for the date of the latest available unemployment rate and the number corresponding to that unemployment rate. It will be necessary beween the Pre and the Post, during the 1st week of November for the interviewer to sync in order to capture the latest unemployment date and rate, which will probably be data for October 2012. It is not known what day in Nov the latest rate (and date) will be available to sync, but it will be sometime during the 1st week of Nov that IWR will have to sync.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. <unemprt - 2.0>	103	113	216
2. <unemprt>	857	2496	3353
3. <unemprt + 2.0>	346	698	1044
4. <unemprt + 4.0>	193	212	405
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	427	0	427
-9. Refused	3	62	65

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. <unemprt - 2.0>	5.0	4.5	2.9	3.4	3.7	3.8
2. <unemprt>	41.7	47.5	64.7	62.6	56.7	57.4
3. <unemprt + 2.0>	16.8	18.1	18.1	18.5	17.7	18.4
4. <unemprt + 4.0>	9.4	8.3	5.5	6.1	6.8	6.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	20.8	15.6	0.0	0.0	7.2	5.4
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	1.6	1.8	1.1	1.2

cses_poliinfthree

Label:	CSES: Political information - party 2nd in House seats won
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-20.3
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Which PARTY came in second in seats in the United States House of Representatives? [The Democratic party, the Republican party, the Green party, or the Libertarian party]?
Randomization:	Order of parties in question text
Logic:	Randomize the order of parties in the question text in the same order that the names in the response options appear.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic party	803	2337	3140
2. Republican party	440	1010	1450
3. Green party	20	54	74
4. Libertarian party	23	67	90
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	638	0	638
-9. Refused	5	113	118

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic party	39.1	45.7	60.5	57.7	53.1	53.5
2. Republican party	21.4	19.8	26.2	27.0	24.5	24.5
3. Green party	1.0	0.7	1.4	2.0	1.3	1.5
4. Libertarian party	1.1	1.2	1.7	2.1	1.5	1.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	31.1	26.5	0.0	0.0	10.8	9.2
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	2.9	3.7	2.0	2.5

cses_poliinffour

Label:	CSES: Political information - Secy of the U.N.
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-20.4
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Who is the current Secretary-General of the United Nations - [Kofi Annan, Kurt Waldheim, Ban Ki-moon, or Boutros Boutros-Ghali]?
Randomization:	Order of names in question text
Logic:	Randomize the order of the names in the question text in the same order that the names in the response options appear.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Kofi annan	238	960	1198
2. Kurt waldheim	139	779	918
3. Ban ki-moon	217	1150	1367
4. Boutros boutros-ghali	98	399	497
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1232	0	1232
-9. Refused	5	293	298

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Kofi annan	11.6	13.0	24.9	23.2	20.3	19.6
2. Kurt waldheim	6.8	7.8	20.2	21.4	15.5	16.7
3. Ban ki-moon	10.6	13.0	29.8	28.6	23.1	23.2
4. Boutros boutros-ghali	4.8	5.5	10.3	10.5	8.4	8.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	60.0	54.9	0.0	0.0	20.8	19.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	7.6	8.8	5.0	5.8

cses_increduc

Label:	CSES: Household income in next 12 months
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-21
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	The next question is about your household's income. How likely or unlikely do you think it is that your household's income could be severely reduced IN THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS? Very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very likely	186	399	585
2. Somewhat likely	520	1124	1644
4. Somewhat unlikely	609	1389	1998
5. Very unlikely	557	657	1214
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	55	0	55
-9. Refused	2	12	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very likely	9.1	8.5	10.3	10.0	9.9	9.5
2. Somewhat likely	25.3	26.0	29.1	29.4	27.8	28.2
4. Somewhat unlikely	29.6	30.5	36.0	34.8	33.8	33.3
5. Very unlikely	27.1	27.2	17.0	17.7	20.5	21.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.7	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3

cses_ownresid

Label:	CSES: Ownership: residence
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-22
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Do you or a member of your household own a residence - for example, a home or an apartment?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	973	2668	3641
2. No	951	903	1854
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	3	10	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	47.4	60.9	69.1	68.2	61.6	65.7
2. No	46.3	33.1	23.4	23.9	31.3	27.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

cses_ownoth

Label:	CSES: Ownership: real estate
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-22.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Do you or a member of your household own a business, a piece of property, a farm, or livestock?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	419	879	1298
2. No	1504	2693	4197
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	5	9	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	20.4	25.8	22.8	22.1	21.9	23.4
2. No	73.2	68.1	69.8	70.0	71.0	69.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

cses_ownstck

Label:	CSES: Ownership: stocks or bonds
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-22.2
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Do you or a member of your household own stocks or bonds?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	610	1651	2261
2. No	1293	1913	3206
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	18	0	18
-9. Refused	8	17	25

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	29.7	42.3	42.8	37.8	38.2	39.4
2. No	63.0	50.3	49.6	54.1	54.2	52.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.9	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
-9. Refused	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.6

cses_ownsavg

Label:	CSES: Ownership: savings
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-22.3
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	Do you or a member of your household have any savings?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1233	2637	3870
2. No	673	924	1597
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	10	0	10
-9. Refused	13	20	33

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	60.0	69.3	68.3	65.7	65.4	67.0
2. No	32.8	23.7	23.9	26.0	27.0	25.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.7

cses_diffjob

Label:	CSES: R find another job
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-23
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	If you lost your job, how easy or difficult would it be to find another job IN THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS? Very easy, somewhat easy, somewhat difficult, or very difficult ?
Universe:	IF R IS CURRENTLY WORKING:
Logic:	Ask if (DEM_EMPTYPE includes 1) or (DEM_HSWORKG=1) or (DEM_RDWORKG=1); else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very easy	169	144	313
2. Somewhat easy	368	604	972
3. Somewhat difficult	405	870	1275
4. Very difficult	212	356	568
-1. Inapplicable	745	1594	2339
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	25	0	25
-9. Refused	5	13	18

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very easy	8.2	8.2	3.7	4.2	5.3	5.6
2. Somewhat easy	17.9	18.0	15.6	17.3	16.4	17.5
3. Somewhat difficult	19.7	19.9	22.5	22.7	21.6	21.7
4. Very difficult	10.3	11.0	9.2	8.3	9.6	9.2
-1. Inapplicable	36.3	35.0	41.3	39.6	39.6	38.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	1.2	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3

cses_spdiffjb

Label:	CSES: Spouse/partner find another job
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 159-23.1
Description:	Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Question:	If your [spouse/partner] lost their job, how easy or difficult would it be for them to find another job IN THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS? Very easy, somewhat easy, somewhat difficult, or very difficult?
Universe:	IF R IS MARRIED/ IF R IS PARTNERED: IF R HAS INDICATED SPOUSE/PARTNER IS WORKING:
Logic:	Ask if 1 in DEM_EMPTYPESP, else skip. Load 'spouse' if DEM_MARITAL in(1,2); load 'partner' if DEM_PARTN=1.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very easy	96	116	212
2. Somewhat easy	209	408	617
3. Somewhat difficult	198	541	739
4. Very difficult	108	221	329
-1. Inapplicable	1304	2292	3596
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	13	0	13
-9. Refused	1	3	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very easy	4.7	5.7	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.9
2. Somewhat easy	10.2	12.1	10.6	10.8	10.4	11.3
3. Somewhat difficult	9.6	11.8	14.0	13.9	12.5	13.2
4. Very difficult	5.3	6.8	5.7	5.8	5.6	6.2
-1. Inapplicable	63.5	56.8	59.4	58.8	60.8	58.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.6	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

dhs_threat

Label:	Does federal govt pose a threat to citizens
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-34
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	Do you think the federal government's powers pose a threat to the rights and freedoms of ordinary citizens, or not?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Poses a threat	804	1905	2709
2. Does not pose a threat	975	1645	2620
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	143	0	143
-9. Refused	7	31	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Poses a threat	39.1	44.6	49.4	51.3	45.8	49.0
2. Does not pose a threat	47.5	44.1	42.6	40.1	44.3	41.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	7.0	5.1	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.8
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.9

dhs_threatamt

Label:	How much of a threat does federal govt pose to citizens
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-34.5
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	How threatening to rights and freedoms are the federal government's powers? [extremely threatening, very threatening, moderately threatening, or slightly threatening / slightly threatening, moderately threatening, very threatening, or extremely threatening]?
Universe:	IF R THINKS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S POWERS THREATEN RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS:
Logic:	Ask if DHS_THREAT=1, else skip.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely threatening	128	350	478
2. Very threatening	169	497	666
3. Moderately threatening	317	736	1053
4. Slightly threatening	186	320	506
-1. Inapplicable	1125	1676	2801
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	0	2	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely threatening	6.2	6.6	9.1	8.8	8.1	8.1
2. Very threatening	8.2	10.6	12.9	12.5	11.3	11.8
3. Moderately threatening	15.4	16.7	19.1	20.7	17.8	19.3
4. Slightly threatening	9.1	10.5	8.3	9.1	8.6	9.6
-1. Inapplicable	54.8	49.6	43.4	41.2	47.4	44.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

dhs_appterr

Label:	DHS: Approve/disappr govt efforts to reduce terrorism
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-83
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	Do you APPROVE, DISAPPROVE, or NEITHER APPROVE NOR DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. federal government has handled the effort to reduce the risk of terrorist attacks in the United States during the last four years?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	1301	1749	3050
2. Disapprove	272	599	871
3. Neither approve nor disapprove	295	1219	1514
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	58	0	58
-9. Refused	3	14	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	63.3	63.4	45.3	42.8	51.6	49.9
2. Disapprove	13.2	13.4	15.5	16.1	14.7	15.1
3. Neither approve nor disapprove	14.4	15.2	31.6	33.1	25.6	26.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4

dhs_appterrmch

Label:	DHS: How much approve efforts to reduce terrorism
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-84
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	Do you approve [EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly / SLIGHTLY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or EXTREMELY strongly]?
Universe:	IF R APPROVES HANDLING OF EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM:
Logic:	Ask if DHS_APPTERR=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with previous item, DHS_APPTERR.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely	372	418	790
2. Moderately	662	1113	1775
3. Slightly	265	214	479
-1. Inapplicable	628	1832	2460
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	0	4	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely	18.1	15.6	10.8	9.9	13.4	11.9
2. Moderately	32.2	34.3	28.8	26.6	30.0	29.3
3. Slightly	12.9	13.4	5.5	6.2	8.1	8.7
-1. Inapplicable	30.6	30.9	47.5	49.7	41.6	43.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

dhs_disterrmch

Label:	DHS: How much disapprove efforts to reduce terrorism
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-85
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	Do you disapprove [EXTREMELY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or SLIGHTLY strongly / SLIGHTLY strongly, MODERATELY strongly, or EXTREMELY strongly]?
Universe:	IF R DISAPPROVES HANDLING OF EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM:
Logic:	Ask if DHS_APPTERR=2, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with DHS_APPTERR.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely	78	223	301
2. Moderately	131	294	425
3. Slightly	63	82	145
-1. Inapplicable	1657	2982	4639
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely	3.8	3.7	5.8	5.5	5.1	4.9
2. Moderately	6.4	6.5	7.6	8.1	7.2	7.6
3. Slightly	3.1	3.2	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	80.9	77.3	76.4	78.4	77.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

dhs_attack

Label:	DHS: Likely terrorist attack killing 100/more in nxt yr
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-97
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	During the next 12 months, how likely is it that there will be a terrorist attack in the United States that kills 100 or more people? Is it [EXTREMELY LIKELY, VERY LIKELY, MODERATELY LIKELY, SLIGHTLY LIKELY, or NOT AT ALL LIKELY / NOT AT ALL LIKELY, SLIGHTLY LIKELY, MODERATELY LIKELY, VERY LIKELY, or EXTREMELY LIKELY]?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely likely	66	88	154
2. Very likely	152	324	476
3. Moderately likely	401	1071	1472
4. Slightly likely	711	1559	2270
5. Not at all likely	443	516	959
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	149	0	149
-9. Refused	7	23	30

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely likely	3.2	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.4
2. Very likely	7.4	8.3	8.4	8.3	8.0	8.3
3. Moderately likely	19.5	21.6	27.7	28.3	24.9	26.0
4. Slightly likely	34.6	36.9	40.4	39.5	38.4	38.6
5. Not at all likely	21.6	19.0	13.4	13.0	16.2	15.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	7.3	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.0
-9. Refused	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.8

dhs_torture

Label:	DHS: Favor or oppose torture for suspected terrorists
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-143
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	Do you FAVOR, OPPOSE, or NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE the U.S. government torturing people, who are suspected of being terrorists, to try to get information?
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	473	792	1265
2. Oppose	1033	1467	2500
3. Neither favor nor oppose	367	1307	1674
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	46	0	46
-9. Refused	10	15	25

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	23.0	24.1	20.5	21.3	21.4	22.3
2. Oppose	50.3	49.7	38.0	35.8	42.3	40.6
3. Neither favor nor oppose	17.9	18.5	33.9	34.8	28.3	29.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	2.2	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
-9. Refused	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5

dhs_torturefav

Label:	DHS: How much favor torture for suspected terrorists
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-144
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	Do you favor that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF R FAVORS USE OF TORTURE AGAINST SUSPECTED TERRORISTS:
Logic:	Ask if DHS_TORTURE=1 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with DHS_TORTURE.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	181	313	494
2. Moderately	182	321	503
3. A little	110	156	266
-1. Inapplicable	1456	2789	4245
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	8.8	8.8	8.1	8.4	8.4	8.5
2. Moderately	8.9	9.6	8.3	8.8	8.5	9.0
3. A little	5.4	5.8	4.0	4.1	4.5	4.7
-1. Inapplicable	70.9	70.2	72.3	71.1	71.8	70.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

dhs_tortureopp

Label:	DHS: How much oppose torture for suspected terrorists
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 160-145
Description:	DHS: Department of Homeland Security
Question:	Do you oppose that [A GREAT DEAL, MODERATELY, or A LITTLE / A LITTLE, MODERATELY, or A GREAT DEAL]?
Universe:	IF R OPPOSES USE OF TORTURE AGAINST SUSPECTED TERRORISTS:
Logic:	Ask if DHS_TORTURE=2 else skip
Web Logic:	Online, bank with DHS_TORTURE.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	619	846	1465
2. Moderately	285	463	748
3. A little	126	155	281
-1. Inapplicable	896	2114	3010
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	30.1	29.4	21.9	19.4	24.8	22.9
2. Moderately	13.9	14.1	12.0	11.9	12.6	12.7
3. A little	6.1	6.1	4.0	4.3	4.8	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	43.6	44.6	54.8	56.7	50.9	52.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

pohisp_hispnews

Label:	HISPANIC: news in English or Spanish
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-1
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	For information about politics would you say you get the most information from Spanish-language television, radio, and newspapers, or from English-language TV, radio, and newspapers?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip
Web Logic:	Online, omit response options 3 and 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. English more	313	374	687
2. Spanish more	64	107	171
3. Both equally (bilingual) (VOL)	56	0	56
5. Other (SPECIFY)	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	1	1	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. English more	15.2	6.8	9.7	8.1	11.6	7.7
2. Spanish more	3.1	1.5	2.8	2.0	2.9	1.8
3. Both equally (bilingual) (VOL)	2.7	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.5
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

pohisp_hispnews_oth

Label: POST: HISPANIC: Other specify- news in English or Spanish

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

pohisp_uselang

Label:	HISPANIC: how much R uses English or Spanish
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-1.8
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	Comparing how often you speak in English or Spanish in your day-to-day life, would you say that you are generally speaking: - English and little or no Spanish, - Mostly English but Spanish at least some of the time, - English and Spanish about equally, - Mostly Spanish but English at least some of the time, or - Spanish and little or no English?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. English and little or no Spanish	158	154	312
2. Mostly English but Spanish at least some of the time	115	107	222
3. Both English and Spanish about equally	100	125	225
4. Mostly Spanish but English at least some of the time	41	60	101
5. Spanish and little or no English	24	36	60
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. English and little or no Spanish	7.7	3.8	4.0	4.2	5.3	4.0
2. Mostly English but Spanish at least some of the time	5.6	2.3	2.8	1.9	3.8	2.0
3. Both English and Spanish about equally	4.9	1.9	3.2	2.3	3.8	2.2
4. Mostly Spanish but English at least some of the time	2.0	1.1	1.6	1.1	1.7	1.1
5. Spanish and little or no English	1.2	0.7	0.9	0.7	1.0	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

pohisp_renglish

Label:	HISPANIC and not English-only: converse well in English
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-2
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	Would you say you could carry on a conversation in English -- both understanding and speaking -- [VERY WELL, PRETTY WELL, JUST A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL / NOT AT ALL, JUST A LITTLE, PRETTY WELL, or VERY WELL]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF R DOES NOT SPEAK ONLY ENGLISH IN DAY TO DAY LIFE:
Logic:	Ask if POHISP_USELANG in(2,3,4,5), else skip. Response option Other is fixed last; only response options 1-4 are set to forward or reverse ordering.
Web Logic:	Online, omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very well	201	176	377
2. Pretty well	40	74	114
3. Just a little	32	67	99
4. Not at all	6	11	17
-1. Inapplicable	1649	3253	4902
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very well	9.8	4.2	4.6	3.0	6.4	3.4
2. Pretty well	1.9	0.9	1.9	1.4	1.9	1.2
3. Just a little	1.6	0.7	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.1
4. Not at all	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	80.3	88.2	84.3	86.4	82.9	87.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

pohisp_renglish_oth

Label: POST: HISPANIC: Oth spec- how well speak in English (not English only)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

pohisp_rspanish

Label:	HISPANIC and not Spanish-only: converse well in Spanish
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-3
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	Would you say you could carry on a conversation in Spanish -- both understanding and speaking -- [VERY WELL, PRETTY WELL, JUST A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL / NOT AT ALL, JUST A LITTLE, PRETTY WELL, or VERY WELL]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF R DOES NOT SPEAK ONLY ENGLISH IN DAY TO DAY LIFE:
Logic:	Ask if POHISP_USELANG in(1,2,3,4), else skip. Response option Other is fixed last; only response options 1-4 are set to forward or reverse ordering.
Web Logic:	Online, omit response option 5.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very well	188	221	409
2. Pretty well	99	106	205
3. Just a little	62	63	125
4. Not at all	65	56	121
-1. Inapplicable	1515	3135	4650
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very well	9.2	4.1	5.7	3.9	6.9	4.0
2. Pretty well	4.8	2.1	2.7	2.2	3.5	2.2
3. Just a little	3.0	1.5	1.6	1.8	2.1	1.7
4. Not at all	3.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	2.0	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	73.8	85.2	81.2	83.0	78.6	83.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

pohisp_rspanish_oth

Label: POST: HISPANIC: Oth spec- how well speak in Spanish (not Spanish only)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Text responses available in separate file	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-2. Text responses available in separate file	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

pohisp_keepspan

Label:	HISPANIC: how important to speak Spanish
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-4
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How important do you think it is for you or your family to maintain the ability to speak Spanish? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, NOT VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very important	295	274	569
2. Somewhat important	88	132	220
3. Not very important	20	45	65
4. Not at all important	33	31	64
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very important	14.4	6.4	7.1	5.0	9.6	5.5
2. Somewhat important	4.3	2.2	3.4	2.9	3.7	2.6
3. Not very important	1.0	0.6	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1
4. Not at all important	1.6	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.1	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

pohisp_readeng

Label:	HISPANIC: how impt to read and write English in U.S.
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-5
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn to read and write English? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, NOT VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very important	385	392	777
2. Somewhat important	43	77	120
3. Not very important	6	12	18
4. Not at all important	4	1	5
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very important	18.7	8.4	10.2	7.7	13.1	7.9
2. Somewhat important	2.1	1.2	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.8
3. Not very important	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3
4. Not at all important	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

pohisp_speakeng

Label:	HISPANIC: how important to speak English in US
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-6
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn to speak English? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, NOT VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very important	382	385	767
2. Somewhat important	49	79	128
3. Not very important	7	15	22
4. Not at all important	0	3	3
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very important	18.6	8.5	10.0	7.5	13.0	7.8
2. Somewhat important	2.4	1.1	2.0	2.3	2.2	1.9
3. Not very important	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3
4. Not at all important	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

pohisp_impassim

Label:	HISPANIC: how important to blend in
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-7
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How important is it for Hispanics to: Change so that they blend into the larger American society? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very important	116	169	285
2. Somewhat important	147	221	368
3. Not at all important	163	92	255
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	8	0	8
-9. Refused	4	0	4

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very important	5.6	2.7	4.4	3.2	4.8	3.0
2. Somewhat important	7.2	4.0	5.7	4.9	6.2	4.6
3. Not at all important	7.9	2.9	2.4	2.0	4.3	2.3
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

pohisp_impdistinct

Label:	HISPANIC: how important to maintain culture
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-8
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How important is it for Hispanics to: Maintain their distinct cultures? [VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT AT ALL important / NOT AT ALL important, SOMEWHAT important, or VERY important]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very important	277	233	510
2. Somewhat important	126	223	349
3. Not at all important	32	26	58
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very important	13.5	6.0	6.0	4.6	8.6	5.1
2. Somewhat important	6.1	3.0	5.8	4.9	5.9	4.2
3. Not at all important	1.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

pohisp_cnctcntry

Label:	HISPANIC: how often contact with ancestral country
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-9
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How often do you have contact with friends and family in [dem_hispcntry] [ONCE A WEEK OR MORE, ONCE A MONTH OR MORE, ONCE EVERY SEVERAL MONTHS, or NEVER / NEVER, ONCE EVERY SEVERAL MONTHS, ONCE A MONTH OR MORE, or ONCE A WEEK OR MORE]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY <= 20, else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM_HISPCNTRY.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Once a week or more	66	101	167
2. Once a month or more	73	68	141
3. Once every several months	78	89	167
4. Never	181	216	397
-1. Inapplicable	1527	3107	4634
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	3	0	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Once a week or more	3.2	2.0	2.6	2.1	2.8	2.0
2. Once a month or more	3.6	1.3	1.8	1.4	2.4	1.4
3. Once every several months	3.8	1.8	2.3	1.8	2.8	1.8
4. Never	8.8	3.7	5.6	4.8	6.7	4.4
-1. Inapplicable	74.3	85.4	80.5	82.4	78.4	83.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

pohisp_viscntry

Label:	HISPANIC: how often visit ancestral country
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-10
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How often do you visit [dem_hispcntry]? [MORE THAN ONCE A YEAR, ONCE A YEAR, ONCE IN THE PAST THREE YEARS, ONCE IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS, MORE THAN FIVE YEARS AGO, or NEVER / NEVER, MORE THAN FIVE YEARS AGO, ONCE IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS, ONCE IN THE PAST THREE YEARS, ONCE A YEAR, or MORE THAN ONCE A YEAR]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY <= 20, else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM_HISPCNTRY.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More than once a year	26	48	74
2. Once a year	38	55	93
3. Once in the past three years	50	51	101
4. Once in the past five years	38	29	67
5. More than five years ago	91	131	222
6. Never	156	160	316
-1. Inapplicable	1527	3107	4634
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More than once a year	1.3	0.6	1.2	1.0	1.3	0.8
2. Once a year	1.9	0.9	1.4	1.0	1.6	1.0
3. Once in the past three years	2.4	1.3	1.3	0.8	1.7	1.0
4. Once in the past five years	1.9	0.7	0.8	0.6	1.1	0.6
5. More than five years ago	4.4	2.3	3.4	2.7	3.8	2.6
6. Never	7.6	3.1	4.1	3.9	5.3	3.6
-1. Inapplicable	74.3	85.4	80.5	82.4	78.4	83.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

pohisp_moneycntry

Label:	HISPANIC: how often send money ancestral country
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-11
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How often do you send money to friends or family in [dem_hispcntry]? [MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH, ONCE A MONTH, ONCE EVERY FEW MONTHS, ONCE A YEAR, LESS THAN ONCE A YEAR, or NEVER / NEVER, LESS THAN ONCE A YEAR, ONCE A YEAR, ONCE EVERY FEW MONTHS, ONCE A MONTH, MORE THAN ONCE A MONTH]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY <= 20, else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM_HISPCNTRY.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More than once a month	11	10	21
2. Once a month	32	30	62
3. Once every few months	38	39	77
4. Once a year	21	31	52
5. Less than once a year	14	32	46
6. Never	282	332	614
-1. Inapplicable	1527	3107	4634
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	1	0	1
-9. Refused	3	0	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More than once a month	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2
2. Once a month	1.6	0.9	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.8
3. Once every few months	1.9	1.1	1.0	0.7	1.3	0.9
4. Once a year	1.0	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.5
5. Less than once a year	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.5
6. Never	13.7	5.9	8.6	7.2	10.4	6.8
-1. Inapplicable	74.3	85.4	80.5	82.4	78.4	83.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

pohisp_polcntry

Label:	HISPANIC: attn to politics in ancestral country
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-12
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	How much attention would you say you pay to politics in [dem_hispcntry]? Would you say you pay [A LOT of attention, SOME attention, a LITTLE attention, or NONE AT ALL / NONE AT ALL, a LITTLE attention, SOME attention, or A LOT of attention]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY <= 20, else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM_HISPCNTRY.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	18	37	55
2. Some	55	110	165
3. Little	117	150	267
4. None at all	208	177	385
-1. Inapplicable	1527	3107	4634
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-8. Don't know	2	0	2
-9. Refused	2	0	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	0.9	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.9	0.5
2. Some	2.7	1.3	2.8	1.8	2.8	1.6
3. Little	5.7	2.8	3.9	2.9	4.5	2.8
4. None at all	10.1	4.3	4.6	4.9	6.5	4.7
-1. Inapplicable	74.3	85.4	80.5	82.4	78.4	83.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

pohisp_votecntry

Label:	HISPANIC: did R ever vote in ancestral country
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 161-13
Description:	Hispanic R ethnic distinction and assimilation
Question:	Before coming to the U.S., did you ever vote in elections in [dem_hispcntry]?
Universe:	IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW: IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE: IF R WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY >= 1 and DEM_HISPCNTRY <= 20 and DEM_HISPBORN in(2,3), else skip. In question text, load the corresponding name of the country for the code value of DEM_HISPCNTRY.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	4	10	14
2. No	7	22	29
-1. Inapplicable	1807	3415	5222
-4. Error	110	134	244
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	0	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1
2. No	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	88.0	90.6	88.5	89.6	88.3	90.0
-4. Error	5.4	3.3	3.5	2.2	4.1	2.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

casistartpo_iwrset4

Label: POST: FTF CASI ONLY: SETUP TEST- Does R have a dog

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	842	0	842
2. No	1086	0	1086
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-4. Error	1	0	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	41.0	44.2	0.0	0.0	14.2	15.4
2. No	52.9	50.0	0.0	0.0	18.4	17.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-4. Error	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

casistartpo_iwrset6

Label: POST: FTF CASI ONLY: SETUP TEST- What R ate for breakfast

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3581	3581
-2. Text responses available in separate file	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-2. Text responses available in separate file	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ftcasi_asian

Label:	CASI Feeling thermometer: ASIAN-AMERICANS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-1
Description:	CASI thermometers
Question:	[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] ASIAN-AMERICANS <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe:	IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). Randomize the order of CASI group thermometers. If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic:	Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Asian-Americans? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate Asian-Americans?'
Source:	Respondent

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	585	896	1481
70	304	622	926
85	318	489	807
60	221	372	593
100	247	304	551
-6	122	130	252
40	64	157	221
75	16	139	155
-7	3	149	152
80	15	126	141

ftcasi_hisp

Label:	CASI Feeling thermometer: HISPANICS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-2
Description:	CASI thermometers
Question:	[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] HISPANICS <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe:	IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic:	Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Hispanics? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate Hispanics?'
Source:	Respondent

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	455	768	1223
70	279	539	818
85	332	465	797
100	391	345	736
60	175	383	558
40	88	234	322
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
30	40	100	140
75	11	128	139

ftcasi_black

Label:	CASI Feeling thermometer: BLACKS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-22
Description:	CASI thermometers
Question:	[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] BLACKS <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe:	IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic:	Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Blacks? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate Blacks?'
Source:	Respondent

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	457	781	1238
70	282	561	843
85	348	494	842
100	426	394	820
60	172	351	523
40	71	208	279
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
80	10	117	127
30	33	88	121

ftcasi_illegal

Label:	CASI Feeling thermometer: ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-24
Description:	CASI thermometers
Question:	[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe:	IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic:	Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate illegal immigrants? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate illegal immigrants?'
Source:	Respondent

68 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
50	394	607	1001
0	237	563	800
40	221	451	672
30	210	381	591
15	168	338	506
60	171	284	455
70	166	232	398
85	140	124	264
-6	122	130	252
100	112	86	198

ftcasi_white

Label:	CASI Feeling thermometer: WHITES
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.1-26
Description:	CASI thermometers
Question:	[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: / How would you rate:] WHITES <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of CASI group thermometers
Universe:	IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING / NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). If 1st CASI thermometer, load text 'Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate'; else load 'How would you rate:' If 1st CASI thermometer, load the text of the onscreen instructions beginning 'Please enter the rating number....' else do not display.
Web Logic:	Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section. Display group names in boldface type. For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows: 'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Whites? 'Please enter the rating number in the number box. 'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.' For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows: 'How would you rate Whites?'
Source:	Respondent

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
85	453	752	1205
50	418	629	1047
70	332	640	972
100	355	418	773
60	174	336	510
-6	122	130	252
80	22	159	181
75	13	147	160
90	17	137	154
-7	3	149	152

racecasi_infwhite

Label:	CASI How much influence do whites have in U.S. politics
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-9
Description:	CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question:	Would you say that whites have TOO MUCH INFLUENCE in American politics, JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT of influence in American politics, or TOO LITTLE influence in American politics?
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Too much influence	754	1078	1832
2. Just about the right amount of influence	1059	2136	3195
3. Too little influence	112	337	449
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	4	30	34

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Too much influence	36.7	25.2	27.9	25.3	31.0	25.3
2. Just about the right amount of influence	51.6	62.9	55.3	56.4	54.0	58.7
3. Too little influence	5.5	5.9	8.7	9.4	7.6	8.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.3	0.6	0.9

racecasi_infblacks

Label:	CASI How much influence do blacks have in U.S. politics
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-10
Description:	CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question:	Would you say that blacks have TOO MUCH INFLUENCE in American politics, JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT of influence in American politics, or TOO LITTLE influence in American politics
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Too much influence	190	517	707
2. Just about the right amount of influence	978	1882	2860
3. Too little influence	754	1146	1900
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	7	36	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Too much influence	9.3	11.1	13.4	13.6	12.0	12.7
2. Just about the right amount of influence	47.6	55.3	48.8	50.6	48.4	52.2
3. Too little influence	36.7	27.6	29.7	26.8	32.1	27.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.3	0.9	1.5	0.7	1.1

racecasi_infhisp

Label:	CASI How much influence do Hisp have in U.S. politics
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-10.2
Description:	CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question:	Would you say that Hispanics have TOO MUCH INFLUENCE in American politics, JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT of influence in American politics, or TOO LITTLE influence in American politics
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Too much influence	173	493	666
2. Just about the right amount of influence	943	1779	2722
3. Too little influence	808	1274	2082
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	5	35	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Too much influence	8.4	11.7	12.8	13.5	11.3	12.9
2. Just about the right amount of influence	45.9	50.1	46.1	47.5	46.0	48.4
3. Too little influence	39.3	32.3	33.0	30.0	35.2	30.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.4	0.7	1.0

racecasi_sympblacks

Label:	CASI How often has felt sympathy for blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-11
Description:	CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question:	How often have you felt sympathy for Blacks? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME,or ALWAYS]
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	187	125	312
2. Most of the time	267	411	678
3. About half the time	287	620	907
4. Some of the time	895	1778	2673
5. Never	286	617	903
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	7	30	37

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	9.1	5.2	3.2	3.0	5.3	3.8
2. Most of the time	13.0	10.8	10.6	8.6	11.5	9.3
3. About half the time	14.0	12.7	16.1	16.0	15.3	14.8
4. Some of the time	43.6	50.2	46.1	45.4	45.2	47.0
5. Never	13.9	15.1	16.0	18.4	15.3	17.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.8

racecasi_admblacks

Label:	CASI How often has felt admiration for blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.3-12
Description:	CASI Attitudes toward race and gender groups
Question:	How often have you felt admiration for Blacks? [ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or NEVER / NEVER, SOME OF THE TIME, ABOUT HALF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME,or ALWAYS]
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Always	208	186	394
2. Most of the time	299	505	804
3. About half the time	379	828	1207
4. Some of the time	805	1646	2451
5. Never	231	391	622
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	7	25	32

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Always	10.1	5.1	4.8	4.5	6.7	4.7
2. Most of the time	14.6	13.6	13.1	10.9	13.6	11.8
3. About half the time	18.5	18.0	21.5	21.4	20.4	20.2
4. Some of the time	39.2	44.9	42.6	42.3	41.4	43.2
5. Never	11.2	12.3	10.1	12.3	10.5	12.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.4	0.6	1.1	0.5	0.8

ident_hispid

Label:	CASI How important is being Hispanic to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being Hispanic to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe:	IF R IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN THE PRE:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_HISP=1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	177	112	289
2. Very important	132	154	286
3. Moderately important	67	129	196
4. A little important	27	47	74
5. Not at all important	35	40	75
-1. Inapplicable	1491	3099	4590
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	8.6	3.5	2.9	2.3	4.9	2.7
2. Very important	6.4	3.1	4.0	2.8	4.8	2.9
3. Moderately important	3.3	1.6	3.3	3.1	3.3	2.5
4. A little important	1.3	0.7	1.2	1.0	1.3	0.9
5. Not at all important	1.7	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	72.6	84.4	80.3	82.3	77.6	83.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ident_whiteid

Label:	CASI How important is being White to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.1
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being White to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS WHITE IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic:	Ask if 1 (white) in DEM_CPSRACE mentions , else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	142	284	426
2. Very important	227	519	746
3. Moderately important	302	851	1153
4. A little important	217	531	748
5. Not at all important	318	636	954
-1. Inapplicable	717	749	1466
-2. Missing; R gave White identification in text of other specify for race	2	0	2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	4	11	15

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	6.9	9.8	7.4	8.0	7.2	8.7
2. Very important	11.1	14.7	13.4	14.2	12.6	14.4
3. Moderately important	14.7	19.0	22.0	21.2	19.5	20.4
4. A little important	10.6	14.1	13.8	13.9	12.6	14.0
5. Not at all important	15.5	18.6	16.5	16.2	16.1	17.0
-1. Inapplicable	34.9	17.6	19.4	18.5	24.8	18.2
-2. Missing; R gave White identification in text of other specify for race	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

ident_blackid

Label:	CASI How important is being Black to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.2
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being Black or African-American to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS BLACK IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic:	Ask if 2 (black or African-American) in DEM_CPSRACE mentions, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	321	249	570
2. Very important	117	123	240
3. Moderately important	52	87	139
4. A little important	24	32	56
5. Not at all important	28	26	54
-1. Inapplicable	1385	3062	4447
-2. Missing; R gave Black identification in text of other specify for race	1	0	1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	2	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	15.6	7.3	6.5	5.0	9.6	5.8
2. Very important	5.7	2.3	3.2	2.8	4.1	2.6
3. Moderately important	2.5	1.7	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.0
4. A little important	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8
5. Not at all important	1.4	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	67.4	81.2	79.3	80.9	75.2	81.0
-2. Missing; R gave Black identification in text of other specify for race	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

ident_nativeid

Label:	CASI How important is being Native Am to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.3
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being an American Indian or Alaska Native to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS NATIVE AMERICAN IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic:	Ask if 3 (American Indian or Alaska native) in DEM_CPSRACE mentions, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	26	26	52
2. Very important	18	18	36
3. Moderately important	19	19	38
4. A little important	23	12	35
5. Not at all important	22	10	32
-1. Inapplicable	1819	3496	5315
-2. Missing; R gave Native American/Alaskan identification in text of other specify for race	2	0	2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9
2. Very important	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.4
3. Moderately important	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.5
4. A little important	1.1	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.4
5. Not at all important	1.1	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	88.6	90.7	90.6	90.6	89.9	90.7
-2. Missing; R gave Native American/Alaskan identification in text of other specify for race	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ident_asianid

Label:	CASI How important is being Asian to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.4
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being Asian to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS ASIAN IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic:	Ask if 4 (Asian) in DEM_CPSRACE mentions, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	4	9	13
2. Very important	8	16	24
3. Moderately important	8	27	35
4. A little important	4	10	14
5. Not at all important	5	5	10
-1. Inapplicable	1900	3514	5414
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3
2. Very important	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5
3. Moderately important	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7
4. A little important	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
5. Not at all important	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	92.5	92.8	91.0	90.3	91.5	91.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ident_pacifid

Label:	CASI How important is being Pacific Islander to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.5
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being a Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic:	Ask if 5 (Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander) in DEM_CPSRACE mentions, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	4	3	7
2. Very important	4	2	6
3. Moderately important	5	1	6
4. A little important	1	2	3
5. Not at all important	6	1	7
-1. Inapplicable	1909	3572	5481
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. Very important	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
3. Moderately important	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. A little important	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
5. Not at all important	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	92.9	93.6	92.5	92.2	92.7	92.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ident_otherid

Label:	CASI How important is being Other to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.6
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being [DEM_RACECPS OTHER SPECIFY] to your identity? [Extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe:	IF R MENTIONS OTHER IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
Logic:	Ask if DEM_RACECPS includes mention 95 and SPECIFY for mention is not null string and has length > 2, else skip. Load text of SPECIFY for DEM_RACECPS code 95 into question. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	42	16	58
2. Very important	25	25	50
3. Moderately important	9	11	20
4. A little important	5	10	15
5. Not at all important	7	13	20
-1. Inapplicable	1834	3505	5339
-2. Missing; R repeated existing race mention in other specify for race or other specify recoded to existing race	7	0	7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	1	1

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	2.0	1.0	0.4	0.3	1.0	0.6
2. Very important	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.6
3. Moderately important	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
4. A little important	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
5. Not at all important	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	89.3	91.2	90.8	91.0	90.3	91.1
-2. Missing; R repeated existing race mention in other specify for race or other specify recoded to existing race	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

ident_religid_preload

Label: POST: CASI/WEB: Preload religious group for importance of religious identity

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Agnostic	57	0	57
2. Atheist	45	0	45
3. Buddhist	5	0	5
4. Christian	1446	0	1446
5. Hindu	2	0	2
6. Jewish	19	0	19
7. Muslim	10	0	10
8. Not religions	312	0	312
-1. Inap, religion group not determinable at preload	33	0	33
-2. Missing	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Agnostic	2.8	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3
2. Atheist	2.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
3. Buddhist	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Christian	70.4	67.8	0.0	0.0	24.5	23.6
5. Hindu	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6. Jewish	0.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
7. Muslim	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
8. Not religions	15.2	16.4	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.7
-1. Inap, religion group not determinable at preload	1.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

ident_religid

Label:	CASI How important is religious identification to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.7
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being [Christian / Jewish / Muslim / Hindu / Buddhist / not religious / agnostic / atheist] to your identity? [Extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Universe:	IF R IS CHRISTIAN / IF R IS JEWISH / IF R IS MUSLIM / IF R IS HINDU / IF R IS BUDDHIST / IF R IS NOT RELIGIOUS / IF R IS AGNOSTIC / IF R IS ATHEIST:
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen). Create variable pre_relgrp. Assign values using statements in this order: If RELIG_RELGRPA in(1,2) or RELIG_RELGRPNA in(1,2) or RELIG_OTHXIAN=1 or RELIG_RELDENOM in(1-26) then pre_relgrp=1. If RELIG_RELGRPA=3 or RELIG_RELGRPNA=3 then pre_relgrp=2. If RELIG_RELDENOM=29 then pre_relgrp=3. If RELIG_RELDENOM=28 then pre_relgrp=4. If RELIG_RELDENOM=27 then pre_relgrp=5. If RELIG_CHMEMBER in(2,DK) then pre_relgrp=6. If RELIG_RELIGIDENT in(3,6) and pre_relgrp not in(1,2,3,4,5) then pre_relgrp = 6 ('not religious'). If RELIG_RELIGIDENT=4 then pre_relgrp=7 . If RELIG_RELIGIDENT=5 then pre_relgrp=8. If pre_relgrp=1 then load 'Christian'. If pre_relgrp=2 then load 'Jewish'. If pre_relgrp=3 then load 'Muslim'. If pre_relgrp=4 then load 'Hindu'. If pre_relgrp=5 then load 'Buddhist'. If pre_relgrp=6 then load 'not religious'. If pre_relgrp=7 then load 'agnostic'. If pre_relgrp=8 then load 'atheist'. If pre_relgrp is missing then skip
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	597	1132	1729
2. Very important	343	729	1072
3. Moderately important	303	718	1021
4. A little important	207	386	593
5. Not at all important	418	571	989
-1. Inapplicable	33	34	67
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	28	11	39

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	29.1	27.9	29.3	29.0	29.2	28.6
2. Very important	16.7	15.7	18.9	18.6	18.1	17.6
3. Moderately important	14.8	13.6	18.6	18.5	17.3	16.8
4. A little important	10.1	11.2	10.0	10.5	10.0	10.8
5. Not at all important	20.4	23.6	14.8	14.6	16.7	17.7
-1. Inapplicable	1.6	1.3	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	1.4	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.5

ident_amerid

Label:	CASI How important is being American to identity
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.4-2.8
Description:	CASI Group identity
Question:	How important is being American to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely important	1007	1711	2718
2. Very important	550	1021	1571
3. Moderately important	220	550	770
4. A little important	95	197	292
5. Not at all important	53	88	141
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	4	14	18

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely important	49.0	49.9	44.3	41.9	46.0	44.6
2. Very important	26.8	26.8	26.5	25.9	26.6	26.2
3. Moderately important	10.7	10.5	14.2	15.6	13.0	13.8
4. A little important	4.6	4.6	5.1	5.8	4.9	5.4
5. Not at all important	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4

ineqinc_ineqgb

Label:	CASI Is increased income inequality in U.S. good
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.45-2
Description:	CASI Income inequality
Question:	The difference in incomes has grown in the past few decades, as shown below. <br clear=all> The blue line on top shows that the incomes of households in the top 20 percent has increased from about 100,000 in 1967 to about 171,000 in 2009 (all income figures are in 2009 dollars). The red line on the bottom shows that the income of households in the bottom 20 percent has increased from about 9,000 in 1967 to about 11,500 in 2009. In 1967, households in the top 20 percent earned an average of 11 times as much as households in the bottom 20 percent. Today, the top earn an average of 15 times as much. Is it good, bad, or neither good nor bad that the DIFFERENCE between the top and the bottom incomes has changed this way?
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Good	274	284	558
2. Bad	966	1918	2884
3. Neither good nor bad	679	1367	2046
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	10	12	22

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Good	13.3	10.6	7.4	7.8	9.4	8.8
2. Bad	47.0	48.7	49.7	47.9	48.8	48.2
3. Neither good nor bad	33.1	34.7	35.4	36.3	34.6	35.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4

ineqinc_ineqreduc

Label:	CASI Does R favor-oppose govt reducing income ineqality
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.45-3
Description:	CASI Income inequality
Question:	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the government trying to make this income difference smaller?
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favor	741	1257	1998
2. Oppose	614	1269	1883
3. Neither favor nor oppose	573	1048	1621
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	7	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favor	36.1	34.4	32.6	31.3	33.8	32.4
2. Oppose	29.9	33.4	32.9	33.9	31.8	33.7
3. Neither favor nor oppose	27.9	26.5	27.2	27.0	27.4	26.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

stype_hwkwhite

Label:	CASI stereotype: Whites hardworking
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-2
Description:	CASI Post group stereotypes
Question:	Where would you rate WHITES in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Logic:	Randomize the order of the groups after whites. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	270	316	586
2	351	855	1206
3	452	1063	1515
4	613	1024	1637
5	148	235	383
6	44	43	87
7	35	24	59
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-9	16	21	37

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	13.1	12.4	8.2	8.6	9.9	10.0
2	17.1	19.4	22.2	21.3	20.4	20.7
3	22.0	23.8	27.5	27.2	25.6	26.0
4	29.8	30.3	26.5	26.6	27.7	27.9
5	7.2	4.8	6.1	5.8	6.5	5.4
6	2.1	2.1	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.6
7	1.7	0.9	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.7
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.8

stype_hwkblack

Label:	CASI Stereotype: Blacks hardworking
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-3
Description:	CASI Post group stereotypes
Question:	Where would you rate BLACKS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of groups after whites.
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	235	199	434
2	212	323	535
3	355	698	1053
4	610	1250	1860
5	298	680	978
6	123	283	406
7	85	127	212
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-9	11	21	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	11.4	7.0	5.2	5.0	7.3	5.7
2	10.3	8.5	8.4	7.2	9.0	7.6
3	17.3	17.1	18.1	17.2	17.8	17.1
4	29.7	35.2	32.4	33.2	31.5	33.9
5	14.5	15.5	17.6	17.5	16.5	16.8
6	6.0	6.2	7.3	8.1	6.9	7.4
7	4.1	4.5	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.8
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.7

stype_hwkhisp

Label:	CASI Stereotype: Hispanics hardworking
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-4
Description:	CASI Post group stereotypes
Question:	Where would you rate HISPANIC-AMERICANS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of groups after whites.
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	519	468	987
2	410	791	1201
3	389	972	1361
4	439	887	1326
5	111	304	415
6	31	104	135
7	17	34	51
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-9	13	21	34

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	25.3	17.7	12.1	11.8	16.7	13.8
2	20.0	21.0	20.5	19.4	20.3	20.0
3	18.9	20.8	25.2	25.1	23.0	23.6
4	21.4	24.6	23.0	23.1	22.4	23.7
5	5.4	6.0	7.9	8.5	7.0	7.7
6	1.5	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.6
7	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.1
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.7

stype_hwkasian

Label:	CASI Stereotype: Asians hardworking
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-5
Description:	CASI Post group stereotypes
Question:	Where would you rate ASIAN-AMERICANS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of groups after whites.
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	487	654	1141
2	457	1088	1545
3	382	863	1245
4	461	708	1169
5	84	188	272
6	27	44	71
7	19	14	33
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-9	12	22	34

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	23.7	21.2	16.9	15.0	19.3	17.2
2	22.2	22.8	28.2	27.1	26.1	25.6
3	18.6	19.7	22.4	23.9	21.1	22.4
4	22.4	23.5	18.3	19.2	19.8	20.7
5	4.1	4.3	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.5
6	1.3	1.8	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4
7	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.7

stype_intwhite

Label:	CASI Stereotype: Whites intelligent
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-7
Description:	CASI Post group stereotypes
Question:	Where would you rate WHITES in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Logic:	Randomize the order of the groups after whites. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	366	439	805
2	463	905	1368
3	432	1066	1498
4	539	995	1534
5	77	120	197
6	16	19	35
7	22	8	30
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-9	14	29	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	17.8	15.4	11.4	11.5	13.6	12.8
2	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.8	23.1	23.5
3	21.0	22.8	27.6	26.1	25.3	25.0
4	26.2	29.3	25.8	26.6	25.9	27.5
5	3.7	2.3	3.1	3.0	3.3	2.8
6	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.4
7	1.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.3
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9	0.7	0.3	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.7

stype_intblack

Label:	CASI Stereotype: Blacks intelligent
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-8
Description:	CASI Post group stereotypes
Question:	Where would you rate BLACKS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of groups after whites.
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	273	271	544
2	292	438	730
3	412	816	1228
4	646	1332	1978
5	195	478	673
6	64	158	222
7	35	60	95
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-9	12	28	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	13.3	8.7	7.0	6.8	9.2	7.5
2	14.2	12.7	11.3	10.2	12.3	11.1
3	20.1	20.2	21.1	19.5	20.8	19.7
4	31.5	37.3	34.5	36.1	33.4	36.5
5	9.5	9.8	12.4	13.1	11.4	12.0
6	3.1	3.4	4.1	4.5	3.8	4.1
7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.4
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9	0.6	0.4	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.8

stype_inthisp

Label:	CASI Stereotype: Hispanics intelligent
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-9
Description:	CASI Post group stereotypes
Question:	Where would you rate HISPANIC-AMERICANS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of groups after whites.
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	239	262	501
2	275	432	707
3	461	927	1388
4	698	1402	2100
5	178	406	584
6	43	95	138
7	22	30	52
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-9	13	27	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	11.6	9.2	6.8	6.3	8.5	7.3
2	13.4	11.3	11.2	9.4	12.0	10.1
3	22.4	22.3	24.0	22.7	23.5	22.6
4	34.0	38.0	36.3	38.1	35.5	38.1
5	8.7	9.1	10.5	10.9	9.9	10.3
6	2.1	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.3	2.8
7	1.1	1.2	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.1
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9	0.6	0.4	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.8

stype_intasian

Label:	CASI Stereotype: Asians intelligent
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.5-10
Description:	CASI Post group stereotypes
Question:	Where would you rate ASIAN-AMERICANS in general on this scale? <br clear=all>
Randomization:	Order of groups after whites.
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	505	699	1204
2	504	1051	1555
3	356	850	1206
4	436	801	1237
5	71	116	187
6	23	25	48
7	24	11	35
-6	122	130	252
-7	3	149	152
-9	10	28	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	24.6	21.7	18.1	17.4	20.4	18.9
2	24.5	26.5	27.2	26.6	26.3	26.5
3	17.3	18.7	22.0	22.0	20.4	20.8
4	21.2	22.2	20.8	21.9	20.9	22.0
5	3.5	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.0
6	1.1	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.6
7	1.2	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.5
-6	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9	0.5	0.3	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.7

tipi_extra

Label:	CASI TIPI extraverted, enthusiastic
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-1
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'extraverted, enthusiastic' describes me□
Logic:	After the 1st TIPI item, if it is not too difficult please have the lead text (We're interested...better than the other') in a light gray color rather than black. Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	34	116	150
2. Somewhat poorly	82	279	361
3. A little poorly	120	472	592
4. Neither poorly nor well	408	800	1208
5. A little well	363	793	1156
6. Somewhat well	654	875	1529
7. Extremely well	263	235	498
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	5	11	16

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	1.7	1.1	3.0	3.2	2.5	2.5
2. Somewhat poorly	4.0	4.9	7.2	7.3	6.1	6.5
3. A little poorly	5.8	6.0	12.2	12.5	10.0	10.2
4. Neither poorly nor well	19.9	20.0	20.7	21.5	20.4	21.0
5. A little well	17.7	18.2	20.5	20.3	19.5	19.6
6. Somewhat well	31.8	32.8	22.7	21.4	25.9	25.4
7. Extremely well	12.8	11.1	6.1	5.7	8.4	7.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4

tipi_crit

Label:	CASI TIPI critical, quarrelsome
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-2
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'critical, quarrelsome' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	284	665	949
2. Somewhat poorly	317	864	1181
3. A little poorly	242	512	754
4. Neither poorly nor well	502	756	1258
5. A little well	341	537	878
6. Somewhat well	182	191	373
7. Extremely well	57	43	100
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	4	13	17

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	13.8	13.7	17.2	16.4	16.0	15.4
2. Somewhat poorly	15.4	18.6	22.4	21.4	20.0	20.4
3. A little poorly	11.8	13.1	13.3	13.9	12.7	13.6
4. Neither poorly nor well	24.4	23.1	19.6	19.8	21.3	21.0
5. A little well	16.6	15.1	13.9	14.6	14.8	14.8
6. Somewhat well	8.9	8.4	4.9	4.7	6.3	6.0
7. Extremely well	2.8	2.2	1.1	1.1	1.7	1.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

tipi_dep

Label:	CASI TIPI dependable, self-disciplined
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-3
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'dependable, self-disciplined' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	10	13	23
2. Somewhat poorly	30	27	57
3. A little poorly	51	109	160
4. Neither poorly nor well	158	312	470
5. A little well	250	561	811
6. Somewhat well	714	1474	2188
7. Extremely well	711	1074	1785
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	5	11	16

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4
2. Somewhat poorly	1.5	1.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.8
3. A little poorly	2.5	2.4	2.8	3.1	2.7	2.9
4. Neither poorly nor well	7.7	7.4	8.1	9.1	7.9	8.5
5. A little well	12.2	10.6	14.5	14.8	13.7	13.3
6. Somewhat well	34.8	37.7	38.2	37.8	37.0	37.8
7. Extremely well	34.6	34.4	27.8	26.2	30.2	29.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

tipi_anx

Label:	CASI TIPI anxious, easily upset
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-4
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'anxious, easily upset' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	264	526	790
2. Somewhat poorly	372	830	1202
3. A little poorly	260	533	793
4. Neither poorly nor well	415	757	1172
5. A little well	316	589	905
6. Somewhat well	211	250	461
7. Extremely well	84	82	166
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	7	14	21

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	12.9	12.9	13.6	12.1	13.4	12.4
2. Somewhat poorly	18.1	18.9	21.5	20.4	20.3	19.9
3. A little poorly	12.7	13.6	13.8	13.7	13.4	13.7
4. Neither poorly nor well	20.2	19.3	19.6	19.8	19.8	19.6
5. A little well	15.4	15.6	15.3	16.8	15.3	16.4
6. Somewhat well	10.3	9.9	6.5	6.4	7.8	7.6
7. Extremely well	4.1	3.8	2.1	2.8	2.8	3.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4

tipi_open

Label:	CASI TIPI open to new experiences
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-5
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'open to new experiences, complex' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	19	35	54
2. Somewhat poorly	43	176	219
3. A little poorly	97	390	487
4. Neither poorly nor well	294	796	1090
5. A little well	425	983	1408
6. Somewhat well	674	885	1559
7. Extremely well	370	305	675
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	7	11	18

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8
2. Somewhat poorly	2.1	2.0	4.6	5.0	3.7	3.9
3. A little poorly	4.7	5.5	10.1	11.1	8.2	9.2
4. Neither poorly nor well	14.3	14.0	20.6	20.8	18.4	18.4
5. A little well	20.7	22.9	25.5	25.8	23.8	24.8
6. Somewhat well	32.8	34.1	22.9	20.9	26.4	25.5
7. Extremely well	18.0	14.7	7.9	7.7	11.4	10.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3

tipi_resv

Label:	CASI TIPI reserved, quiet
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-6
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'reserved, quiet' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	147	183	330
2. Somewhat poorly	205	335	540
3. A little poorly	207	405	612
4. Neither poorly nor well	338	677	1015
5. A little well	433	880	1313
6. Somewhat well	405	738	1143
7. Extremely well	188	353	541
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	6	10	16

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	7.2	6.9	4.7	5.0	5.6	5.7
2. Somewhat poorly	10.0	11.9	8.7	8.4	9.1	9.6
3. A little poorly	10.1	11.5	10.5	10.8	10.3	11.0
4. Neither poorly nor well	16.5	17.0	17.5	16.9	17.2	16.9
5. A little well	21.1	21.2	22.8	23.7	22.2	22.8
6. Somewhat well	19.7	18.2	19.1	17.9	19.3	18.0
7. Extremely well	9.2	7.4	9.1	9.4	9.1	8.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3

tipi_warm

Label:	CASI TIPI sympathetic, warm
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-7
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'sympathetic, warm' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	15	17	32
2. Somewhat poorly	23	71	94
3. A little poorly	65	172	237
4. Neither poorly nor well	196	467	663
5. A little well	364	908	1272
6. Somewhat well	703	1262	1965
7. Extremely well	559	672	1231
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	4	12	16

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4
2. Somewhat poorly	1.1	1.3	1.8	2.1	1.6	1.8
3. A little poorly	3.2	3.2	4.5	4.8	4.0	4.2
4. Neither poorly nor well	9.5	9.9	12.1	13.3	11.2	12.1
5. A little well	17.7	18.8	23.5	23.6	21.5	21.9
6. Somewhat well	34.2	34.9	32.7	30.9	33.2	32.3
7. Extremely well	27.2	25.4	17.4	16.9	20.8	19.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3

tipi_disorg

Label:	CASI TIPI disorganized, careless
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-8
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'disorganized, careless' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	569	1113	1682
2. Somewhat poorly	451	977	1428
3. A little poorly	246	534	780
4. Neither poorly nor well	316	517	833
5. A little well	186	276	462
6. Somewhat well	112	106	218
7. Extremely well	45	48	93
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	4	10	14

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	27.7	27.7	28.8	28.3	28.4	28.1
2. Somewhat poorly	22.0	25.8	25.3	24.4	24.1	24.9
3. A little poorly	12.0	12.7	13.8	14.1	13.2	13.6
4. Neither poorly nor well	15.4	13.6	13.4	14.2	14.1	14.0
5. A little well	9.1	7.8	7.2	7.2	7.8	7.4
6. Somewhat well	5.5	4.8	2.7	2.7	3.7	3.5
7. Extremely well	2.2	1.7	1.2	1.1	1.6	1.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

tipi_calm

Label:	CASI TIPI calm, emotionally stable
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-9
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'calm, emotionally stable' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	17	39	56
2. Somewhat poorly	47	81	128
3. A little poorly	98	223	321
4. Neither poorly nor well	278	631	909
5. A little well	380	772	1152
6. Somewhat well	713	1258	1971
7. Extremely well	392	565	957
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	4	12	16

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	0.8	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9
2. Somewhat poorly	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.2	2.5
3. A little poorly	4.8	4.7	5.8	6.0	5.4	5.5
4. Neither poorly nor well	13.5	14.1	16.3	18.1	15.4	16.7
5. A little well	18.5	18.2	20.0	20.0	19.5	19.4
6. Somewhat well	34.7	36.2	32.6	30.4	33.3	32.4
7. Extremely well	19.1	18.3	14.6	13.8	16.2	15.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

tipi_conv

Label:	CASI TIPI conventional, uncreative
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.56-10
Description:	CASI TIPI personality traits
Question:	We□re interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. 'conventional, uncreative' describes me□
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely poorly	328	433	761
2. Somewhat poorly	420	757	1177
3. A little poorly	327	714	1041
4. Neither poorly nor well	435	922	1357
5. A little well	211	470	681
6. Somewhat well	148	216	364
7. Extremely well	56	60	116
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	4	9	13

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely poorly	16.0	13.4	11.2	11.1	12.9	11.9
2. Somewhat poorly	20.4	23.5	19.6	18.1	19.9	20.0
3. A little poorly	15.9	17.0	18.5	19.6	17.6	18.7
4. Neither poorly nor well	21.2	21.7	23.9	22.9	22.9	22.5
5. A little well	10.3	10.1	12.2	12.9	11.5	11.9
6. Somewhat well	7.2	6.3	5.6	5.7	6.2	5.9
7. Extremely well	2.7	2.0	1.6	1.9	2.0	1.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

incpo_totinc

Label:	CASI Total income amount
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-1
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] The next question is about [the total income of all the members of your family living here / your total income] in 2011, before taxes. This figure should include income from all sources, including salaries, wages, pensions, Social Security, dividends, interest, and all other income. What was [the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here / your total income in 2011]?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD / IF R IS ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD:
Logic:	This asks the same income questions which appeared in the Pre but only for respondents who did not answer in full in the Pre. Ask if Pre item INC_TOTMISS40 in(DK,RF,missing or 2) and INC_TOTL20 not in(1,2,3,4,5,6) and INC_TOTG20L40 not in(7,8,9,10,11,12) and INC_TOTG40L70 not in(13,14,15,16,17,18) and INC_TOTG70L100 not in(19,20,21,22) and INC_TOTG100 not in(23,24,25,26,27,28); else skip. In preceding module FINANCE, item FINANCE_FINFAM asks the number of family members residing with R. If FINANCE_FINFAM > 0 or FINANCE_FINFAM in(DK,RF) then FILL 'the total income of all the members of your family living here' and 'the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here' else FILL 'your total income' and 'your total income in 2011'. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to INCPO_TOTMISS40.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	1929	3581	5510
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	93.9	94.3	92.8	92.4	93.2	93.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

incpo_totmiss40

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 40K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-3
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 40,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
Logic:	Ask if R attempted twice to proceed without answering (soft requirement) at INCPO_TOTINC, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 40,000 or more	14	35	49
2. Less than 40,000	33	23	56
-1. Inapplicable	1882	3478	5360
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	45	45

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 40,000 or more	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8
2. Less than 40,000	1.6	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	91.6	92.5	90.1	89.5	90.6	90.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.4	0.8	0.9

incpo_totmiss20

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 20K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-4
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 20,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000:
Logic:	Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS40 =2, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 20,000 or more	13	8	21
2. Less than 20,000	19	10	29
-1. Inapplicable	1896	3558	5454
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	5	6

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 20,000 or more	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3
2. Less than 20,000	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	92.3	93.0	92.2	91.8	92.2	92.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

incpo_totl20

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories lt 20K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-5
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 20,000:
Logic:	Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS20 =2, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Under 5,000	1	3	4
2. 5,000-9,999	1	2	3
3. 10,000-12,499	3	0	3
4. 12,500-14,999	2	0	2
5. 15,000-17,499	6	0	6
6. 17,500-19,999	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	1910	3571	5481
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	5	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Under 5,000	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
2. 5,000-9,999	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
3. 10,000-12,499	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
4. 12,500-14,999	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. 15,000-17,499	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
6. 17,500-19,999	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.0	93.6	92.5	92.1	92.7	92.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

incpo_totg20l40

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories 20-40K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-6
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 40,000: IF R INDICATED INCOME 20,000 OR MORE:
Logic:	Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS20 =1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
09. 25,000-27,499	1	0	1
10. 27,500-29,999	2	0	2
11. 30,000-34,999	4	1	5
12. 35,000-39,999	3	1	4
-1. Inapplicable	1916	3573	5489
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	3	6	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
09. 25,000-27,499	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. 27,500-29,999	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. 30,000-34,999	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. 35,000-39,999	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.3	93.8	92.6	92.2	92.8	92.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1

incpo_totmiss70

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 70K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-7
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 70,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE:
Logic:	Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS40=1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 70,000 or more	5	18	23
2. Less than 70,000	8	7	15
-1. Inapplicable	1915	3546	5461
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	10	11

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 70,000 or more	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4
2. Less than 70,000	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.2	93.7	91.9	91.6	92.3	92.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2

incpo_totg40l70

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories 40-70K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-8
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 70,000:
Logic:	Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS70=2, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
13. 40,000-44,999	2	0	2
14. 45,000-49,999	1	3	4
15. 50,000-54,999	2	0	2
16. 55,000-59,999	0	1	1
17. 60,000-64,999	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	1921	3574	5495
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	3	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
13. 40,000-44,999	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. 45,000-49,999	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
15. 50,000-54,999	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16. 55,000-59,999	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17. 60,000-64,999	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	93.5	94.1	92.6	92.3	92.9	92.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0

incpo_totmiss100

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 100K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-9
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Was it 100,000 or more, or less than that?
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE:
Logic:	Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS70=1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 100,000 or more	1	9	10
2. Less than 100,000	3	1	4
-1. Inapplicable	1924	3563	5487
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	8	9

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 100,000 or more	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
2. Less than 100,000	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.7	93.9	92.3	91.9	92.8	92.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

incpo_totg70l100

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories 70-100K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-10
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN 100,000:
Logic:	Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS100=2, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
20. 75,000-79,999	1	0	1
21. 80,000-89,999	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1926	3580	5506
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	1	1	2

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
20. 75,000-79,999	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21. 80,000-89,999	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	93.8	94.0	92.7	92.4	93.1	93.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

incpo_totg100

Label:	CASI Income amt missing - categories 100+K
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 162.6-11
Description:	CASI Family and R income - Post
Question:	[/Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?] Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
Universe:	IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE: IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION / IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION: IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING: IF R INDICATED INCOME 40,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 70,000 OR MORE: IF R INDICATED INCOME 100,000 OR MORE:
Logic:	Ask if INCPO_TOTMISS100=1, else skip. Please make answering this question a soft requirement with message displayed as: 'Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?' The return event should occur only once; if R does not respond a 2nd time, R should proceed to next module.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
23. 100,000-109,999	0	1	1
24. 110,000-124,999	0	1	1
28. 250,000 or more	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1928	3572	5500
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	7	7

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
23. 100,000-109,999	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24. 110,000-124,999	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
28. 250,000 or more	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.2	92.5	92.2	93.0	92.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

incgroup_prepost_x

Label: CASI/WEB: PREPOST SUMMARY- Family income

30 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Under 5,000	236	425	661
11. 30,000-34,999	132	192	324
12. 35,000-39,999	108	180	288
15. 50,000-54,999	96	177	273
21. 80,000-89,999	69	192	261
13. 40,000-44,999	101	157	258
17. 60,000-64,999	79	146	225
23. 100,000-109,999	62	157	219
09. 25,000-27,499	75	140	215
07. 20,000-22,499	111	99	210

discrim_blacks

Label:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Blacks
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-1.3
Description:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question:	(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Blacks [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?
Randomization:	Order of social groups
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	296	379	675
2. A lot	505	779	1284
3. A moderate amount	710	1370	2080
4. A little	324	908	1232
5. None at all	72	124	196
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	22	21	43

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	14.4	9.6	9.8	9.7	11.4	9.7
2. A lot	24.6	21.8	20.2	18.2	21.7	19.4
3. A moderate amount	34.6	39.6	35.5	35.4	35.2	36.9
4. A little	15.8	19.1	23.5	24.8	20.8	22.8
5. None at all	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.8

discrim_hispanics

Label:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Hispanics
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-2
Description:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question:	(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Hispanics ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)
Randomization:	Order of social groups
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	208	352	560
2. A lot	528	881	1409
3. A moderate amount	739	1404	2143
4. A little	367	815	1182
5. None at all	66	106	172
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	21	23	44

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	10.1	7.2	9.1	8.9	9.5	8.3
2. A lot	25.7	22.5	22.8	21.4	23.8	21.8
3. A moderate amount	36.0	40.9	36.4	36.5	36.2	38.0
4. A little	17.9	20.0	21.1	21.6	20.0	21.0
5. None at all	3.2	2.9	2.7	3.1	2.9	3.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.8

discrim_whites

Label:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Whites
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-3
Description:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question:	(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Whites ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)
Randomization:	Order of social groups
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	48	81	129
2. A lot	77	191	268
3. A moderate amount	389	728	1117
4. A little	847	1642	2489
5. None at all	546	917	1463
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	22	22	44

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.2
2. A lot	3.7	4.2	4.9	5.1	4.5	4.8
3. A moderate amount	18.9	21.0	18.9	19.3	18.9	19.9
4. A little	41.2	45.5	42.5	43.0	42.1	43.9
5. None at all	26.6	20.7	23.8	21.8	24.7	21.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.9

discrim_gays

Label:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Gays and Lesbians
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-4
Description:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question:	(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Gays and Lesbians ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)
Randomization:	Order of social groups
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	509	729	1238
2. A lot	670	1163	1833
3. A moderate amount	474	1023	1497
4. A little	203	523	726
5. None at all	51	122	173
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	22	21	43

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	24.8	21.5	18.9	18.3	20.9	19.4
2. A lot	32.6	33.7	30.1	29.5	31.0	31.0
3. A moderate amount	23.1	24.9	26.5	26.6	25.3	26.0
4. A little	9.9	11.0	13.5	14.2	12.3	13.1
5. None at all	2.5	2.4	3.2	2.9	2.9	2.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.8

discrim_women

Label:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Women
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-5
Description:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question:	(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?) Women ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)
Randomization:	Order of social groups
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	159	188	347
2. A lot	371	528	899
3. A moderate amount	767	1326	2093
4. A little	527	1317	1844
5. None at all	82	199	281
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	23	23	46

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	7.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	5.9	4.9
2. A lot	18.1	15.1	13.7	12.1	15.2	13.1
3. A moderate amount	37.3	38.3	34.4	34.0	35.4	35.5
4. A little	25.7	30.9	34.1	34.8	31.2	33.4
5. None at all	4.0	4.4	5.2	5.7	4.8	5.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	1.1	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.9

discrim_self

Label:	CASI How much discrimination has R faced personal
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.8-6
Description:	CASI Discrimination in the U.S. for groups and personally
Question:	How much discrimination have YOU personally faced because of your ethnicity or race? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / None at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?
Logic:	Please make answering this question a soft requirement (if R clicks >> a prompt appears but if R clicks >> a 2nd time R is allowed to go to next screen).
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A great deal	117	101	218
2. A lot	154	173	327
3. A moderate amount	398	560	958
4. A little	633	1220	1853
5. None at all	620	1515	2135
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	7	12	19

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A great deal	5.7	3.5	2.6	2.5	3.7	2.8
2. A lot	7.5	4.8	4.5	4.0	5.5	4.3
3. A moderate amount	19.4	13.7	14.5	13.8	16.2	13.8
4. A little	30.8	31.1	31.6	31.1	31.3	31.1
5. None at all	30.2	41.0	39.2	40.5	36.1	40.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4

rstype_violcath

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Catholics
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.1
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	Next we□ll ask how well some words describe Catholics, Protestants, Muslims, Mormons, and non-religious people. How well does the word 'violent' describe most Catholics? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Logic:	For each of the 2 religious stereotypes in this module (violent and patriotic), randomize the order of the religious groups except for 'non-religious people' which is always last.
Web Logic:	Online, administer this section as the last section of the survey.
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	28	28
2. Very well	0	81	81
3. Moderately well	0	337	337
4. Slightly	0	686	686
5. Not at all	0	2411	2411
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	38	38

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0	1.4	1.3
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	8.7	8.9	5.7	5.8
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	17.8	17.7	11.6	11.5
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	62.5	61.6	40.8	40.2
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.6	0.9

rstype_violprot

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Protestants
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.2
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'violent' describe most Protestants? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	31	31
2. Very well	0	68	68
3. Moderately well	0	381	381
4. Slightly	0	681	681
5. Not at all	0	2378	2378
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	42	42

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.7
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.3	1.1	0.9
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	9.9	10.2	6.4	6.7
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	17.6	17.5	11.5	11.4
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	61.6	60.8	40.2	39.7
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.5	0.7	1.0

rstype_violmusl

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Muslims
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.3
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'violent' describe most Muslims? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	300	300
2. Very well	0	428	428
3. Moderately well	0	798	798
4. Slightly	0	1005	1005
5. Not at all	0	1001	1001
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	49	49

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	7.8	7.9	5.1	5.2
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	11.1	10.8	7.2	7.1
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	20.7	22.0	13.5	14.4
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	26.0	24.7	17.0	16.1
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	25.9	25.2	16.9	16.4
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.7	0.8	1.1

rstype_violmorm

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Mormons
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.4
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'violent' describe most Mormons? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	23	23
2. Very well	0	62	62
3. Moderately well	0	290	290
4. Slightly	0	493	493
5. Not at all	0	2671	2671
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	42	42

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.5	1.0	1.0
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	7.5	8.8	4.9	5.8
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	12.8	13.1	8.3	8.5
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	69.2	66.8	45.2	43.6
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.5	0.7	1.0

rstype_violnonrel

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - nonreligious
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-1.5
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'violent' describe most non-religious people? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	70	70
2. Very well	0	203	203
3. Moderately well	0	691	691
4. Slightly	0	1102	1102
5. Not at all	0	1474	1474
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	41	41

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.6	1.2	1.1
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.3	3.4	3.5
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	17.9	19.3	11.7	12.6
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	28.5	28.3	18.6	18.5
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	38.2	36.4	24.9	23.8
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.5	0.7	1.0

rstype_patrcath

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Catholcs
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.1
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Catholics? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	330	330
2. Very well	0	830	830
3. Moderately well	0	1284	1284
4. Slightly	0	703	703
5. Not at all	0	379	379
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	55	55

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	8.5	8.5	5.6	5.6
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	21.5	18.7	14.0	12.2
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	33.3	32.8	21.7	21.4
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	18.2	19.9	11.9	13.0
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	9.8	10.5	6.4	6.9
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.0	0.9	1.3

rstype_patrmusl

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Muslims
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.2
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Muslims? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	131	131
2. Very well	0	309	309
3. Moderately well	0	886	886
4. Slightly	0	962	962
5. Not at all	0	1236	1236
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	57	57

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.0	2.2	2.0
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	8.0	7.6	5.2	5.0
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	23.0	21.9	15.0	14.3
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	24.9	24.1	16.3	15.7
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	32.0	33.8	20.9	22.0
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	1.3

rstype_patrmorm

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Mormons
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.3
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Mormons? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	242	242
2. Very well	0	689	689
3. Moderately well	0	1251	1251
4. Slightly	0	840	840
5. Not at all	0	497	497
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	62	62

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.1	4.1	4.0
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	17.8	16.1	11.7	10.5
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	32.4	31.5	21.2	20.6
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	21.8	22.6	14.2	14.8
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	12.9	13.9	8.4	9.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.2	1.0	1.5

rstype_patrprot

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Protestants
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.4
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Protestants? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	307	307
2. Very well	0	866	866
3. Moderately well	0	1281	1281
4. Slightly	0	669	669
5. Not at all	0	393	393
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	65	65

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	8.0	7.9	5.2	5.2
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	22.4	19.8	14.6	13.0
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	33.2	32.7	21.7	21.3
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	17.3	18.3	11.3	12.0
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	10.2	11.3	6.6	7.4
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.7	2.4	1.1	1.6

rstype_patrnonrel

Label:	WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - non-religio
Position:	Post election survey, section-item no. 162.85-2.5
Description:	CASI: Religious stereotypes
Question:	How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most non-religious people? [Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?
Randomization:	Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)
Source:	Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely well	0	137	137
2. Very well	0	554	554
3. Moderately well	0	1459	1459
4. Slightly	0	864	864
5. Not at all	0	510	510
-1. Inapplicable	1929	0	1929
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152
-9. Refused	0	57	57

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely well	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.8	2.3	2.5
2. Very well	0.0	0.0	14.4	13.8	9.4	9.0
3. Moderately well	0.0	0.0	37.8	35.7	24.7	23.3
4. Slightly	0.0	0.0	22.4	23.6	14.6	15.4
5. Not at all	0.0	0.0	13.2	13.5	8.6	8.8
-1. Inapplicable	93.9	94.3	0.0	0.0	32.6	32.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.1	1.0	1.3

sample_state

Label: Sample- state of Respondent address (2-char Postal abbrev)

51 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
CA	276	469	745
TX	214	331	545
FL	149	271	420
NY	89	213	302
PA	107	161	268
IL	97	135	232
OH	67	159	226
NC	95	129	224
MI	48	125	173
GA	54	111	165

sample_stfips

Label: Sample- state FIPS code

51 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
6	276	469	745
48	214	331	545
12	149	271	420
36	89	213	302
42	107	161	268
17	97	135	232
39	67	159	226
37	95	129	224
26	48	125	173
13	54	111	165

sample_region

Label: Sample- Census region

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Northeast	324	640	964
2. North central	380	876	1256
3. South	855	1428	2283
4. West	495	916	1411

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Northeast	15.8	18.1	16.6	16.8	16.3	17.2
2. North central	18.5	22.6	22.7	21.3	21.2	21.8
3. South	41.6	37.2	37.0	38.2	38.6	37.9
4. West	24.1	22.1	23.7	23.7	23.9	23.1

sample_district

Label: Sample - U.S. Congr district of Respondent address (113th U.S. Congress)

54 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	363	427	790
2	201	371	572
3	165	338	503
4	136	247	383
7	118	217	335
5	82	247	329
6	89	234	323
8	131	168	299
9	54	120	174
11	74	96	170

sample_district_prev

Label: Sample - previous U.S. Congr district of Respondent address (112th U.S. Congress)

55 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	367	389	756
3	204	365	569
2	176	327	503
7	145	222	367
4	136	211	347
5	90	234	324
6	92	224	316
8	98	173	271
9	81	131	212
10	35	113	148

sample_countyfips

Label: RESTRICTED: Sample- county FIPS code

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

sample_countyname

Label: RESTRICTED: Sample- county name

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

sample_ftf_replicate

Label: FTF ONLY: Sample- sample replicate

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	1639	0	1639
2	237	0	237
3	69	0	69
4	31	0	31
5	23	0	23
6	17	0	17
7	15	0	15
8	6	0	6
9	7	0	7
10	3	0	3
11	4	0	4
12	1	0	1
13	2	0	2
-1	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	79.8	80.7	0.0	0.0	27.7	28.0
2	11.5	11.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.8
3	3.4	2.7	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.9
4	1.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
5	1.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
6	0.8	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
7	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
8	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
9	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
10	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
11	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

sample_ftf_releasedate

Label: FTF ONLY: Sample- sample release date

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	0	3860	3860
09082012	1615	0	1615
10042012	57	0	57
10162012	152	0	152
10192012	89	0	89
10232012	3	0	3
10262012	45	0	45
10292012	92	0	92
11022012	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
09082012	78.6	79.8	0.0	0.0	27.3	27.7
10042012	2.8	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
10162012	7.4	7.4	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.6
10192012	4.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.2
10232012	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10262012	2.2	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
10292012	4.5	5.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9
11022012	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

sample_ftf_chumflag

Label: FTF ONLY: Sample- CHUM flag (CHUM procedure)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not chum	687	0	687
2. Chum	1367	0	1367
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not chum	33.4	41.7	0.0	0.0	11.6	14.5
2. Chum	66.6	58.3	0.0	0.0	23.1	20.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

sample_tract

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Sample- Census tract

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-3. Restricted access	2054	3860	5914
-1. Inapplicable	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

sample_ftf_timezone

Label: FTF ONLY: Sample- time zone

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Eastern	954	0	954
2. Central	566	0	566
3. Mountain	167	0	167
4. Pacific	367	0	367
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Eastern	46.4	52.5	0.0	0.0	16.1	18.2
2. Central	27.6	24.9	0.0	0.0	9.6	8.6
3. Mountain	8.1	7.5	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.6
4. Pacific	17.9	15.2	0.0	0.0	6.2	5.3
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

sample_web_statusmsa

Label: WEB ONLY: Sample- MSA status

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Non-metro	0	533	533
1. Metro	0	3327	3327
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Non-metro	0.0	0.0	13.8	16.5	9.0	10.8
1. Metro	0.0	0.0	86.2	83.5	56.3	54.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

sample_web_cbsa

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Sample- CBSA

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

sample_web_mktarea

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Sample- designated Market Area

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

sample_ftf_zip

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Sample - zip code

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

admin_pre_ftf_iwdatebeg

Label: Pre admin- Beginning date of FTF IW (YYYYMMDD)

59 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	0	3860	3860
20121020	63	0	63
20121027	63	0	63
20121105	60	0	60
20121103	59	0	59
20121013	56	0	56
20121006	55	0	55
20120927	54	0	54
20121016	51	0	51
20121008	50	0	50

admin_pre_web1_iwdatebeg

Label: Web Pre admin- Beginning date of Web Pre PART 1 (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
20121011	0	84	84
20121012	0	20	20
20121016	0	1087	1087
20121017	0	1029	1029
20121018	0	486	486
20121019	0	244	244
20121020	0	274	274
20121021	0	344	344
20121022	0	203	203
20121023	0	79	79
20121024	0	10	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
20121011	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.3	1.4	0.9
20121012	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2
20121016	0.0	0.0	28.2	26.3	18.4	17.2
20121017	0.0	0.0	26.7	27.1	17.4	17.7
20121018	0.0	0.0	12.6	13.0	8.2	8.5
20121019	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.9	4.1	4.5
20121020	0.0	0.0	7.1	7.2	4.6	4.7
20121021	0.0	0.0	8.9	8.9	5.8	5.8
20121022	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.9	3.4	3.9
20121023	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.5	1.3	1.6
20121024	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3

admin_pre_web2_iwdatebeg

Label: Web Pre admin- Beginning date of Web Pre PART 2 (YYYYMMDD)

21 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
20121025	0	799	799
20121026	0	673	673
20121024	0	461	461
20121020	0	318	318
20121027	0	312	312
20121023	0	197	197
20121028	0	148	148
20121029	0	148	148
20121017	0	145	145

admin_pre_ftf_iwbegtime

Label: Pre admin- Beginning time of FTF IW (HHMMSS)

1992 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	0	3860	3860
120835	3	0	3
160614	3	0	3
174826	3	0	3
183525	3	0	3
102639	2	0	2
110953	2	0	2
113232	2	0	2
120731	2	0	2
120748	2	0	2

admin_pre_web1_iwbegtime

Label: Web Pre admin- Beginning time of Web Pre PART 1 (HHMMSS)

3499 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
202228	0	7	7
195732	0	5	5
200143	0	5	5
222509	0	5	5
181732	0	4	4
191000	0	4	4
201450	0	4	4
220959	0	4	4
221141	0	4	4

admin_pre_web2_iwbegtime

Label: Web Pre admin- Beginning time of Web Pre PART 2 (HHMMSS)

3601 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
000037	0	6	6
000314	0	6	6
000036	0	3	3
000349	0	3	3
000547	0	3	3
021329	0	3	3
022254	0	3	3
152705	0	3	3
182519	0	3	3

admin_pre_iwlength

Label: Pre admin - Length of pre-election interviewing

2466 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2	79	0	79
62	0	57	57
63	0	52	52
53	0	50	50
61	0	49	49
55	0	48	48
54	0	46	46
58	0	45	45
57	0	43	43
52	0	42	42

admin_pre_web1length

Label: Pre admin - Length of 1st 1/2 pre-election Web survey

507 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
25	0	125	125
27	0	124	124
23	0	120	120
22	0	115	115
20	0	113	113
26	0	112	112
28	0	102	102
21	0	98	98
33	0	96	96

admin_pre_web2length

Label: Pre admin - Length of 2nd 1/2 pre-election Web survey

590 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
29	0	93	93
30	0	91	91
33	0	88	88
34	0	86	86
37	0	84	84
31	0	83	83
35	0	83	83
40	0	83	83
28	0	79	79

admin_pre_ftf_iwdatefin

Label: Pre admin- Ending date of FTF Pre IW (YYYYMMDD)

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	0	3860	3860
20121027	64	0	64
20121103	63	0	63
20121105	63	0	63
20121020	62	0	62
20121006	58	0	58
20121013	58	0	58
20121008	53	0	53
20120927	52	0	52
20121016	50	0	50

admin_pre_web1_iwdatefin

Label: Pre admin- Ending date of Web Pre PART 1 (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
20121011	0	79	79
20121012	0	25	25
20121016	0	990	990
20121017	0	953	953
20121018	0	509	509
20121019	0	247	247
20121020	0	303	303
20121021	0	388	388
20121022	0	248	248
20121023	0	103	103
20121024	0	15	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
20121011	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.3	1.3	0.8
20121012	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2
20121016	0.0	0.0	25.6	24.0	16.7	15.7
20121017	0.0	0.0	24.7	25.0	16.1	16.3
20121018	0.0	0.0	13.2	13.9	8.6	9.0
20121019	0.0	0.0	6.4	6.9	4.2	4.5
20121020	0.0	0.0	7.8	8.0	5.1	5.2
20121021	0.0	0.0	10.1	10.0	6.6	6.5
20121022	0.0	0.0	6.4	7.2	4.2	4.7
20121023	0.0	0.0	2.7	3.0	1.7	2.0
20121024	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3

admin_pre_web2_iwdatefin

Label: Pre admin- Ending date of Web Pre PART 2 (YYYYMMDD)

21 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
20121025	0	770	770
20121026	0	709	709
20121024	0	399	399
20121027	0	326	326
20121020	0	301	301
20121028	0	163	163
20121023	0	153	153
20121018	0	142	142
20121029	0	141	141

admin_pre_ftf_iwfintime

Label: Pre admin- Ending time of FTF IW (HHMMSS)

Unweighted Frequencies

FTF	Web	Total
2054	3860	5914

Percentages

FTF		Web		Total	
Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

admin_pre_web1_iwfintime

Label: Pre admin- Ending time of Web Pre PART 1 (HHMMSS)

3606 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
204043	0	5	5
190106	0	4	4
190625	0	4	4
190807	0	4	4
191621	0	4	4
223644	0	4	4
035411	0	3	3
042930	0	3	3
145237	0	3	3

admin_pre_web2_iwfintime

Label: Pre admin- Ending time of Web Pre PART 2 (HHMMSS)

3666 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
022644	0	4	4
235740	0	4	4
235911	0	4	4
001955	0	3	3
002141	0	3	3
002730	0	3	3
005225	0	3	3
005250	0	3	3
005911	0	3	3

admin_pre_iwrecorded

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- R consent to recording Pre-election IW

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1471	0	1471
2. No	583	0	583
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	71.6	74.5	0.0	0.0	24.9	25.9
2. No	28.4	25.5	0.0	0.0	9.9	8.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_pre_lang_start

Label: Pre admin - language of Pre-election IW: starting language

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. English	1991	3594	5585
2. Spanish	63	162	225
-2. Missing	0	104	104

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. English	96.9	98.5	93.1	95.4	94.4	96.5
2. Spanish	3.1	1.5	4.2	3.0	3.8	2.5
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	2.7	1.6	1.8	1.1

admin_pre_ltr_notif

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election notification letter

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	2054	0	2054
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_pre_ltr_notifdate

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election notification letter (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	0	3860	3860
20120829	1615	0	1615
20121003	57	0	57
20121016	152	0	152
20121019	89	0	89
20121023	3	0	3
20121026	137	0	137
20121102	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
20120829	78.6	79.8	0.0	0.0	27.3	27.7
20121003	2.8	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
20121016	7.4	7.4	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.6
20121019	4.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.2
20121023	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
20121026	6.7	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.4
20121102	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

admin_pre_ltr_refcon1

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election refusal conversion letter 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	150	0	150
2. No record of letter sent	1904	0	1904
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	7.3	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.5
2. No record of letter sent	92.7	92.8	0.0	0.0	32.2	32.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_pre_ltr_refcon1date

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election ref-conversion letter 1 (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1904	3860	5764
20121004	87	0	87
20121009	32	0	32
20121016	18	0	18
20121025	13	0	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	92.7	92.8	100.0	100.0	97.5	97.5
20121004	4.2	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.3
20121009	1.6	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
20121016	0.9	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
20121025	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

admin_pre_ltr_refcon2

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election refusal conversion letter 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	11	0	11
2. No record of letter sent	2043	0	2043
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
2. No record of letter sent	99.5	99.3	0.0	0.0	34.5	34.5
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_pre_ltr_refcon2date

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election ref-conversion letter 2 (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2043	3860	5903
20121025	11	0	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	99.5	99.3	100.0	100.0	99.8	99.7
20121025	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3

admin_pre_ltr_lockedbldg

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election locked building letter

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	22	0	22
2. No record of letter sent	2032	0	2032
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	1.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
2. No record of letter sent	98.9	98.5	0.0	0.0	34.4	34.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_pre_ltr_lockedbldgdate

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election locked bldg letter(YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2032	3860	5892
20121002	15	0	15
20121010	4	0	4
20121015	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	98.9	98.5	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.5
20121002	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
20121010	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3
20121015	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

admin_pre_ltr_nocont

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: was R sent Pre-election no-contact letter

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	437	0	437
2. No record of letter sent	1617	0	1617
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	21.3	24.9	0.0	0.0	7.4	8.7
2. No record of letter sent	78.7	75.1	0.0	0.0	27.3	26.1
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_pre_ltr_nocontdate

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre admin- Letter: date of Pre-election no-contact letter (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1617	3860	5477
20121011	437	0	437

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	78.7	75.1	100.0	100.0	92.6	91.3
20121011	21.3	24.9	0.0	0.0	7.4	8.7

admin_post_ftf_iwdatebeg

Label: Post admin- Beginning date of FTF IW (YYYYMMDD)

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	125	3860	3985
20121113	92	0	92
20121115	86	0	86
20121114	80	0	80
20121119	80	0	80
20121112	74	0	74
20121117	74	0	74
20121116	73	0	73
20121126	67	0	67
20121127	64	0	64

admin_post_web1_iwdatebeg

Label: Web Post admin- Beginning date of Web Post PART 1 (YYYYMMDD)

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	279	2333
20121129	0	1665	1665
20121204	0	546	546
20121130	0	373	373
20121201	0	185	185
20121205	0	160	160
20121203	0	144	144
20121202	0	125	125
20121206	0	83	83
20121208	0	45	45

admin_post_web2_iwdatebeg

Label: Web Post admin- Beginning date of Web Post PART 2 (YYYYMMDD)

43 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	279	2333
20121208	0	1117	1117
20121207	0	544	544
20121210	0	449	449
20121211	0	394	394
20121209	0	354	354
20121212	0	146	146
20121218	0	76	76
20121213	0	71	71
20121214	0	65	65

admin_post_ftf_iwbegtime

Label: Post admin- Beginning time of FTF IW (HHMMSS)

1886 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	125	3860	3985
180347	3	0	3
105236	2	0	2
111008	2	0	2
111050	2	0	2
115243	2	0	2
120127	2	0	2
120353	2	0	2
122647	2	0	2
122949	2	0	2

admin_post_web1_iwbegtime

Label: Web Post admin- Beginning time of Web Post PART 1 (HHMMSS)

3272 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	279	2333
010352	0	10	10
003555	0	5	5
005830	0	5	5
010749	0	5	5
002602	0	4	4
003904	0	4	4
004124	0	4	4
005504	0	4	4
005914	0	4	4

admin_post_web2_iwbegtime

Label: Web Post admin- Beginning time of Web Post PART 2 (HHMMSS)

3403 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	279	2333
010947	0	4	4
012021	0	4	4
234624	0	4	4
013932	0	3	3
032041	0	3	3
042918	0	3	3
214228	0	3	3
220507	0	3	3
222810	0	3	3

admin_post_iwlength

Label: Post admin - Length of post-election interviewing

2314 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	125	279	404
-2	89	0	89
71	0	59	59
60	0	45	45
76	0	44	44
70	1	41	42
77	0	41	41
54	0	40	40
72	0	40	40
80	2	38	40

admin_post_web1length

Label: Post admin - Length of 1st 1/2 post-election Web survey

563 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
-7	0	149	149
-6	0	130	130
31	0	95	95
30	0	85	85
33	0	84	84
36	0	82	82
29	0	81	81
43	0	78	78
34	0	76	76

admin_post_web2length

Label: Post admin - Length of 2nd 1/2 post-election Web survey

482 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
-7	0	149	149
-6	0	130	130
28	0	82	82
32	0	81	81
35	0	81	81
27	0	80	80
25	0	76	76
33	0	76	76
24	0	75	75

admin_post_ftf_iwdatefin

Label: Post admin- Ending date of FTF Post IW (YYYYMMDD)

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	125	3860	3985
20121113	84	0	84
20121115	83	0	83
20121119	82	0	82
20121114	81	0	81
20121112	77	0	77
20121116	75	0	75
20121117	75	0	75
20121126	65	0	65
20121127	64	0	64

admin_post_web1_iwdatefin

Label: Post admin- Ending date of Web Post PART 1 (YYYYMMDD)

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	279	2333
20121129	0	1497	1497
20121204	0	522	522
20121130	0	411	411
20121201	0	210	210
20121205	0	170	170
20121203	0	167	167
20121202	0	130	130
20121206	0	95	95
20121211	0	69	69

admin_post_web2_iwdatefin

Label: Post admin- Ending date of Web Post PART 2 (YYYYMMDD)

46 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	279	2333
20121208	0	1177	1177
20121211	0	436	436
20121210	0	422	422
20121209	0	384	384
20121207	0	381	381
20121212	0	157	157
20121214	0	74	74
20121213	0	73	73
20121218	0	68	68

admin_post_ftf_iwfintime

Label: Post admin- Ending time of FTF IW (HHMMSS)

Unweighted Frequencies

FTF	Web	Total
2054	3860	5914

Percentages

FTF		Web		Total	
Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

admin_post_web1_iwfintime

Label: Post admin- Ending time of Web Post PART 1 (HHMMSS)

3383 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	279	2333
010741	0	4	4
013342	0	4	4
005134	0	3	3
005407	0	3	3
005714	0	3	3
010524	0	3	3
011756	0	3	3
012922	0	3	3
013329	0	3	3

admin_post_web2_iwfintime

Label: Post admin- Ending time of Web Post PART 2 (HHMMSS)

3435 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	279	2333
015549	0	3	3
015550	0	3	3
221244	0	3	3
235007	0	3	3
000912	0	2	2
001206	0	2	2
001751	0	2	2
002057	0	2	2
002324	0	2	2

admin_post_iwrecorded

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- R consent to recording Post-election IW

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1312	0	1312
2. No	617	0	617
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-6. Unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	63.9	69.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	24.0
2. No	30.0	25.2	0.0	0.0	10.4	8.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-6. Unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

admin_post_lang_start

Label: Post admin - language of Post-election IW: starting language

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. English	1210	3438	4648
2. Spanish	20	143	163
-2. Missing	699	0	699
-6. Unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. English	58.9	59.4	89.1	89.9	78.6	79.3
2. Spanish	1.0	0.5	3.7	2.6	2.8	1.9
-2. Missing	34.0	34.3	0.0	0.0	11.8	11.9
-6. Unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

admin_post_ltr_notif

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: was R sent Post-election notification letter

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	2054	0	2054
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_post_ltr_notifdate

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: date of Post-election notification letter (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	0	3860	3860
20121102	1494	0	1494
20121109	560	0	560

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
20121102	72.7	74.4	0.0	0.0	25.3	25.9
20121109	27.3	25.6	0.0	0.0	9.5	8.9

admin_post_ltr_refcon

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: was R sent Post-election refusal conversion letter

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	18	0	18
2. No record of letter sent	2036	0	2036
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	0.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
2. No record of letter sent	99.1	98.8	0.0	0.0	34.4	34.3
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_post_ltr_refcondate

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: date of Post-election ref-conversion letter (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2036	3860	5896
20121211	18	0	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	99.1	98.8	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.6
20121211	0.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4

admin_post_ltr_nocont1

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: was R sent Post-election no-contact letter 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	305	0	305
2. No record of letter sent	1749	0	1749
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	14.8	16.7	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.8
2. No record of letter sent	85.2	83.3	0.0	0.0	29.6	28.9
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_post_ltr_nocont1date

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: date of Post-election no-contact letter 1 (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1749	3860	5609
20121130	305	0	305

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	85.2	83.3	100.0	100.0	94.8	94.2
20121130	14.8	16.7	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.8

admin_post_ltr_nocontfin

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: was R sent Post-election final no-contact letter

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Letter Sent	415	0	415
2. No record of letter sent	1639	0	1639
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Letter Sent	20.2	17.9	0.0	0.0	7.0	6.2
2. No record of letter sent	79.8	82.1	0.0	0.0	27.7	28.5
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

admin_post_ltr_nocontfindate

Label: FTF ONLY: Post admin- Letter: date of Post-election final no-contact letter (YYYYMMDD)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1639	3860	5499
20121211	415	0	415

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	79.8	82.1	100.0	100.0	93.0	93.8
20121211	20.2	17.9	0.0	0.0	7.0	6.2

hhlist_completed

Label: FTF ONLY: was household listing completed

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not completed	1	0	1
1. Completed	2053	0	2053
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not completed	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1. Completed	100.0	99.9	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_attempts

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- how many screener (HH listing) attempts

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	1765	0	1765
2	213	0	213
3	44	0	44
4	18	0	18
5	9	0	9
6	3	0	3
7	1	0	1
-1	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	85.9	86.9	0.0	0.0	29.8	30.2
2	10.4	9.6	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.3
3	2.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
4	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
5	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
6	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_datecompl

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- screener completion date (YYYYMMDD)

59 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	13	3860	3873
20120922	58	0	58
20121020	57	0	57
20121006	55	0	55
20121013	55	0	55
20121027	54	0	54
20120927	50	0	50
20120928	50	0	50
20120926	48	0	48
20121005	48	0	48

hhlist_r_number

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- person number in HH listing selected as Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Listed person 1	1478	0	1478
2. Listed person 2	459	0	459
3. Listed person 3	96	0	96
4. Listed person 4	19	0	19
5. Listed person 5	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Listed person 1	72.0	61.9	0.0	0.0	25.0	21.5
2. Listed person 2	22.3	29.1	0.0	0.0	7.8	10.1
3. Listed person 3	4.7	7.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.6
4. Listed person 4	0.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
5. Listed person 5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_r_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- age listed in screener

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age group 17-20	95	0	95
2. Age group 21-24	176	0	176
3. Age group 25-29	180	0	180
4. Age group 30-34	209	0	209
5. Age group 35-39	190	0	190
6. Age group 40-44	194	0	194
7. Age group 45-49	146	0	146
8. Age group 50-54	210	0	210
9. Age group 55-59	183	0	183
10. Age group 60-64	140	0	140
11. Age group 65-69	118	0	118
12. Age group 70-74	76	0	76
13. Age group 75 or older	108	0	108
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861
-8. Don't know	9	0	9
-9. Refused	19	0	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age group 17-20	4.6	4.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.6
2. Age group 21-24	8.6	8.8	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.0
3. Age group 25-29	8.8	8.1	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.8
4. Age group 30-34	10.2	7.5	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.6
5. Age group 35-39	9.3	8.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	2.8
6. Age group 40-44	9.4	10.1	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.5
7. Age group 45-49	7.1	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.5
8. Age group 50-54	10.2	9.6	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.3
9. Age group 55-59	8.9	9.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.2
10. Age group 60-64	6.8	7.9	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.7
11. Age group 65-69	5.7	6.1	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.1
12. Age group 70-74	3.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.8
13. Age group 75 or older	5.3	7.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-8. Don't know	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-9. Refused	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2

hhlist_r_age

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- age listed in screener

77 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	1	3860	3861
22	54	0	54
53	54	0	54
52	52	0	52
33	50	0	50
58	48	0	48
50	47	0	47
55	47	0	47
40	46	0	46
56	46	0	46

hhlist_r_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- listed as Spanish, Hispanic or Latino

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	490	0	490
2. No	1562	0	1562
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	23.9	11.4	0.0	0.0	8.3	4.0
2. No	76.0	88.4	0.0	0.0	26.4	30.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_r_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- not listed, observ clearly Hisp /Latino

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. No	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3860	5913

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. No	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_r_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- listed as African-American or Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	585	0	585
2. No	1468	0	1468
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	28.5	13.9	0.0	0.0	9.9	4.8
2. No	71.5	86.0	0.0	0.0	24.8	29.9
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_r_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- selected Respondent- not listed, observ clearly AfrAm/Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_listtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. One person listed	798	0	798
2. Two persons listed	920	0	920
3. Three persons listed	242	0	242
4. Four persons listed	70	0	70
5. Five persons listed	19	0	19
6. Six persons listed	2	0	2
7. Seven persons listed	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. One person listed	38.9	22.0	0.0	0.0	13.5	7.7
2. Two persons listed	44.8	52.9	0.0	0.0	15.6	18.4
3. Three persons listed	11.8	17.9	0.0	0.0	4.1	6.2
4. Four persons listed	3.4	5.1	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.8
5. Five persons listed	0.9	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6
6. Six persons listed	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
7. Seven persons listed	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_hhtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed who are household members (usually resides at samp addr)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. One person listed as household member	808	0	808
2. Two persons listed as household member	915	0	915
3. Three persons listed as household member	240	0	240
4. Four persons listed as household member	68	0	68
5. Five persons listed as household member	18	0	18
6. Six persons listed as household member	2	0	2
7. Seven persons listed as household member	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. One person listed as household member	39.3	22.3	0.0	0.0	13.7	7.8
2. Two persons listed as household member	44.5	53.0	0.0	0.0	15.5	18.4
3. Three persons listed as household member	11.7	17.9	0.0	0.0	4.1	6.2
4. Four persons listed as household member	3.3	4.9	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.7
5. Five persons listed as household member	0.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
6. Six persons listed as household member	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
7. Seven persons listed as household member	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_elighhtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed as eligible household members

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. One person listed as eligible household member	1010	0	1010
2. Two persons listed as eligible household members	799	0	799
3. Three persons listed as eligible household members	180	0	180
4. Four persons listed as eligible household members	49	0	49
5. Five persons listed as eligible household members	13	0	13
6. Six persons listed as eligible household members	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. One person listed as eligible household member	49.2	25.7	0.0	0.0	17.1	8.9
2. Two persons listed as eligible household members	38.9	51.8	0.0	0.0	13.5	18.0
3. Three persons listed as eligible household members	8.8	16.5	0.0	0.0	3.0	5.7
4. Four persons listed as eligible household members	2.4	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.5
5. Five persons listed as eligible household members	0.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5
6. Six persons listed as eligible household members	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_hisphhtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed as Hispanic and household members

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No person listed as Hispanic household member	1523	0	1523
1. One person listed as Hispanic household member	260	0	260
2. Two persons listed as Hispanic household members	174	0	174
3. Three persons listed as Hispanic household members	70	0	70
4. Four persons listed as Hispanic household members	20	0	20
5. Five persons listed as Hispanic household members	5	0	5
7. Seven persons listed as Hispanic household members	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No person listed as Hispanic household member	74.1	86.2	0.0	0.0	25.8	29.9
1. One person listed as Hispanic household member	12.7	6.4	0.0	0.0	4.4	2.2
2. Two persons listed as Hispanic household members	8.5	4.4	0.0	0.0	2.9	1.5
3. Three persons listed as Hispanic household members	3.4	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8
4. Four persons listed as Hispanic household members	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
5. Five persons listed as Hispanic household members	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
7. Seven persons listed as Hispanic household members	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_blackhhtot

Label: FTF ONLY: HH listing- total persons listed as Black and household members

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No person listed as Black household member	1447	0	1447
1. One person listed as Black household member	348	0	348
2. Two persons listed as Black household members	181	0	181
3. Three persons listed as Black household members	54	0	54
4. Four persons listed as Black household members	18	0	18
5. Five persons listed as Black household members	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No person listed as Black household member	70.4	84.9	0.0	0.0	24.5	29.5
1. One person listed as Black household member	16.9	6.6	0.0	0.0	5.9	2.3
2. Two persons listed as Black household members	8.8	4.7	0.0	0.0	3.1	1.6
3. Three persons listed as Black household members	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
4. Four persons listed as Black household members	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
5. Five persons listed as Black household members	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_person1_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1974	0	1974
2. No	79	0	79
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	96.1	98.6	0.0	0.0	33.4	34.2
2. No	3.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_person1_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Not a citizen	48	0	48
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	29	0	29
12. Not a resident and not a citizen	1	0	1
23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1975	3860	5835

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Not a citizen	2.3	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	1.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.1
12. Not a resident and not a citizen	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	96.2	98.7	100.0	100.0	98.7	99.5

hhlist_person1_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list as usually residing in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2052	0	2052
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	99.9	99.9	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person1_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age group 17-20	66	0	66
2. Age group 21-24	170	0	170
3. Age group 25-29	166	0	166
4. Age group 30-34	206	0	206
5. Age group 35-39	202	0	202
6. Age group 40-44	197	0	197
7. Age group 45-49	146	0	146
8. Age group 50-54	224	0	224
9. Age group 55-59	185	0	185
10. Age group 60-64	153	0	153
11. Age group 65-69	125	0	125
12. Age group 70-74	80	0	80
13. Age group 75 or older	109	0	109
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	19	0	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age group 17-20	3.2	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
2. Age group 21-24	8.3	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.5
3. Age group 25-29	8.1	7.4	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.6
4. Age group 30-34	10.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.7
5. Age group 35-39	9.8	7.9	0.0	0.0	3.4	2.7
6. Age group 40-44	9.6	9.7	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.4
7. Age group 45-49	7.1	7.5	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.6
8. Age group 50-54	10.9	11.7	0.0	0.0	3.8	4.1
9. Age group 55-59	9.0	9.2	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.2
10. Age group 60-64	7.4	8.3	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.9
11. Age group 65-69	6.1	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.5
12. Age group 70-74	3.9	5.6	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.0
13. Age group 75 or older	5.3	6.4	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2

hhlist_person1_agefull

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: HHLIST- Person 1: list age - full

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

hhlist_person1_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	24	0	24
-1. Inapplicable	2030	3860	5890

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	98.8	99.3	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.7

hhlist_person1_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list age all missing- obs clearly age elig

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person1_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-8. Don't know	0	0	0
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person1_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list as citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2003	0	2003
2. No	49	0	49
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	97.5	99.0	0.0	0.0	33.9	34.4
2. No	2.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person1_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list as Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	467	0	467
2. No	1585	0	1585
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	22.7	10.8	0.0	0.0	7.9	3.8
2. No	77.2	89.1	0.0	0.0	26.8	30.9
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person1_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly Hisp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. No	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3860	5913

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. No	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person1_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: listed as Black/African-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	569	0	569
2. No	1484	0	1484
-1. Inapplicable	1	3860	3861

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	27.7	13.7	0.0	0.0	9.6	4.7
2. No	72.2	86.3	0.0	0.0	25.1	30.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.1	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

hhlist_person1_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 1: list if Black missing - obs clearly Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person2_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1074	0	1074
2. No	180	0	180
-1. Inapplicable	800	3860	4660

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	52.3	74.2	0.0	0.0	18.2	25.8
2. No	8.8	3.7	0.0	0.0	3.0	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	38.9	22.1	100.0	100.0	78.8	73.0

hhlist_person2_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not person's usual place of residence	9	0	9
2. Not a citizen	105	0	105
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	52	0	52
4. Not 18 years old by election	10	0	10
12. Not a resident and not a citizen	1	0	1
23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	1	0	1
34. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case) and not age eligible	1	0	1
124. Not a resident, not a citizen, not age eligible	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1874	3860	5734

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not person's usual place of residence	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
2. Not a citizen	5.1	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.8
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	2.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.3
4. Not 18 years old by election	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
12. Not a resident and not a citizen	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
34. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case) and not age eligible	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
124. Not a resident, not a citizen, not age eligible	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	91.2	96.3	100.0	100.0	97.0	98.7

hhlist_person2_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list as usually residing in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1243	0	1243
2. No	9	0	9
-1. Inapplicable	800	3860	4660
-9. Refused	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	60.5	77.6	0.0	0.0	21.0	26.9
2. No	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	38.9	22.1	100.0	100.0	78.8	73.0
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person2_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age group 17-20	76	0	76
2. Age group 21-24	86	0	86
3. Age group 25-29	124	0	124
4. Age group 30-34	127	0	127
5. Age group 35-39	135	0	135
6. Age group 40-44	115	0	115
7. Age group 45-49	116	0	116
8. Age group 50-54	117	0	117
9. Age group 55-59	116	0	116
10. Age group 60-64	87	0	87
11. Age group 65-69	60	0	60
12. Age group 70-74	33	0	33
13. Age group 75 or older	47	0	47
-1. Inapplicable	800	3860	4660
-8. Don't know	6	0	6
-9. Refused	9	0	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age group 17-20	3.7	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.2
2. Age group 21-24	4.2	5.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.9
3. Age group 25-29	6.0	6.2	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.1
4. Age group 30-34	6.2	6.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.1
5. Age group 35-39	6.6	7.9	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.7
6. Age group 40-44	5.6	6.5	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.2
7. Age group 45-49	5.6	7.4	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.6
8. Age group 50-54	5.7	8.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.8
9. Age group 55-59	5.6	8.6	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.0
10. Age group 60-64	4.2	6.6	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.3
11. Age group 65-69	2.9	4.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.5
12. Age group 70-74	1.6	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.0
13. Age group 75 or older	2.3	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.4
-1. Inapplicable	38.9	22.1	100.0	100.0	78.8	73.0
-8. Don't know	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1

hhlist_person2_agefull

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: HHLIST- Person 2: list age - full

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

hhlist_person2_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	14	0	14
-1. Inapplicable	2039	3860	5899
-8. Don't refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	99.3	99.4	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.8
-8. Don't refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person2_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list age all missing- obs clearly age elig

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3860	5913

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person2_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1	0	1
2. No	11	0	11
-1. Inapplicable	2042	3860	5902

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. No	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.4	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.8	99.9

hhlist_person2_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list as citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1146	0	1146
2. No	102	0	102
-1. Inapplicable	800	3860	4660
-8. Don't know	5	0	5
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	55.8	75.4	0.0	0.0	19.4	26.2
2. No	5.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	38.9	22.1	100.0	100.0	78.8	73.0
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person2_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list as Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	316	0	316
2. No	938	0	938
-1. Inapplicable	800	3860	4660

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	15.4	9.3	0.0	0.0	5.3	3.2
2. No	45.7	68.6	0.0	0.0	15.9	23.8
-1. Inapplicable	38.9	22.1	100.0	100.0	78.8	73.0

hhlist_person2_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly Hisp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person2_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: listed as Black/African-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	284	0	284
2. No	970	0	970
-1. Inapplicable	800	3860	4660

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	13.8	9.2	0.0	0.0	4.8	3.2
2. No	47.2	68.6	0.0	0.0	16.4	23.8
-1. Inapplicable	38.9	22.1	100.0	100.0	78.8	73.0

hhlist_person2_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 2: list if Black missing - obs clearly Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person3_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	279	0	279
2. No	55	0	55
-1. Inapplicable	1720	3860	5580

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	13.6	23.3	0.0	0.0	4.7	8.1
2. No	2.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	83.7	75.0	100.0	100.0	94.4	91.3

hhlist_person3_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not person's usual place of residence	3	0	3
2. Not a citizen	32	0	32
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	5	0	5
4. Not 18 years old by election	14	0	14
23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	1999	3860	5859

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not person's usual place of residence	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. Not a citizen	1.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
4. Not 18 years old by election	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	97.3	98.3	100.0	100.0	99.1	99.4

hhlist_person3_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list as usually residing in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	331	0	331
2. No	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	1720	3860	5580

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	16.1	24.6	0.0	0.0	5.6	8.5
2. No	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	83.7	75.1	100.0	100.0	94.4	91.3

hhlist_person3_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age group 17-20	83	0	83
2. Age group 21-24	63	0	63
3. Age group 25-29	36	0	36
4. Age group 30-34	13	0	13
5. Age group 35-39	20	0	20
6. Age group 40-44	21	0	21
7. Age group 45-49	13	0	13
8. Age group 50-54	23	0	23
9. Age group 55-59	25	0	25
10. Age group 60-64	8	0	8
11. Age group 65-69	7	0	7
12. Age group 70-74	8	0	8
13. Age group 75 or older	8	0	8
-1. Inapplicable	1720	3860	5580
-8. Don't know	4	0	4
-9. Refused	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age group 17-20	4.0	5.8	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.0
2. Age group 21-24	3.1	5.7	0.0	0.0	1.1	2.0
3. Age group 25-29	1.8	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.1
4. Age group 30-34	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
5. Age group 35-39	1.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
6. Age group 40-44	1.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
7. Age group 45-49	0.6	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
8. Age group 50-54	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
9. Age group 55-59	1.2	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.7
10. Age group 60-64	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
11. Age group 65-69	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. Age group 70-74	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3
13. Age group 75 or older	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	83.7	75.0	100.0	100.0	94.4	91.3
-8. Don't know	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person3_agefull

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: HHLIST- Person 3: list age - full

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

hhlist_person3_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	6	0	6
-1. Inapplicable	2048	3860	5908

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person3_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list age all missing- obs clearly age elig

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person3_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	3	0	3
2. No	14	0	14
-1. Inapplicable	2037	3860	5897

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. No	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	99.2	99.2	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.7

hhlist_person3_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list as citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	301	0	301
2. No	31	0	31
-1. Inapplicable	1720	3860	5580
-8. Don't know	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	14.7	24.3	0.0	0.0	5.1	8.4
2. No	1.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	83.7	75.0	100.0	100.0	94.4	91.3
-8. Don't know	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person3_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list as Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	110	0	110
2. No	224	0	224
-1. Inapplicable	1720	3860	5580

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	5.4	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.3
2. No	10.9	21.2	0.0	0.0	3.8	7.4
-1. Inapplicable	83.7	75.0	100.0	100.0	94.4	91.3

hhlist_person3_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly Hisp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person3_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: listed as Black/African-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	86	0	86
2. No	248	0	248
-1. Inapplicable	1720	3860	5580

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.4
2. No	12.1	20.9	0.0	0.0	4.2	7.2
-1. Inapplicable	83.7	75.0	100.0	100.0	94.4	91.3

hhlist_person3_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 3: list if Black missing - obs clearly Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person4_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	73	0	73
2. No	21	0	21
-1. Inapplicable	1960	3860	5820

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	3.6	6.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.2
2. No	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	95.4	92.8	100.0	100.0	98.4	97.5

hhlist_person4_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not person's usual place of residence	2	0	2
2. Not a citizen	12	0	12
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	1	0	1
4. Not 18 years old by election	5	0	5
12. Not a resident and not a citizen	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2033	3860	5893

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not person's usual place of residence	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
2. Not a citizen	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. Not 18 years old by election	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. Not a resident and not a citizen	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.0	99.0	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.6

hhlist_person4_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list as usually residing in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	91	0	91
2. No	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	1960	3860	5820

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	4.4	6.9	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.4
2. No	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	95.4	92.8	100.0	100.0	98.4	97.5

hhlist_person4_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age group 17-20	23	0	23
2. Age group 21-24	15	0	15
3. Age group 25-29	9	0	9
4. Age group 30-34	7	0	7
5. Age group 35-39	10	0	10
6. Age group 40-44	2	0	2
7. Age group 45-49	3	0	3
8. Age group 50-54	9	0	9
9. Age group 55-59	4	0	4
10. Age group 60-64	3	0	3
11. Age group 65-69	1	0	1
12. Age group 70-74	2	0	2
13. Age group 75 or older	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	1960	3860	5820
-8. Don't know	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age group 17-20	1.1	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
2. Age group 21-24	0.7	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
3. Age group 25-29	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
4. Age group 30-34	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5. Age group 35-39	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
6. Age group 40-44	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Age group 45-49	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
8. Age group 50-54	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
9. Age group 55-59	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
10. Age group 60-64	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
11. Age group 65-69	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12. Age group 70-74	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. Age group 75 or older	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	95.4	92.8	100.0	100.0	98.4	97.5
-8. Don't know	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

hhlist_person4_agefull

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: HHLIST- Person 4: list age - full

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

hhlist_person4_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. No	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3860	5913

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. No	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person4_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list age all missing- obs clearly age elig

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person4_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1	0	1
2. No	4	0	4
-1. Inapplicable	2049	3860	5909

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
2. No	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person4_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list as citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	81	0	81
2. No	13	0	13
-1. Inapplicable	1960	3860	5820

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	3.9	6.9	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.4
2. No	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	95.4	92.8	100.0	100.0	98.4	97.5

hhlist_person4_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list as Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	30	0	30
2. No	64	0	64
-1. Inapplicable	1960	3860	5820

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
2. No	3.1	6.5	0.0	0.0	1.1	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	95.4	92.8	100.0	100.0	98.4	97.5

hhlist_person4_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly Hisp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person4_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: listed as Black/African-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	26	0	26
2. No	68	0	68
-1. Inapplicable	1960	3860	5820

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.3	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
2. No	3.3	6.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	2.1
-1. Inapplicable	95.4	92.8	100.0	100.0	98.4	97.5

hhlist_person4_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 4: list if Black missing - obs clearly Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person5_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	16	0	16
2. No	7	0	7
-1. Inapplicable	2031	3860	5891

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.8	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
2. No	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	98.0	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.3

hhlist_person5_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not person's usual place of residence	1	0	1
2. Not a citizen	3	0	3
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	2	0	2
4. Not 18 years old by election	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2047	3860	5907

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not person's usual place of residence	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Not a citizen	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. Not 18 years old by election	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person5_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list as usually residing in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	22	0	22
2. No	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2031	3860	5891

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.1	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
2. No	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	98.0	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.3

hhlist_person5_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age group 17-20	7	0	7
2. Age group 21-24	4	0	4
4. Age group 30-34	4	0	4
5. Age group 35-39	1	0	1
6. Age group 40-44	2	0	2
7. Age group 45-49	1	0	1
8. Age group 50-54	1	0	1
9. Age group 55-59	1	0	1
10. Age group 60-64	1	0	1
13. Age group 75 or older	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2031	3860	5891

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age group 17-20	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
2. Age group 21-24	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Age group 30-34	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
5. Age group 35-39	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6. Age group 40-44	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Age group 45-49	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Age group 50-54	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9. Age group 55-59	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. Age group 60-64	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. Age group 75 or older	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	98.0	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.3

hhlist_person5_agefull

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: HHLIST- Person 5: list age - full

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

hhlist_person5_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-8. Don't refused	0	0	0
-8. Don't know	0	0	0
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person5_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list age all missing- obs clearly age elig

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person5_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. No	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3860	5913

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. No	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person5_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list as citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	20	0	20
2. No	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	2031	3860	5891

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7
2. No	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	98.0	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.3

hhlist_person5_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list as Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	6	0	6
2. No	17	0	17
-1. Inapplicable	2031	3860	5891

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. No	0.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	98.0	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.3

hhlist_person5_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly Hisp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person5_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: listed as Black/African-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	5	0	5
2. No	18	0	18
-1. Inapplicable	2031	3860	5891

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. No	0.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	98.0	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.3

hhlist_person5_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 5: list if Black missing - obs clearly Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person6_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	4	0	4
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person6_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Not person's usual place of residence	0	0	0
2. Not a citizen	0	0	0
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0	0	0
4. Not 18 years old by election	0	0	0
12. Not a resident and not a citizen	0	0	0
13. Not a resident and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0	0	0
14. Not a resident and not age eligible	0	0	0
23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0	0	0
24. Not a citizen and not age eligible	0	0	0
34. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case) and not age eligible	0	0	0
123. Not a resident, not a citizen, not Black/Hispanic (os case)	0	0	0
124. Not a resident, not a citizen, not age eligible	0	0	0
134. Not a resident, not Black/Hispanic (os case), not age e	0	0	0
234. Not a citizen, not Black/Hispanic (os case), not age eligible	0	0	0
1234. Not: resident, citizen, Black/Hispanic (os), age eligible	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person6_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list as usually residing in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	4	0	4
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person6_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age group 17-20	1	0	1
2. Age group 21-24	1	0	1
8. Age group 50-54	1	0	1
12. Age group 70-74	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age group 17-20	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Age group 21-24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Age group 50-54	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
12. Age group 70-74	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person6_agefull

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: HHLIST- Person 6: list age - full

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

hhlist_person6_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-8. Don't refused	0	0	0
-8. Don't know	0	0	0
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person6_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list age all missing- obs clearly age elig

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person6_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-8. Don't know	0	0	0
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person6_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list as citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	4	0	4
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person6_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list as Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2	0	2
2. No	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. No	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person6_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly Hisp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person6_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: listed as Black/African-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. No	4	0	4
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. No	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9

hhlist_person6_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 6: list if Black missing - obs clearly Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_eligible

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2052	3860	5912

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_whyinelig

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: why not eligible

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Not person's usual place of residence	0	0	0
2. Not a citizen	0	0	0
3. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0	0	0
4. Not 18 years old by election	0	0	0
12. Not a resident and not a citizen	0	0	0
13. Not a resident and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0	0	0
14. Not a resident and not age eligible	0	0	0
23. Not a citizen and not Black/Hispanic (oversample case)	0	0	0
24. Not a citizen and not age eligible	0	0	0
34. Not Black/Hispanic (oversample case) and not age eligible	0	0	0
123. Not a resident, not a citizen, not Black/Hispanic (os case)	0	0	0
124. Not a resident, not a citizen, not age eligible	0	0	0
134. Not a resident, not Black/Hispanic (os case), not age e	0	0	0
234. Not a citizen, not Black/Hispanic (os case), not age eligible	0	0	0
1234. Not: resident, citizen, Black/Hispanic (os), age eligible	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list as usually residing in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2052	3860	5912

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list age (group)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Age group 21-24	1	0	1
8. Age group 50-54	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2052	3860	5912

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Age group 21-24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Age group 50-54	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_agefull

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: HHLIST- Person 7: list age - full

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

hhlist_person7_agemiss

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list age DK/RF - will 18+ on electn day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-8. Don't refused	0	0	0
-8. Don't know	0	0	0
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_obs18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list age all missing- obs clearly age elig

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_age18

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list age 17 - will be 18 by election day

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-8. Don't know	0	0	0
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_citz

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list as citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2052	3860	5912

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list as Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2052	3860	5912

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_obshisp

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list if Hispanic missing- obs clearly Hisp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_black

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: listed as Black/African-American

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. No	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2052	3860	5912

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. No	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_person7_obsblack

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- Person 7: list if Black missing - obs clearly Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3860	5914
1. Yes	0	0	0
2. No	0	0	0

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

hhlist_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- IWR id of interviewer completing the screener

153 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	0	3860	3860
848	42	0	42
741	41	0	41
800	39	0	39
858	37	0	37
816	34	0	34
790	33	0	33
850	31	0	31
892	31	0	31
885	29	0	29

dwell_completed

Label: FTF ONLY- Dwelling unit- DU module completed

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2054	0	2054
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_datecompl

Label: FTF ONLY- Dwelling unit- date DU module completed

60 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	0	3860	3860
20120922	87	0	87
20120919	84	0	84
20120920	72	0	72
20120914	69	0	69
20120918	67	0	67
20120926	66	0	66
20120927	65	0	65
20120915	62	0	62
20120921	62	0	62

dwell_winsign

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ1: No. campaign or political signs visible in structure window(s)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No sign	1977	0	1977
1. One sign	4	0	4
2. Two signs	2	0	2
3. Three signs	1	0	1
99. Unknown, outside gated community (when du module completed)	70	0	70
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No sign	96.3	97.6	0.0	0.0	33.4	33.9
1. One sign	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. Two signs	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. Three signs	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
99. Unknown, outside gated community (when du module completed)	3.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_winsign_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ2: Describe window sign(s)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

dwell_frontsign

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ3: No. campaign or political signs visible in front/outside structure

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No sign	1943	0	1943
1. One sign	25	0	25
2. Two signs	6	0	6
3. Three signs	4	0	4
4. Four signs	1	0	1
11. Eleven signs	1	0	1
99. Unknown, outside gated community (when du module completed)	74	0	74
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No sign	94.6	95.7	0.0	0.0	32.9	33.2
1. One sign	1.2	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
2. Two signs	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3. Three signs	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Four signs	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. Eleven signs	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
99. Unknown, outside gated community (when du module completed)	3.6	2.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_frontsign_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ4: Describe front/outside sign(s)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

dwell_sign_dpc

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ5: Do any of the signs support Barack Obama

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	9	0	9
2. No	32	0	32
-1. Inapplicable	2013	3860	5873

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
2. No	1.6	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	98.0	97.4	100.0	100.0	99.3	99.1

dwell_sign_rpc

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ6: Do any of the signs support Mitt Romney

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	8	0	8
2. No	33	0	33
-1. Inapplicable	2013	3860	5873

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
2. No	1.6	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	98.0	97.4	100.0	100.0	99.3	99.1

dwell_relig

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ7: Religious items/symbols around structure

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Cross or crucifix	13	0	13
2. Painting/mural of religious figures or angels	15	0	15
4. Crescent moon and star	1	0	1
5. Religious message (such as 'jesus saves') (SPECIFY)	5	0	5
6. None	2020	0	2020
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Cross or crucifix	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
2. Painting/mural of religious figures or angels	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
4. Crescent moon and star	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Religious message (such as 'jesus saves') (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
6. None	98.3	98.5	0.0	0.0	34.2	34.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_religmsg_spec

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ7: Specify religious message

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

dwell_secur_mention1

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Bars on the windows	47	0	47
2. Crime watch or security systems signs	179	0	179
3. No trespassing signs	58	0	58
4. Beware of dog sign	16	0	16
5. No solicitors sign	33	0	33
6. Security door (windowless metal primary entrance)	99	0	99
7. Guard/door person at the front door who has to call the unit	1	0	1
8. Guard/door person at the front door who must give access to bldg	2	0	2
10. On-site/off-site staff/manager who controls access	8	0	8
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	55	0	55
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	45	0	45
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	45	0	45
14. Threatening animal on or near the property	15	0	15
15. None	1451	0	1451
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Bars on the windows	2.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
2. Crime watch or security systems signs	8.7	9.2	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.2
3. No trespassing signs	2.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.6
4. Beware of dog sign	0.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
5. No solicitors sign	1.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
6. Security door (windowless metal primary entrance)	4.8	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.3
7. Guard/door person at the front door who has to call the unit	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
8. Guard/door person at the front door who must give access to bldg	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. On-site/off-site staff/manager who controls access	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	2.7	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.6
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	2.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	2.2	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
14. Threatening animal on or near the property	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
15. None	70.6	74.9	0.0	0.0	24.5	26.0
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_secur_mention2

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Crime watch or security systems signs	1	0	1
3. No trespassing signs	21	0	21
4. Beware of dog sign	7	0	7
5. No solicitors sign	43	0	43
6. Security door (windowless metal primary entrance)	40	0	40
7. Guard/door person at the front door who has to call the unit	2	0	2
10. On-site/off-site staff/manager who controls access	2	0	2
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	22	0	22
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	18	0	18
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	16	0	16
14. Threatening animal on or near the property	10	0	10
-1. Inapplicable	1451	3860	5311
-2. No further mention	421	0	421

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Crime watch or security systems signs	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. No trespassing signs	1.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
4. Beware of dog sign	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
5. No solicitors sign	2.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5
6. Security door (windowless metal primary entrance)	1.9	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
7. Guard/door person at the front door who has to call the unit	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. On-site/off-site staff/manager who controls access	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	1.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	0.9	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	0.8	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
14. Threatening animal on or near the property	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	70.6	74.9	100.0	100.0	89.8	91.3
-2. No further mention	20.5	17.9	0.0	0.0	7.1	6.2

dwell_secur_mention3

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. No trespassing signs	1	0	1
5. No solicitors sign	17	0	17
6. Security door (windowless metal primary entrance)	3	0	3
8. Guard/door person at the front door who must give access to bldg	3	0	3
10. On-site/off-site staff/manager who controls access	16	0	16
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	7	0	7
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	5	0	5
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	3	0	3
14. Threatening animal on or near the property	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	1872	3860	5732
-2. No further mention	125	0	125

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. No trespassing signs	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. No solicitors sign	0.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
6. Security door (windowless metal primary entrance)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
8. Guard/door person at the front door who must give access to bldg	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
10. On-site/off-site staff/manager who controls access	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
14. Threatening animal on or near the property	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	91.1	92.7	100.0	100.0	96.9	97.5
-2. No further mention	6.1	5.2	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.8

dwell_secur_mention4

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 4

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. No solicitors sign	1	0	1
8. Guard/door person at the front door who must give access to bldg	4	0	4
10. On-site/off-site staff/manager who controls access	1	0	1
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	10	0	10
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	2	0	2
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	1997	3860	5857
-2. No further mention	36	0	36

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. No solicitors sign	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Guard/door person at the front door who must give access to bldg	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10. On-site/off-site staff/manager who controls access	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	97.2	97.9	100.0	100.0	99.0	99.3
-2. No further mention	1.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3

dwell_secur_mention5

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ8: What security measures or barriers are present 5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	3	0	3
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	2	0	2
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	2	0	2
14. Threatening animal on or near the property	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2033	3860	5893
-2. No further mention	12	0	12

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
11. Locked main entrance/gate, no intercom/buzzer (locked doors/gate, controlled gate, or other locked entry)	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, no unit addr labels (may contain names, but not addr label)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. Locked main entrance/gate with intercom/buzzer, with unit address labels	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. Threatening animal on or near the property	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.0	98.7	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.5
-2. No further mention	0.6	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4

dwell_structure

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ9: Type of structure

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Mobile home	86	0	86
2. Detached single family	1221	0	1221
3. Duplex	97	0	97
4. Apartment building / condo/townhouse complex	602	0	602
5. Other (SPECIFY)	48	0	48
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Mobile home	4.2	4.4	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5
2. Detached single family	59.4	69.0	0.0	0.0	20.6	24.0
3. Duplex	4.7	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.2
4. Apartment building / condo/townhouse complex	29.3	21.6	0.0	0.0	10.2	7.5
5. Other (SPECIFY)	2.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_structure_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ9: Other type of structure

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

dwell_strctsize

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ10: Size of structure

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Single family home	45	0	45
2. Structure with 2 to 9 units	245	0	245
3. Structure with 10 to 49 units	213	0	213
4. Structure with 50 or more units	140	0	140
5. Other (SPECIFY)	7	0	7
-1. Inapplicable	1404	3860	5264

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Single family home	2.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.4
2. Structure with 2 to 9 units	11.9	8.5	0.0	0.0	4.1	2.9
3. Structure with 10 to 49 units	10.4	6.9	0.0	0.0	3.6	2.4
4. Structure with 50 or more units	6.8	6.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.1
5. Other (SPECIFY)	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	68.4	77.0	100.0	100.0	89.0	92.0

dwell_strctsize_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ10: Other size of structure

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

dwell_structresid

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ11: Is structure residential

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Entirely residential	588	0	588
2. Primarily residential with some commercial or other non-residential	54	0	54
3. Primarily commercial or other non-residential	8	0	8
-1. Inapplicable	1404	3860	5264

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Entirely residential	28.6	20.8	0.0	0.0	9.9	7.2
2. Primarily residential with some commercial or other non-residential	2.6	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
3. Primarily commercial or other non-residential	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	68.4	77.0	100.0	100.0	89.0	92.0

dwell_block_urban

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ12: Is block urban

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Rural farm or undeveloped land	118	0	118
2. Rural town	315	0	315
3. Suburban	1072	0	1072
4. Urban	549	0	549
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Rural farm or undeveloped land	5.7	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.4
2. Rural town	15.3	16.5	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.7
3. Suburban	52.2	55.8	0.0	0.0	18.1	19.4
4. Urban	26.7	20.6	0.0	0.0	9.3	7.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_block_resid

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ13: Is block residential

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Residential only	1806	0	1806
2. With commercial properties, mostly retail	171	0	171
3. With commercial properties, mostly wholesale or industrial	44	0	44
5. Other (SPECIFY)	33	0	33
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Residential only	87.9	89.5	0.0	0.0	30.5	31.1
2. With commercial properties, mostly retail	8.3	6.8	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.3
3. With commercial properties, mostly wholesale or industrial	2.1	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
5. Other (SPECIFY)	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_block_resid_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Dwell unit- DUQ13: Other block type

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

dwell_hu_cond_mention1

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Missing roofing material(s)	38	0	38
2. Boarded up window(s)	4	0	4
3. Missing/broken out window(s)	16	0	16
4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material	24	0	24
5. Punched out/torn screens on windows	24	0	24
6. Door(s) off hinges	8	0	8
7. Peeling paint	103	0	103
8. Broken siding	4	0	4
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	56	0	56
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	33	0	33
11. None	1737	0	1737
12. Unknown-outside gated community (when du module complete)	7	0	7
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Missing roofing material(s)	1.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
2. Boarded up window(s)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3. Missing/broken out window(s)	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
5. Punched out/torn screens on windows	1.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
6. Door(s) off hinges	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
7. Peeling paint	5.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.7
8. Broken siding	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	2.7	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	1.6	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3
11. None	84.6	85.8	0.0	0.0	29.4	29.8
12. Unknown-outside gated community (when du module complete)	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_hu_cond_mention2

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Boarded up window(s)	8	0	8
3. Missing/broken out window(s)	1	0	1
4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material	13	0	13
5. Punched out/torn screens on windows	14	0	14
6. Door(s) off hinges	1	0	1
7. Peeling paint	34	0	34
8. Broken siding	25	0	25
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	31	0	31
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	32	0	32
-1. Inapplicable	1744	3860	5604
-2. No further mention	151	0	151

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Boarded up window(s)	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3. Missing/broken out window(s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
5. Punched out/torn screens on windows	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
6. Door(s) off hinges	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Peeling paint	1.7	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
8. Broken siding	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	1.5	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	1.6	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	84.9	86.0	100.0	100.0	94.8	95.1
-2. No further mention	7.4	6.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.1

dwell_hu_cond_mention3

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Missing/broken out window(s)	6	0	6
4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material	1	0	1
5. Punched out/torn screens on windows	5	0	5
7. Peeling paint	20	0	20
8. Broken siding	9	0	9
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	21	0	21
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	24	0	24
-1. Inapplicable	1895	3860	5755
-2. No further mention	73	0	73

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Missing/broken out window(s)	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Punched out/torn screens on windows	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
7. Peeling paint	1.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
8. Broken siding	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	1.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	92.3	92.0	100.0	100.0	97.3	97.2
-2. No further mention	3.6	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.3

dwell_hu_cond_mention4

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 4

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material	6	0	6
6. Door(s) off hinges	2	0	2
7. Peeling paint	3	0	3
8. Broken siding	7	0	7
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	10	0	10
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	19	0	19
-1. Inapplicable	1968	3860	5828
-2. No further mention	39	0	39

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Missing bricks, siding, or other outside wall material	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
6. Door(s) off hinges	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Peeling paint	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
8. Broken siding	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	0.9	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	95.8	95.9	100.0	100.0	98.5	98.6
-2. No further mention	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7

dwell_hu_cond_mention5

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. Punched out/torn screens on windows	6	0	6
7. Peeling paint	2	0	2
8. Broken siding	1	0	1
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	3	0	3
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	8	0	8
-1. Inapplicable	2007	3860	5867
-2. No further mention	27	0	27

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. Punched out/torn screens on windows	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
7. Peeling paint	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Broken siding	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	97.7	97.8	100.0	100.0	99.2	99.2
-2. No further mention	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5

dwell_hu_cond_mention6

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 6

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
6. Door(s) off hinges	5	0	5
7. Peeling paint	1	0	1
8. Broken siding	1	0	1
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	1	0	1
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	2034	3860	5894
-2. No further mention	9	0	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
6. Door(s) off hinges	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
7. Peeling paint	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Broken siding	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.0	99.2	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.7
-2. No further mention	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1

dwell_hu_cond_mention7

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 7

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
7. Peeling paint	4	0	4
8. Broken siding	2	0	2
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	1	0	1
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2043	3860	5903
-2. No further mention	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
7. Peeling paint	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
8. Broken siding	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.5	99.5	100.0	100.0	99.8	99.8
-2. No further mention	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

dwell_hu_cond_mention8

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 8

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
8. Broken siding	4	0	4
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	1	0	1
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2046	3860	5906
-2. No further mention	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
8. Broken siding	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.6	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9
-2. No further mention	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dwell_hu_cond_mention9

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 9

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	3	0	3
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2047	3860	5907
-2. No further mention	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
9. Unkempt landscaping (tall grass, overgrown bushes)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.7	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9
-2. No further mention	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

dwell_hu_cond_mention10

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ14: Conditions of the building 10

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910
-2. No further mention	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
10. Litter, trash or other debris on lawn	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.9	100.0	100.0	99.9	100.0
-2. No further mention	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

dwell_area_cond_mention1

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Boarded houses or abandoned building	55	0	55
2. Graffiti	7	0	7
3. Abandoned cars	33	0	33
4. Demolished houses	1	0	1
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	77	0	77
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	17	0	17
7. Factories or warehouses	18	0	18
8. Stores or other retail outlets	80	0	80
9. None	1766	0	1766
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Boarded houses or abandoned building	2.7	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.6
2. Graffiti	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3. Abandoned cars	1.6	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8
4. Demolished houses	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	3.7	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.0
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
7. Factories or warehouses	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
8. Stores or other retail outlets	3.9	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.0
9. None	86.0	88.2	0.0	0.0	29.9	30.6
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_area_cond_mention2

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Graffiti	8	0	8
3. Abandoned cars	10	0	10
4. Demolished houses	6	0	6
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	33	0	33
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	25	0	25
7. Factories or warehouses	5	0	5
8. Stores or other retail outlets	19	0	19
-1. Inapplicable	1766	3860	5626
-2. No further mention	182	0	182

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Graffiti	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3. Abandoned cars	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
4. Demolished houses	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	1.6	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
7. Factories or warehouses	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
8. Stores or other retail outlets	0.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	86.0	88.2	100.0	100.0	95.1	95.9
-2. No further mention	8.9	7.2	0.0	0.0	3.1	2.5

dwell_area_cond_mention3

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Abandoned cars	2	0	2
4. Demolished houses	3	0	3
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	13	0	13
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	15	0	15
7. Factories or warehouses	4	0	4
8. Stores or other retail outlets	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	1948	3860	5808
-2. No further mention	66	0	66

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Abandoned cars	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
4. Demolished houses	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
7. Factories or warehouses	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
8. Stores or other retail outlets	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	94.8	95.4	100.0	100.0	98.2	98.4
-2. No further mention	3.2	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1

dwell_area_cond_mention4

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 4

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Demolished houses	1	0	1
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	4	0	4
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	3	0	3
7. Factories or warehouses	3	0	3
8. Stores or other retail outlets	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	2014	3860	5874
-2. No further mention	26	0	26

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Demolished houses	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
7. Factories or warehouses	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
8. Stores or other retail outlets	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.1	98.5	100.0	100.0	99.3	99.5
-2. No further mention	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4

dwell_area_cond_mention5

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	1	0	1
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	2	0	2
8. Stores or other retail outlets	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2040	3860	5900
-2. No further mention	9	0	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. Trash, litter, or junk in street/road	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. Stores or other retail outlets	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.3	99.5	100.0	100.0	99.8	99.8
-2. No further mention	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1

dwell_area_cond_mention6

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ15: Conditions within sight of the housing unit 6

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2049	3860	5909
-2. No further mention	4	0	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
6. Loose garbage or litter not contained or bundled for collection	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.7	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9
-2. No further mention	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

dwell_bldg_relcond

Label: FTF ONLY: Dwell unit- DUQ16: Condition of the building relative to others on same street/road

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Better	193	0	193
2. Same	1663	0	1663
3. Worse	180	0	180
4. No other buildings	12	0	12
5. Unknown- outside gated community (when du module completed)	6	0	6
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Better	9.4	10.1	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.5
2. Same	81.0	79.0	0.0	0.0	28.1	27.4
3. Worse	8.8	9.6	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.3
4. No other buildings	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
5. Unknown- outside gated community (when du module completed)	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

dwell_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: HHLIST- IWR id of interviewer completing the DU (dwelling unit) module

153 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	0	3860	3860
848	45	0	45
741	40	0	40
800	35	0	35
858	33	0	33
850	29	0	29
892	29	0	29
885	27	0	27
759	26	0	26
807	26	0	26

recontact_completed

Label: FTF ONLY- Recontact- Pre-election recontact module completed (after Pre interview)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2054	0	2054
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

recontact_rname_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give name (is any text present)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2028	0	2028
2. No (RF)	26	0	26
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	98.7	98.8	0.0	0.0	34.3	34.3
2. No (RF)	1.3	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

recontact_sampaddr_correct

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: is address on record correct?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2028	0	2028
2. No	24	0	24
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-9. Refused	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	98.7	99.1	0.0	0.0	34.3	34.4
2. No	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

recontact_newaddr_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- is new address given (is any text present)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	21	0	21
2. No	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	2030	3860	5890

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
2. No	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.8	99.2	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.7

recontact_addr_err

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: is new address correction or move?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Correction to sample address	4	0	4
2. Move address	7	0	7
3. Other	10	0	10
-1. Inapplicable	2033	3860	5893

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Correction to sample address	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
2. Move address	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3. Other	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	99.0	99.3	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.7

recontact_phone_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give phone number

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1972	0	1972
2. No (RF)	82	0	82
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	96.0	96.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.4
2. No (RF)	4.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

recontact_have2ndphone

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: have 2nd phone?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	448	0	448
2. No	1463	0	1463
-1. Inapplicable	82	3860	3942
-9. Refused	61	0	61

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	21.8	27.2	0.0	0.0	7.6	9.4
2. No	71.2	66.7	0.0	0.0	24.7	23.2
-1. Inapplicable	4.0	3.7	100.0	100.0	66.7	66.6
-9. Refused	3.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8

recontact_haveemail

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: have email address?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	850	0	850
2. No	992	0	992
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-9. Refused	212	0	212

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	41.4	44.8	0.0	0.0	14.4	15.6
2. No	48.3	44.3	0.0	0.0	16.8	15.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-9. Refused	10.3	10.8	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.8

recontact_setappt

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R set appt for Post IW

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Specific date and time	657	0	657
2. General date	665	0	665
3. Don't know	716	0	716
4. Refused recontact	16	0	16
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Specific date and time	32.0	33.4	0.0	0.0	11.1	11.6
2. General date	32.4	32.1	0.0	0.0	11.2	11.2
3. Don't know	34.9	33.5	0.0	0.0	12.1	11.6
4. Refused recontact	0.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

recontact_setappt_date

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- date of appt for Post IW

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1397	3860	5257
20121112	68	0	68
20121113	56	0	56
20121108	52	0	52
20121110	51	0	51
20121107	48	0	48
20121109	45	0	45
20121114	41	0	41
20121115	35	0	35
20121117	27	0	27

recontact_refreason

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- reason for refusing to set Post appt

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Soft refusal - too busy	4	0	4
2. Soft refusal - too old/too young	1	0	1
6. Soft refusal - other	1	0	1
7. Hard refusal - too busy	2	0	2
9. Hard refusal - general not interested	4	0	4
10. Hard refusal - too personal	1	0	1
12. Hard refusal - other	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	2038	3860	5898

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Soft refusal - too busy	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. Soft refusal - too old/too young	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6. Soft refusal - other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Hard refusal - too busy	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9. Hard refusal - general not interested	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
10. Hard refusal - too personal	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12. Hard refusal - other	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.2	99.0	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.6

recontact_c1_name_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give name of other contact person 1 (any text present)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	445	0	445
2. No (RF)	1609	0	1609
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	21.7	22.8	0.0	0.0	7.5	7.9
2. No (RF)	78.3	77.2	0.0	0.0	27.2	26.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

recontact_c1_relshp

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: relationship of other contact person 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Friend	59	0	59
2. Relative	328	0	328
3. Other (SPECIFY)	56	0	56
-1. Inapplicable	1609	3860	5469
-9. Refused	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Friend	2.9	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
2. Relative	16.0	17.3	0.0	0.0	5.5	6.0
3. Other (SPECIFY)	2.7	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	78.3	77.2	100.0	100.0	92.5	92.1
-9. Refused	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

recontact_c1_addr_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give addr of oth contact person 1 (any street addr text present)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	263	0	263
2. No (RF)	182	0	182
-1. Inapplicable	1609	3860	5469

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	12.8	14.3	0.0	0.0	4.4	4.9
2. No (RF)	8.9	8.6	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	78.3	77.2	100.0	100.0	92.5	92.1

recontact_c1_phone_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give phone number of other contact person 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	425	0	425
2. No (RF)	20	0	20
-1. Inapplicable	1609	3860	5469

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	20.7	22.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	7.6
2. No (RF)	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	78.3	77.2	100.0	100.0	92.5	92.1

recontact_c1_haveothph

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: does contact person 1 have other phone?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	32	0	32
2. No	380	0	380
-1. Inapplicable	1629	3860	5489
-9. Refused	13	0	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.6	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
2. No	18.5	19.9	0.0	0.0	6.4	6.9
-1. Inapplicable	79.3	78.0	100.0	100.0	92.8	92.4
-9. Refused	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

recontact_c1_haveemail

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: does contact person 1 have email address?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	40	0	40
2. No	348	0	348
-1. Inapplicable	1609	3860	5469
-9. Refused	57	0	57

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	1.9	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
2. No	16.9	17.4	0.0	0.0	5.9	6.0
-1. Inapplicable	78.3	77.2	100.0	100.0	92.5	92.1
-9. Refused	2.8	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1

recontact_c2_name_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give name of other contact person 2 (any text present)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	78	0	78
2. No (RF)	367	0	367
-1. Inapplicable	1609	3860	5469

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	3.8	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3
2. No (RF)	17.9	19.2	0.0	0.0	6.2	6.7
-1. Inapplicable	78.3	77.2	100.0	100.0	92.5	92.1

recontact_c2_relshp

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: relationship of other contact person 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Friend	13	0	13
2. Relative	59	0	59
3. Other (SPECIFY)	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	1976	3860	5836
-9. Refused	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Friend	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
2. Relative	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
3. Other (SPECIFY)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	96.2	96.3	100.0	100.0	98.7	98.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

recontact_c2_addr_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give addr of oth contact person 2 (any street addr text present)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	45	0	45
2. No (RF)	33	0	33
-1. Inapplicable	1976	3860	5836

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	2.2	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7
2. No (RF)	1.6	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	96.2	96.3	100.0	100.0	98.7	98.7

recontact_c2_phone_given

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- did R give phone number of other contact person 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	73	0	73
2. No (RF)	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	1976	3860	5836

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	3.6	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2
2. No (RF)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	96.2	96.3	100.0	100.0	98.7	98.7

recontact_c2_haveothph

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: does contact person 2 have other phone?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	5	0	5
2. No	68	0	68
-1. Inapplicable	1981	3860	5841

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. No	3.3	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	96.4	96.5	100.0	100.0	98.8	98.8

recontact_c2_haveemail

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- Respondent: does contact person 2 have email address?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	10	0	10
2. No	63	0	63
-1. Inapplicable	1976	3860	5836
-9. Refused	5	0	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
2. No	3.1	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	96.2	96.3	100.0	100.0	98.7	98.7
-9. Refused	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

recontact_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Recontact- IWR id of interviewer completing the recontact module

152 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	0	3860	3860
741	41	0	41
848	41	0	41
800	40	0	40
858	37	0	37
816	36	0	36
790	34	0	34
850	34	0	34
892	32	0	32
885	29	0	29

iwrobspre_completed

Label: FTF ONLY- Pre IWR Obs- IWR obs module completed (after Pre-election IW)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	2031	0	2031
2. No	23	0	23
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	98.9	99.1	0.0	0.0	34.3	34.4
2. No	1.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

iwrobspre_skintone

Label: PRE: FTF ONLY: IWR OBS: Skin tone category of respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Skin tone 1	439	0	439
02. Skin tone 2	471	0	471
03. Skin tone 3	293	0	293
04. Skin tone 4	223	0	223
05. Skin tone 5	154	0	154
06. Skin tone 6	130	0	130
07. Skin tone 7	129	0	129
08. Skin tone 8	103	0	103
09. Skin tone 9	33	0	33
10. Skin tone 10	19	0	19
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-2. Missing	60	0	60

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Skin tone 1	21.4	32.7	0.0	0.0	7.4	11.4
02. Skin tone 2	22.9	28.5	0.0	0.0	8.0	9.9
03. Skin tone 3	14.3	14.4	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0
04. Skin tone 4	10.9	6.9	0.0	0.0	3.8	2.4
05. Skin tone 5	7.5	3.6	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.2
06. Skin tone 6	6.3	3.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.1
07. Skin tone 7	6.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.2
08. Skin tone 8	5.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.9
09. Skin tone 9	1.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3
10. Skin tone 10	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-2. Missing	2.9	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3

iwrobspre_winsigns

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- No. signs in window

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No window signs	1614	0	1614
1. One window sign	6	0	6
2. Two window signs	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable (same date as du module or pre IWR obs not completed)	431	3860	4291

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No window signs	78.6	77.6	0.0	0.0	27.3	26.9
1. One window sign	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2. Two window signs	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable (same date as du module or pre IWR obs not completed)	21.0	22.1	100.0	100.0	72.6	72.9

iwrobspre_winsigns_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre IWR Obs- Describe signs in window

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrobspre_frontsigns

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- No. signs outside/in front

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No front signs	1579	0	1579
1. One front sign	31	0	31
2. Two front signs	9	0	9
3. Three front signs	3	0	3
4. Four front signs	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable (same date as du module or pre IWR obs not completed)	431	3860	4291

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No front signs	76.9	75.2	0.0	0.0	26.7	26.1
1. One front sign	1.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7
2. Two front signs	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
3. Three front signs	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Four front signs	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable (same date as du module or pre IWR obs not completed)	21.0	22.1	100.0	100.0	72.6	72.9

iwrobspre_frontsigns_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre IWR Obs- Describe signs in front

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrobspre_sign_dpc

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Any sign for Dem Pres cand

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	12	0	12
2. No	34	0	34
-1. Inapplicable	2008	3860	5868

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
2. No	1.7	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	97.8	97.2	100.0	100.0	99.2	99.0

iwrobspre_sign_rpc

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Any sign for Rep Pres cand

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	10	0	10
2. No	36	0	36
-1. Inapplicable	2008	3860	5868

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
2. No	1.8	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	97.8	97.2	100.0	100.0	99.2	99.0

iwrobspre_othpresent1

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No one other than R	1103	0	1103
1. Children under 6	299	0	299
2. Older chldren	153	0	153
3. Unknown person	50	0	50
4. Other relatives	113	0	113
5. Other adults	129	0	129
6. Spouse	183	0	183
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No one other than R	53.7	51.0	0.0	0.0	18.7	17.7
1. Children under 6	14.6	12.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	4.2
2. Older chldren	7.4	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.4
3. Unknown person	2.4	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
4. Other relatives	5.5	6.7	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.3
5. Other adults	6.3	6.8	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.4
6. Spouse	8.9	13.3	0.0	0.0	3.1	4.6
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspre_othpresent2

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Older chldren	65	0	65
3. Unknown person	18	0	18
4. Other relatives	60	0	60
5. Other adults	60	0	60
6. Spouse	78	0	78
-1. Inapplicable	1127	3860	4987
-2. No further mention	646	0	646

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Older chldren	3.2	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.8
3. Unknown person	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
4. Other relatives	2.9	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
5. Other adults	2.9	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
6. Spouse	3.8	5.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.7
-1. Inapplicable	54.9	51.9	100.0	100.0	84.3	83.3
-2. No further mention	31.5	34.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	11.8

iwrobspre_othpresent3

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Unknown person	6	0	6
4. Other relatives	27	0	27
5. Other adults	23	0	23
6. Spouse	30	0	30
-1. Inapplicable	1773	3860	5633
-2. No further mention	195	0	195

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Unknown person	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Other relatives	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
5. Other adults	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
6. Spouse	1.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	86.3	85.9	100.0	100.0	95.2	95.1
-2. No further mention	9.5	10.4	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.6

iwrobspre_othpresent4

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 4

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Other relatives	2	0	2
5. Other adults	11	0	11
6. Spouse	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	1968	3860	5828
-2. No further mention	68	0	68

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Other relatives	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Other adults	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
6. Spouse	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	95.8	96.3	100.0	100.0	98.5	98.7
-2. No further mention	3.3	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0

iwrobspre_othpresent5

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. Other adults	2	0	2
6. Spouse	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2036	3860	5896
-2. No further mention	14	0	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. Other adults	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6. Spouse	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.1	99.2	100.0	100.0	99.7	99.7
-2. No further mention	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

iwrobspre_othpresent6

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Others present during IW 6

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
6. Spouse	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2050	3860	5910
-2. No further mention	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
6. Spouse	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.8	99.9	100.0	100.0	99.9	100.0
-2. No further mention	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

iwrobspre_coop

Label:	FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R cooperation
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 64-2
Description:	Pre IWR observations
Question:	R's cooperation was:
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very good	1451	0	1451
2. Good	448	0	448
3. Fair	111	0	111
4. Poor	14	0	14
5. Very poor	6	0	6
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very good	70.6	74.7	0.0	0.0	24.5	25.9
2. Good	21.8	18.5	0.0	0.0	7.6	6.4
3. Fair	5.4	5.2	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.8
4. Poor	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
5. Very poor	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspre_levinfo

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R level of information

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very high	371	0	371
2. Fairly high	542	0	542
3. Average	721	0	721
4. Fairly low	301	0	301
5. Very low	95	0	95
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very high	18.1	20.7	0.0	0.0	6.3	7.2
2. Fairly high	26.4	28.4	0.0	0.0	9.2	9.8
3. Average	35.1	32.1	0.0	0.0	12.2	11.1
4. Fairly low	14.7	13.7	0.0	0.0	5.1	4.8
5. Very low	4.6	4.1	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.4
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspre_intell

Label:	FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R intelligence
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 64-4
Description:	Pre IWR observations
Question:	R's apparent intelligence:
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very high	349	0	349
2. Fairly high	686	0	686
3. Average	857	0	857
4. Fairly low	120	0	120
5. Very low	18	0	18
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	1	0	1

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very high	17.0	19.9	0.0	0.0	5.9	6.9
2. Fairly high	33.4	36.5	0.0	0.0	11.6	12.7
3. Average	41.7	37.4	0.0	0.0	14.5	13.0
4. Fairly low	5.8	4.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.6
5. Very low	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspre_suspic

Label:	FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R suspicious
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 64-5
Description:	Pre IWR observations
Question:	How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not at all suspicious	1467	0	1467
2. Somewhat suspicious	492	0	492
3. Very suspicious	70	0	70
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not at all suspicious	71.4	72.9	0.0	0.0	24.8	25.3
2. Somewhat suspicious	24.0	23.2	0.0	0.0	8.3	8.1
3. Very suspicious	3.4	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspre_interest

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R interest in IW

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very high	536	0	536
2. Fairly high	756	0	756
3. Average	614	0	614
4. Fairly low	108	0	108
5. Very low	15	0	15
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very high	26.1	30.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	10.4
2. Fairly high	36.8	36.7	0.0	0.0	12.8	12.7
3. Average	29.9	26.8	0.0	0.0	10.4	9.3
4. Fairly low	5.3	4.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.6
5. Very low	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspre_sincere

Label:	FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R sincere
Position:	Pre and Post-election survey, section-item no. 64-7
Description:	Pre IWR observations
Question:	How sincere did R seem to be in his or her answers?
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Completely sincere	1708	0	1708
2. Usually sincere	302	0	302
3. Often seemed to be insincere	19	0	19
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Completely sincere	83.2	85.3	0.0	0.0	28.9	29.6
2. Usually sincere	14.7	12.9	0.0	0.0	5.1	4.5
3. Often seemed to be insincere	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspre_doubtsnc

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Places where sincerity doubted

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes (SPECIFY)	71	0	71
5. No	1958	0	1958
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes (SPECIFY)	3.5	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8
5. No	95.3	96.5	0.0	0.0	33.1	33.5
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspre_doubtsnc_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre IWR Obs- Where sincerity doubted

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrobspre_estinc

Label:	FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: estimate of family income
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 64-10
Description:	Pre IWR observations
Question:	If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 2011).
Source:	IWR

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
11. 30,000-34,999	187	0	187
13. 40,000-44,999	172	0	172
15. 50,000-54,999	122	0	122
09. 25,000-27,499	113	0	113
12. 35,000-39,999	112	0	112
14. 45,000-49,999	107	0	107
07. 20,000-22,499	91	0	91
17. 60,000-64,999	88	0	88
16. 55,000-59,999	86	0	86

iwrobspre_estage

Label:	FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R age estimate
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 64-11
Description:	Pre IWR observations
Question:	What would you estimate R's age to be?
Source:	IWR

75 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	23	3860	3883
40	97	0	97
50	93	0	93
35	84	0	84
30	79	0	79
60	76	0	76
45	74	0	74
55	72	0	72
65	56	0	56
25	55	0	55

iwrobspre_gender

Label:	FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R gender
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 64-12
Description:	Pre IWR observations
Question:	Is R male or female?
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	880	0	880
2. Female	1148	0	1148
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	42.8	47.9	0.0	0.0	14.9	16.6
2. Female	55.9	51.1	0.0	0.0	19.4	17.7
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

iwrobspre_esteduc

Label:	FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R education estimate
Position:	Pre-election survey, section-item no. 64-13
Description:	Pre IWR observations
Question:	What would you estimate R's level of education to be?
Source:	IWR

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Low - probably less than high school diploma	239	0	239
2. Probably has a high school diploma but probably no college	632	0	632
3. Probably a little college	577	0	577
4. Probably a college degree	575	0	575
8. Hard to guess	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Low - probably less than high school diploma	11.6	7.4	0.0	0.0	4.0	2.6
2. Probably has a high school diploma but probably no college	30.8	30.9	0.0	0.0	10.7	10.7
3. Probably a little college	28.1	28.1	0.0	0.0	9.8	9.8
4. Probably a college degree	28.0	32.2	0.0	0.0	9.7	11.2
8. Hard to guess	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

iwrobspre_esteduc_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre IWR Obs- Specify other: R education level

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrobspre_react1

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. R had trouble using the tablet	277	0	277
02. Negative - general	20	0	20
03. Negative - too long	121	0	121
04. Negative - too complicated	8	0	8
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	25	0	25
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	10	0	10
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	7	0	7
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	73	0	73
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	12	0	12
10. R became angry at interview content	2	0	2
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	14	0	14
12. R could not read respondent booklet	1	0	1
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	998	0	998
14. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)	460	0	460
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	3	0	3

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. R had trouble using the tablet	13.5	13.4	0.0	0.0	4.7	4.7
02. Negative - general	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
03. Negative - too long	5.9	4.3	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.5
04. Negative - too complicated	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	1.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	3.6	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
10. R became angry at interview content	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	48.6	49.6	0.0	0.0	16.9	17.2
14. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)	22.4	23.6	0.0	0.0	7.8	8.2
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

iwrobspre_react2

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
02. Negative - general	6	0	6
03. Negative - too long	53	0	53
04. Negative - too complicated	13	0	13
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	37	0	37
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	8	0	8
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	19	0	19
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	33	0	33
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	11	0	11
10. R became angry at interview content	3	0	3
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	5	0	5
12. R could not read respondent booklet	15	0	15
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	137	0	137
-1. Inapplicable	486	3860	4346
-2. No further mention	1228	0	1228

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
02. Negative - general	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
03. Negative - too long	2.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
04. Negative - too complicated	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	1.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.9	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	1.6	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
10. R became angry at interview content	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	6.7	6.8	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	23.7	24.7	100.0	100.0	73.5	73.8
-2. No further mention	59.8	59.0	0.0	0.0	20.8	20.5

iwrobspre_react3

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
03. Negative - too long	2	0	2
04. Negative - too complicated	11	0	11
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	16	0	16
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	12	0	12
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	7	0	7
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	22	0	22
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	10	0	10
10. R became angry at interview content	5	0	5
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	5	0	5
12. R could not read respondent booklet	8	0	8
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	36	0	36
-1. Inapplicable	1714	3860	5574
-2. No further mention	206	0	206

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
03. Negative - too long	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
04. Negative - too complicated	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	1.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
10. R became angry at interview content	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	1.8	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	83.4	83.7	100.0	100.0	94.3	94.3
-2. No further mention	10.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.6

iwrobspre_react4

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 4

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
04. Negative - too complicated	2	0	2
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	3	0	3
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	9	0	9
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	3	0	3
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	10	0	10
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	4	0	4
10. R became angry at interview content	2	0	2
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	3	0	3
12. R could not read respondent booklet	3	0	3
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	13	0	13
-1. Inapplicable	1920	3860	5780
-2. No further mention	82	0	82

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
04. Negative - too complicated	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
10. R became angry at interview content	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	93.5	94.1	100.0	100.0	97.7	98.0
-2. No further mention	4.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.4

iwrobspre_react5

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	2	0	2
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	3	0	3
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	1	0	1
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	6	0	6
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	3	0	3
10. R became angry at interview content	2	0	2
12. R could not read respondent booklet	2	0	2
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	4	0	4
-1. Inapplicable	2002	3860	5862
-2. No further mention	29	0	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10. R became angry at interview content	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	97.5	98.2	100.0	100.0	99.1	99.4
-2. No further mention	1.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4

iwrobspre_react6

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 6

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	1	0	1
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	3	0	3
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	6	0	6
10. R became angry at interview content	2	0	2
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2031	3860	5891
-2. No further mention	9	0	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10. R became angry at interview content	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.9	99.3	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.8
-2. No further mention	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1

iwrobspre_react7

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 7

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	1	0	1
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	1	0	1
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	3	0	3
12. R could not read respondent booklet	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2040	3860	5900
-2. No further mention	7	0	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.3	99.6	100.0	100.0	99.8	99.9
-2. No further mention	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

iwrobspre_react8

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 8

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2047	3860	5907
-2. No further mention	6	0	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9
-2. No further mention	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

iwrobspre_react9

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 9

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2053	3860	5913

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

iwrobspre_iwrentry_casi

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Did R enter all CASI responses himself/herself

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Respondent entered all CASI items	1728	0	1728
2. Interviewer answered at least 1 CASI item	300	0	300
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Respondent entered all CASI items	84.1	85.3	0.0	0.0	29.2	29.6
2. Interviewer answered at least 1 CASI item	14.6	13.7	0.0	0.0	5.1	4.8
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

iwrobspre_iwraid_casi1

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Did R require any assistance in CASI module 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. No, no assistance was necessary	1664	0	1664
2. Yes, R required assistance due to visual impairment	55	0	55
3. Yes, R required assistance due to physical impairment	34	0	34
4. Yes, R required assistance due to other physical impairment	275	0	275
-1. Inapplicable	23	3860	3883
-2. Missing	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. No, no assistance was necessary	81.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	28.1	28.4
2. Yes, R required assistance due to visual impairment	2.7	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
3. Yes, R required assistance due to physical impairment	1.7	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7
4. Yes, R required assistance due to other physical impairment	13.4	12.9	0.0	0.0	4.6	4.5
-1. Inapplicable	1.1	0.9	100.0	100.0	65.7	65.6
-2. Missing	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

iwrobspre_iwraid_casi2

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Did R require any assistance in CASI module 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Yes, R required assistance due to physical impairment	5	0	5
4. Yes, R required assistance due to other physical impairment	9	0	9
-1. Inapplicable	1690	3860	5550
-2. No further mention	350	0	350

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Yes, R required assistance due to physical impairment	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4. Yes, R required assistance due to other physical impairment	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	82.3	82.8	100.0	100.0	93.8	94.0
-2. No further mention	17.0	16.6	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.8

iwrobspre_iwraid_casi3

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Did R require any assistance in CASI module 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Yes, R required assistance due to other physical impairment	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2040	3860	5900
-2. No further mention	13	0	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Yes, R required assistance due to other physical impairment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.3	99.4	100.0	100.0	99.8	99.8
-2. No further mention	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

iwrobspre_datecompl

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR Obs- Date IWR obs module completed

59 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	25	3860	3885
20121105	68	0	68
20121027	64	0	64
20121103	58	0	58
20121013	56	0	56
20121020	56	0	56
20121006	51	0	51
20121016	51	0	51
20121008	50	0	50
20121026	48	0	48

iwrobspre_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre IWR obs- IWR id of interviewer completing Pre IWR observations

152 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	23	3860	3883
741	41	0	41
848	41	0	41
800	40	0	40
858	37	0	37
790	34	0	34
850	34	0	34
816	33	0	33
892	32	0	32
885	29	0	29

iwrobspost_completed

Label: FTF ONLY- Post IWR Obs- IWR obs module completed (after Post-election IW)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	1907	0	1907
2. No	22	0	22
-1. Inapplicable	125	3860	3985

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	92.8	93.3	0.0	0.0	32.2	32.4
2. No	1.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	6.1	5.7	100.0	100.0	67.4	67.3

iwrobspost_othpresent1

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No one other than R	1122	0	1122
1. Children under 6	280	0	280
2. Older chldren	132	0	132
3. Unknown person	38	0	38
4. Other relatives	92	0	92
5. Other adults	109	0	109
6. Spouse	134	0	134
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No one other than R	54.6	54.8	0.0	0.0	19.0	19.0
1. Children under 6	13.6	10.8	0.0	0.0	4.7	3.7
2. Older chldren	6.4	6.4	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.2
3. Unknown person	1.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
4. Other relatives	4.5	5.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9
5. Other adults	5.3	4.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.7
6. Spouse	6.5	9.4	0.0	0.0	2.3	3.3
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_othpresent2

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Older chldren	66	0	66
3. Unknown person	18	0	18
4. Other relatives	63	0	63
5. Other adults	47	0	47
6. Spouse	69	0	69
-1. Inapplicable	1269	3860	5129
-2. No further mention	522	0	522

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Older chldren	3.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9
3. Unknown person	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
4. Other relatives	3.1	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.8
5. Other adults	2.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
6. Spouse	3.4	4.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	61.8	61.5	100.0	100.0	86.7	86.6
-2. No further mention	25.4	26.5	0.0	0.0	8.8	9.2

iwrobspost_othpresent3

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
3. Unknown person	7	0	7
4. Other relatives	20	0	20
5. Other adults	25	0	25
6. Spouse	31	0	31
-1. Inapplicable	1791	3860	5651
-2. No further mention	180	0	180

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
3. Unknown person	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
4. Other relatives	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
5. Other adults	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
6. Spouse	1.5	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	87.2	88.0	100.0	100.0	95.6	95.8
-2. No further mention	8.8	8.5	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.0

iwrobspost_othpresent4

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 4

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4. Other relatives	3	0	3
5. Other adults	10	0	10
6. Spouse	11	0	11
-1. Inapplicable	1971	3860	5831
-2. No further mention	59	0	59

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
4. Other relatives	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
5. Other adults	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
6. Spouse	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	96.0	96.6	100.0	100.0	98.6	98.8
-2. No further mention	2.9	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9

iwrobspost_othpresent5

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
5. Other adults	2	0	2
6. Spouse	5	0	5
-1. Inapplicable	2030	3860	5890
-2. No further mention	17	0	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
5. Other adults	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6. Spouse	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	98.8	99.1	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.7
-2. No further mention	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2

iwrobspost_othpresent6

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Others present during IW 6

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
6. Spouse	2	0	2
-1. Inapplicable	2047	3860	5907
-2. No further mention	5	0	5

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
6. Spouse	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.7	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9
-2. No further mention	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

iwrobspost_coop

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R cooperation

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very good	1254	0	1254
2. Good	484	0	484
3. Fair	140	0	140
4. Poor	26	0	26
5. Very poor	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very good	61.1	66.5	0.0	0.0	21.2	23.1
2. Good	23.6	20.3	0.0	0.0	8.2	7.1
3. Fair	6.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.9
4. Poor	1.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
5. Very poor	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_levinfo

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R level of information

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very high	238	0	238
2. Fairly high	446	0	446
3. Average	749	0	749
4. Fairly low	349	0	349
5. Very low	125	0	125
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very high	11.6	13.5	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.7
2. Fairly high	21.7	24.5	0.0	0.0	7.5	8.5
3. Average	36.5	34.3	0.0	0.0	12.7	11.9
4. Fairly low	17.0	15.9	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.5
5. Very low	6.1	5.1	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_intell

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R apparent intelligence

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very high	268	0	268
2. Fairly high	564	0	564
3. Average	921	0	921
4. Fairly low	133	0	133
5. Very low	21	0	21
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very high	13.0	15.7	0.0	0.0	4.5	5.4
2. Fairly high	27.5	30.6	0.0	0.0	9.5	10.6
3. Average	44.8	41.2	0.0	0.0	15.6	14.3
4. Fairly low	6.5	5.3	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.8
5. Very low	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_suspic

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R suspicious

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Not at all suspicious	1753	0	1753
2. Somewhat suspicious	142	0	142
3. Very suspicious	12	0	12
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Not at all suspicious	85.3	85.9	0.0	0.0	29.6	29.8
2. Somewhat suspicious	6.9	6.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.3
3. Very suspicious	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_interest

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R interest in IW

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very high	487	0	487
2. Fairly high	659	0	659
3. Average	630	0	630
4. Fairly low	111	0	111
5. Very low	20	0	20
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very high	23.7	26.5	0.0	0.0	8.2	9.2
2. Fairly high	32.1	33.6	0.0	0.0	11.1	11.7
3. Average	30.7	28.5	0.0	0.0	10.7	9.9
4. Fairly low	5.4	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.3
5. Very low	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_sincere

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R sincerity

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Completely sincere	1660	0	1660
2. Usually sincere	222	0	222
3. Often seemed to be insincere	25	0	25
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Completely sincere	80.8	82.7	0.0	0.0	28.1	28.7
2. Usually sincere	10.8	9.8	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.4
3. Often seemed to be insincere	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_doubtsnc

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Places where sincerity doubted

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes (SPECIFY)	63	0	63
5. No	1844	0	1844
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes (SPECIFY)	3.1	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.6
5. No	89.8	91.6	0.0	0.0	31.2	31.8
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_doubtsnc_dsc

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: POST IWR Obs- Where sincerity doubted

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrobspost_react1

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. R had trouble using the tablet	222	0	222
02. Negative - general	14	0	14
03. Negative - too long	125	0	125
04. Negative - too complicated	6	0	6
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	28	0	28
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	1	0	1
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	12	0	12
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	44	0	44
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	9	0	9
10. R became angry at interview content	9	0	9
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	13	0	13
12. R could not read respondent booklet	3	0	3
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	899	0	899
14. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)	522	0	522
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. R had trouble using the tablet	10.8	11.5	0.0	0.0	3.8	4.0
02. Negative - general	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
03. Negative - too long	6.1	4.4	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.5
04. Negative - too complicated	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
10. R became angry at interview content	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	43.8	46.3	0.0	0.0	15.2	16.1
14. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)	25.4	24.1	0.0	0.0	8.8	8.4
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_react2

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
02. Negative - general	4	0	4
03. Negative - too long	34	0	34
04. Negative - too complicated	18	0	18
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	38	0	38
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	7	0	7
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	20	0	20
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	31	0	31
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	9	0	9
10. R became angry at interview content	5	0	5
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	4	0	4
12. R could not read respondent booklet	2	0	2
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	118	0	118
-1. Inapplicable	669	3860	4529
-2. No further mention	1095	0	1095

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
02. Negative - general	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
03. Negative - too long	1.7	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
04. Negative - too complicated	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	1.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	1.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
10. R became angry at interview content	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	5.7	6.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.1
-1. Inapplicable	32.6	30.8	100.0	100.0	76.6	76.0
-2. No further mention	53.3	55.9	0.0	0.0	18.5	19.4

iwrobspost_react3

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
03. Negative - too long	4	0	4
04. Negative - too complicated	14	0	14
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	18	0	18
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	5	0	5
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	2	0	2
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	18	0	18
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	9	0	9
10. R became angry at interview content	4	0	4
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	5	0	5
12. R could not read respondent booklet	6	0	6
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	30	0	30
-1. Inapplicable	1764	3860	5624
-2. No further mention	175	0	175

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
03. Negative - too long	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
04. Negative - too complicated	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
10. R became angry at interview content	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	1.5	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	85.9	86.7	100.0	100.0	95.1	95.4
-2. No further mention	8.5	8.3	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.9

iwrobspost_react4

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 4

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
04. Negative - too complicated	3	0	3
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	8	0	8
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	4	0	4
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	3	0	3
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	3	0	3
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	3	0	3
10. R became angry at interview content	3	0	3
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	2	0	2
12. R could not read respondent booklet	3	0	3
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	3	0	3
-1. Inapplicable	1939	3860	5799
-2. No further mention	80	0	80

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
04. Negative - too complicated	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
10. R became angry at interview content	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	94.4	95.0	100.0	100.0	98.1	98.3
-2. No further mention	3.9	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.3

iwrobspost_react5

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	3	0	3
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	3	0	3
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	3	0	3
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	1	0	1
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	2	0	2
12. R could not read respondent booklet	1	0	1
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2019	3860	5879
-2. No further mention	21	0	21

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
05. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	98.3	98.8	100.0	100.0	99.4	99.6
-2. No further mention	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2

iwrobspost_react6

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 6

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	3	0	3
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	2	0	2
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	2	0	2
12. R could not read respondent booklet	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2040	3860	5900
-2. No further mention	6	0	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
06. R wanted to stop before interview complete	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12. R could not read respondent booklet	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.3	99.4	100.0	100.0	99.8	99.8
-2. No further mention	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

iwrobspost_react7

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 7

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	1	0	1
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	2	0	2
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	2	0	2
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2046	3860	5906
-2. No further mention	2	0	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
07. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
09. R stressed/agitated by interview process	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. R appeared to enjoy interview	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.6	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9
-2. No further mention	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

iwrobspost_react8

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- R reaction to IW 8

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	1	0	1
10. R became angry at interview content	1	0	1
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	1	0	1
-1. Inapplicable	2048	3860	5908
-2. No further mention	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
08. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. R became angry at interview content	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. R concered with sampling purpose or bias	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	99.7	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.9	99.9
-2. No further mention	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

iwrobspost_iwrentry_casi

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Did R enter all CASI responses himself/herself

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Respondent entered all CASI items	1686	0	1686
2. Interviewer answered at least 1 CASI item	221	0	221
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Respondent entered all CASI items	82.1	82.7	0.0	0.0	28.5	28.7
2. Interviewer answered at least 1 CASI item	10.8	10.6	0.0	0.0	3.7	3.7
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_iwraid_casi

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Did R require any assistance in CASI module

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. No, no assistance was necessary	1606	0	1606
2. Yes, R required assistance due to visual impairment	53	0	53
3. Yes, R required assistance due to physical impairment	29	0	29
4. Yes, R required assistance due to other physical impairment	219	0	219
-1. Inapplicable	147	3860	4007

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. No, no assistance was necessary	78.2	77.6	0.0	0.0	27.2	26.9
2. Yes, R required assistance due to visual impairment	2.6	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
3. Yes, R required assistance due to physical impairment	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
4. Yes, R required assistance due to other physical impairment	10.7	12.4	0.0	0.0	3.7	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	7.2	6.7	100.0	100.0	67.8	67.6

iwrobspost_datecompl

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR Obs- Date IWR obs module completed

67 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	147	3860	4007
20121112	82	0	82
20121114	79	0	79
20121113	78	0	78
20121115	76	0	76
20121116	75	0	75
20121117	73	0	73
20121119	73	0	73
20121128	66	0	66
20121127	64	0	64

iwrobspost_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Post IWR obs- IWR id of interviewer completing Post IWR observations

125 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	147	3860	4007
759	43	0	43
741	41	0	41
816	38	0	38
850	36	0	36
829	35	0	35
892	33	0	33
898	32	0	32
885	29	0	29
858	28	0	28

iwrdesc_pre_completed

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR description: IWR agree to description module

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, at least 1 interviewer description question answered for pre interviewer	1966	0	1966
2. No, no IWR descr items completed for pre interviewer	88	0	88
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, at least 1 interviewer description question answered for pre interviewer	95.7	94.8	0.0	0.0	33.2	32.9
2. No, no IWR descr items completed for pre interviewer	4.3	5.2	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

iwrdesc_pre_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR description: pre-election survey IWR id

152 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	0	3860	3860
741	41	0	41
848	41	0	41
800	40	0	40
858	37	0	37
816	36	0	36
790	34	0	34
850	34	0	34
892	32	0	32
885	29	0	29

iwrdesc_pre_skintone

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: skintone

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Skintone 2	291	0	291
3. Skintone 3	607	0	607
4. Skintone 4	351	0	351
5. Skintone 5	92	0	92
6. Skintone 6	111	0	111
7. Skintone 7	92	0	92
8. Skintone 8	68	0	68
9. Skintone 9	80	0	80
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	172	0	172
-9. Refused	102	0	102

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Skintone 2	14.2	16.4	0.0	0.0	4.9	5.7
3. Skintone 3	29.6	33.9	0.0	0.0	10.3	11.8
4. Skintone 4	17.1	17.8	0.0	0.0	5.9	6.2
5. Skintone 5	4.5	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.1
6. Skintone 6	5.4	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.3
7. Skintone 7	4.5	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.8
8. Skintone 8	3.3	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
9. Skintone 9	3.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	8.4	8.1	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.8
-9. Refused	5.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.5

iwrdesc_pre_born_year

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: year born

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_pre_age

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: age

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_pre_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: agr group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age 18-34	83	0	83
2. Age 35-50	707	0	707
3. Age 51-64	830	0	830
4. Age 65+	105	0	105
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	232	0	232

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age 18-34	4.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.5
2. Age 35-50	34.4	30.7	0.0	0.0	12.0	10.7
3. Age 51-64	40.4	41.9	0.0	0.0	14.0	14.6
4. Age 65+	5.1	5.9	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	11.3	10.7	0.0	0.0	3.9	3.7

iwrdesc_pre_educ

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: education

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_pre_educgrp

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: education group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. High school level	105	0	105
2. College level	1730	0	1730
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	122	0	122

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. High school level	5.1	4.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.7
2. College level	84.2	83.4	0.0	0.0	29.3	29.0
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	5.9	5.3	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.8

iwrdesc_pre_gender

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: gender

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	405	0	405
2. Female	1403	0	1403
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	149	0	149

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	19.7	20.6	0.0	0.0	6.8	7.2
2. Female	68.3	67.1	0.0	0.0	23.7	23.3
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	7.3	5.8	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.0

iwrdesc_pre_lang

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Spanish spoken

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Fluent in Spanish	586	0	586
2. Speak Spanish, not fluently	251	0	251
3. Do not speak Spanish	983	0	983
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	137	0	137

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Fluent in Spanish	28.5	22.1	0.0	0.0	9.9	7.7
2. Speak Spanish, not fluently	12.2	15.4	0.0	0.0	4.2	5.3
3. Do not speak Spanish	47.9	50.2	0.0	0.0	16.6	17.4
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	6.7	5.8	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.0

iwrdesc_pre_exper

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: experience

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_pre_exper_grp

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: experience (grouped)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 0-5 years	976	0	976
2. 6-10 years	232	0	232
3. 11 or more years	638	0	638
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	111	0	111

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 0-5 years	47.5	44.5	0.0	0.0	16.5	15.4
2. 6-10 years	11.3	15.1	0.0	0.0	3.9	5.2
3. 11 or more years	31.1	29.5	0.0	0.0	10.8	10.2
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	5.4	4.4	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.5

iwrdesc_pre_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	514	0	514
2. No	1243	0	1243
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	200	0	200

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	25.0	18.8	0.0	0.0	8.7	6.5
2. No	60.5	65.7	0.0	0.0	21.0	22.8
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	9.7	9.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.1

iwrdesc_pre_race_white

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: White

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected	496	0	496
1. White	1297	0	1297
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	164	0	164

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected	24.1	16.9	0.0	0.0	8.4	5.9
1. White	63.1	69.6	0.0	0.0	21.9	24.2
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	8.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.4

iwrdesc_pre_race_black

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected	1384	0	1384
1. Black or African-American	409	0	409
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	164	0	164

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected	67.4	74.2	0.0	0.0	23.4	25.8
1. Black or African-American	19.9	12.3	0.0	0.0	6.9	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	8.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.4

iwrdesc_pre_race_other

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Race other than white or black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected	1548	0	1548
1. Other selected	245	0	245
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	164	0	164

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected	75.4	71.6	0.0	0.0	26.2	24.9
1. Other selected	11.9	15.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	5.2
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	8.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.4

pre_iwrdsc_race_native

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: Native american

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_pre_race_asian

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: Asian

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_pre_race_pacif

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: Pacific Islander or Native Hawaiian

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_pre_race_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Pre survey IWR descr: Other race

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_pre_pid

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: Party ID

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrat	749	0	749
2. Republican	358	0	358
3. Independent	487	0	487
4. Something else	210	0	210
-1. Inapplicable	88	3860	3948
-9. Refused (by IWR)	162	0	162

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrat	36.5	31.6	0.0	0.0	12.7	11.0
2. Republican	17.4	21.0	0.0	0.0	6.1	7.3
3. Independent	23.7	27.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	9.4
4. Something else	10.2	8.2	0.0	0.0	3.6	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	4.3	5.2	100.0	100.0	66.8	67.1
-9. Refused (by IWR)	7.9	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.4

iwrdesc_pre_pid_demstr

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: strong Democrat

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong Democrat	405	0	405
2. Not very strong Democrat	321	0	321
-1. Inapplicable	1305	3860	5165
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	14	0	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong Democrat	19.7	17.2	0.0	0.0	6.8	6.0
2. Not very strong Democrat	15.6	12.5	0.0	0.0	5.4	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	63.5	68.4	100.0	100.0	87.3	89.0
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

iwrdesc_pre_pid_repstr

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: strong Republican

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong Republican	201	0	201
2. Not very strong Republican	157	0	157
-1. Inapplicable	1696	3860	5556

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong Republican	9.8	13.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	4.5
2. Not very strong Republican	7.6	8.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	82.6	79.0	100.0	100.0	93.9	92.7

iwrdesc_pre_pid_lean

Label: FTF ONLY: Pre survey IWR descr: leaning toward either major party

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Closer to the Republican party	76	0	76
2. Closer to the Democratic party	314	0	314
3. Neither	264	0	264
-1. Inapplicable	1357	3860	5217
-9. Refused (by IWR)	43	0	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Closer to the Republican party	3.7	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.0
2. Closer to the Democratic party	15.3	17.1	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.9
3. Neither	12.9	12.1	0.0	0.0	4.5	4.2
-1. Inapplicable	66.1	64.9	100.0	100.0	88.2	87.8
-9. Refused (by IWR)	2.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.1

iwrdesc_post_completed

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR description: IWR agree to description module

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, at least 1 interviewer description question answered for post interviewer	1804	0	1804
2. No, no IWR descr items completed for post interviewer	125	0	125
-1. Inapplicable	125	3860	3985

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, at least 1 interviewer description question answered for post interviewer	87.8	85.9	0.0	0.0	30.5	29.8
2. No, no IWR descr items completed for post interviewer	6.1	8.3	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	6.1	5.7	100.0	100.0	67.4	67.3

iwrdesc_post_iwrid

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR description- post-election survey IWR id

125 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	125	3860	3985
759	43	0	43
741	41	0	41
816	40	0	40
850	36	0	36
829	35	0	35
892	33	0	33
898	32	0	32
885	29	0	29
858	28	0	28

iwrdesc_post_skintone

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: skintone

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Skintone 2	326	0	326
3. Skintone 3	563	0	563
4. Skintone 4	317	0	317
5. Skintone 5	83	0	83
6. Skintone 6	95	0	95
7. Skintone 7	81	0	81
8. Skintone 8	55	0	55
9. Skintone 9	62	0	62
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-2. Missing	127	0	127
-9. Refused	95	0	95

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Skintone 2	15.9	16.8	0.0	0.0	5.5	5.9
3. Skintone 3	27.4	30.3	0.0	0.0	9.5	10.5
4. Skintone 4	15.4	15.9	0.0	0.0	5.4	5.5
5. Skintone 5	4.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.1
6. Skintone 6	4.6	2.7	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.9
7. Skintone 7	3.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.7
8. Skintone 8	2.7	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
9. Skintone 9	3.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-2. Missing	6.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.2
-9. Refused	4.6	4.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.5

iwrdesc_post_born_year

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: year born

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_post_age

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: age

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_post_agegrp

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: agr group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Age 18-34	66	0	66
2. Age 35-50	583	0	583
3. Age 51-64	825	0	825
4. Age 65+	111	0	111
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	210	0	210

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Age 18-34	3.2	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
2. Age 35-50	28.4	26.4	0.0	0.0	9.9	9.2
3. Age 51-64	40.2	38.5	0.0	0.0	13.9	13.4
4. Age 65+	5.4	5.6	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	10.2	10.5	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.7

iwrdesc_post_educ

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: education

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_post_educgrp

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: education group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. High school level	113	0	113
2. College level	1574	0	1574
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	108	0	108

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. High school level	5.5	6.1	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.1
2. College level	76.6	73.3	0.0	0.0	26.6	25.5
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	5.3	5.3	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.8

iwrdesc_post_gender

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: gender

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	316	0	316
2. Female	1340	0	1340
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	139	0	139

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	15.4	16.4	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.7
2. Female	65.2	62.4	0.0	0.0	22.7	21.7
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	6.8	5.8	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.0

iwrdesc_post_lang

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Spanish spoken

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Fluent in Spanish	545	0	545
2. Speak Spanish, not fluently	230	0	230
3. Do not speak Spanish	905	0	905
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	115	0	115

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Fluent in Spanish	26.5	18.5	0.0	0.0	9.2	6.4
2. Speak Spanish, not fluently	11.2	14.1	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.9
3. Do not speak Spanish	44.1	46.3	0.0	0.0	15.3	16.1
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	5.6	5.8	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.0

iwrdesc_post_exper

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: experience

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_post_exper_grp

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: experience (grouped)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 0-5 years	881	0	881
2. 6-10 years	204	0	204
3. 11 or more years	611	0	611
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	99	0	99

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 0-5 years	42.9	41.1	0.0	0.0	14.9	14.3
2. 6-10 years	9.9	12.7	0.0	0.0	3.4	4.4
3. 11 or more years	29.7	26.3	0.0	0.0	10.3	9.1
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	4.8	4.6	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.6

iwrdesc_post_hisp

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Hispanic

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	487	0	487
2. No	1146	0	1146
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	162	0	162

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	23.7	16.5	0.0	0.0	8.2	5.7
2. No	55.8	59.9	0.0	0.0	19.4	20.8
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	7.9	8.2	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.9

iwrdesc_post_race_white

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: White

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected	424	0	424
1. White	1240	0	1240
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-9. Refused (by IWR)	140	0	140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected	20.6	15.7	0.0	0.0	7.2	5.4
1. White	60.4	63.7	0.0	0.0	21.0	22.1
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-9. Refused (by IWR)	6.8	6.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.3

iwrdesc_post_race_black

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected	1317	0	1317
1. Black or African-American	347	0	347
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-9. Refused (by IWR)	140	0	140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected	64.1	69.4	0.0	0.0	22.3	24.1
1. Black or African-American	16.9	10.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	3.5
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-9. Refused (by IWR)	6.8	6.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.3

iwrdesc_post_race_other

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Race other than white or black

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not selected	1477	0	1477
1. Other selected	187	0	187
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-9. Refused (by IWR)	140	0	140

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not selected	71.9	67.5	0.0	0.0	25.0	23.5
1. Other selected	9.1	11.8	0.0	0.0	3.2	4.1
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-9. Refused (by IWR)	6.8	6.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.3

post_iwrdsc_racenative

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: Native american

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_post_raceasian

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: Asian

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_post_racepacif

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: Pacific Islander or Native Hawaiian

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_post_race_oth

Label: FTF ONLY: RESTRICTED: Post survey IWR descr: Other race

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	0	3860	3860
-3. Restricted access	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-3. Restricted access	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

iwrdesc_post_pid

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: Party ID

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrat	671	0	671
2. Republican	338	0	338
3. Independent	465	0	465
4. Something else	188	0	188
-1. Inapplicable	250	3860	4110
-9. Refused (by IWR)	142	0	142

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrat	32.7	26.3	0.0	0.0	11.3	9.1
2. Republican	16.5	19.4	0.0	0.0	5.7	6.7
3. Independent	22.6	26.5	0.0	0.0	7.9	9.2
4. Something else	9.2	6.7	0.0	0.0	3.2	2.3
-1. Inapplicable	12.2	14.1	100.0	100.0	69.5	70.2
-9. Refused (by IWR)	6.9	7.1	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.5

iwrdesc_post_pid_demstr

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: strong Democrat

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong Democrat	396	0	396
2. Not very strong Democrat	253	0	253
-1. Inapplicable	1383	3860	5243
-2. Missing	9	0	9
-9. Refused (by IWR)	13	0	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong Democrat	19.3	16.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	5.5
2. Not very strong Democrat	12.3	8.5	0.0	0.0	4.3	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	67.3	73.7	100.0	100.0	88.7	90.9
-2. Missing	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused (by IWR)	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2

iwrdesc_post_pid_repstr

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: strong Republican

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong Republican	198	0	198
2. Not very strong Republican	140	0	140
-1. Inapplicable	1716	3860	5576

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong Republican	9.6	12.1	0.0	0.0	3.3	4.2
2. Not very strong Republican	6.8	7.3	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	83.5	80.6	100.0	100.0	94.3	93.3

iwrdesc_post_pid_lean

Label: FTF ONLY: Post survey IWR descr: leaning toward either major party

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Closer to the Republican party	91	0	91
2. Closer to the Democratic party	307	0	307
3. Neither	209	0	209
-1. Inapplicable	1401	3860	5261
-9. Refused (by IWR)	46	0	46

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Closer to the Republican party	4.4	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.1
2. Closer to the Democratic party	14.9	15.7	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.4
3. Neither	10.2	11.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.8
-1. Inapplicable	68.2	66.8	100.0	100.0	89.0	88.5
-9. Refused (by IWR)	2.2	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.2

profile_completed

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Date completed

177 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
20120620	0	260	260
20120622	0	252	252
20120623	0	236	236
20120621	0	227	227
20120707	0	186	186
20120627	0	128	128
20120625	0	113	113
20120630	0	110	110
20120624	0	109	109

profile_panelentrydate

Label: WEB ONLY: Date R entered the Panel (YYYYMMDD)

1707 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	0	2054
20120213	0	29	29
20120716	0	25	25
20110821	0	19	19
20120709	0	18	18
20110218	0	15	15
20100412	0	14	14
20110924	0	13	13
20120217	0	13	13
20090420	0	12	12

profile_recruittype

Label: WEB ONLY: Panel recruitment type

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	0	3734	3734
2	0	126	126
-1	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	0.0	0.0	96.7	97.9	63.1	63.9
2	0.0	0.0	3.3	2.1	2.1	1.3
-1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_surveyscompleted

Label: WEB ONLY: Number of surveys completed up to and including October 10, 2012

730 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
21	0	30	30
87	0	24	24
29	0	19	19
25	0	18	18
35	0	18	18
38	0	18	18
41	0	18	18
69	0	18	18
85	0	18	18

profile_headhh

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R household head

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	581	581
1. Yes	0	3279	3279
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	15.1	18.4	9.8	12.0
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	84.9	81.6	55.4	53.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_hhsize

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Size of R household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	0	839	839
2	0	1465	1465
3	0	651	651
4	0	534	534
5	0	227	227
6	0	94	94
7	0	29	29
8	0	12	12
9	0	4	4
10	0	3	3
13	0	1	1
14	0	1	1
-1	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	0.0	0.0	21.7	20.3	14.2	13.2
2	0.0	0.0	38.0	35.4	24.8	23.1
3	0.0	0.0	16.9	18.2	11.0	11.9
4	0.0	0.0	13.8	15.7	9.0	10.2
5	0.0	0.0	5.9	6.4	3.8	4.2
6	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.8	1.6	1.8
7	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4
8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
10	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_housing

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Housing type of respondent home

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A one-family house detached from any other house	0	2691	2691
2. A one-family house attached to one or more houses	0	325	325
3. A building with 2 or more apartments	0	672	672
4. A mobile home	0	160	160
5. Boat, rv, van, etc.	0	12	12
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A one-family house detached from any other house	0.0	0.0	69.7	67.6	45.5	44.1
2. A one-family house attached to one or more houses	0.0	0.0	8.4	8.5	5.5	5.6
3. A building with 2 or more apartments	0.0	0.0	17.4	17.5	11.4	11.4
4. A mobile home	0.0	0.0	4.1	6.1	2.7	4.0
5. Boat, rv, van, etc.	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_homeown

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R home ownership status

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	0	2830	2830
2. Rented for cash	0	922	922
3. Occupied without payment of cash rent	0	108	108
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	0.0	0.0	73.3	72.1	47.9	47.1
2. Rented for cash	0.0	0.0	23.9	24.8	15.6	16.2
3. Occupied without payment of cash rent	0.0	0.0	2.8	3.1	1.8	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_educdegree

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R education

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. No formal education	0	7	7
2. 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	0	7	7
3. 5th or 6th grade	0	22	22
4. 7th or 8th grade	0	40	40
5. 9th grade	0	34	34
6. 10th grade	0	52	52
7. 11th grade	0	56	56
8. 12th grade no diploma	0	88	88
9. High school graduate - high school diploma or the equivalent (ged)	0	1028	1028
10. Some college, no degree	0	845	845
11. Associate degree	0	349	349
12. Bachelors degree	0	796	796
13. Masters degree	0	391	391
14. Professional or doctorate degree	0	145	145
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. No formal education	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
2. 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2
3. 5th or 6th grade	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3
4. 7th or 8th grade	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.4	0.7	0.9
5. 9th grade	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.7
6. 10th grade	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.7	0.9	1.1
7. 11th grade	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.7	0.9	1.1
8. 12th grade no diploma	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.9	1.5	1.9
9. High school graduate - high school diploma or the equivalent (ged)	0.0	0.0	26.6	31.6	17.4	20.6
10. Some college, no degree	0.0	0.0	21.9	20.3	14.3	13.3
11. Associate degree	0.0	0.0	9.0	8.9	5.9	5.8
12. Bachelors degree	0.0	0.0	20.6	18.5	13.5	12.1
13. Masters degree	0.0	0.0	10.1	8.2	6.6	5.3
14. Professional or doctorate degree	0.0	0.0	3.8	2.7	2.5	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_educ

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R education 4 categories

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Less than high school	0	306	306
2. High school	0	1028	1028
3. Some college	0	1194	1194
4. Bachelor's degree or higher	0	1332	1332
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Less than high school	0.0	0.0	7.9	9.7	5.2	6.3
2. High school	0.0	0.0	26.6	31.6	17.4	20.6
3. Some college	0.0	0.0	30.9	29.3	20.2	19.1
4. Bachelor's degree or higher	0.0	0.0	34.5	29.4	22.5	19.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_region9

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Sample address region 9 categories

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. New england	0	168	168
2. Mid-atlantic	0	472	472
3. East-north central	0	587	587
4. West-north central	0	289	289
5. South atlantic	0	777	777
6. East-south central	0	214	214
7. West-south central	0	437	437
8. Mountain	0	279	279
9. Pacific	0	637	637
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. New england	0.0	0.0	4.4	4.1	2.8	2.7
2. Mid-atlantic	0.0	0.0	12.2	12.7	8.0	8.3
3. East-north central	0.0	0.0	15.2	13.9	9.9	9.1
4. West-north central	0.0	0.0	7.5	7.4	4.9	4.8
5. South atlantic	0.0	0.0	20.1	20.5	13.1	13.4
6. East-south central	0.0	0.0	5.5	6.2	3.6	4.1
7. West-south central	0.0	0.0	11.3	11.5	7.4	7.5
8. Mountain	0.0	0.0	7.2	8.4	4.7	5.5
9. Pacific	0.0	0.0	16.5	15.3	10.8	10.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_hhchild0to1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- household children 0-1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_hhchild2to5

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- household children 2-5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_hhchild6to12

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- household children 6-12

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_hhchild13to17

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- household children 13-17

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_hhchild0to5_x

Label: WEB ONLY: SUMMARY: Core profile- household children 0-5

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	0	3420	3420
1	0	301	301
2	0	124	124
3	0	13	13
4	0	2	2
-1	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	0.0	0.0	88.6	85.4	57.8	55.7
1	0.0	0.0	7.8	9.9	5.1	6.4
2	0.0	0.0	3.2	4.3	2.1	2.8
3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
-1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_hhchild6to17_x

Label: WEB ONLY: SUMMARY: Core profile- household children 6-17

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0	0	3034	3034
1	0	426	426
2	0	288	288
3	0	89	89
4	0	18	18
5	0	3	3
8	0	2	2
-1	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0	0.0	0.0	78.6	77.2	51.3	50.4
1	0.0	0.0	11.0	11.6	7.2	7.6
2	0.0	0.0	7.5	8.3	4.9	5.4
3	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.2	1.5	1.5
4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3
5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
-1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_hhadults

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- household adults 18/older

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1	0	949	949
2	0	2088	2088
3	0	540	540
4	0	211	211
5	0	47	47
6	0	21	21
7	0	3	3
10	0	1	1
-1	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1	0.0	0.0	24.6	23.1	16.0	15.1
2	0.0	0.0	54.1	55.1	35.3	36.0
3	0.0	0.0	14.0	14.2	9.1	9.2
4	0.0	0.0	5.5	5.7	3.6	3.7
5	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.8
6	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4
7	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_raceeth

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Race-ethnicity

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. White, non-Hispanic	0	2577	2577
2. Black, non-Hispanic	0	544	544
3. Other, non-Hispanic (incl. 2+ races)	0	174	174
4. Hispanic	0	565	565
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. White, non-Hispanic	0.0	0.0	66.8	70.6	43.6	46.0
2. Black, non-Hispanic	0.0	0.0	14.1	12.5	9.2	8.2
3. Other, non-Hispanic (incl. 2+ races)	0.0	0.0	4.5	5.3	2.9	3.5
4. Hispanic	0.0	0.0	14.6	11.6	9.6	7.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_gender

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R gender

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	0	1959	1959
2. Female	0	1901	1901
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	0.0	0.0	50.8	47.9	33.1	31.3
2. Female	0.0	0.0	49.2	52.1	32.1	34.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_marital

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R marital status

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Married	0	2109	2109
2. Widowed	0	231	231
3. Divorced	0	486	486
4. Separated	0	83	83
5. Never married	0	694	694
6. Living with partner	0	257	257
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Married	0.0	0.0	54.6	52.7	35.7	34.4
2. Widowed	0.0	0.0	6.0	5.3	3.9	3.4
3. Divorced	0.0	0.0	12.6	11.3	8.2	7.4
4. Separated	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.0	1.4	1.3
5. Never married	0.0	0.0	18.0	21.0	11.7	13.7
6. Living with partner	0.0	0.0	6.7	7.8	4.3	5.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_hhincome

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- household income 19 categories

20 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
13. 60,000 to 74,999	0	403	403
16. 100,000 to 124,999	0	384	384
12. 50,000 to 59,999	0	323	323
11. 40,000 to 49,999	0	291	291
15. 85,000 to 99,999	0	270	270
14. 75,000 to 84,999	0	265	265
8. 25,000 to 29,999	0	231	231
10. 35,000 to 39,999	0	228	228
17. 125,000 to 149,999	0	213	213

profile_empstatus

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Current employment status -- OLD

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Working - as a paid employee	0	1782	1782
2. Working - self-employed	0	301	301
3. Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	0	37	37
4. Not working - looking for work	0	270	270
5. Not working - retired	0	930	930
6. Not working - disabled	0	271	271
7. Not working - other	0	269	269
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Working - as a paid employee	0.0	0.0	46.2	48.6	30.1	31.7
2. Working - self-employed	0.0	0.0	7.8	7.0	5.1	4.6
3. Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.6	0.8
4. Not working - looking for work	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.7	4.6	5.0
5. Not working - retired	0.0	0.0	24.1	19.9	15.7	13.0
6. Not working - disabled	0.0	0.0	7.0	6.9	4.6	4.5
7. Not working - other	0.0	0.0	7.0	8.6	4.5	5.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_inetaccess

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- household internet access

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	760	760
1. Yes	0	3100	3100
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	19.7	23.3	12.9	15.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	80.3	76.7	52.4	50.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_pid7_x

Label: WEB ONLY: SUMMARY: Core profile- political party affiliation (7 cat)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong Republican	0	538	538
2. Not strong Republican	0	432	432
3. Leans Republican	0	715	715
4. Undecided/Independent/other	0	108	108
5. Leans Democrat	0	719	719
6. Not strong Democrat	0	554	554
7. Strong Democrat	0	794	794
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong Republican	0.0	0.0	13.9	13.8	9.1	9.0
2. Not strong Republican	0.0	0.0	11.2	12.5	7.3	8.2
3. Leans Republican	0.0	0.0	18.5	18.9	12.1	12.3
4. Undecided/Independent/other	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.8	1.8	1.8
5. Leans Democrat	0.0	0.0	18.6	19.1	12.2	12.5
6. Not strong Democrat	0.0	0.0	14.4	14.9	9.4	9.7
7. Strong Democrat	0.0	0.0	20.6	18.0	13.4	11.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_hhmembers

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile Are there other members in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3115	3115
1. Yes	0	697	697
-1. Inapplicable	2054	48	2102

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	80.7	82.0	52.7	53.5
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	18.1	16.8	11.8	11.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	1.2	1.2	35.5	35.5

profile_race_r

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Race (collapsed)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. White	0	3018	3018
2. Black or African American	0	565	565
3. Other	0	277	277
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. White	0.0	0.0	78.2	79.4	51.0	51.8
2. Black or African American	0.0	0.0	14.6	12.9	9.6	8.4
3. Other	0.0	0.0	7.2	7.7	4.7	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_racefull_r

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Race

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. White	0	3018	3018
2. Black or African American	0	565	565
3. American Indian or Alaska Native	0	28	28
4. Asian/Pacific Islander	0	77	77
5. Some other race	0	172	172
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. White	0.0	0.0	78.2	79.4	51.0	51.8
2. Black or African American	0.0	0.0	14.6	12.9	9.6	8.4
3. American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.6
4. Asian/Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.5	1.3	1.6
5. Some other race	0.0	0.0	4.5	4.2	2.9	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_censusrace_r

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Race, Census categories (collapsed)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. White	0	3062	3062
2. Black or African American	0	562	562
3. Other	0	236	236
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. White	0.0	0.0	79.3	80.7	51.8	52.7
2. Black or African American	0.0	0.0	14.6	12.8	9.5	8.4
3. Other	0.0	0.0	6.1	6.4	4.0	4.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_censusracefull_r

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Race, Census categories

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. White	0	3062	3062
2. Black or African American	0	562	562
3. American Indian or Alaska Native	0	24	24
4. Asian	0	61	61
5. Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	11	11
6. 2+ races	0	140	140
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. White	0.0	0.0	79.3	80.7	51.8	52.7
2. Black or African American	0.0	0.0	14.6	12.8	9.5	8.4
3. American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.6
4. Asian	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.0	1.0	1.3
5. Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
6. 2+ races	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.2	2.4	2.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_hisp

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. No, I am not	0	3295	3295
2. Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano	0	304	304
3. Yes, Puerto Rican	0	60	60
4. Yes, Cuban	0	55	55
5. Yes, Central American	0	32	32
6. Yes, South American	0	41	41
7. Yes, Caribbean	0	9	9
8. Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino	0	64	64
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. No, I am not	0.0	0.0	85.4	88.4	55.7	57.7
2. Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano	0.0	0.0	7.9	6.3	5.1	4.1
3. Yes, Puerto Rican	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.8
4. Yes, Cuban	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.6	0.9	0.4
5. Yes, Central American	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5
6. Yes, South American	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
7. Yes, Caribbean	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
8. Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.6	1.1	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_lang

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R language

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. English	0	3698	3698
2. Spanish	0	160	160
3. Choice	0	2	2
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. English	0.0	0.0	95.8	97.0	62.5	63.3
2. Spanish	0.0	0.0	4.1	3.0	2.7	1.9
3. Choice	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_preferlang

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R prefer to do surveys in Spanish or English

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Spanish	0	162	162
2. English	0	3698	3698
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Spanish	0.0	0.0	4.2	3.0	2.7	1.9
2. English	0.0	0.0	95.8	97.0	62.5	63.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_primelang

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R primary language

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. English proficient	0	209	209
2. Bilingual	0	235	235
3. Spanish proficient	0	121	121
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	3295	3295

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. English proficient	0.0	0.0	5.4	5.0	3.5	3.3
2. Bilingual	0.0	0.0	6.1	4.3	4.0	2.8
3. Spanish proficient	0.0	0.0	3.1	2.3	2.0	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	85.4	88.4	55.7	57.7

profile_spanspeak

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Level of Spanish speaking proficiency

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very well	0	301	301
2. Pretty well	0	116	116
3. Just a little	0	74	74
4. Not at all	0	63	63
5. Don't know	0	7	7
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3295	5349
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very well	0.0	0.0	7.8	5.2	5.1	3.4
2. Pretty well	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	1.6
3. Just a little	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.7	1.3	1.1
4. Not at all	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.8	1.1	1.2
5. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	85.4	88.4	90.4	92.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

profile_spanread

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Level of Spanish reading proficiency

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very well	0	239	239
2. Pretty well	0	129	129
3. Just a little	0	88	88
4. Not at all	0	93	93
5. Don't know	0	5	5
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3295	5349
-9. Refused	0	11	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very well	0.0	0.0	6.2	4.3	4.0	2.8
2. Pretty well	0.0	0.0	3.3	2.5	2.2	1.7
3. Just a little	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.9	1.5	1.2
4. Not at all	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.5	1.6	1.6
5. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	85.4	88.4	90.4	92.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1

profile_engspeak

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Level of English speaking proficiency

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very well	0	379	379
2. Pretty well	0	85	85
3. Just a little	0	74	74
4. Not at all	0	12	12
5. Don't know	0	5	5
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3295	5349
-9. Refused	0	10	10

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very well	0.0	0.0	9.8	7.8	6.4	5.1
2. Pretty well	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.7	1.4	1.1
3. Just a little	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.5	1.3	1.0
4. Not at all	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2
5. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	85.4	88.4	90.4	92.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1

profile_engread

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Level of English reading proficiency

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very well	0	387	387
2. Pretty well	0	81	81
3. Just a little	0	69	69
4. Not at all	0	16	16
5. Don't know	0	4	4
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3295	5349
-9. Refused	0	8	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very well	0.0	0.0	10.0	7.8	6.5	5.1
2. Pretty well	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.6	1.4	1.0
3. Just a little	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.4	1.2	0.9
4. Not at all	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4
5. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	85.4	88.4	90.4	92.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

profile_race1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core Profile- Race ident 1 (not ordered)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_race2

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core Profile- Race ident 2 (not ordered)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_race3

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core Profile- Race ident 3 (not ordered)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_racemost

Label: WEB ONLY: Core Profile- Race R identifies with most (collapsed)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. White	0	61	61
2. Black or African American	0	17	17
3. Other	0	3782	3782
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. White	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.5	1.0	1.0
2. Black or African American	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2
3. Other	0.0	0.0	98.0	98.2	63.9	64.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_racemost_full

Label: WEB ONLY: Core Profile- Race R identifies with most (full)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
-3	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_spanishsurv

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Spanish survey taker

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3698	3698
1. Yes	0	162	162
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	95.8	97.0	62.5	63.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	4.2	3.0	2.7	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_trynewprod

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Try new products before other people

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly agree	0	155	155
2. Somewhat agree	0	600	600
3. Neither agree or disagree	0	1207	1207
4. Somewhat disagree	0	1009	1009
5. Strongly disagree	0	856	856
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	33	33

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly agree	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.5	2.6	2.9
2. Somewhat agree	0.0	0.0	15.5	17.0	10.1	11.1
3. Neither agree or disagree	0.0	0.0	31.3	29.8	20.4	19.5
4. Somewhat disagree	0.0	0.0	26.1	25.3	17.1	16.5
5. Strongly disagree	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2	14.5	14.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1	0.6	0.7

profile_newbrands

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Often try new brands

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly agree	0	192	192
2. Somewhat agree	0	913	913
3. Neither agree or disagree	0	1079	1079
4. Somewhat disagree	0	974	974
5. Strongly disagree	0	663	663
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	39	39

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly agree	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.4	3.2	3.6
2. Somewhat agree	0.0	0.0	23.7	24.5	15.4	16.0
3. Neither agree or disagree	0.0	0.0	28.0	27.6	18.2	18.0
4. Somewhat disagree	0.0	0.0	25.2	24.2	16.5	15.8
5. Strongly disagree	0.0	0.0	17.2	17.0	11.2	11.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.8

profile_looknewprod

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- When shopping I look for what is new

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly agree	0	231	231
2. Somewhat agree	0	959	959
3. Neither agree or disagree	0	1126	1126
4. Somewhat disagree	0	892	892
5. Strongly disagree	0	606	606
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	46	46

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly agree	0.0	0.0	6.0	6.3	3.9	4.1
2. Somewhat agree	0.0	0.0	24.8	26.0	16.2	16.9
3. Neither agree or disagree	0.0	0.0	29.2	27.9	19.0	18.2
4. Somewhat disagree	0.0	0.0	23.1	22.7	15.1	14.8
5. Strongly disagree	0.0	0.0	15.7	15.7	10.2	10.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.5	0.8	1.0

profile_trynew1st

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Like to be first to try something new

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly agree	0	163	163
2. Somewhat agree	0	485	485
3. Neither agree or disagree	0	1148	1148
4. Somewhat disagree	0	1066	1066
5. Strongly disagree	0	960	960
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	38	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly agree	0.0	0.0	4.2	5.2	2.8	3.4
2. Somewhat agree	0.0	0.0	12.6	13.8	8.2	9.0
3. Neither agree or disagree	0.0	0.0	29.7	29.3	19.4	19.1
4. Somewhat disagree	0.0	0.0	27.6	26.0	18.0	17.0
5. Strongly disagree	0.0	0.0	24.9	24.5	16.2	16.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2	0.6	0.8

profile_tellothersnew

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Like to tell others about what is new

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly agree	0	319	319
2. Somewhat agree	0	940	940
3. Neither agree or disagree	0	1098	1098
4. Somewhat disagree	0	728	728
5. Strongly disagree	0	734	734
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	41	41

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly agree	0.0	0.0	8.3	8.8	5.4	5.7
2. Somewhat agree	0.0	0.0	24.4	24.9	15.9	16.3
3. Neither agree or disagree	0.0	0.0	28.4	27.1	18.6	17.7
4. Somewhat disagree	0.0	0.0	18.9	18.6	12.3	12.2
5. Strongly disagree	0.0	0.0	19.0	19.1	12.4	12.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.5	0.7	1.0

profile_hhshopamt

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Amount of household grocery shopping

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. You do all of it	0	1452	1452
2. You do most of it	0	814	814
3. You do about half of it	0	632	632
4. Someone else does most of it, you do some of it	0	661	661
5. Someone else does all of it	0	299	299
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. You do all of it	0.0	0.0	37.6	36.7	24.6	24.0
2. You do most of it	0.0	0.0	21.1	20.6	13.8	13.5
3. You do about half of it	0.0	0.0	16.4	16.5	10.7	10.7
4. Someone else does most of it, you do some of it	0.0	0.0	17.1	16.6	11.2	10.9
5. Someone else does all of it	0.0	0.0	7.7	9.5	5.1	6.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

profile_rparentguard

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R parent or legal guardian

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2948	2948
1. Yes	0	862	862
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	50	50

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	76.4	73.2	49.8	47.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	22.3	25.4	14.6	16.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.4	0.8	0.9

profile_emptynext

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Empty nest household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2633	2633
1. Yes	0	1227	1227
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	68.2	72.8	44.5	47.5
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	31.8	27.2	20.7	17.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_everparent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R ever a parent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1363	1363
1. Yes	0	2497	2497
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	35.3	38.9	23.0	25.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	64.7	61.1	42.2	39.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_hhlandline

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Working landline in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	2992	2992
2. No	0	854	854
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	11	11
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	77.5	70.3	50.6	45.9
2. No	0.0	0.0	22.1	28.9	14.4	18.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1

profile_hhcellphone

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Working cell phone in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	3564	3564
2. No	0	283	283
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	11	11
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	92.3	91.6	60.3	59.8
2. No	0.0	0.0	7.3	7.7	4.8	5.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

profile_phoneuse

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Cell phone/landline phone use

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. (Cell phone only household)	0	820	820
2. All or almost all calls received on cell phones	0	526	526
3. Some received on cell phones and some on regular phones	0	1690	1690
4. Very few or none on cell phones	0	527	527
5. (Regular phone only household)	0	249	249
-1. Inapplicable	2054	37	2091
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	11	11

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. (Cell phone only household)	0.0	0.0	21.2	27.8	13.9	18.1
2. All or almost all calls received on cell phones	0.0	0.0	13.6	13.6	8.9	8.8
3. Some received on cell phones and some on regular phones	0.0	0.0	43.8	37.4	28.6	24.4
4. Very few or none on cell phones	0.0	0.0	13.7	12.8	8.9	8.3
5. (Regular phone only household)	0.0	0.0	6.5	6.6	4.2	4.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	1.0	1.3	35.4	35.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.4

profile_computers

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Number of computers in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. None	0	488	488
1. One or more	0	3363	3363
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	9	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. None	0.0	0.0	12.6	15.5	8.3	10.1
1. One or more	0.0	0.0	87.1	84.2	56.9	55.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

profile_inetcomputers

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Number of computers connected to the Internet

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	752	752
1. Yes	0	3106	3106
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	19.5	23.1	12.7	15.1
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	80.5	76.8	52.5	50.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1

profile_inet_dialup

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection dial-up

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2943	2943
1. Yes	0	96	96
-1. Inapplicable	2054	739	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	76.2	72.2	49.8	47.1
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.8	1.6	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	19.1	22.7	47.2	49.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

profile_inet_dsl

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection DSL

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2087	2087
1. Yes	0	952	952
-1. Inapplicable	2054	739	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	54.1	52.4	35.3	34.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	24.7	21.6	16.1	14.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	19.1	22.7	47.2	49.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

profile_inet_cable

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection Cable modem

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1583	1583
1. Yes	0	1456	1456
-1. Inapplicable	2054	739	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	41.0	38.1	26.8	24.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	37.7	35.9	24.6	23.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	19.1	22.7	47.2	49.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

profile_inet_bband

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection mobile broadband

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2618	2618
1. Yes	0	421	421
-1. Inapplicable	2054	739	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	67.8	62.5	44.3	40.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	10.9	11.6	7.1	7.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	19.1	22.7	47.2	49.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

profile_inet_satell

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection satellite

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2964	2964
1. Yes	0	75	75
-1. Inapplicable	2054	739	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	76.8	72.0	50.1	47.0
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.1	1.3	1.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	19.1	22.7	47.2	49.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

profile_inet_fiboptics

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection fiber optics

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2778	2778
1. Yes	0	261	261
-1. Inapplicable	2054	739	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	72.0	68.1	47.0	44.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	6.8	6.0	4.4	3.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	19.1	22.7	47.2	49.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

profile_inet_tohbband

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection other broadband

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2934	2934
1. Yes	0	105	105
-1. Inapplicable	2054	739	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	76.0	71.3	49.6	46.5
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.7	1.8	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	19.1	22.7	47.2	49.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

profile_inet_wireless

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection wireless

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	13	13
1. Yes	0	1	1
-1. Inapplicable	2054	5	2059
-4. Error	0	3761	3761
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.1	0.1	34.8	34.8
-4. Error	0.0	0.0	97.4	96.2	63.6	62.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1

profile_inet_other

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Internet connection something else

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2983	2983
1. Yes	0	56	56
-1. Inapplicable	2054	739	2793
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	80	80
-9. Refused	0	2	2

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	77.3	72.6	50.4	47.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.4	0.9	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	19.1	22.7	47.2	49.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.2	1.4	2.1
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0

profile_birthmonth

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- Month of birth

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_birthday

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- Day of birth

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_birthyear

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Year of birth

74 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
1951	0	107	107
1956	0	107	107
1954	0	105	105
1949	0	100	100
1953	0	97	97
1955	0	97	97
1960	0	95	95
1947	0	94	94
1952	0	94	94

profile_rpet_cat

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : cat

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2788	2788
1. Yes	0	1072	1072
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	72.2	71.5	47.1	46.7
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	27.8	28.5	18.1	18.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_rpet_dog

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : dog

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2428	2428
1. Yes	0	1432	1432
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	62.9	61.2	41.1	39.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	37.1	38.8	24.2	25.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_rpet_fish

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : fish

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3507	3507
1. Yes	0	353	353
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	90.9	90.1	59.3	58.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	9.1	9.9	6.0	6.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_rpet_bird

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : bird

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3709	3709
1. Yes	0	151	151
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.1	95.9	62.7	62.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_rpet_gerbil

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : gerbil etc.

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3763	3763
1. Yes	0	97	97
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.5	97.2	63.6	63.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.8	1.6	1.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_rpet_reptile

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : reptile

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3797	3797
1. Yes	0	63	63
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	98.4	98.1	64.2	64.0
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9	1.1	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_rpet_horse

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : horse

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3803	3803
1. Yes	0	57	57
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	98.5	98.3	64.3	64.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.7	1.0	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_rpet_other

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does R have any pets : other

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3752	3752
1. Yes	0	108	108
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.2	96.9	63.4	63.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.8	3.1	1.8	2.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_oth_hhpet

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Does anyone in the HH own a pet?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1619	1619
1. Yes	0	2241	2241
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	41.9	39.5	27.4	25.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	58.1	60.5	37.9	39.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_rstudent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- R full-time or part-time student?

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Full-time student	0	183	183
2. Part-time student	0	105	105
3. Not enrolled in school	0	3553	3553
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	19	19

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Full-time student	0.0	0.0	4.7	6.3	3.1	4.1
2. Part-time student	0.0	0.0	2.7	3.0	1.8	2.0
3. Not enrolled in school	0.0	0.0	92.0	90.0	60.1	58.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.5

profile_dualincome

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Dual income household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3428	3428
1. Yes	0	432	432
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	88.8	91.1	58.0	59.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	11.2	8.9	7.3	5.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_numjobs

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- How many jobs R has

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. One	0	1754	1754
2. Two	0	264	264
3. Three	0	31	31
4. Four or more	0	27	27
-1. Inapplicable	2054	1771	3825
-9. Refused	0	13	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. One	0.0	0.0	45.4	46.9	29.7	30.6
2. Two	0.0	0.0	6.8	7.1	4.5	4.6
3. Three	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.5
4. Four or more	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	45.9	44.1	64.7	63.5
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3

profile_fulltimejob

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Full-time/part-time main job or main job

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. R works full-time	0	1603	1603
2. R works part-time	0	472	472
-1. Inapplicable	2054	1771	3825
-9. Refused	0	14	14

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. R works full-time	0.0	0.0	41.5	42.7	27.1	27.9
2. R works part-time	0.0	0.0	12.2	12.8	8.0	8.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	45.9	44.1	64.7	63.5
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3

profile_jobind

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Core profile- Industry (tight scale) in current or main job

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

profile_ind_foodmfg

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Food Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3785	3785
1. Yes	0	75	75
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	98.1	97.7	64.0	63.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.3	1.3	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_bevtob

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Beverage and Tobacco Product Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3839	3839
1. Yes	0	21	21
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	99.5	99.4	64.9	64.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_pharm

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Pharmaceutical and Medicine Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3814	3814
1. Yes	0	46	46
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	98.8	98.9	64.5	64.5
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_healthprod

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Health, Personal Care, and Beauty Product Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3779	3779
1. Yes	0	81	81
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.9	97.6	63.9	63.7
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.4	1.4	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_hhprod

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Household Product Manufacturing

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3813	3813
1. Yes	0	47	47
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	98.8	98.7	64.5	64.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.3	0.8	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_advert

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Advertising Agencies

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3835	3835
1. Yes	0	25	25
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	99.4	99.3	64.8	64.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_healthcare

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Health Care

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3425	3425
1. Yes	0	435	435
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	88.7	89.3	57.9	58.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	11.3	10.7	7.4	7.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_mktresearch

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Market Research and Public Opinion Polling

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3846	3846
1. Yes	0	14	14
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	99.6	99.8	65.0	65.1
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_marketing

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Marketing Consulting Services

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3829	3829
1. Yes	0	31	31
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	99.2	99.3	64.7	64.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_pubrel

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Public Relations Agencies

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3837	3837
1. Yes	0	23	23
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	99.4	99.4	64.9	64.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_broadcast

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Broadcasting

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3846	3846
1. Yes	0	14	14
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	99.6	99.8	65.0	65.1
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_finance

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Finance

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3710	3710
1. Yes	0	150	150
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.1	96.0	62.7	62.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.0	2.5	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_retail

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Retail Trade

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3512	3512
1. Yes	0	348	348
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	91.0	90.1	59.4	58.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	9.0	9.9	5.9	6.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_ind_foodbev

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Food and Beverage Stores

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3714	3714
1. Yes	0	146	146
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.2	96.2	62.8	62.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.8	2.5	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

profile_occ1current

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Occupation (detailed) in current or main job

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	1771	3825
29. Other (please specify)	0	304	304
1. Management	0	273	273
22. Office and administrative support	0	199	199
10. Other professional	0	126	126
3. Computer and mathematical	0	117	117
8. Teacher, except college and university	0	113	113
20. Retail sales	0	75	75
2. Business and financial operations	0	74	74
27. Transportation and material moving	0	71	71

profile_occ2current

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Major occupation group in current or main job

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	2099	2099
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
1. Management occupations	0	273	273
17. Office and administrative support occupations	0	199	199
16. Sales and related occupations	0	158	158
8. Education, training, and library occupations	0	145	145
9. Arts, design, entertainment, sports, and media occupations	0	126	126
3. Computer and mathematical occupations	0	117	117
10. Healthcare practitioner and technical occupations	0	102	102
2. Business and financial operations occupations	0	74	74

profile_occ3current

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Occupation (tight scale) in current or main job

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Management, business, and financial occupations	0	347	347
2. Professional and related occupations	0	651	651
3. Service occupations	0	173	173
4. Sales and related occupations	0	158	158
5. Office and administrative support occupations	0	199	199
6. Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0	13	13
7. Construction and extraction occupations	0	25	25
8. Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations	0	65	65
9. Production occupations	0	45	45
10. Transportation and material moving occupations	0	71	71
11. Other	0	14	14
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	2099	2099

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Management, business, and financial occupations	0.0	0.0	9.0	9.2	5.9	6.0
2. Professional and related occupations	0.0	0.0	16.9	16.4	11.0	10.7
3. Service occupations	0.0	0.0	4.5	5.0	2.9	3.2
4. Sales and related occupations	0.0	0.0	4.1	4.5	2.7	2.9
5. Office and administrative support occupations	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.3	3.4	3.5
6. Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2
7. Construction and extraction occupations	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.5
8. Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.7	1.1	1.1
9. Production occupations	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.8
10. Transportation and material moving occupations	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.7	1.2	1.1
11. Other	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	54.4	53.6	35.5	35.0

profile_employersize

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Total number of persons working for employer

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Under 10	0	398	398
2. 10-24	0	183	183
3. 25-99	0	287	287
4. 100-499	0	341	341
5. 500-999	0	160	160
6. 1000+	0	695	695
-1. Inapplicable	2054	1771	3825
-9. Refused	0	25	25

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Under 10	0.0	0.0	10.3	10.0	6.7	6.5
2. 10-24	0.0	0.0	4.7	5.6	3.1	3.7
3. 25-99	0.0	0.0	7.4	8.2	4.9	5.3
4. 100-499	0.0	0.0	8.8	9.6	5.8	6.3
5. 500-999	0.0	0.0	4.1	4.1	2.7	2.7
6. 1000+	0.0	0.0	18.0	17.6	11.8	11.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	45.9	44.1	64.7	63.5
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5

profile_occ1recent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Occupation (detailed) in most recent job

31 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2629	4683
29. Other (please specify)	0	206	206
1. Management	0	128	128
22. Office and administrative support	0	125	125
8. Teacher, except college and university	0	71	71
20. Retail sales	0	59	59
10. Other professional	0	53	53
2. Business and financial operations	0	49	49
16. Food preparation and serving	0	44	44
27. Transportation and material moving	0	44	44

profile_occ2recent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Major occupation group in most recent job

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	2862	2862
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
1. Management occupations	0	128	128
17. Office and administrative support occupations	0	125	125
16. Sales and related occupations	0	112	112
8. Education, training, and library occupations	0	94	94
9. Arts, design, entertainment, sports, and media occupations	0	53	53
2. Business and financial operations occupations	0	49	49
13. Food preparation and serving related occupations	0	44	44
22. Transportation and material moving occupations	0	44	44

profile_occ3recent

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Occupation (tight scale) in most recent job

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Management, business, and financial occupations	0	177	177
2. Professional and related occupations	0	310	310
3. Service occupations	0	107	107
4. Sales and related occupations	0	112	112
5. Office and administrative support occupations	0	125	125
6. Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0	11	11
7. Construction and extraction occupations	0	27	27
8. Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations	0	32	32
9. Production occupations	0	35	35
10. Transportation and material moving occupations	0	44	44
11. Other	0	18	18
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	2862	2862

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Management, business, and financial occupations	0.0	0.0	4.6	3.2	3.0	2.1
2. Professional and related occupations	0.0	0.0	8.0	6.3	5.2	4.1
3. Service occupations	0.0	0.0	2.8	3.0	1.8	1.9
4. Sales and related occupations	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.8	1.9	1.8
5. Office and administrative support occupations	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.0	2.1	1.9
6. Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
7. Construction and extraction occupations	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5
8. Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4
9. Production occupations	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1	0.6	0.7
10. Transportation and material moving occupations	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.8
11. Other	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	74.1	77.1	48.4	50.3

profile_hhpurchdec

Label: WEB ONLY: Core profile- Major purchase decisions in household

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. I make most or all of the decisions for my household	0	434	434
2. Someone else and I share the decisions for my household	0	691	691
3. Someone else makes most/all of the decisions for my hh	0	39	39
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-2. Missing	0	2696	2696

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. I make most or all of the decisions for my household	0.0	0.0	11.2	8.1	7.3	5.3
2. Someone else and I share the decisions for my household	0.0	0.0	17.9	11.8	11.7	7.7
3. Someone else makes most/all of the decisions for my hh	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2	0.7	0.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	69.8	79.0	45.6	51.5

paprofile_lang

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Survey language

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. English	0	3698	3698
2. Spanish	0	162	162
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. English	0.0	0.0	95.8	97.0	62.5	63.3
2. Spanish	0.0	0.0	4.2	3.0	2.7	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

paprofile_righttrack

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q1: country on right track

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Are generally going in the right direction	0	1248	1248
2. Have gotten off on the wrong track	0	2605	2605
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	7	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Are generally going in the right direction	0.0	0.0	32.3	30.2	21.1	19.7
2. Have gotten off on the wrong track	0.0	0.0	67.5	69.5	44.0	45.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2

paprofile_mip

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q2: most important issue facing the U.S. today

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
4. Economy	0	1639	1639
7. Jobs	0	630	630
15. Federal deficit	0	404	404
6. Healthcare	0	311	311
14. Other (please specify):	0	256	256
2. Education	0	132	132
11. Immigration	0	103	103
1. Taxes	0	62	62
3. Terrorism	0	59	59

paprofile_mip_oth

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q2: most important issue-other

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_regist

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q3: Is R currently registered to vote

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, I am registered to vote at my present address	0	3212	3212
2. Yes, I am registered to vote at a different address	0	183	183
3. No, I am not registered to vote	0	369	369
4. Not sure	0	92	92
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, I am registered to vote at my present address	0.0	0.0	83.2	77.7	54.3	50.7
2. Yes, I am registered to vote at a different address	0.0	0.0	4.7	6.2	3.1	4.1
3. No, I am not registered to vote	0.0	0.0	9.6	12.6	6.2	8.2
4. Not sure	0.0	0.0	2.4	3.4	1.6	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

paprofile_everreg

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q4: has R ever been registered to vote

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	193	193
2. No	0	239	239
3. Not sure	0	29	29
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3395	5449
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	5.0	6.2	3.3	4.1
2. No	0.0	0.0	6.2	8.6	4.0	5.6
3. Not sure	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	88.0	83.9	92.1	89.5
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

paprofile_vote2008_pres

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q5: did R vote in 2008 presidential election

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	3081	3081
2. No	0	489	489
-1. Inapplicable	2054	272	2326
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	79.8	74.1	52.1	48.4
2. No	0.0	0.0	12.7	15.7	8.3	10.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	7.0	9.8	39.3	41.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3

paprofile_howvote2008_pres

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q6: how did R vote in 2008 pres election

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Barack obama (Democrat)	0	1656	1656
2. John mccain (Republican)	0	1269	1269
3. Another candidate, please specify:	0	101	101
-1. Inapplicable	2054	779	2833
-9. Refused	0	55	55

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Barack obama (Democrat)	0.0	0.0	42.9	38.7	28.0	25.2
2. John mccain (Republican)	0.0	0.0	32.9	31.4	21.5	20.5
3. Another candidate, please specify:	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.6	1.7	1.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	20.2	25.9	47.9	51.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.9	0.9

paprofile_pres2008_oth

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q6: other vote- R vote 2008 pres election

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_vote2010_congr

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q6B: did R vote in 2010 Congressional election

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	2424	2424
2. No	0	1151	1151
-1. Inapplicable	2054	272	2326
-9. Refused	0	13	13

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	62.8	54.5	41.0	35.6
2. No	0.0	0.0	29.8	35.4	19.5	23.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	7.0	9.8	39.3	41.2
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2

paprofile_howvote2010_congr

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q6C: how did R vote in 2010 Congr election

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. The Democratic candidate	0	1182	1182
2. The Republican candidate	0	1083	1083
3. A candidate from another party	0	122	122
-1. Inapplicable	2054	1436	3490
-9. Refused	0	37	37

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. The Democratic candidate	0.0	0.0	30.6	25.0	20.0	16.3
2. The Republican candidate	0.0	0.0	28.1	25.6	18.3	16.7
3. A candidate from another party	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.1	2.1	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	37.2	45.5	59.0	64.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.5

paprofile_pid

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q7: party identification

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Republican	0	970	970
2. Democrat	0	1348	1348
3. Independent	0	927	927
4. Another party, please specify:	0	69	69
5. No preference	0	540	540
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	6	6

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Republican	0.0	0.0	25.1	26.3	16.4	17.2
2. Democrat	0.0	0.0	34.9	32.9	22.8	21.5
3. Independent	0.0	0.0	24.0	23.0	15.7	15.0
4. Another party, please specify:	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.7	1.2	1.1
5. No preference	0.0	0.0	14.0	16.0	9.1	10.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

paprofile_othpid

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q7: party identification-other

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_pidstrong_rep

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q8: strength Repub party identification

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong Republican	0	538	538
2. Not very strong Republican	0	428	428
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2890	4944
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong Republican	0.0	0.0	13.9	13.8	9.1	9.0
2. Not very strong Republican	0.0	0.0	11.1	12.4	7.2	8.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	74.9	73.7	83.6	82.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

paprofile_pidstrong_dem

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q9: strength Dem party identification

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strong Democrat	0	794	794
2. Not very strong Democrat	0	547	547
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2512	4566
-9. Refused	0	7	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strong Democrat	0.0	0.0	20.6	18.0	13.4	11.8
2. Not very strong Democrat	0.0	0.0	14.2	14.7	9.2	9.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	65.1	67.1	77.2	78.5
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

paprofile_pidcloser

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q10: PID closer to which party

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Republican party	0	715	715
2. Democratic party	0	719	719
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2318	4372
-9. Refused	0	108	108

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Republican party	0.0	0.0	18.5	18.9	12.1	12.3
2. Democratic party	0.0	0.0	18.6	19.1	12.2	12.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	60.1	59.2	73.9	73.4
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.8	1.8	1.8

paprofile_libcon_self

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11: 7pt liberal-conservative self rating

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	0	129	129
2. Liberal	0	492	492
3. Slightly liberal	0	379	379
4. Moderate, middle of the road	0	1330	1330
5. Slightly conservative	0	526	526
6. Conservative	0	813	813
7. Extremely conservative	0	145	145
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	46	46

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.2	2.2	2.1
2. Liberal	0.0	0.0	12.7	12.8	8.3	8.4
3. Slightly liberal	0.0	0.0	9.8	9.6	6.4	6.3
4. Moderate, middle of the road	0.0	0.0	34.5	35.5	22.5	23.1
5. Slightly conservative	0.0	0.0	13.6	12.8	8.9	8.3
6. Conservative	0.0	0.0	21.1	20.4	13.7	13.3
7. Extremely conservative	0.0	0.0	3.8	4.4	2.5	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.3	0.8	0.8

paprofile_libcon_pres

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11A: 7pt liberal-conservative President rating

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Extremely liberal	0	1021	1021
2. Liberal	0	983	983
3. Slightly liberal	0	637	637
4. Moderate, middle of the road	0	813	813
5. Slightly conservative	0	163	163
6. Conservative	0	105	105
7. Extremely conservative	0	52	52
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	86	86

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Extremely liberal	0.0	0.0	26.5	25.2	17.3	16.4
2. Liberal	0.0	0.0	25.5	26.8	16.6	17.5
3. Slightly liberal	0.0	0.0	16.5	15.8	10.8	10.3
4. Moderate, middle of the road	0.0	0.0	21.1	21.0	13.7	13.7
5. Slightly conservative	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.3	2.8	2.8
6. Conservative	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.9	1.8	1.9
7. Extremely conservative	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.5	0.9	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.6	1.5	1.7

paprofile_favrate_pres

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q12: overall impression of President favorable

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Favorable	0	1068	1068
2. Somewhat favorable	0	700	700
3. Neither favorable nor unfavorable	0	492	492
4. Somewhat unfavorable	0	482	482
5. Unfavorable	0	1087	1087
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	31	31

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Favorable	0.0	0.0	27.7	25.1	18.1	16.4
2. Somewhat favorable	0.0	0.0	18.1	17.9	11.8	11.7
3. Neither favorable nor unfavorable	0.0	0.0	12.7	14.0	8.3	9.2
4. Somewhat unfavorable	0.0	0.0	12.5	13.9	8.2	9.1
5. Unfavorable	0.0	0.0	28.2	28.5	18.4	18.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4

paprofile_presperf

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q13: approve Pres on job as President

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Strongly approve	0	534	534
2. Approve	0	1011	1011
3. Neither approve nor disapprove	0	680	680
4. Disapprove	0	663	663
5. Strongly disapprove	0	939	939
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	33	33

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Strongly approve	0.0	0.0	13.8	12.0	9.0	7.9
2. Approve	0.0	0.0	26.2	25.6	17.1	16.7
3. Neither approve nor disapprove	0.0	0.0	17.6	18.3	11.5	11.9
4. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	17.2	18.7	11.2	12.2
5. Strongly disapprove	0.0	0.0	24.3	24.6	15.9	16.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5

paprofile_presperf_econ

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(1): approve Pres on the economy

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	1582	1582
2. Disapprove	0	2236	2236
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	42	42

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	41.0	37.4	26.8	24.4
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	57.9	61.3	37.8	40.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3	0.7	0.8

paprofile_presperf_deficit

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(2): approve Pres on federal deficit

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	1363	1363
2. Disapprove	0	2415	2415
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	40	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	35.3	33.3	23.0	21.7
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	62.6	64.5	40.8	42.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.9

paprofile_presperf_healthc

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(3): approve Pres on healthcare

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	1693	1693
2. Disapprove	0	2127	2127
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	40	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	43.9	40.5	28.6	26.4
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	55.1	58.3	36.0	38.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2	0.7	0.8

paprofile_presperf_taxes

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(4): approve Pres on taxes

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	1741	1741
2. Disapprove	0	2078	2078
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	41	41

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	45.1	41.1	29.4	26.8
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	53.8	57.7	35.1	37.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.8

paprofile_presperf_educ

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(5): approve Pres on education

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	2063	2063
2. Disapprove	0	1756	1756
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	41	41

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	53.4	50.5	34.9	33.0
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	45.5	48.2	29.7	31.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3	0.7	0.8

paprofile_presperf_forgnpol

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(6): approve Pres on foreign policy

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	2021	2021
2. Disapprove	0	1795	1795
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	44	44

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	52.4	49.5	34.2	32.3
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	46.5	49.0	30.4	32.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.5	0.7	1.0

paprofile_presperf_racerel

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(7): approve Pres on race relations

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	2279	2279
2. Disapprove	0	1538	1538
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	43	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	59.0	56.1	38.5	36.6
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	39.8	42.6	26.0	27.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3	0.7	0.8

paprofile_presperf_envir

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(8): approve Pres on the environment

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	2133	2133
2. Disapprove	0	1682	1682
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	45	45

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	55.3	52.4	36.1	34.2
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	43.6	46.1	28.4	30.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.5	0.8	1.0

paprofile_presperf_immig

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(9): approve Pres on immigration

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	1580	1580
2. Disapprove	0	2234	2234
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	46	46

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	40.9	38.9	26.7	25.4
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	57.9	59.7	37.8	38.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.4	0.8	0.9

paprofile_presperf_terror

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(10): approve Pres on terrorism

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	2422	2422
2. Disapprove	0	1393	1393
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	45	45

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	62.7	60.4	41.0	39.4
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	36.1	38.2	23.6	24.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.5	0.8	1.0

paprofile_presperf_afghan

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(11): approve Pres on Afghanistan situation

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	1950	1950
2. Disapprove	0	1870	1870
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	40	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	50.5	48.5	33.0	31.7
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	48.4	50.2	31.6	32.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.8

paprofile_presperf_jobs

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(12): approve Pres on jobs

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	1645	1645
2. Disapprove	0	2174	2174
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	41	41

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	42.6	39.6	27.8	25.9
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	56.3	59.2	36.8	38.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.8

paprofile_presperf_energy

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q14(13): approve Pres on energy

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Approve	0	1878	1878
2. Disapprove	0	1938	1938
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	44	44

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Approve	0.0	0.0	48.7	46.7	31.8	30.5
2. Disapprove	0.0	0.0	50.2	51.9	32.8	33.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.4	0.7	0.9

paprofile_prestime_forgnpol

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q15(1): time of Pres on foreign policy issues

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Too much time	0	591	591
2. Right amount of time	0	2133	2133
3. Not enough time	0	1060	1060
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	76	76

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Too much time	0.0	0.0	15.3	16.4	10.0	10.7
2. Right amount of time	0.0	0.0	55.3	53.2	36.1	34.8
3. Not enough time	0.0	0.0	27.5	28.3	17.9	18.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.1	1.3	1.4

paprofile_prestime_economic

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q15(2): time of Pres on economic issues

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Too much time	0	153	153
2. Right amount of time	0	1408	1408
3. Not enough time	0	2224	2224
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	75	75

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Too much time	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.9	2.6	2.6
2. Right amount of time	0.0	0.0	36.5	34.0	23.8	22.2
3. Not enough time	0.0	0.0	57.6	59.9	37.6	39.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.2	1.3	1.4

paprofile_prestime_domestic

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q15(3): time of Pres on domestic policy issues

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Too much time	0	201	201
2. Right amount of time	0	1678	1678
3. Not enough time	0	1907	1907
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	74	74

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Too much time	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.4	3.4	3.5
2. Right amount of time	0.0	0.0	43.5	41.3	28.4	26.9
3. Not enough time	0.0	0.0	49.4	51.1	32.2	33.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.2	1.3	1.4

paprofile_prestime_homesec

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q15(4): time of Pres home security since 9/11

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Too much time	0	345	345
2. Right amount of time	0	2451	2451
3. Not enough time	0	1006	1006
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	58	58

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Too much time	0.0	0.0	8.9	8.5	5.8	5.6
2. Right amount of time	0.0	0.0	63.5	62.2	41.4	40.6
3. Not enough time	0.0	0.0	26.1	27.5	17.0	18.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.7	1.0	1.1

paprofile_interestpolit

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q16: interest in politics and public affairs

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Very interested	0	1034	1034
2. Somewhat interested	0	1371	1371
3. Slightly interested	0	969	969
4. Not at all interested	0	469	469
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	17	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Very interested	0.0	0.0	26.8	22.8	17.5	14.9
2. Somewhat interested	0.0	0.0	35.5	33.7	23.2	22.0
3. Slightly interested	0.0	0.0	25.1	27.6	16.4	18.0
4. Not at all interested	0.0	0.0	12.2	15.5	7.9	10.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_freqpolit_work

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- PIN1(1): how often politics at work

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	0	309	309
2. Some	0	1042	1042
3. Hardly ever	0	761	761
4. Never	0	418	418
5. Does not apply	0	1292	1292
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	38	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	0.0	0.0	8.0	7.4	5.2	4.8
2. Some	0.0	0.0	27.0	26.7	17.6	17.4
3. Hardly ever	0.0	0.0	19.7	21.0	12.9	13.7
4. Never	0.0	0.0	10.8	12.0	7.1	7.9
5. Does not apply	0.0	0.0	33.5	32.0	21.8	20.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.5

paprofile_freqpolit_church

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- PIN1(2): how often politics at church

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	0	126	126
2. Some	0	623	623
3. Hardly ever	0	845	845
4. Never	0	895	895
5. Does not apply	0	1336	1336
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	35	35

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.0	2.1	2.0
2. Some	0.0	0.0	16.1	15.4	10.5	10.0
3. Hardly ever	0.0	0.0	21.9	20.8	14.3	13.6
4. Never	0.0	0.0	23.2	24.4	15.1	15.9
5. Does not apply	0.0	0.0	34.6	35.6	22.6	23.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5

paprofile_freqpolit_friends

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- PIN1(3): how often politics friends

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	0	690	690
2. Some	0	1886	1886
3. Hardly ever	0	864	864
4. Never	0	273	273
5. Does not apply	0	107	107
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	40	40

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	0.0	0.0	17.9	15.0	11.7	9.8
2. Some	0.0	0.0	48.9	47.0	31.9	30.7
3. Hardly ever	0.0	0.0	22.4	25.3	14.6	16.5
4. Never	0.0	0.0	7.1	8.8	4.6	5.7
5. Does not apply	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.9	1.8	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.6

paprofile_freqpolit_family

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- PIN1(4): how often politics family

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	0	887	887
2. Some	0	1819	1819
3. Hardly ever	0	760	760
4. Never	0	237	237
5. Does not apply	0	125	125
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	32	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	0.0	0.0	23.0	20.6	15.0	13.5
2. Some	0.0	0.0	47.1	45.6	30.8	29.8
3. Hardly ever	0.0	0.0	19.7	21.8	12.9	14.2
4. Never	0.0	0.0	6.1	7.4	4.0	4.8
5. Does not apply	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.7	2.1	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.5

paprofile_freqpolit_blog

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- PIN1(5): how often politics inet msg board/blog

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	0	254	254
2. Some	0	585	585
3. Hardly ever	0	532	532
4. Never	0	1056	1056
5. Does not apply	0	1411	1411
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	22	22

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	0.0	0.0	6.6	6.5	4.3	4.3
2. Some	0.0	0.0	15.2	14.4	9.9	9.4
3. Hardly ever	0.0	0.0	13.8	14.5	9.0	9.4
4. Never	0.0	0.0	27.4	28.7	17.9	18.7
5. Does not apply	0.0	0.0	36.6	35.3	23.9	23.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4

paprofile_freqpolit_socmedia

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- PIN1(6): how often politics social media

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. A lot	0	255	255
2. Some	0	673	673
3. Hardly ever	0	616	616
4. Never	0	979	979
5. Does not apply	0	1266	1266
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	29	29

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. A lot	0.0	0.0	6.6	6.6	4.3	4.3
2. Some	0.0	0.0	17.4	17.7	11.4	11.6
3. Hardly ever	0.0	0.0	16.0	17.1	10.4	11.2
4. Never	0.0	0.0	25.4	26.6	16.6	17.4
5. Does not apply	0.0	0.0	32.8	30.1	21.4	19.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

paprofile_politinfo_radio

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- MED1(1): politics info from radio

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every day	0	881	881
2. Three times a week or more	0	591	591
3. Almost every week	0	575	575
4. One to three times a month	0	490	490
5. Less than once a month	0	534	534
6. Never	0	737	737
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	52	52

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every day	0.0	0.0	22.8	20.1	14.9	13.1
2. Three times a week or more	0.0	0.0	15.3	14.5	10.0	9.4
3. Almost every week	0.0	0.0	14.9	15.0	9.7	9.8
4. One to three times a month	0.0	0.0	12.7	13.2	8.3	8.6
5. Less than once a month	0.0	0.0	13.8	14.5	9.0	9.5
6. Never	0.0	0.0	19.1	21.4	12.5	13.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3	0.9	0.9

paprofile_politinfo_inetnews

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- MED1(2): politics info from internet news sites

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every day	0	869	869
2. Three times a week or more	0	548	548
3. Almost every week	0	541	541
4. One to three times a month	0	411	411
5. Less than once a month	0	432	432
6. Never	0	1014	1014
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	45	45

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every day	0.0	0.0	22.5	18.9	14.7	12.3
2. Three times a week or more	0.0	0.0	14.2	13.2	9.3	8.6
3. Almost every week	0.0	0.0	14.0	14.1	9.1	9.2
4. One to three times a month	0.0	0.0	10.6	11.6	6.9	7.6
5. Less than once a month	0.0	0.0	11.2	11.7	7.3	7.7
6. Never	0.0	0.0	26.3	29.1	17.1	19.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.3	0.8	0.8

paprofile_politinfo_prntnwsp

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- MED1(3): politics info from print newspapers

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every day	0	922	922
2. Three times a week or more	0	467	467
3. Almost every week	0	613	613
4. One to three times a month	0	408	408
5. Less than once a month	0	577	577
6. Never	0	818	818
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	55	55

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every day	0.0	0.0	23.9	20.0	15.6	13.0
2. Three times a week or more	0.0	0.0	12.1	10.5	7.9	6.9
3. Almost every week	0.0	0.0	15.9	15.3	10.4	10.0
4. One to three times a month	0.0	0.0	10.6	12.0	6.9	7.8
5. Less than once a month	0.0	0.0	14.9	16.2	9.8	10.6
6. Never	0.0	0.0	21.2	24.7	13.8	16.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.3	0.9	0.9

paprofile_politinfo_tv

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- MED1(4): politics info from television

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every day	0	1729	1729
2. Three times a week or more	0	672	672
3. Almost every week	0	536	536
4. One to three times a month	0	346	346
5. Less than once a month	0	267	267
6. Never	0	269	269
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	41	41

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every day	0.0	0.0	44.8	39.2	29.2	25.6
2. Three times a week or more	0.0	0.0	17.4	17.7	11.4	11.5
3. Almost every week	0.0	0.0	13.9	15.2	9.1	10.0
4. One to three times a month	0.0	0.0	9.0	10.0	5.9	6.5
5. Less than once a month	0.0	0.0	6.9	8.1	4.5	5.3
6. Never	0.0	0.0	7.0	8.9	4.5	5.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6

paprofile_politinfo_mags

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- MED1(5): politics info from magazines

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every day	0	121	121
2. Three times a week or more	0	208	208
3. Almost every week	0	487	487
4. One to three times a month	0	691	691
5. Less than once a month	0	954	954
6. Never	0	1351	1351
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	48	48

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every day	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.0	2.0	2.0
2. Three times a week or more	0.0	0.0	5.4	4.4	3.5	2.9
3. Almost every week	0.0	0.0	12.6	11.8	8.2	7.7
4. One to three times a month	0.0	0.0	17.9	16.3	11.7	10.6
5. Less than once a month	0.0	0.0	24.7	25.0	16.1	16.3
6. Never	0.0	0.0	35.0	38.4	22.8	25.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.8

paprofile_politinfo_blogs

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- MED1(6): politics info from internet blogs

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every day	0	163	163
2. Three times a week or more	0	170	170
3. Almost every week	0	291	291
4. One to three times a month	0	300	300
5. Less than once a month	0	507	507
6. Never	0	2367	2367
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	62	62

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every day	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.1	2.8	2.7
2. Three times a week or more	0.0	0.0	4.4	3.9	2.9	2.5
3. Almost every week	0.0	0.0	7.5	6.9	4.9	4.5
4. One to three times a month	0.0	0.0	7.8	8.2	5.1	5.3
5. Less than once a month	0.0	0.0	13.1	12.9	8.6	8.4
6. Never	0.0	0.0	61.3	62.5	40.0	40.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.5	1.0	1.0

paprofile_politinfo_socmedia

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- MED1(7): politics info from social media

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Every day	0	262	262
2. Three times a week or more	0	266	266
3. Almost every week	0	400	400
4. One to three times a month	0	340	340
5. Less than once a month	0	512	512
6. Never	0	1994	1994
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	44	44

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Every day	0.0	0.0	6.8	6.6	4.4	4.3
2. Three times a week or more	0.0	0.0	6.9	7.0	4.5	4.6
3. Almost every week	0.0	0.0	10.4	10.5	6.8	6.9
4. One to three times a month	0.0	0.0	8.8	9.5	5.7	6.2
5. Less than once a month	0.0	0.0	13.3	13.2	8.7	8.6
6. Never	0.0	0.0	51.7	51.2	33.7	33.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.7

paprofile_ancestry_1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG1(1): R ancestry 1 (not ordered)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_ancestry_2

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG1(2): R ancestry 2 (not ordered)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_ancestry_3

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG1(3): R ancestry 3 (not ordered)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_ancestry_4

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG1(4): R ancestry 4 (not ordered)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_ancestry_5

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG1(5): R ancestry 5 (not ordered)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_rlang_1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG3(1): languages R speaks 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_rlang_2

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG3(2): languages R speaks 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_rlang_3

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG3(3): languages R speaks 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_otherslang_1

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG4(1): languages others speak 1

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_otherslang_2

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG4(2): languages others speak 2

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_otherslang_3

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG4(3): languages others speak 3

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_borncit

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- QEG23: Was R born a citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Born a U.S. Citizen	0	3537	3537
2. Naturalized U.S. Citizen	0	319	319
-1. Inapplicable	2054	1	2055
-9. Refused	0	3	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Born a U.S. Citizen	0.0	0.0	91.6	93.0	59.8	60.7
2. Naturalized U.S. Citizen	0.0	0.0	8.3	6.9	5.4	4.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

paprofile_whencit

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG24: Year R become a citizen

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_priorcit

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG25: R prior citizenship

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_multcit

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- QEG26: Is R a citizen of more than 1 country

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. I am a citizen of only one country	0	3728	3728
2. I am a citizen of more than one country	0	128	128
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	4	4

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. I am a citizen of only one country	0.0	0.0	96.6	97.3	63.0	63.5
2. I am a citizen of more than one country	0.0	0.0	3.3	2.7	2.2	1.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

paprofile_2ndcit

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- QEG28: Country of 2nd citizenship

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_orient_r

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q23: R sexual orientation

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Heterosexual or straight	0	3599	3599
2. Gay	0	72	72
3. Lesbian	0	22	22
4. Bisexual	0	73	73
5. Other, please specify	0	51	51
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	43	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Heterosexual or straight	0.0	0.0	93.2	94.0	60.9	61.4
2. Gay	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.7	1.2	1.1
3. Lesbian	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3
4. Bisexual	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.6	1.2	1.1
5. Other, please specify	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.4	0.9	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.5

paprofile_orient_oth

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q22: Sexual orientation of friends and family

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	2480	2480
2. No	0	1343	1343
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	37	37

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	64.2	63.5	41.9	41.4
2. No	0.0	0.0	34.8	35.8	22.7	23.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.5

paprofile_transgender

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q23A: Does R consider self transgender

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_unionmem

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q24: Is R a member of a labor union

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	391	391
2. No	0	3401	3401
3. Don't know	0	43	43
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	25	25

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	10.1	8.8	6.6	5.7
2. No	0.0	0.0	88.1	89.2	57.5	58.2
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3	0.7	0.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.5

paprofile_aarp

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q24A: Is R a member of the AARP

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	889	889
2. No	0	1146	1146
3. Don't know	0	21	21
-1. Inapplicable	2054	1786	3840
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	23.0	17.9	15.0	11.7
2. No	0.0	0.0	29.7	23.3	19.4	15.2
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	46.3	58.1	64.9	72.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2

paprofile_milit

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q25: R ever served on active military duty

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_milit_family

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q25A: Family serving on active military duty

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	579	579
2. No	0	3257	3257
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	24	24

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	15.0	15.1	9.8	9.8
2. No	0.0	0.0	84.4	84.3	55.1	55.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4

paprofile_religion

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q26: What is R's religion

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Non-Christian	0	211	211
2. Protestant (e.g., Methodist, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Episcopal)	0	1503	1503
3. Catholic	0	988	988
4. Other Christian	0	534	534
5. None	0	592	592
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	32	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Non-Christian	0.0	0.0	5.5	5.1	3.6	3.3
2. Protestant (e.g., Methodist, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Episcopal)	0.0	0.0	38.9	38.4	25.4	25.1
3. Catholic	0.0	0.0	25.6	23.8	16.7	15.5
4. Other Christian	0.0	0.0	13.8	15.4	9.0	10.0
5. None	0.0	0.0	15.3	16.4	10.0	10.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

paprofile_religionfull

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q26: What is R's religion

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Baptist-any denomination	0	593	593
2. Protestant (e.g., Methodist, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Episcopal)	0	787	787
3. Catholic	0	988	988
4. Mormon	0	61	61
5. Jewish	0	97	97
6. Muslim	0	10	10
7. Hindu	0	4	4
8. Buddhist	0	19	19
9. Pentecostal	0	123	123
10. Eastern Orthodox	0	10	10
11. Other Christian	0	463	463
12. Other non-Christian, please specify:	0	81	81
13. None	0	592	592
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	32	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Baptist-any denomination	0.0	0.0	15.4	15.9	10.0	10.4
2. Protestant (e.g., Methodist, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Episcopal)	0.0	0.0	20.4	19.2	13.3	12.5
3. Catholic	0.0	0.0	25.6	23.8	16.7	15.5
4. Mormon	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9	1.0	1.3
5. Jewish	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.0	1.6	1.3
6. Muslim	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3
7. Hindu	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
8. Buddhist	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4
9. Pentecostal	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.3	2.1	2.2
10. Eastern Orthodox	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
11. Other Christian	0.0	0.0	12.0	13.2	7.8	8.6
12. Other non-Christian, please specify:	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0	1.4	1.3
13. None	0.0	0.0	15.3	16.4	10.0	10.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

paprofile_religion_oth

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q26: What is R's religion -other

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_bornagain

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q26A: IS R born-again or evangelical Christian

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	1053	1053
2. No	0	1957	1957
-1. Inapplicable	2054	835	2889
-9. Refused	0	15	15

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	27.3	27.8	17.8	18.2
2. No	0.0	0.0	50.7	49.4	33.1	32.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	21.6	22.4	48.9	49.3
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3

paprofile_jewish

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q26B: R consider self Jewish

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_jewishparent

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q26C: R Jewish mother or father

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_attend

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q27: How often R attends religious services

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. More than once a week	0	430	430
2. Once a week	0	944	944
3. Once or twice a month	0	336	336
4. A few times a year	0	628	628
5. Once a year or less	0	667	667
6. Never	0	811	811
-1. Inapplicable	2054	7	2061
-9. Refused	0	37	37

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. More than once a week	0.0	0.0	11.1	9.9	7.3	6.5
2. Once a week	0.0	0.0	24.5	23.1	16.0	15.1
3. Once or twice a month	0.0	0.0	8.7	8.5	5.7	5.6
4. A few times a year	0.0	0.0	16.3	16.4	10.6	10.7
5. Once a year or less	0.0	0.0	17.3	17.9	11.3	11.7
6. Never	0.0	0.0	21.0	22.8	13.7	14.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.2	0.2	34.8	34.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.7

paprofile_orgservice

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q28(1): R active in service club or frat org

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3611	3611
1. Yes	0	206	206
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	43	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	93.5	94.5	61.1	61.7
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	5.3	4.4	3.5	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7

paprofile_orgveterans

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q28(2): R active in veterans group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3670	3670
1. Yes	0	147	147
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	43	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	95.1	95.9	62.1	62.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	3.8	2.9	2.5	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7

paprofile_orgrelig

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q28(3): R active in religious group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3187	3187
1. Yes	0	630	630
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	43	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	82.6	84.4	53.9	55.1
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	16.3	14.5	10.7	9.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7

paprofile_orgsenior

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q28(4): R active in senior center or group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3647	3647
1. Yes	0	170	170
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	43	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	94.5	95.5	61.7	62.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	4.4	3.3	2.9	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7

paprofile_orgwomen

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q28(5): R active in womens group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3587	3587
1. Yes	0	230	230
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	43	43

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	92.9	93.5	60.7	61.0
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	6.0	5.4	3.9	3.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7

paprofile_orgpolitiss

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q29(1): R active in issue-oriented polit group

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3699	3699
1. Yes	0	107	107
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	54	54

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	95.8	96.0	62.5	62.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.4	1.8	1.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7	0.9	1.1

paprofile_orgcivic

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q29(2): R active in non-partisan civic org

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3671	3671
1. Yes	0	135	135
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	54	54

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	95.1	96.1	62.1	62.7
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.2	2.3	1.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7	0.9	1.1

paprofile_orgschool

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q29(3): R active in school club or association

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3522	3522
1. Yes	0	284	284
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	54	54

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	91.2	91.2	59.6	59.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	7.4	7.1	4.8	4.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7	0.9	1.1

paprofile_orgyouth

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q29(4): R active in hobby/sport team/youth grp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3213	3213
1. Yes	0	593	593
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	54	54

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	83.2	83.7	54.3	54.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	15.4	14.6	10.0	9.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7	0.9	1.1

paprofile_orgneighb

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q29(5): R active in neighborhd assoc/commun grp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3370	3370
1. Yes	0	436	436
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	54	54

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	87.3	89.6	57.0	58.5
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	11.3	8.8	7.4	5.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7	0.9	1.1

paprofile_orgethnic

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q29(6): R active in racial/ethnic interest grp

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3762	3762
1. Yes	0	44	44
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	54	54

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.5	97.4	63.6	63.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7	0.9	1.1

paprofile_attendpta

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q30(1): R attend PTA/school grp mtg in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3468	3468
1. Yes	0	360	360
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	32	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	89.8	90.2	58.6	58.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	9.3	9.0	6.1	5.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

paprofile_attendcommun

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q30(2): R attend community grp mtg in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3171	3171
1. Yes	0	657	657
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	32	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	82.2	85.4	53.6	55.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	17.0	13.7	11.1	9.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

paprofile_donateblood

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q30(3): R donated blood in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3330	3330
1. Yes	0	498	498
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	32	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	86.3	87.4	56.3	57.0
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	12.9	11.8	8.4	7.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

paprofile_givecharity

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q30(4): R gave money to charity in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1593	1593
1. Yes	0	2235	2235
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	32	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	41.3	46.2	26.9	30.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	57.9	52.9	37.8	34.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

paprofile_workcharity

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q30(5): R worked for church/charity in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2791	2791
1. Yes	0	1037	1037
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	32	32

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	72.3	75.1	47.2	49.0
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	26.9	24.0	17.5	15.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6

paprofile_rally

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(1): R attend protest or rally in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3630	3630
1. Yes	0	158	158
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	94.0	94.7	61.4	61.8
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	4.1	3.4	2.7	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_govtoffic

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(2): R contact govt official in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3101	3101
1. Yes	0	687	687
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	80.3	83.3	52.4	54.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	17.8	14.8	11.6	9.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_prescamp

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(3): R work for pres campaign in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3713	3713
1. Yes	0	75	75
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.2	96.4	62.8	62.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.6	1.3	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_othcamp

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(4): R work for oth cand or issue in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3652	3652
1. Yes	0	136	136
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	94.6	95.2	61.8	62.1
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.9	2.3	1.9
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_moneyprescamp

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(5): R gave money to pres campgn in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3475	3475
1. Yes	0	313	313
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	90.0	92.2	58.8	60.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	8.1	5.8	5.3	3.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_moneyothcamp

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(6): R gave money oth cand/issue in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3452	3452
1. Yes	0	336	336
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	89.4	91.8	58.4	59.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	8.7	6.2	5.7	4.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_workcommuniss

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(7): R work in commun on issue in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3371	3371
1. Yes	0	417	417
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	87.3	89.3	57.0	58.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	10.8	8.7	7.1	5.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_workcommbd

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(8): R serve on community board in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3601	3601
1. Yes	0	187	187
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	93.3	94.4	60.9	61.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	4.8	3.7	3.2	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_lettertonewsp

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(9): R written letter to editor in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3592	3592
1. Yes	0	196	196
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	93.1	93.9	60.7	61.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	5.1	4.2	3.3	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_messagebd

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(10): R polit comment message brd in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3426	3426
1. Yes	0	362	362
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	88.8	90.0	57.9	58.7
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	9.4	8.1	6.1	5.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_publicofc

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31(11): R held publicly elected ofc in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3762	3762
1. Yes	0	26	26
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	72	72

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.5	97.5	63.6	63.7
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2

paprofile_1stcamp

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q31A: First time involved in a polit campaign

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	130	130
2. No	0	434	434
-1. Inapplicable	2054	3296	5350

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.4	2.2	2.2
2. No	0.0	0.0	11.2	8.0	7.3	5.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	85.4	88.6	90.5	92.5

paprofile_active_teapty

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11D(1): R active in Tea Party movement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3709	3709
1. Yes	0	104	104
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	47	47

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.1	96.4	62.7	62.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.6	1.8	1.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

paprofile_active_envir

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11D(2): R active in envirnmental rights movemt

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3731	3731
1. Yes	0	82	82
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	47	47

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.7	97.3	63.1	63.5
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.7	1.4	1.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

paprofile_active_womrts

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11D(3): R active in womens rights movement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3735	3735
1. Yes	0	78	78
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	47	47

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.8	97.5	63.2	63.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.0
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

paprofile_active_equrts

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11D(4): R active in racial equality movement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3767	3767
1. Yes	0	46	46
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	47	47

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.6	98.2	63.7	64.1
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

paprofile_active_righttolife

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11D(5): R active in right to life movement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3708	3708
1. Yes	0	105	105
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	47	47

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.1	96.6	62.7	63.0
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.5	1.8	1.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

paprofile_active_peace

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11D(6): R active in peace movement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3755	3755
1. Yes	0	58	58
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	47	47

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.3	97.9	63.5	63.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.1	1.0	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

paprofile_active_lgbt

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11D(7): R active in LGBT rights movement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3743	3743
1. Yes	0	70	70
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	47	47

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.0	97.1	63.3	63.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.9	1.2	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

paprofile_active_occupy

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11D: R active in Occupy movement

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3738	3738
1. Yes	0	34	34
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	46	46

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	96.8	97.4	63.2	63.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6

paprofile_recent_teapty

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11E(1): R Tea Party movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	76	76
2. No	0	3608	3608
3. Don't know	0	61	61
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	73	73

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.8	1.3	1.2
2. No	0.0	0.0	93.5	93.6	61.0	61.1
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.0	1.0	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.7	1.2	1.1

paprofile_recent_envir

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11E(2): R envrnmental rights movemt in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	72	72
2. No	0	3597	3597
3. Don't know	0	63	63
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	86	86

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.5	1.2	1.0
2. No	0.0	0.0	93.2	93.8	60.8	61.2
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9	1.1	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.8	1.5	1.2

paprofile_recent_womrts

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11E(3): R womens rights movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	57	57
2. No	0	3609	3609
3. Don't know	0	64	64
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	88	88

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.9
2. No	0.0	0.0	93.5	93.8	61.0	61.3
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.8	1.1	1.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.1	1.5	1.4

paprofile_recent_equrts

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11E(4): R racial equality movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	50	50
2. No	0	3629	3629
3. Don't know	0	63	63
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	76	76

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.5
2. No	0.0	0.0	94.0	94.6	61.4	61.7
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.0	1.1	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.7	1.3	1.1

paprofile_recent_righttolife

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11E(5): R right to life movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	92	92
2. No	0	3583	3583
3. Don't know	0	63	63
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	80	80

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.0	1.6	1.3
2. No	0.0	0.0	92.8	93.4	60.6	61.0
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9	1.1	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.8	1.4	1.2

paprofile_recent_peace

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11E(6): R peace movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	48	48
2. No	0	3619	3619
3. Don't know	0	63	63
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	88	88

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5
2. No	0.0	0.0	93.8	94.3	61.2	61.5
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.1	1.1	1.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.9	1.5	1.3

paprofile_recent_lgbt

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11E(7): R LGBT rights movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	61	61
2. No	0	3617	3617
3. Don't know	0	63	63
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	77	77

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.4	1.0	0.9
2. No	0.0	0.0	93.7	94.0	61.2	61.4
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9	1.1	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.7	1.3	1.1

paprofile_recent_occupy

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q11E(8): R Occupy movement in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	56	56
2. No	0	3608	3608
3. Don't know	0	69	69
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	85	85

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.3	0.9	0.8
2. No	0.0	0.0	93.5	93.7	61.0	61.2
3. Don't know	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.2	1.2	1.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.9	1.4	1.3

paprofile_moneyenvir

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(1): Given money to environ org in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3577	3577
1. Yes	0	265	265
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	92.7	93.9	60.5	61.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	6.9	5.6	4.5	3.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_timeenvir

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(2): Given time to environ org in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3743	3743
1. Yes	0	99	99
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	97.0	97.5	63.3	63.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.0	1.7	1.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_recyclepaper

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(3): Recycled paper in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1333	1333
1. Yes	0	2509	2509
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	34.5	39.0	22.5	25.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	65.0	60.5	42.4	39.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_recyclecans

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(4): Recycled cans in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1016	1016
1. Yes	0	2826	2826
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	26.3	29.5	17.2	19.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	73.2	70.0	47.8	45.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_recycleglass

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(5): Recycled glass in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1641	1641
1. Yes	0	2201	2201
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	42.5	46.9	27.7	30.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	57.0	52.6	37.2	34.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_recycleplast

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(6): Recycled plastic in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1269	1269
1. Yes	0	2573	2573
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	32.9	37.2	21.5	24.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	66.7	62.2	43.5	40.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_prodrecycled

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(7): Chose product becse recycled in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	2828	2828
1. Yes	0	1014	1014
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	73.3	74.7	47.8	48.7
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	26.3	24.8	17.1	16.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_energycut

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(8): Took steps to reduce energy in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1615	1615
1. Yes	0	2227	2227
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	41.8	43.9	27.3	28.6
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	57.7	55.6	37.7	36.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_replacebulbs

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(9): Replaced fluoresc bulbs with CFLs

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1876	1876
1. Yes	0	1925	1925
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0	42	42
-9. Refused	0	17	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	48.6	52.4	31.7	34.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	49.9	46.2	32.5	30.2
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_otherenvir

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32(10): Other environmental in last yr

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	3672	3672
1. Yes	0	170	170
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	18	18

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	95.1	95.5	62.1	62.4
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	4.4	4.0	2.9	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3

paprofile_engytaxcredit

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q32A: Qualify resid energy income tax credit

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	537	537
2. No	0	3285	3285
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	38	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	13.9	12.5	9.1	8.1
2. No	0.0	0.0	85.1	86.5	55.5	56.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.7

paprofile_selfenvironlst

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q33: Describe yourself as an environmentalist

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes, definitely	0	299	299
2. Yes, somewhat	0	1905	1905
3. No	0	1618	1618
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	38	38

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes, definitely	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.0	5.1	4.5
2. Yes, somewhat	0.0	0.0	49.4	46.9	32.2	30.6
3. No	0.0	0.0	41.9	45.2	27.4	29.5
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.7

paprofile_hhguns

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q34: Have guns or revolvers in home or garage

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	1268	1268
2. No	0	2483	2483
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-9. Refused	0	109	109

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	32.8	32.4	21.4	21.2
2. No	0.0	0.0	64.3	64.8	42.0	42.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.8	1.8	1.8

paprofile_pistol

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q35(1): Type of gun- pistol selected

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	353	353
1. Yes	0	906	906
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2592	4646
-9. Refused	0	9	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	9.1	8.8	6.0	5.7
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	23.5	23.4	15.3	15.3
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	67.2	67.6	78.6	78.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1

paprofile_shotgun

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q35(2): Type of gun- shotgun selected

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	511	511
1. Yes	0	748	748
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2592	4646
-9. Refused	0	9	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	13.2	12.6	8.6	8.2
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	19.4	19.6	12.6	12.8
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	67.2	67.6	78.6	78.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1

paprofile_rifle

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q35(3): Type of gun- rifle selected

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	462	462
1. Yes	0	797	797
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2592	4646
-9. Refused	0	9	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	12.0	12.2	7.8	7.9
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	20.6	20.1	13.5	13.1
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	67.2	67.6	78.6	78.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1

paprofile_othergun

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q35(4): Type of gun- other selected

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No	0	1214	1214
1. Yes	0	45	45
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2592	4646
-9. Refused	0	9	9

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No	0.0	0.0	31.5	31.1	20.5	20.3
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.7
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	67.2	67.6	78.6	78.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1

paprofile_othergun_type

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- Q35(4): Type of gun- other

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

paprofile_personalgun

Label: WEB ONLY: Polit Affairs profile- Q36: Does any gun personally belong to R

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Yes	0	902	902
2. No	0	358	358
-1. Inapplicable	2054	2592	4646
-9. Refused	0	8	8

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Yes	0.0	0.0	23.4	22.4	15.3	14.6
2. No	0.0	0.0	9.3	9.8	6.1	6.4
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	67.2	67.6	78.6	78.8
-9. Refused	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

paprofile_comments

Label: WEB ONLY: RESTRICTED: Polit Affairs profile- R comments you would like to share

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable	2054	0	2054
-3. Restricted access	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-3. Restricted access	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

race2012_sen

Label: 2012 election - U.S. Senate race in state (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No Senate race in state in 2012 elections	561	877	1438
1. Senate race in state in 2012 elections	1493	2983	4476

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No Senate race in state in 2012 elections	27.3	20.9	22.7	23.2	24.3	22.4
1. Senate race in state in 2012 elections	72.7	79.1	77.3	76.8	75.7	77.6

race2012_gov

Label: 2012 election - governor race in state (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. No governor race in state in 2012 elections	1801	3355	5156
1. Governor race in state in 2012 elections	253	505	758

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. No governor race in state in 2012 elections	87.7	86.6	86.9	85.8	87.2	86.1
1. Governor race in state in 2012 elections	12.3	13.4	13.1	14.2	12.8	13.9

typerace2012_hse

Label: 2012 election - type race for U.S. House (ballot at address of Respondent)

16 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger	640	1514	2154
12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger	760	1187	1947
55. Open race - Democratic and Republican candidates	314	495	809
24. Republican incumbent running - unopposed	53	133	186
19. Democratic incumbent running - Republican and other challengers	93	58	151
-1. Inap, district not determined (Web only)	0	145	145
13. Democratic incumbent running - Republican and other challengers	48	69	117
14. Democratic incumbent running - unopposed	32	81	113
23. Republican incumbent running - Democratic and other challengers	36	69	105
29. Republican incumbent running - Democratic and other challengers	52	34	86

typerace2012_sen

Label: 2012 election - type race for U.S. Senate (ballot at address of Respondent)

9 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger	964	1916	2880
55. Open race - Democratic and Republican candidates	383	690	1073
85. Democratic and Republican U.S. Senators, no race in state	304	392	696
82. Republican U.S. Senators, no race in state	204	357	561
21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger	146	359	505
81. Democratic U.S. Senators, no race in state	53	119	172
29. Republican incumbent running - Democratic and other challengers	0	11	11
-1. Washington D.C.	0	9	9
32. Other incumbent running - Republican challenger	0	7	7

typerace2012_gov

Label: 2012 election - type race for state governor (ballot at address of Respondent)

6 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
00. No gubernatorial race in state	1801	3346	5147
55. Open race - Democratic and Republican candidates	195	311	506
12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger	47	132	179
21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger	11	46	57
59. Open race - Democratic and Republican and other candidates	0	16	16
-1. Washington D.C.	0	9	9

cand_hse_dem_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 U.S. House Democratic cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

411 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	89	353	442
Bobbie Rose	54	9	63
Cedric L. Richmond	56	6	62
Robert 'Beto' O'Rourke	39	21	60
Danny K. Davis	45	9	54
Alfred 'Al' Lawson, Jr	43	7	50
Robert A. 'Bob' Brady	38	11	49
Michelle Lujan Grisham	39	9	48
G. K. Butterfield	35	11	46
Manny Yevancey	28	16	44

cand_hse_dem_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 U.S. House Democratic cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	1429	2556	3985
2. Female	536	951	1487
-1. Inap, no Democratic House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	89	353	442

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	69.6	72.6	66.2	65.3	67.4	67.8
2. Female	26.1	23.7	24.6	25.0	25.1	24.5
-1. Inap, no Democratic House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	4.3	3.7	9.1	9.8	7.5	7.7

cand_hse_dem_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 U.S. House Democratic cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
31. Democratic candidate in open House race	323	513	836
33. Democratic House running incumbent	950	1438	2388
35. Democratic House challenger	692	1556	2248
-1. Inap, no Democratic House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	89	353	442

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
31. Democratic candidate in open House race	15.7	15.3	13.3	13.5	14.1	14.1
33. Democratic House running incumbent	46.3	42.2	37.3	35.4	40.4	37.8
35. Democratic House challenger	33.7	38.8	40.3	41.3	38.0	40.4
-1. Inap, no Democratic House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	4.3	3.7	9.1	9.8	7.5	7.7

cand_hse_rep_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 U.S. House Republican cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

415 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	97	319	416
Timothy E. 'Tim' Scott	54	9	63
Josue Larose	56	6	62
Barbara Carrasco	39	21	60
Rita Zak	45	9	54
William Steve 'Steve' Southerland, II	43	7	50
John J. Featherman	38	11	49
Janice E. Arnold-Jones	39	9	48
Peter 'Pete' DiLauro	35	11	46
Ileana Ros-Lehtinen	28	16	44

cand_hse_rep_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 U.S. House Republican cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	1587	3105	4692
2. Female	370	436	806
-1. Inap, no Republican House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	97	319	416

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	77.3	81.7	80.4	81.6	79.3	81.6
2. Female	18.0	15.1	11.3	10.8	13.6	12.3
-1. Inap, no Republican House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	4.7	3.3	8.3	7.6	7.0	6.1

cand_hse_rep_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 U.S. House Republican cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
32. Republican candidate in open House race	323	509	832
34. Republican House running incumbent	781	1787	2568
36. Republican House challenger	853	1245	2098
-1. Inap, no Republican House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	97	319	416

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
32. Republican candidate in open House race	15.7	15.3	13.2	13.4	14.1	14.1
34. Republican House running incumbent	38.0	42.5	46.3	47.9	43.4	46.0
36. Republican House challenger	41.5	39.0	32.3	31.1	35.5	33.9
-1. Inap, no Republican House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	4.7	3.3	8.3	7.6	7.0	6.1

cand_hse_oth_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 U.S. House other (3rd) cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

36 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1799	3584	5383
Dwayne Bailey	56	6	62
David John Sanchez	27	8	35
Matias 'Matt' Temperley	22	10	32
Robert 'Bob' Dutton	20	8	28
Rose Izzo	15	13	28
Michael Stanford	15	12	27
Walter Johnson 'John' Williamson	12	11	23
Brad Sherman	17	5	22
Chris Kalla	17	4	21

cand_hse_oth_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 U.S. House other (3rd) cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	180	208	388
2. Female	0	43	43
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	1874	3609	5483

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	8.8	6.5	5.4	5.2	6.6	5.6
2. Female	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.6
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	91.2	93.5	93.5	93.8	92.7	93.7

cand_hse_oth_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 U.S. House other (3rd) cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
33. (Redistricting) Democratic House running incumbent in district with 2 Democratic incumbents	17	14	31
34. (Redistricting) Republican House running incumbent in district with 2 Republican incumbents	0	8	8
37. Independent/3rd party/other House candidate - nonincumbent	238	254	492
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	1799	3584	5383

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
33. (Redistricting) Democratic House running incumbent in district with 2 Democratic incumbents	0.8	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6
34. (Redistricting) Republican House running incumbent in district with 2 Republican incumbents	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
37. Independent/3rd party/other House candidate - nonincumbent	11.6	10.3	6.6	6.3	8.3	7.7
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other House candidate; district not identified (Web only)	87.6	88.8	92.8	93.2	91.0	91.7

cand_hse_oth_pty

Label: Candidate - party: 2012 U.S. House other (3rd) cand (ballot at address of Respondent)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1799	3584	5383
Conservative	0	4	4
Democrat	56	72	128
Green	12	8	20
Independent	52	86	138
Libertarian	28	25	53
No Party Affiliation	0	6	6
Republican	98	67	165
Working Families	9	8	17

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	87.6	88.8	92.8	93.2	91.0	91.7
Conservative	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Democrat	2.7	2.5	1.9	1.6	2.2	1.9
Green	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3
Independent	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.5
Libertarian	1.4	2.1	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.2
No Party Affiliation	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Republican	4.8	2.6	1.7	1.6	2.8	2.0
Working Families	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4

cand_sen_dem_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 U.S. Senate Democratic cand (state of Respondent address)

33 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	561	884	1445
Dianne Feinstein	276	469	745
Paul Lindsey Sadler	214	331	545
Bill Nelson	149	271	420
Kirsten Elizabeth Gillibrand	89	213	302
Robert P. 'Bob' Casey, Jr.	107	161	268
Sherrod Brown	67	159	226
Debbie Stabenow	48	125	173
Maria E. Cantwell	55	96	151
Robert 'Bob' Menendez	50	98	148

cand_sen_dem_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 U.S. Senate Democratic cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	887	1639	2526
2. Female	606	1337	1943
-1. Inap, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	561	884	1445

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	43.2	48.7	42.5	43.3	42.7	45.2
2. Female	29.5	30.4	34.6	33.3	32.9	32.3
-1. Inap, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	27.3	20.9	22.9	23.3	24.4	22.5

cand_sen_dem_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 U.S. Senate Democratic cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic candidate in open Senate race	383	690	1073
3. Democratic Senate running incumbent	964	1916	2880
5. Democratic Senate challenger	146	370	516
-1. Inap, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	561	884	1445

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic candidate in open Senate race	18.6	18.7	17.9	17.6	18.1	18.0
3. Democratic Senate running incumbent	46.9	48.3	49.6	48.4	48.7	48.4
5. Democratic Senate challenger	7.1	12.0	9.6	10.7	8.7	11.2
-1. Inap, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	27.3	20.9	22.9	23.3	24.4	22.5

cand_sen_rep_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 U.S. Senate Republican cand (state of Respondent address)

34 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	561	877	1438
Elizabeth Emken	276	469	745
Rafael Edward 'Ted' Cruz	214	331	545
Connie Mack, IV	149	271	420
Wendy E. Long	89	213	302
Tom Smith	107	161	268
Josh Mandel	67	159	226
Peter 'Pete' Hoekstra	48	125	173
Michael J. Baumgartner	55	96	151
Joseph M. 'Joe' Kyrillos, Jr.	50	98	148

cand_sen_rep_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 U.S. Senate Republican cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	1056	2208	3264
2. Female	437	775	1212
-1. Inap, no Republican Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	561	877	1438

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	51.4	59.8	57.2	58.2	55.2	58.8
2. Female	21.3	19.3	20.1	18.6	20.5	18.8
-1. Inap, no Republican Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	27.3	20.9	22.7	23.2	24.3	22.4

cand_sen_rep_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 U.S. Senate Republican cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Republican candidate in open Senate race	383	690	1073
4. Republican Senate running incumbent	146	370	516
6. Republican Senate challenger	964	1923	2887
-1. Inap, no Republican Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	561	877	1438

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Republican candidate in open Senate race	18.6	18.7	17.9	17.6	18.1	18.0
4. Republican Senate running incumbent	7.1	12.0	9.6	10.7	8.7	11.2
6. Republican Senate challenger	46.9	48.3	49.8	48.5	48.8	48.4
-1. Inap, no Republican Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	27.3	20.9	22.7	23.2	24.3	22.4

cand_sen_oth_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 U.S. Senate other (3rd) cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	3842	5896
Angus Stanley King, Jr.	0	11	11
Bernard Sanders	0	7	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	100.0	100.0	99.5	99.5	99.7	99.7
Angus Stanley King, Jr.	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
Bernard Sanders	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

cand_sen_oth_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 U.S. Senate other (3rd) cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	0	18	18
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	2054	3842	5896

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	100.0	100.0	99.5	99.5	99.7	99.7

cand_sen_oth_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 U.S. Senate other (3rd) cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
7. Independent/3rd party/other Senate candidate - nonincumbent	0	11	11
9. Independent/3rd party/other Senate candidate - incumbent	0	7	7
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	2054	3842	5896

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
7. Independent/3rd party/other Senate candidate - nonincumbent	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
9. Independent/3rd party/other Senate candidate - incumbent	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other Senate candidate; no Senate race in state	100.0	100.0	99.5	99.5	99.7	99.7

cand_sen_oth_pty

Label: Candidate - party: 2012 U.S. Senate other (3rd) cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	3842	5896
Independent for Maine	0	11	11
Independent/Democrat	0	7	7

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	100.0	100.0	99.5	99.5	99.7	99.7
Independent for Maine	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
Independent/Democrat	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

sen_norace_srsen_name

Label: U.S. Senator - name: Senior Senator in state without 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

18 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1493	2992	4485
Richard J. Durbin	97	135	232
Richard M. Burr	95	129	224
Saxby Chambliss	54	111	165
Lindsey Graham	67	48	115
Mary L. Landrieu	71	40	111
Ronald Lee Wyden	27	63	90
Mark E. Udall	26	56	82
Richard C. Shelby	21	61	82
Mitch McConnell	29	50	79

sen_norace_srsen_pty

Label: U.S. Senator - party: Senior Senator in state without 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic	236	336	572
2. Republican	325	532	857
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	1493	2992	4485

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic	11.5	8.3	8.7	8.3	9.7	8.3
2. Republican	15.8	12.6	13.8	14.7	14.5	14.0
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	72.7	79.1	77.5	76.9	75.8	77.7

sen_norace_srsen_gend

Label: U.S. Senator - gender: Senior Senator in state without 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	423	761	1184
2. Female	138	107	245
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	1493	2992	4485

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	20.6	18.8	19.7	20.0	20.0	19.6
2. Female	6.7	2.0	2.8	3.0	4.1	2.7
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	72.7	79.1	77.5	76.9	75.8	77.7

sen_norace_srsen_code

Label: U.S. Senator - code: Senior Senator in state without 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
17. Democratic Senior Senator	236	336	572
18. Republican Senior Senator	325	532	857
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	1493	2992	4485

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
17. Democratic Senior Senator	11.5	8.3	8.7	8.3	9.7	8.3
18. Republican Senior Senator	15.8	12.6	13.8	14.7	14.5	14.0
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	72.7	79.1	77.5	76.9	75.8	77.7

sen_norace_jrsen_name

Label: U.S. Senator - name: Junior Senator in state without 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

18 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1493	2992	4485
Mark Steven Kirk	97	135	232
Kay R. Hagan	95	129	224
John Hardy Isakson	54	111	165
James W. DeMint	67	48	115
David Vitter	71	40	111
Jeffrey Alan Merkley	27	63	90
Jefferson B. Sessions	21	61	82
Michael F. Bennet	26	56	82
Rand Paul	29	50	79

sen_norace_jrsen_pty

Label: U.S. Senator - party: Junior Senator in state without 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic	174	294	468
2. Republican	387	574	961
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	1493	2992	4485

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic	8.5	8.9	7.6	7.5	7.9	8.0
2. Republican	18.8	12.0	14.9	15.6	16.2	14.3
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	72.7	79.1	77.5	76.9	75.8	77.7

sen_norace_jrsen_gend

Label: U.S. Senator - gender: Junior Senator in state without 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	466	723	1189
2. Female	95	145	240
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	1493	2992	4485

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	22.7	17.9	18.7	19.5	20.1	18.9
2. Female	4.6	3.0	3.8	3.6	4.1	3.4
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	72.7	79.1	77.5	76.9	75.8	77.7

sen_norace_jrsen_code

Label: U.S. Senator - code: Junior Senator in state without 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
11. Democratic junior Senator	174	294	468
12. Republican junior Senator	387	574	961
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	1493	2992	4485

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
11. Democratic junior Senator	8.5	8.9	7.6	7.5	7.9	8.0
12. Republican junior Senator	18.8	12.0	14.9	15.6	16.2	14.3
-1. Inap, Senate race in state	72.7	79.1	77.5	76.9	75.8	77.7

sen_race_sennotup_name

Label: U.S. Senator - name: nonrunning Senator in state with 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

34 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	561	877	1438
Barbara Boxer	276	469	745
John Cornyn	214	331	545
Marco Rubio	149	271	420
Charles E. Schumer	89	213	302
Patrick Joseph 'Pat' Toomey	107	161	268
Robert Jones Portman	67	159	226
Carl Levin	48	125	173
Patty Murray	55	96	151
Frank R. Lautenberg	50	98	148

sen_race_sennotup_pty

Label: U.S. Senator - party: nonrunning Senator in state with 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic	863	1805	2668
2. Republican	630	1178	1808
-1. Inap, no Senate race in state	561	877	1438

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic	42.0	45.5	46.8	45.9	45.1	45.8
2. Republican	30.7	33.6	30.5	30.9	30.6	31.8
-1. Inap, no Senate race in state	27.3	20.9	22.7	23.2	24.3	22.4

sen_race_sennotup_gend

Label: U.S. Senator - gender: nonrunning Senator in state with 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	1139	2329	3468
2. Female	354	654	1008
-1. Inap, no Senate race in state	561	877	1438

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	55.5	64.8	60.3	60.7	58.6	62.1
2. Female	17.2	14.4	16.9	16.1	17.0	15.5
-1. Inap, no Senate race in state	27.3	20.9	22.7	23.2	24.3	22.4

sen_race_sennotup_code

Label: U.S. Senator - code: nonrunning Senator in state with 2012 Senate race (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
11. Democratic junior Senator	513	994	1507
12. Republican junior Senator	549	991	1540
17. Democratic Senior Senator	350	811	1161
18. Republican Senior Senator	81	187	268
-1. Inap, no Senate race in state	561	877	1438

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
11. Democratic junior Senator	25.0	23.6	25.8	23.9	25.5	23.8
12. Republican junior Senator	26.7	27.2	25.7	25.8	26.0	26.3
17. Democratic Senior Senator	17.0	21.9	21.0	22.0	19.6	22.0
18. Republican Senior Senator	3.9	6.4	4.8	5.1	4.5	5.6
-1. Inap, no Senate race in state	27.3	20.9	22.7	23.2	24.3	22.4

cand_gov_dem_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 gubernatorial Democratic cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1801	3355	5156
Earl Ray Tomblin	9	28	37
Jack A. Markell	15	14	29
Jay R. Inslee	55	96	151
Jeremiah W. 'Jay' Nixon	23	83	106
John R. Gregg	34	74	108
Maggie Hassan	0	16	16
Peter S. Cooke	11	37	48
Peter Shumlin	0	7	7
Ryan M. Taylor	0	9	9
Steve Bullock	11	12	23
Walter H. Dalton	95	129	224

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	87.7	86.6	86.9	85.8	87.2	86.1
Earl Ray Tomblin	0.4	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.9
Jack A. Markell	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4
Jay R. Inslee	2.7	2.2	2.5	3.1	2.6	2.8
Jeremiah W. 'Jay' Nixon	1.1	1.9	2.2	2.3	1.8	2.1
John R. Gregg	1.7	2.8	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.2
Maggie Hassan	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2
Peter S. Cooke	0.5	1.1	1.0	1.2	0.8	1.2
Peter Shumlin	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Ryan M. Taylor	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Steve Bullock	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.6
Walter H. Dalton	4.6	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.8	3.2

cand_gov_dem_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 gubernatorial Democratic cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	253	489	742
2. Female	0	16	16
-1. Inap, no Democratic gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	1801	3355	5156

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	12.3	13.4	12.7	13.9	12.5	13.7
2. Female	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2
-1. Inap, no Democratic gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	87.7	86.6	86.9	85.8	87.2	86.1

cand_gov_dem_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 gubernatorial Democratic cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
51. Democratic candidate in open gubernatorial race	195	327	522
53. Democratic gubernatorial running incumbent	47	132	179
55. Democratic gubernatorial challenger	11	46	57
-1. Inap, no Democratic gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	1801	3355	5156

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
51. Democratic candidate in open gubernatorial race	9.5	8.8	8.5	9.2	8.8	9.0
53. Democratic gubernatorial running incumbent	2.3	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.5
55. Democratic gubernatorial challenger	0.5	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.0	1.3
-1. Inap, no Democratic gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	87.7	86.6	86.9	85.8	87.2	86.1

cand_gov_rep_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 gubernatorial Republican cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	1801	3355	5156
David 'Dave' Spence	23	83	106
Gary R. Herbert	11	37	48
Jack Dalrymple	0	9	9
Jeffrey E. Cragg	15	14	29
Mike Pence	34	74	108
Ovide M. Lamontagne	0	16	16
Patrick 'Pat' McCrory	95	129	224
Randy Brock	0	7	7
Rick Hill	11	12	23
Rob McKenna	55	96	151
William Joseph 'Bill' Maloney	9	28	37

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	87.7	86.6	86.9	85.8	87.2	86.1
David 'Dave' Spence	1.1	1.9	2.2	2.3	1.8	2.1
Gary R. Herbert	0.5	1.1	1.0	1.2	0.8	1.2
Jack Dalrymple	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Jeffrey E. Cragg	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4
Mike Pence	1.7	2.8	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.2
Ovide M. Lamontagne	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2
Patrick 'Pat' McCrory	4.6	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.8	3.2
Randy Brock	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Rick Hill	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.6
Rob McKenna	2.7	2.2	2.5	3.1	2.6	2.8
William Joseph 'Bill' Maloney	0.4	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.9

cand_gov_rep_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 gubernatorial Republican cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	253	505	758
-1. Inap, no Republican gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	1801	3355	5156

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	12.3	13.4	13.1	14.2	12.8	13.9
-1. Inap, no Republican gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	87.7	86.6	86.9	85.8	87.2	86.1

cand_gov_rep_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 gubernatorial Republican cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
52. Republican candidate in open gubernatorial race	195	327	522
54. Republican gubernatorial running incumbent	11	46	57
56. Republican gubernatorial challenger	47	132	179
-1. Inap, no Republican gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	1801	3355	5156

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
52. Republican candidate in open gubernatorial race	9.5	8.8	8.5	9.2	8.8	9.0
54. Republican gubernatorial running incumbent	0.5	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.0	1.3
56. Republican gubernatorial challenger	2.3	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.5
-1. Inap, no Republican gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	87.7	86.6	86.9	85.8	87.2	86.1

cand_gov_oth_name

Label: Candidate - name: 2012 gubernatorial other (3rd) cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	3844	5898
Jackie Cilley	0	16	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.8
Jackie Cilley	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2

cand_gov_oth_gend

Label: Candidate - gender: 2012 gubernatorial other (3rd) cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
2. Female	0	16	16
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	2054	3844	5898

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
2. Female	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.8

cand_gov_oth_code

Label: Candidate - code: 2012 gubernatorial other (3rd) cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
57. Independent/3rd party/other gubernatorial candidate - nonincumbent	0	16	16
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	2054	3844	5898

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
57. Independent/3rd party/other gubernatorial candidate - nonincumbent	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2
-1. Inap, no independent/3rd party/other gubernatorial candidate; no governor race in state	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.8

cand_gov_oth_pty

Label: Candidate - party: 2012 gubernatorial other (3rd) cand (state of Respondent address)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	2054	3844	5898
Democrat	0	16	16

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.8
Democrat	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2

hserep_before_electn_name

Label: Name of U.S. House Representative in office prior to November elections - for address of Respondent

651 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
	0	275	275
Silvestre Reyes	39	23	62
Timothy E. 'Tim' Scott	54	6	60
Jeff Landry	56	2	58
Danny K. Davis	45	8	53
Martin Heinrich	39	9	48
William Steve 'Steve' Southerland, II	43	5	48
Ileana Ros-Lehtinen	28	19	47
Robert A. 'Bob' Brady	38	5	43
Eddie Bernice Johnson	32	7	39

hserep_before_electn_code

Label: Code of U.S. House Representative in office prior to November elections - for address of Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
00. Not running in R's district (redistricting/retiring): R's U.S. Hse Rep before the election	850	1447	2297
33. Running in R's district: R's Democratic U.S. Hse Rep before the election	613	961	1574
34. Running in R's district: R's Republican U.S. Hse Rep before the election	591	1177	1768
-1. Inap, district before/after election not determined (Web only)	0	275	275

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
00. Not running in R's district (redistricting/retiring): R's U.S. Hse Rep before the election	41.4	39.6	37.5	37.0	38.8	37.9
33. Running in R's district: R's Democratic U.S. Hse Rep before the election	29.8	26.9	24.9	23.6	26.6	24.8
34. Running in R's district: R's Republican U.S. Hse Rep before the election	28.8	33.5	30.5	32.1	29.9	32.5
-1. Inap, district before/after election not determined (Web only)	0.0	0.0	7.1	7.3	4.6	4.8

hserep_before_electn_pty

Label: Party of U.S. House Representative in office prior to November elections - for address of Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic	952	1601	2553
2. Republican	1102	1984	3086
-1. Inap, district before/after election not determined (Web only)	0	275	275

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic	46.3	43.2	41.5	39.3	43.2	40.6
2. Republican	53.7	56.8	51.4	53.4	52.2	54.6
-1. Inap, district before/after election not determined (Web only)	0.0	0.0	7.1	7.3	4.6	4.8

hserep_before_electn_gend

Label: Gender of U.S. House Representative in office prior to November elections - for address of Respondent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Male	1823	2982	4805
2. Female	231	603	834
-1. Inap, district before/after election not determined (Web only)	0	275	275

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Male	88.8	86.9	77.3	77.4	81.2	80.7
2. Female	11.2	13.1	15.6	15.3	14.1	14.6
-1. Inap, district before/after election not determined (Web only)	0.0	0.0	7.1	7.3	4.6	4.8

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_01

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 1 (20/20)

62 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	88	0	88
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_02

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 2 (60 Minutes)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_03

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 3 (ABC News Nightline)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	76	0	76
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
47. 47th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_04

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 4 (ABC World News Tonight)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_05

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 5 (America Live)

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	80	0	80
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
18. 18th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_06

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 6 (America This Morning)

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
40. 40th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_07

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 7 (America's Newsroom)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	77	0	77
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_08

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 8 (American Idol)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	78	0	78
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	78	0	78
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
12. 12th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
18. 18th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_09

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 9 (Anderson Cooper)

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	49	0	49
47. 47th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	49	0	49

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_10

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 10 (The Big Bang Theory)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_11

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 11 (CBS Evening News)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	71	0	71
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
40. 40th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_12

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 12 (CBS This Morning)

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	64	0	64
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
47. 47th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_13

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 13 (Chris Matthews Show)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
24. 24th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
40. 40th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
47. 47th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
42. 42nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_14

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 14 (Colbert Report)

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	77	0	77
22. 22nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	76	0	76
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
24. 24th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_15

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 15 (Daily Show w/Jon Stewart)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	77	0	77
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_16

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 16 (Dancing w/the Stars)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	74	0	74
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
24. 24th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
42. 42nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
22. 22nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_17

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 17 (Dateline NBC)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
24. 24th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	105	0	105
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	75	0	75
22. 22nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	64	0	64
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_18

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 18 (Doctors)

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
47. 47th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	73	0	73
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
22. 22nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
12. 12th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_19

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 19 (Ellen DeGeneres Show)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	88	0	88
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	76	0	76
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_20

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 20 (Face the Nation)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	76	0	76
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	71	0	71
18. 18th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
24. 24th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
11. 11th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	49	0	49
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	48	0	48
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	47	0	47

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_21

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 21 (The Five)

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	80	0	80
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
24. 24th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
42. 42nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_22

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 22 (Fox Report)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
11. 11th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	81	0	81
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	64	0	64
12. 12th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	64	0	64
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_23

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 23 (Front-line)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	64	0	64
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_24

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 24 (Good Morning America)

63 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	80	0	80
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
12. 12th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_25

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 25 (Hannity)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	80	0	80
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_26

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 26 (Huckabee)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
11. 11th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	49	0	49

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_27

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 27 (Insider)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	89	0	89
12. 12th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
42. 42nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
18. 18th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_28

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 28 (Jimmy Kimmel Live)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	49	0	49

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_29

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 29 (Key and Peele)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
11. 11th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_30

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 30 (Late Late Show w/Craig Ferguson)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
18. 18th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	67	0	67
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
11. 11th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_31

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 31 (Late Show w/David Letterman)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
40. 40th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_32

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 32 (Meet the Press)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	81	0	81
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	71	0	71
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_33

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 33 (The Mentalist)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50
47. 47th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_34

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 34 (NBC Nightly News)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_35

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 35 (NCIS)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	77	0	77
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	64	0	64
22. 22nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_36

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 36 (O'Reilly Factor)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_37

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 37 (On the Record w/Greta Van Susteren)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	74	0	74
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_38

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 38 (Person of Interest)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
18. 18th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_39

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 39 (Rock Center w/Brian Williams)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
12. 12th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
24. 24th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
11. 11th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	49	0	49

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_40

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 40 (Saturday Night Live)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	74	0	74
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
42. 42nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
40. 40th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	50	0	50

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_41

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 41 (Special Report w/Bret Baier)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	71	0	71
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
18. 18th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_42

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 42 (Tavis Smiley)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	75	0	75
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	71	0	71
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	51	0	51

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_43

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 43 (Sunday Morning)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	55	0	55

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_44

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 44 (The View)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
23. 23rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	74	0	74
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	63	0	63
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_45

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 45 (This Week)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	74	0	74
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	70	0	70
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
42. 42nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	52	0	52

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_46

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 46 (Today Show)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	69	0	69
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	68	0	68
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	59	0	59
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_47

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 47 (The Voice)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	66	0	66
08. 8th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
31. 31st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	53	0	53

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_48

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 48 (The Talk)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	72	0	72
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	65	0	65
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	62	0	62
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	61	0	61
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	60	0	60
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	58	0	58
41. 41st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	57	0	57
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	56	0	56
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	54	0	54

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_49

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 49 (Al Punto)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
30. 30th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
34. 34th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_50

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 50 (Al Rojo Vivo)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	17	0	17
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	16	0	16
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
40. 40th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
58. 58th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	11	0	11
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	11	0	11

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_51

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 51 (Aqui y Ahora)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	23	0	23
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	18	0	18
28. 28th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	18	0	18
15. 15th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	17	0	17
64. 64th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	17	0	17
55. 55th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	16	0	16
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_52

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 52 (CNN en Espanol)

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
57. 57th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	16	0	16
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
49. 49th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
51. 51st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_53

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 53 (Despierta America)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	18	0	18
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
18. 18th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
11. 11th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
17. 17th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	11	0	11

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_54

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 54 (El-Gordo y La Flaca)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	17	0	17
55. 55th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	16	0	16
38. 38th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
42. 42nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	11	0	11

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_55

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 55 (Enfoque)

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
36. 36th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	19	0	19
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	16	0	16
29. 29th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
47. 47th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
54. 54th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
59. 59th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
46. 46th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_56

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 56 (Hoy)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
64. 64th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	19	0	19
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	17	0	17
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
12. 12th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_57

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 57 (Lo Mejor de Caso Cerrado)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	18	0	18
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
19. 19th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
63. 63rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
11. 11th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
56. 56th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_58

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 58 (Noticiero Enrique Gratas)

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	19	0	19
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
44. 44th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
45. 45th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_59

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 59 (Noticiero Telemundo)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
16. 16th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
21. 21st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
61. 61st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
22. 22nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
20. 20th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
51. 51st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
59. 59th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_60

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 60 (Noticiero Univision)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
61. 61st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	22	0	22
05. 5th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	18	0	18
40. 40th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
59. 59th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
10. 10th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_61

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 61 (Pa'lante con Cristiana)

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
64. 64th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	18	0	18
61. 61st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	16	0	16
26. 26th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
56. 56th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
37. 37th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
58. 58th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
03. 3rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_62

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 62 (Pequenos Gigantes)

66 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	17	0	17
57. 57th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	17	0	17
13. 13th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
09. 9th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	14	0	14
43. 43rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
54. 54th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_63

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 63 (Primer Impacto)

64 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
07. 7th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	18	0	18
14. 14th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	16	0	16
48. 48th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
27. 27th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
64. 64th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
06. 6th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
32. 32nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12
33. 33rd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randordq_medsrc_tvprog_64

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered TV programs: TV program 64 (Sabado Gigante)

65 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
1. Inap, R did not select TV as media source; R not flagged as Hispanic for Spanish TV programs	1582	0	1582
35. 35th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	19	0	19
25. 25th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	18	0	18
51. 51st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	15	0	15
01. 1st TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
02. 2nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
39. 39th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
52. 52nd TV program (on 3-4 screens)	13	0	13
04. 4th TV program (on 3-4 screens)	12	0	12

randord_medsrc_tvprog01_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 1 (20/20)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	526	1207	1733
2. Screen 2	624	1239	1863
3. Screen 3	538	1247	1785
4. Screen 4	83	154	237
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	25.6	27.0	31.3	32.5	29.3	30.6
2. Screen 2	30.4	32.7	32.1	31.3	31.5	31.8
3. Screen 3	26.2	26.2	32.3	32.4	30.2	30.2
4. Screen 4	4.0	1.8	4.0	3.4	4.0	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog02_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 2 (60 Minutes)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	528	1281	1809
2. Screen 2	548	1207	1755
3. Screen 3	594	1229	1823
4. Screen 4	101	130	231
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	25.7	25.5	33.2	32.5	30.6	30.1
2. Screen 2	26.7	28.9	31.3	32.1	29.7	31.0
3. Screen 3	28.9	31.3	31.8	32.1	30.8	31.8
4. Screen 4	4.9	1.9	3.4	2.9	3.9	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog03_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 3 (ABC News Nightline)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	593	1255	1848
2. Screen 2	536	1206	1742
3. Screen 3	535	1246	1781
4. Screen 4	107	140	247
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.9	30.3	32.5	32.1	31.2	31.5
2. Screen 2	26.1	27.7	31.2	31.7	29.5	30.3
3. Screen 3	26.0	27.0	32.3	32.6	30.1	30.6
4. Screen 4	5.2	2.7	3.6	3.2	4.2	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog04_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 4 (ABC World News Tonight)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	536	1274	1810
2. Screen 2	627	1198	1825
3. Screen 3	520	1232	1752
4. Screen 4	88	143	231
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	26.1	26.6	33.0	34.0	30.6	31.4
2. Screen 2	30.5	32.5	31.0	31.4	30.9	31.8
3. Screen 3	25.3	26.2	31.9	31.4	29.6	29.6
4. Screen 4	4.3	2.4	3.7	2.7	3.9	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog05_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 5 (America Live)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	571	1244	1815
2. Screen 2	561	1214	1775
3. Screen 3	541	1233	1774
4. Screen 4	98	156	254
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.8	30.6	32.2	32.6	30.7	31.9
2. Screen 2	27.3	28.2	31.5	31.5	30.0	30.3
3. Screen 3	26.3	26.5	31.9	32.3	30.0	30.3
4. Screen 4	4.8	2.4	4.0	3.2	4.3	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog06_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 6 (America This Morning)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	577	1234	1811
2. Screen 2	613	1251	1864
3. Screen 3	498	1231	1729
4. Screen 4	83	131	214
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.1	29.4	32.0	32.7	30.6	31.6
2. Screen 2	29.8	32.6	32.4	31.8	31.5	32.1
3. Screen 3	24.2	24.0	31.9	32.5	29.2	29.6
4. Screen 4	4.0	1.7	3.4	2.5	3.6	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog07_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 7 (America's Newsroom)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	498	1245	1743
2. Screen 2	591	1229	1820
3. Screen 3	581	1258	1839
4. Screen 4	101	115	216
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	24.2	27.3	32.3	32.6	29.5	30.7
2. Screen 2	28.8	31.7	31.8	32.8	30.8	32.4
3. Screen 3	28.3	26.3	32.6	32.4	31.1	30.3
4. Screen 4	4.9	2.4	3.0	1.8	3.7	2.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog08_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 8 (American Idol)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	625	1226	1851
2. Screen 2	593	1221	1814
3. Screen 3	469	1289	1758
4. Screen 4	84	111	195
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	30.4	34.2	31.8	30.4	31.3	31.7
2. Screen 2	28.9	31.0	31.6	31.2	30.7	31.1
3. Screen 3	22.8	20.4	33.4	35.7	29.7	30.4
4. Screen 4	4.1	2.1	2.9	2.2	3.3	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog09_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 9 (Anderson Cooper)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	555	1225	1780
2. Screen 2	576	1260	1836
3. Screen 3	535	1230	1765
4. Screen 4	105	132	237
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.0	28.7	31.7	30.7	30.1	30.0
2. Screen 2	28.0	28.8	32.6	33.6	31.0	31.9
3. Screen 3	26.0	27.8	31.9	32.3	29.8	30.8
4. Screen 4	5.1	2.5	3.4	2.9	4.0	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog10_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 10 (The Big Bang Theory)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	605	1214	1819
2. Screen 2	494	1257	1751
3. Screen 3	568	1260	1828
4. Screen 4	104	116	220
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	29.5	32.0	31.5	31.1	30.8	31.4
2. Screen 2	24.1	23.9	32.6	33.7	29.6	30.3
3. Screen 3	27.7	29.3	32.6	32.4	30.9	31.3
4. Screen 4	5.1	2.5	3.0	2.3	3.7	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog11_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 11 (CBS Evening News)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	593	1262	1855
2. Screen 2	598	1270	1868
3. Screen 3	501	1188	1689
4. Screen 4	79	127	206
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.9	31.3	32.7	32.9	31.4	32.3
2. Screen 2	29.1	30.2	32.9	33.3	31.6	32.2
3. Screen 3	24.4	24.6	30.8	30.9	28.6	28.7
4. Screen 4	3.8	1.6	3.3	2.5	3.5	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog12_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 12 (CBS This Morning)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	562	1213	1775
2. Screen 2	534	1222	1756
3. Screen 3	573	1274	1847
4. Screen 4	102	138	240
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.4	29.9	31.4	32.0	30.0	31.2
2. Screen 2	26.0	25.8	31.7	31.2	29.7	29.3
3. Screen 3	27.9	30.0	33.0	33.6	31.2	32.3
4. Screen 4	5.0	2.1	3.6	2.8	4.1	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog13_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 13 (Chris Matthews Show)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	459	1239	1698
2. Screen 2	599	1241	1840
3. Screen 3	603	1251	1854
4. Screen 4	110	116	226
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	22.3	24.1	32.1	32.9	28.7	29.8
2. Screen 2	29.2	30.5	32.2	32.6	31.1	31.8
3. Screen 3	29.4	30.6	32.4	31.8	31.3	31.4
4. Screen 4	5.4	2.5	3.0	2.3	3.8	2.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog14_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 14 (Colbert Report)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	601	1258	1859
2. Screen 2	615	1232	1847
3. Screen 3	468	1217	1685
4. Screen 4	87	140	227
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	29.3	29.8	32.6	31.2	31.4	30.7
2. Screen 2	29.9	31.5	31.9	32.7	31.2	32.3
3. Screen 3	22.8	24.8	31.5	32.6	28.5	29.9
4. Screen 4	4.2	1.5	3.6	3.1	3.8	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog15_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 15 (Daily Show w/Jon Stewart)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	436	1260	1696
2. Screen 2	628	1235	1863
3. Screen 3	602	1221	1823
4. Screen 4	105	131	236
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	21.2	22.2	32.6	33.7	28.7	29.7
2. Screen 2	30.6	33.0	32.0	31.7	31.5	32.1
3. Screen 3	29.3	30.4	31.6	31.0	30.8	30.8
4. Screen 4	5.1	2.2	3.4	3.1	4.0	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog16_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 16 (Dancing w/the Stars)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	518	1225	1743
2. Screen 2	576	1217	1793
3. Screen 3	570	1266	1836
4. Screen 4	107	139	246
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	25.2	26.5	31.7	33.4	29.5	31.0
2. Screen 2	28.0	28.6	31.5	30.1	30.3	29.6
3. Screen 3	27.8	29.8	32.8	33.1	31.0	32.0
4. Screen 4	5.2	2.8	3.6	3.0	4.2	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog17_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 17 (Dateline NBC)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	512	1230	1742
2. Screen 2	660	1263	1923
3. Screen 3	507	1219	1726
4. Screen 4	92	135	227
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	24.9	26.4	31.9	32.3	29.5	30.2
2. Screen 2	32.1	35.3	32.7	32.3	32.5	33.4
3. Screen 3	24.7	23.8	31.6	32.0	29.2	29.2
4. Screen 4	4.5	2.2	3.5	2.9	3.8	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog18_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 18 (Doctors)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	477	1229	1706
2. Screen 2	643	1247	1890
3. Screen 3	551	1250	1801
4. Screen 4	100	121	221
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	23.2	23.9	31.8	31.6	28.8	28.9
2. Screen 2	31.3	34.7	32.3	33.1	32.0	33.7
3. Screen 3	26.8	26.8	32.4	32.2	30.5	30.3
4. Screen 4	4.9	2.3	3.1	2.6	3.7	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog19_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 19 (Ellen DeGeneres Show)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	403	1245	1648
2. Screen 2	613	1243	1856
3. Screen 3	658	1232	1890
4. Screen 4	97	127	224
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	19.6	18.5	32.3	32.4	27.9	27.6
2. Screen 2	29.8	32.2	32.2	32.4	31.4	32.4
3. Screen 3	32.0	34.4	31.9	31.9	32.0	32.8
4. Screen 4	4.7	2.5	3.3	2.8	3.8	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog20_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 20 (Face the Nation)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	530	1211	1741
2. Screen 2	568	1266	1834
3. Screen 3	586	1221	1807
4. Screen 4	87	149	236
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	25.8	27.2	31.4	31.1	29.4	29.7
2. Screen 2	27.7	28.1	32.8	33.3	31.0	31.5
3. Screen 3	28.5	30.7	31.6	31.9	30.6	31.5
4. Screen 4	4.2	1.7	3.9	3.2	4.0	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog21_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 21 (The Five)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	556	1243	1799
2. Screen 2	552	1261	1813
3. Screen 3	567	1214	1781
4. Screen 4	96	129	225
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.1	29.3	32.2	32.5	30.4	31.4
2. Screen 2	26.9	26.4	32.7	33.4	30.7	31.0
3. Screen 3	27.6	29.5	31.5	30.8	30.1	30.3
4. Screen 4	4.7	2.5	3.3	2.8	3.8	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog22_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 22 (Fox Report)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	617	1210	1827
2. Screen 2	449	1258	1707
3. Screen 3	601	1256	1857
4. Screen 4	104	123	227
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	30.0	30.2	31.3	30.7	30.9	30.5
2. Screen 2	21.9	24.0	32.6	33.7	28.9	30.3
3. Screen 3	29.3	31.2	32.5	32.8	31.4	32.2
4. Screen 4	5.1	2.3	3.2	2.4	3.8	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog23_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 23 (Frontline)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	594	1251	1845
2. Screen 2	537	1256	1793
3. Screen 3	545	1202	1747
4. Screen 4	95	138	233
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.9	27.9	32.4	32.3	31.2	30.8
2. Screen 2	26.1	28.5	32.5	32.7	30.3	31.2
3. Screen 3	26.5	29.2	31.1	31.7	29.5	30.8
4. Screen 4	4.6	2.1	3.6	2.9	3.9	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog24_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 24 (Good Morning America)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	595	1227	1822
2. Screen 2	534	1196	1730
3. Screen 3	558	1280	1838
4. Screen 4	84	144	228
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	29.0	29.9	31.8	32.7	30.8	31.8
2. Screen 2	26.0	27.5	31.0	31.5	29.3	30.1
3. Screen 3	27.2	28.5	33.2	32.7	31.1	31.3
4. Screen 4	4.1	1.8	3.7	2.6	3.9	2.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog25_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 25 (Hannity)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	552	1269	1821
2. Screen 2	486	1240	1726
3. Screen 3	630	1209	1839
4. Screen 4	103	129	232
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	26.9	28.2	32.9	33.5	30.8	31.7
2. Screen 2	23.7	23.5	32.1	32.2	29.2	29.2
3. Screen 3	30.7	33.6	31.3	31.2	31.1	32.0
4. Screen 4	5.0	2.4	3.3	2.6	3.9	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog26_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 26 (Huckabee)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	597	1202	1799
2. Screen 2	550	1291	1841
3. Screen 3	542	1219	1761
4. Screen 4	82	135	217
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	29.1	32.2	31.1	31.7	30.4	31.9
2. Screen 2	26.8	25.9	33.4	31.6	31.1	29.6
3. Screen 3	26.4	27.7	31.6	33.3	29.8	31.4
4. Screen 4	4.0	1.9	3.5	2.9	3.7	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog27_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 27 (Insider)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	642	1217	1859
2. Screen 2	515	1277	1792
3. Screen 3	520	1217	1737
4. Screen 4	94	136	230
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	31.3	32.6	31.5	32.1	31.4	32.3
2. Screen 2	25.1	25.9	33.1	32.4	30.3	30.1
3. Screen 3	25.3	27.0	31.5	32.2	29.4	30.4
4. Screen 4	4.6	2.2	3.5	2.9	3.9	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog28_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 28 (Jimmy Kimmel Live)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	612	1220	1832
2. Screen 2	514	1255	1769
3. Screen 3	538	1246	1784
4. Screen 4	107	126	233
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	29.8	30.8	31.6	32.1	31.0	31.7
2. Screen 2	25.0	26.5	32.5	32.5	29.9	30.4
3. Screen 3	26.2	28.1	32.3	32.4	30.2	30.9
4. Screen 4	5.2	2.2	3.3	2.6	3.9	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog29_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 29 (Key and Peele)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	630	1245	1875
2. Screen 2	533	1197	1730
3. Screen 3	512	1262	1774
4. Screen 4	96	143	239
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	30.7	31.6	32.3	33.5	31.7	32.8
2. Screen 2	25.9	26.1	31.0	31.1	29.3	29.4
3. Screen 3	24.9	28.0	32.7	32.1	30.0	30.7
4. Screen 4	4.7	1.9	3.7	2.9	4.0	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog30_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 30 (Late Late Show w/Craig Ferguson)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	510	1246	1756
2. Screen 2	599	1256	1855
3. Screen 3	575	1211	1786
4. Screen 4	87	134	221
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	24.8	25.9	32.3	32.1	29.7	30.0
2. Screen 2	29.2	29.9	32.5	32.3	31.4	31.5
3. Screen 3	28.0	30.1	31.4	32.4	30.2	31.6
4. Screen 4	4.2	1.8	3.5	2.7	3.7	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog31_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 31 (Late Show w/David Letterman)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	546	1270	1816
2. Screen 2	532	1231	1763
3. Screen 3	582	1206	1788
4. Screen 4	111	140	251
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	26.6	27.2	32.9	34.1	30.7	31.7
2. Screen 2	25.9	28.0	31.9	31.6	29.8	30.3
3. Screen 3	28.3	29.8	31.2	30.6	30.2	30.3
4. Screen 4	5.4	2.7	3.6	3.3	4.2	3.1
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog32_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 32 (Meet the Press)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	588	1204	1792
2. Screen 2	560	1262	1822
3. Screen 3	526	1236	1762
4. Screen 4	97	145	242
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.6	30.4	31.2	31.5	30.3	31.1
2. Screen 2	27.3	29.2	32.7	31.8	30.8	30.9
3. Screen 3	25.6	26.2	32.0	33.0	29.8	30.6
4. Screen 4	4.7	1.9	3.8	3.2	4.1	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog33_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 33 (The Mentalist)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	538	1248	1786
2. Screen 2	573	1187	1760
3. Screen 3	534	1276	1810
4. Screen 4	126	136	262
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	26.2	26.2	32.3	32.6	30.2	30.4
2. Screen 2	27.9	31.3	30.8	30.2	29.8	30.6
3. Screen 3	26.0	27.3	33.1	33.8	30.6	31.5
4. Screen 4	6.1	2.9	3.5	3.0	4.4	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog34_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 34 (NBC Nightly News)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	584	1283	1867
2. Screen 2	512	1208	1720
3. Screen 3	553	1238	1791
4. Screen 4	122	118	240
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.4	31.5	33.2	32.5	31.6	32.2
2. Screen 2	24.9	25.5	31.3	31.4	29.1	29.3
3. Screen 3	26.9	28.0	32.1	32.8	30.3	31.2
4. Screen 4	5.9	2.7	3.1	2.8	4.1	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog35_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 35 (NCIS)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	574	1247	1821
2. Screen 2	550	1219	1769
3. Screen 3	549	1239	1788
4. Screen 4	98	142	240
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.9	29.8	32.3	30.9	30.8	30.5
2. Screen 2	26.8	26.2	31.6	32.7	29.9	30.4
3. Screen 3	26.7	29.5	32.1	32.8	30.2	31.6
4. Screen 4	4.8	2.2	3.7	3.2	4.1	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog36_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 36 (O'Reilly Factor)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	523	1246	1769
2. Screen 2	565	1221	1786
3. Screen 3	561	1238	1799
4. Screen 4	122	142	264
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	25.5	26.7	32.3	30.9	29.9	29.4
2. Screen 2	27.5	27.3	31.6	32.4	30.2	30.6
3. Screen 3	27.3	30.7	32.1	33.2	30.4	32.4
4. Screen 4	5.9	3.0	3.7	3.0	4.5	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog37_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 37 (On the Record w/Greta Van Susteren)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	558	1268	1826
2. Screen 2	579	1221	1800
3. Screen 3	539	1237	1776
4. Screen 4	95	121	216
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.2	28.4	32.8	33.3	30.9	31.6
2. Screen 2	28.2	29.3	31.6	31.7	30.4	30.9
3. Screen 3	26.2	27.5	32.0	32.6	30.0	30.8
4. Screen 4	4.6	2.5	3.1	2.0	3.7	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog38_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 38 (Person of Interest)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	557	1215	1772
2. Screen 2	542	1257	1799
3. Screen 3	565	1226	1791
4. Screen 4	107	149	256
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.1	29.6	31.5	31.6	30.0	30.9
2. Screen 2	26.4	26.9	32.6	34.1	30.4	31.6
3. Screen 3	27.5	28.5	31.8	30.8	30.3	30.0
4. Screen 4	5.2	2.6	3.9	3.1	4.3	2.9
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog39_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 39 (Rock Center w/Brian Williams)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	529	1272	1801
2. Screen 2	546	1240	1786
3. Screen 3	594	1209	1803
4. Screen 4	102	126	228
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	25.8	26.5	33.0	32.8	30.5	30.6
2. Screen 2	26.6	28.1	32.1	31.7	30.2	30.4
3. Screen 3	28.9	30.7	31.3	32.5	30.5	31.9
4. Screen 4	5.0	2.3	3.3	2.5	3.9	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog40_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 40 (Saturday Night Live)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	589	1214	1803
2. Screen 2	525	1246	1771
3. Screen 3	557	1249	1806
4. Screen 4	100	138	238
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.7	29.2	31.5	31.4	30.5	30.6
2. Screen 2	25.6	27.0	32.3	33.0	29.9	30.9
3. Screen 3	27.1	29.3	32.4	31.7	30.5	30.9
4. Screen 4	4.9	2.1	3.6	3.4	4.0	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog41_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 41 (Special Report w/Bret Baier)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	543	1235	1778
2. Screen 2	529	1256	1785
3. Screen 3	591	1223	1814
4. Screen 4	108	133	241
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	26.4	29.1	32.0	32.0	30.1	31.0
2. Screen 2	25.8	27.2	32.5	33.1	30.2	31.1
3. Screen 3	28.8	28.9	31.7	31.5	30.7	30.6
4. Screen 4	5.3	2.5	3.4	2.9	4.1	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog42_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 42 (Tavis Smiley)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	558	1165	1723
2. Screen 2	480	1289	1769
3. Screen 3	607	1263	1870
4. Screen 4	126	130	256
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.2	28.8	30.2	30.3	29.1	29.8
2. Screen 2	23.4	24.4	33.4	33.2	29.9	30.1
3. Screen 3	29.6	31.5	32.7	33.0	31.6	32.5
4. Screen 4	6.1	3.1	3.4	3.0	4.3	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog43_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 43 (Sunday Morning)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	570	1250	1820
2. Screen 2	545	1296	1841
3. Screen 3	531	1181	1712
4. Screen 4	125	120	245
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	27.8	28.9	32.4	33.1	30.8	31.6
2. Screen 2	26.5	27.1	33.6	34.2	31.1	31.7
3. Screen 3	25.9	29.0	30.6	30.3	28.9	29.8
4. Screen 4	6.1	2.7	3.1	2.0	4.1	2.3
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog44_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 44 (The View)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	579	1253	1832
2. Screen 2	610	1178	1788
3. Screen 3	491	1283	1774
4. Screen 4	91	133	224
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.2	29.1	32.5	33.9	31.0	32.2
2. Screen 2	29.7	31.0	30.5	29.8	30.2	30.3
3. Screen 3	23.9	25.4	33.2	33.2	30.0	30.4
4. Screen 4	4.4	2.2	3.4	2.7	3.8	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog45_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 45 (This Week)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	531	1264	1795
2. Screen 2	480	1202	1682
3. Screen 3	655	1241	1896
4. Screen 4	105	140	245
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	25.9	27.8	32.7	33.1	30.4	31.3
2. Screen 2	23.4	24.2	31.1	30.8	28.4	28.5
3. Screen 3	31.9	33.3	32.2	32.5	32.1	32.8
4. Screen 4	5.1	2.3	3.6	3.1	4.1	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog46_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 46 (Today Show)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	536	1208	1744
2. Screen 2	480	1192	1672
3. Screen 3	636	1308	1944
4. Screen 4	119	139	258
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	26.1	27.5	31.3	30.8	29.5	29.7
2. Screen 2	23.4	26.0	30.9	32.0	28.3	29.9
3. Screen 3	31.0	31.6	33.9	33.7	32.9	33.0
4. Screen 4	5.8	2.6	3.6	3.0	4.4	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog47_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 47 (The Voice)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	582	1217	1799
2. Screen 2	582	1299	1881
3. Screen 3	529	1194	1723
4. Screen 4	78	137	215
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	28.3	28.2	31.5	31.7	30.4	30.5
2. Screen 2	28.3	31.0	33.7	34.6	31.8	33.3
3. Screen 3	25.8	26.6	30.9	30.9	29.1	29.4
4. Screen 4	3.8	2.0	3.5	2.3	3.6	2.2
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog48_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 48 (The Talk)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	615	1207	1822
2. Screen 2	506	1249	1755
3. Screen 3	543	1251	1794
4. Screen 4	107	140	247
-1. Inapplicable	283	13	296

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	29.9	30.9	31.3	31.9	30.8	31.6
2. Screen 2	24.6	26.1	32.4	33.4	29.7	30.9
3. Screen 3	26.4	28.3	32.4	31.7	30.3	30.6
4. Screen 4	5.2	2.3	3.6	2.5	4.2	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	13.8	12.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6

randord_medsrc_tvprog49_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 49 (Al Punto)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	105	136	241
2. Screen 2	101	129	230
3. Screen 3	104	133	237
4. Screen 4	87	136	223
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.1	2.5	3.5	2.9	4.1	2.7
2. Screen 2	4.9	2.3	3.3	2.7	3.9	2.6
3. Screen 3	5.1	2.2	3.4	2.6	4.0	2.5
4. Screen 4	4.2	2.1	3.5	3.0	3.8	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog50_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 50 (Al Rojo Vivo)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	101	139	240
2. Screen 2	107	140	247
3. Screen 3	97	134	231
4. Screen 4	92	121	213
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	4.9	2.2	3.6	3.4	4.1	3.0
2. Screen 2	5.2	2.3	3.6	2.5	4.2	2.4
3. Screen 3	4.7	2.1	3.5	2.5	3.9	2.4
4. Screen 4	4.5	2.5	3.1	2.8	3.6	2.7
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog51_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 51 (Aqui y Ahora)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	113	132	245
2. Screen 2	101	146	247
3. Screen 3	90	124	214
4. Screen 4	93	132	225
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.5	2.9	3.4	3.1	4.1	3.0
2. Screen 2	4.9	2.0	3.8	3.0	4.2	2.6
3. Screen 3	4.4	2.2	3.2	2.7	3.6	2.5
4. Screen 4	4.5	2.2	3.4	2.4	3.8	2.3
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog52_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 52 (CNN en Espanol)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	97	143	240
2. Screen 2	92	116	208
3. Screen 3	95	140	235
4. Screen 4	113	135	248
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	4.7	2.4	3.7	3.0	4.1	2.8
2. Screen 2	4.5	1.7	3.0	2.4	3.5	2.2
3. Screen 3	4.6	2.8	3.6	2.5	4.0	2.6
4. Screen 4	5.5	2.3	3.5	3.3	4.2	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog53_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 53 (Despierta America)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	102	134	236
2. Screen 2	103	121	224
3. Screen 3	128	142	270
4. Screen 4	64	137	201
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.0	2.2	3.5	2.7	4.0	2.5
2. Screen 2	5.0	2.4	3.1	2.7	3.8	2.6
3. Screen 3	6.2	3.0	3.7	2.8	4.6	2.9
4. Screen 4	3.1	1.6	3.5	3.0	3.4	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog54_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 54 (ElGordo y La Flaca)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	116	132	248
2. Screen 2	102	134	236
3. Screen 3	86	135	221
4. Screen 4	93	133	226
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.6	2.9	3.4	2.6	4.2	2.7
2. Screen 2	5.0	2.3	3.5	3.1	4.0	2.8
3. Screen 3	4.2	1.6	3.5	2.8	3.7	2.3
4. Screen 4	4.5	2.5	3.4	2.7	3.8	2.6
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog55_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 55 (Enfoque)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	94	154	248
2. Screen 2	90	120	210
3. Screen 3	111	137	248
4. Screen 4	102	123	225
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	4.6	2.1	4.0	3.0	4.2	2.7
2. Screen 2	4.4	2.0	3.1	3.2	3.6	2.8
3. Screen 3	5.4	2.5	3.5	2.5	4.2	2.5
4. Screen 4	5.0	2.6	3.2	2.4	3.8	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog56_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 56 (Hoy)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	94	131	225
2. Screen 2	84	138	222
3. Screen 3	107	132	239
4. Screen 4	112	133	245
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	4.6	2.0	3.4	3.2	3.8	2.8
2. Screen 2	4.1	2.0	3.6	2.3	3.8	2.2
3. Screen 3	5.2	2.4	3.4	2.8	4.0	2.7
4. Screen 4	5.5	2.8	3.4	2.8	4.1	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog57_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 57 (Lo Mejor de Caso Cerrado)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	107	139	246
2. Screen 2	102	123	225
3. Screen 3	100	134	234
4. Screen 4	88	138	226
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.2	2.5	3.6	2.9	4.2	2.8
2. Screen 2	5.0	2.2	3.2	2.9	3.8	2.7
3. Screen 3	4.9	2.5	3.5	2.5	4.0	2.5
4. Screen 4	4.3	1.9	3.6	2.8	3.8	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog58_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 58 (Noticiero Enrique Gratas)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	101	105	206
2. Screen 2	106	158	264
3. Screen 3	90	138	228
4. Screen 4	100	133	233
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	4.9	2.2	2.7	2.1	3.5	2.1
2. Screen 2	5.2	2.5	4.1	3.8	4.5	3.4
3. Screen 3	4.4	2.2	3.6	2.8	3.9	2.6
4. Screen 4	4.9	2.3	3.4	2.5	3.9	2.4
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog59_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 59 (Noticiero Telemundo)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	96	146	242
2. Screen 2	119	130	249
3. Screen 3	89	130	219
4. Screen 4	93	128	221
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	4.7	2.1	3.8	3.1	4.1	2.8
2. Screen 2	5.8	2.9	3.4	2.6	4.2	2.7
3. Screen 3	4.3	2.1	3.4	2.8	3.7	2.5
4. Screen 4	4.5	2.1	3.3	2.7	3.7	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog60_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 60 (Noticiero Univision)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	105	152	257
2. Screen 2	84	120	204
3. Screen 3	101	125	226
4. Screen 4	107	137	244
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.1	2.8	3.9	3.3	4.3	3.1
2. Screen 2	4.1	2.0	3.1	2.5	3.4	2.3
3. Screen 3	4.9	2.3	3.2	2.2	3.8	2.2
4. Screen 4	5.2	2.1	3.5	3.2	4.1	2.8
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog61_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 61 (Pa'lante con Cristiana)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	79	139	218
2. Screen 2	118	117	235
3. Screen 3	91	146	237
4. Screen 4	109	132	241
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	3.8	1.5	3.6	2.9	3.7	2.4
2. Screen 2	5.7	3.0	3.0	2.4	4.0	2.6
3. Screen 3	4.4	2.2	3.8	3.3	4.0	2.9
4. Screen 4	5.3	2.5	3.4	2.6	4.1	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog62_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 62 (Pequenos Gigantes)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	107	129	236
2. Screen 2	79	133	212
3. Screen 3	112	124	236
4. Screen 4	99	148	247
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.2	2.4	3.3	2.3	4.0	2.3
2. Screen 2	3.8	1.5	3.4	2.8	3.6	2.4
3. Screen 3	5.5	2.5	3.2	2.8	4.0	2.7
4. Screen 4	4.8	2.8	3.8	3.2	4.2	3.1
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog63_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 63 (Primer Impacto)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	105	132	237
2. Screen 2	95	132	227
3. Screen 3	111	149	260
4. Screen 4	86	121	207
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.1	2.3	3.4	2.8	4.0	2.6
2. Screen 2	4.6	2.1	3.4	2.5	3.8	2.4
3. Screen 3	5.4	2.8	3.9	3.1	4.4	3.0
4. Screen 4	4.2	2.0	3.1	2.9	3.5	2.5
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randord_medsrc_tvprog64_scrn

Label: Pre: Screen number of media-source numbered TV program: TV program 64 (Sabado Gigante)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Screen 1	104	136	240
2. Screen 2	91	137	228
3. Screen 3	95	121	216
4. Screen 4	107	140	247
-1. Inapplicable	1657	3326	4983

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Screen 1	5.1	2.3	3.5	3.2	4.1	2.9
2. Screen 2	4.4	2.0	3.5	2.4	3.9	2.2
3. Screen 3	4.6	1.9	3.1	2.7	3.7	2.4
4. Screen 4	5.2	2.9	3.6	3.0	4.2	3.0
-1. Inapplicable	80.7	90.8	86.2	88.8	84.3	89.5

randordq_medsrc_radio_01

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 1 (All Things Considered [NPR])

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	76	0	76
13. Listed as 13th radio program	64	0	64
09. Listed as 9th radio program	62	0	62
10. Listed as 10th radio program	62	0	62
12. Listed as 12th radio program	62	0	62
08. Listed as 8th radio program	61	0	61
11. Listed as 11th radio program	60	0	60
05. Listed as 5th radio program	59	0	59

randordq_medsrc_radio_02

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 2 (Dave Ramsey Show)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	78	0	78
01. Listed as 1st radio program	73	0	73
07. Listed as 7th radio program	68	0	68
12. Listed as 12th radio program	62	0	62
10. Listed as 10th radio program	61	0	61
05. Listed as 5th radio program	60	0	60
11. Listed as 11th radio program	60	0	60
06. Listed as 6th radio program	57	0	57

randordq_medsrc_radio_03

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 3 (Ed Shultz Show)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
11. Listed as 11th radio program	70	0	70
14. Listed as 14th radio program	70	0	70
01. Listed as 1st radio program	67	0	67
13. Listed as 13th radio program	64	0	64
06. Listed as 6th radio program	63	0	63
04. Listed as 4th radio program	62	0	62
10. Listed as 10th radio program	59	0	59
07. Listed as 7th radio program	57	0	57

randordq_medsrc_radio_04

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 4 (Fresh Air [NPR])

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
10. Listed as 10th radio program	86	0	86
04. Listed as 4th radio program	66	0	66
07. Listed as 7th radio program	64	0	64
06. Listed as 6th radio program	63	0	63
09. Listed as 9th radio program	61	0	61
08. Listed as 8th radio program	60	0	60
11. Listed as 11th radio program	54	0	54
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	53	0	53

randordq_medsrc_radio_05

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 5 (Glenn Beck Program)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
04. Listed as 4th radio program	82	0	82
15. Listed as 15th radio program	69	0	69
01. Listed as 1st radio program	67	0	67
06. Listed as 6th radio program	63	0	63
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	62	0	62
08. Listed as 8th radio program	62	0	62
05. Listed as 5th radio program	59	0	59
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	58	0	58

randordq_medsrc_radio_06

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 6 (Laura Ingraham Show)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
05. Listed as 5th radio program	80	0	80
07. Listed as 7th radio program	72	0	72
12. Listed as 12th radio program	61	0	61
06. Listed as 6th radio program	60	0	60
08. Listed as 8th radio program	60	0	60
09. Listed as 9th radio program	60	0	60
14. Listed as 14th radio program	58	0	58
13. Listed as 13th radio program	57	0	57

randordq_medsrc_radio_07

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 7 (Mark Levin Show)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	85	0	85
14. Listed as 14th radio program	71	0	71
13. Listed as 13th radio program	69	0	69
01. Listed as 1st radio program	68	0	68
10. Listed as 10th radio program	68	0	68
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	61	0	61
12. Listed as 12th radio program	59	0	59
09. Listed as 9th radio program	56	0	56

randordq_medsrc_radio_08

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 8 (Morning Edition [NPR])

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
14. Listed as 14th radio program	84	0	84
15. Listed as 15th radio program	74	0	74
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	62	0	62
05. Listed as 5th radio program	62	0	62
06. Listed as 6th radio program	62	0	62
11. Listed as 11th radio program	61	0	61
08. Listed as 8th radio program	57	0	57
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	54	0	54

randordq_medsrc_radio_09

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 9 (Neal Boortz Show)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
05. Listed as 5th radio program	70	0	70
04. Listed as 4th radio program	68	0	68
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	66	0	66
11. Listed as 11th radio program	62	0	62
15. Listed as 15th radio program	60	0	60
06. Listed as 6th radio program	59	0	59
12. Listed as 12th radio program	59	0	59
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	58	0	58

randordq_medsrc_radio_10

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 10 (The Power [Joe Madison])

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	81	0	81
15. Listed as 15th radio program	77	0	77
09. Listed as 9th radio program	71	0	71
12. Listed as 12th radio program	68	0	68
04. Listed as 4th radio program	66	0	66
11. Listed as 11th radio program	62	0	62
06. Listed as 6th radio program	61	0	61
01. Listed as 1st radio program	53	0	53

randordq_medsrc_radio_11

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 11 (Rush Limbaugh Show)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
09. Listed as 9th radio program	70	0	70
08. Listed as 8th radio program	66	0	66
01. Listed as 1st radio program	63	0	63
12. Listed as 12th radio program	63	0	63
13. Listed as 13th radio program	62	0	62
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	59	0	59
05. Listed as 5th radio program	59	0	59
06. Listed as 6th radio program	59	0	59

randordq_medsrc_radio_12

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 12 (Savage Nation [Michael Savage])

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
07. Listed as 7th radio program	91	0	91
01. Listed as 1st radio program	75	0	75
10. Listed as 10th radio program	65	0	65
14. Listed as 14th radio program	63	0	63
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	62	0	62
05. Listed as 5th radio program	61	0	61
08. Listed as 8th radio program	61	0	61
11. Listed as 11th radio program	59	0	59

randordq_medsrc_radio_13

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 13 (Sean Hannity Show)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	65	0	65
04. Listed as 4th radio program	64	0	64
12. Listed as 12th radio program	64	0	64
13. Listed as 13th radio program	63	0	63
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	61	0	61
11. Listed as 11th radio program	61	0	61
15. Listed as 15th radio program	61	0	61
14. Listed as 14th radio program	60	0	60

randordq_medsrc_radio_14

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 14 (Talk of the Nation [NPR])

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
05. Listed as 5th radio program	72	0	72
07. Listed as 7th radio program	68	0	68
08. Listed as 8th radio program	68	0	68
13. Listed as 13th radio program	66	0	66
10. Listed as 10th radio program	63	0	63
02. Listed as 2nd radio program	60	0	60
15. Listed as 15th radio program	59	0	59
12. Listed as 12th radio program	54	0	54

randordq_medsrc_radio_15

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered radio programs: radio program 15 (Thom Hartmann Program)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select radio as media source	1198	0	1198
09. Listed as 9th radio program	83	0	83
05. Listed as 5th radio program	74	0	74
04. Listed as 4th radio program	71	0	71
08. Listed as 8th radio program	70	0	70
11. Listed as 11th radio program	66	0	66
06. Listed as 6th radio program	56	0	56
12. Listed as 12th radio program	56	0	56
03. Listed as 3rd radio program	54	0	54

randordq_medsrc_websites_01

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 1 (abc-news.go.com)

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	0	1226
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	71	0	71
11. Listed as 11th Web site	61	0	61
06. Listed as 6th Web site	60	0	60
05. Listed as 5th Web site	59	0	59
12. Listed as 12th Web site	59	0	59
13. Listed as 13th Web site	59	0	59
14. Listed as 14th Web site	59	0	59
08. Listed as 8th Web site	58	0	58

randordq_medsrc_websites_02

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 2 (CNN [cnn.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	67	0	67
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	79	0	79
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	36	0	36
04. Listed as 4th Web site	38	0	38
05. Listed as 5th Web site	62	0	62
06. Listed as 6th Web site	57	0	57
07. Listed as 7th Web site	69	0	69
08. Listed as 8th Web site	41	0	41
09. Listed as 9th Web site	43	0	43
10. Listed as 10th Web site	67	0	67
11. Listed as 11th Web site	50	0	50
12. Listed as 12th Web site	52	0	52
13. Listed as 13th Web site	62	0	62
14. Listed as 14th Web site	58	0	58
15. Listed as 15th Web site	47	0	47
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	3.3	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.4
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	3.8	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.1
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	1.8	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7
04. Listed as 4th Web site	1.9	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8
05. Listed as 5th Web site	3.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.8	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3
07. Listed as 7th Web site	3.4	4.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.4
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.1	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
10. Listed as 10th Web site	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.4	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.7
12. Listed as 12th Web site	2.5	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
13. Listed as 13th Web site	3.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.8	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.3	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.1
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_03

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 3 (Drudge Report [drudgereport.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	59	0	59
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	62	0	62
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	45	0	45
04. Listed as 4th Web site	73	0	73
05. Listed as 5th Web site	52	0	52
06. Listed as 6th Web site	59	0	59
07. Listed as 7th Web site	61	0	61
08. Listed as 8th Web site	58	0	58
09. Listed as 9th Web site	43	0	43
10. Listed as 10th Web site	51	0	51
11. Listed as 11th Web site	59	0	59
12. Listed as 12th Web site	43	0	43
13. Listed as 13th Web site	57	0	57
14. Listed as 14th Web site	56	0	56
15. Listed as 15th Web site	50	0	50
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	3.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.4
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
04. Listed as 4th Web site	3.6	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.4
05. Listed as 5th Web site	2.5	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
07. Listed as 7th Web site	3.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.8	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.1	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
10. Listed as 10th Web site	2.5	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.9	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
12. Listed as 12th Web site	2.1	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.9
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.8	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.7	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.4
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.4	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_04

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 4 (Forbes [forbes.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	58	0	58
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	44	0	44
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	39	0	39
04. Listed as 4th Web site	61	0	61
05. Listed as 5th Web site	48	0	48
06. Listed as 6th Web site	64	0	64
07. Listed as 7th Web site	52	0	52
08. Listed as 8th Web site	63	0	63
09. Listed as 9th Web site	53	0	53
10. Listed as 10th Web site	88	0	88
11. Listed as 11th Web site	46	0	46
12. Listed as 12th Web site	49	0	49
13. Listed as 13th Web site	52	0	52
14. Listed as 14th Web site	60	0	60
15. Listed as 15th Web site	51	0	51
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	2.8	2.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	1.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
04. Listed as 4th Web site	3.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
05. Listed as 5th Web site	2.3	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
06. Listed as 6th Web site	3.1	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
07. Listed as 7th Web site	2.5	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
08. Listed as 8th Web site	3.1	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
10. Listed as 10th Web site	4.3	5.2	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.8
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.2	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
12. Listed as 12th Web site	2.4	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.5	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_05

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 5 (Fox News [foxnews.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	60	0	60
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	50	0	50
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	69	0	69
04. Listed as 4th Web site	77	0	77
05. Listed as 5th Web site	57	0	57
06. Listed as 6th Web site	53	0	53
07. Listed as 7th Web site	36	0	36
08. Listed as 8th Web site	67	0	67
09. Listed as 9th Web site	45	0	45
10. Listed as 10th Web site	56	0	56
11. Listed as 11th Web site	41	0	41
12. Listed as 12th Web site	51	0	51
13. Listed as 13th Web site	47	0	47
14. Listed as 14th Web site	50	0	50
15. Listed as 15th Web site	69	0	69
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	2.9	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	3.4	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.3
04. Listed as 4th Web site	3.7	4.8	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.7
05. Listed as 5th Web site	2.8	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
07. Listed as 7th Web site	1.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
08. Listed as 8th Web site	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
10. Listed as 10th Web site	2.7	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
12. Listed as 12th Web site	2.5	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.4	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
15. Listed as 15th Web site	3.4	4.1	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.4
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_06

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 6 (Good Morning America [gma.yahoo.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	51	0	51
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	50	0	50
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	52	0	52
04. Listed as 4th Web site	36	0	36
05. Listed as 5th Web site	71	0	71
06. Listed as 6th Web site	58	0	58
07. Listed as 7th Web site	72	0	72
08. Listed as 8th Web site	58	0	58
09. Listed as 9th Web site	64	0	64
10. Listed as 10th Web site	54	0	54
11. Listed as 11th Web site	40	0	40
12. Listed as 12th Web site	68	0	68
13. Listed as 13th Web site	53	0	53
14. Listed as 14th Web site	49	0	49
15. Listed as 15th Web site	52	0	52
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	2.5	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.4	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
04. Listed as 4th Web site	1.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7
05. Listed as 5th Web site	3.5	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.4
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
07. Listed as 7th Web site	3.5	4.7	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.6
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.8	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
09. Listed as 9th Web site	3.1	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
10. Listed as 10th Web site	2.6	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
11. Listed as 11th Web site	1.9	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.9
12. Listed as 12th Web site	3.3	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_07

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 7 (Google News [news.google.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	66	0	66
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	50	0	50
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	96	0	96
04. Listed as 4th Web site	40	0	40
05. Listed as 5th Web site	26	0	26
06. Listed as 6th Web site	53	0	53
07. Listed as 7th Web site	43	0	43
08. Listed as 8th Web site	52	0	52
09. Listed as 9th Web site	50	0	50
10. Listed as 10th Web site	53	0	53
11. Listed as 11th Web site	53	0	53
12. Listed as 12th Web site	61	0	61
13. Listed as 13th Web site	58	0	58
14. Listed as 14th Web site	63	0	63
15. Listed as 15th Web site	64	0	64
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	3.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.4	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	4.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.9
04. Listed as 4th Web site	1.9	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
05. Listed as 5th Web site	1.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.6	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
07. Listed as 7th Web site	2.1	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.9
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.5	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.4	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
10. Listed as 10th Web site	2.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
12. Listed as 12th Web site	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.8	4.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.5
14. Listed as 14th Web site	3.1	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
15. Listed as 15th Web site	3.1	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.0
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_08

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 8 (Huffington Post [huffingtonpost.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	47	0	47
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	59	0	59
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	56	0	56
04. Listed as 4th Web site	52	0	52
05. Listed as 5th Web site	56	0	56
06. Listed as 6th Web site	77	0	77
07. Listed as 7th Web site	43	0	43
08. Listed as 8th Web site	45	0	45
09. Listed as 9th Web site	59	0	59
10. Listed as 10th Web site	45	0	45
11. Listed as 11th Web site	60	0	60
12. Listed as 12th Web site	43	0	43
13. Listed as 13th Web site	42	0	42
14. Listed as 14th Web site	78	0	78
15. Listed as 15th Web site	66	0	66
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	2.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.9	4.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.5
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	2.7	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
04. Listed as 4th Web site	2.5	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
05. Listed as 5th Web site	2.7	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
06. Listed as 6th Web site	3.7	4.1	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.4
07. Listed as 7th Web site	2.1	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.2	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.9	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
10. Listed as 10th Web site	2.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.9	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
12. Listed as 12th Web site	2.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
14. Listed as 14th Web site	3.8	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.1
15. Listed as 15th Web site	3.2	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_09

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 9 (Los Angeles Times [latimes.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	40	0	40
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	60	0	60
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	53	0	53
04. Listed as 4th Web site	66	0	66
05. Listed as 5th Web site	66	0	66
06. Listed as 6th Web site	50	0	50
07. Listed as 7th Web site	55	0	55
08. Listed as 8th Web site	45	0	45
09. Listed as 9th Web site	50	0	50
10. Listed as 10th Web site	50	0	50
11. Listed as 11th Web site	58	0	58
12. Listed as 12th Web site	62	0	62
13. Listed as 13th Web site	59	0	59
14. Listed as 14th Web site	55	0	55
15. Listed as 15th Web site	59	0	59
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	1.9	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.8
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	2.6	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
04. Listed as 4th Web site	3.2	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
05. Listed as 5th Web site	3.2	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.4	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
07. Listed as 7th Web site	2.7	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.2	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.4	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.2
10. Listed as 10th Web site	2.4	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.8	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
12. Listed as 12th Web site	3.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.9	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_10

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 10 (MSNBC [msnbc.msn.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	61	0	61
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	80	0	80
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	46	0	46
04. Listed as 4th Web site	61	0	61
05. Listed as 5th Web site	30	0	30
06. Listed as 6th Web site	58	0	58
07. Listed as 7th Web site	53	0	53
08. Listed as 8th Web site	46	0	46
09. Listed as 9th Web site	56	0	56
10. Listed as 10th Web site	41	0	41
11. Listed as 11th Web site	67	0	67
12. Listed as 12th Web site	56	0	56
13. Listed as 13th Web site	52	0	52
14. Listed as 14th Web site	53	0	53
15. Listed as 15th Web site	68	0	68
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	3.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	3.9	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.1
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	2.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
04. Listed as 4th Web site	3.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
05. Listed as 5th Web site	1.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.8	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3
07. Listed as 7th Web site	2.6	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.3
10. Listed as 10th Web site	2.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
11. Listed as 11th Web site	3.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
12. Listed as 12th Web site	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.5	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.6	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
15. Listed as 15th Web site	3.3	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_11

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 11 (New York Times [nytimes.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	62	0	62
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	52	0	52
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	55	0	55
04. Listed as 4th Web site	55	0	55
05. Listed as 5th Web site	58	0	58
06. Listed as 6th Web site	54	0	54
07. Listed as 7th Web site	43	0	43
08. Listed as 8th Web site	59	0	59
09. Listed as 9th Web site	62	0	62
10. Listed as 10th Web site	60	0	60
11. Listed as 11th Web site	57	0	57
12. Listed as 12th Web site	64	0	64
13. Listed as 13th Web site	50	0	50
14. Listed as 14th Web site	44	0	44
15. Listed as 15th Web site	53	0	53
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	3.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.5	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	2.7	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
04. Listed as 4th Web site	2.7	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
05. Listed as 5th Web site	2.8	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.6	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
07. Listed as 7th Web site	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.9	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
09. Listed as 9th Web site	3.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
10. Listed as 10th Web site	2.9	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.8	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
12. Listed as 12th Web site	3.1	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.4	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.1	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.0
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.6	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_12

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 12 (Today Show [today.msnbc.msn.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	60	0	60
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	46	0	46
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	55	0	55
04. Listed as 4th Web site	36	0	36
05. Listed as 5th Web site	59	0	59
06. Listed as 6th Web site	33	0	33
07. Listed as 7th Web site	84	0	84
08. Listed as 8th Web site	54	0	54
09. Listed as 9th Web site	58	0	58
10. Listed as 10th Web site	65	0	65
11. Listed as 11th Web site	57	0	57
12. Listed as 12th Web site	54	0	54
13. Listed as 13th Web site	52	0	52
14. Listed as 14th Web site	64	0	64
15. Listed as 15th Web site	51	0	51
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	2.9	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	2.7	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
04. Listed as 4th Web site	1.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
05. Listed as 5th Web site	2.9	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
06. Listed as 6th Web site	1.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.9
07. Listed as 7th Web site	4.1	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.3
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.6	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.2
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.8	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
10. Listed as 10th Web site	3.2	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.3
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.8	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
12. Listed as 12th Web site	2.6	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.5	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
14. Listed as 14th Web site	3.1	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.5	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_13

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 13 (USA Today [usatoday.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	49	0	49
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	57	0	57
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	55	0	55
04. Listed as 4th Web site	53	0	53
05. Listed as 5th Web site	41	0	41
06. Listed as 6th Web site	59	0	59
07. Listed as 7th Web site	57	0	57
08. Listed as 8th Web site	52	0	52
09. Listed as 9th Web site	65	0	65
10. Listed as 10th Web site	40	0	40
11. Listed as 11th Web site	63	0	63
12. Listed as 12th Web site	64	0	64
13. Listed as 13th Web site	71	0	71
14. Listed as 14th Web site	50	0	50
15. Listed as 15th Web site	52	0	52
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	2.4	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	2.8	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	2.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
04. Listed as 4th Web site	2.6	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
05. Listed as 5th Web site	2.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
07. Listed as 7th Web site	2.8	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
08. Listed as 8th Web site	2.5	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8
09. Listed as 9th Web site	3.2	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
10. Listed as 10th Web site	1.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
11. Listed as 11th Web site	3.1	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
12. Listed as 12th Web site	3.1	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.4
13. Listed as 13th Web site	3.5	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.4
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.4	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.5	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_14

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 14 (Washington Post [washingtonpost.com])

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
01. Listed as 1st Web site	57	0	57
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	61	0	61
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	40	0	40
04. Listed as 4th Web site	55	0	55
05. Listed as 5th Web site	69	0	69
06. Listed as 6th Web site	55	0	55
07. Listed as 7th Web site	66	0	66
08. Listed as 8th Web site	62	0	62
09. Listed as 9th Web site	50	0	50
10. Listed as 10th Web site	65	0	65
11. Listed as 11th Web site	51	0	51
12. Listed as 12th Web site	51	0	51
13. Listed as 13th Web site	59	0	59
14. Listed as 14th Web site	41	0	41
15. Listed as 15th Web site	46	0	46
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	3860	5086

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
01. Listed as 1st Web site	2.8	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
02. Listed as 2nd Web site	3.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.2
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	1.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
04. Listed as 4th Web site	2.7	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1
05. Listed as 5th Web site	3.4	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2
06. Listed as 6th Web site	2.7	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
07. Listed as 7th Web site	3.2	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
08. Listed as 8th Web site	3.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
09. Listed as 9th Web site	2.4	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.9
10. Listed as 10th Web site	3.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2
11. Listed as 11th Web site	2.5	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.2
12. Listed as 12th Web site	2.5	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0
13. Listed as 13th Web site	2.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1
14. Listed as 14th Web site	2.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
15. Listed as 15th Web site	2.2	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	59.7	55.6	100.0	100.0	86.0	84.6

randordq_medsrc_websites_15

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered web sites: web site 15 (Yahoo News [news.yahoo.com])

17 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select Web site(s) as media source	1226	0	1226
05. Listed as 5th Web site	74	0	74
09. Listed as 9th Web site	72	0	72
04. Listed as 4th Web site	71	0	71
08. Listed as 8th Web site	68	0	68
11. Listed as 11th Web site	65	0	65
03. Listed as 3rd Web site	60	0	60
13. Listed as 13th Web site	55	0	55
12. Listed as 12th Web site	51	0	51

randordq_medsrc_newsp_01

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 1 (New York Times/ www.nytimes.com)

15 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select either print or online newspaper(s) as media source	1232	0	1232
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	152	0	152
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	150	0	150
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	130	0	130
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	128	0	128
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	94	0	94
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	82	0	82
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	37	0	37
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	20	0	20

randordq_medsrc_newsp_02

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 2 (USA Today/ www.usatoday.com)

14 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select either print or online newspaper(s) as media source	1232	0	1232
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	165	0	165
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	138	0	138
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	137	0	137
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	120	0	120
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	112	0	112
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	81	0	81
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	27	0	27
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	19	0	19

randordq_medsrc_newsp_03

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 3 (Wall Street Journal/ online.wsj.com)

15 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select either print or online newspaper(s) as media source	1232	0	1232
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	149	0	149
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	145	0	145
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	137	0	137
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	119	0	119
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	119	0	119
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	62	0	62
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	39	0	39
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	26	0	26

randordq_medsrc_newsp_04

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 4 (Washington Post/ www.washingtonpost.com)

13 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select either print or online newspaper(s) as media source	1232	0	1232
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	145	0	145
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	137	0	137
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	136	0	136
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	132	0	132
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	123	0	123
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	74	0	74
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	30	0	30
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	26	0	26

randordq_medsrc_newsp_05

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 5 [RESTRICTED NAME]

14 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 5th newspaper for R location	1249	0	1249
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	137	0	137
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	135	0	135
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	132	0	132
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	130	0	130
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	129	0	129
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	62	0	62
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	31	0	31
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	27	0	27

randordq_medsrc_newsp_06

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 6 [RESTRICTED NAME]

13 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 6th newspaper for R location	1527	0	1527
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	86	0	86
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	79	0	79
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	79	0	79
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	75	0	75
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	72	0	72
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	61	0	61
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	36	0	36
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	20	0	20

randordq_medsrc_newsp_07

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 7 [RESTRICTED NAME]

15 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 7th newspaper for R location	1777	0	1777
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	45	0	45
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	36	0	36
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	34	0	34
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	31	0	31
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	30	0	30
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	30	0	30
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	28	0	28
09. Listed as 9th newspaper	19	0	19

randordq_medsrc_newsp_08

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 8 [RESTRICTED NAME]

14 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 8th newspaper for R location	1828	0	1828
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	35	0	35
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	32	0	32
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	28	0	28
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	23	0	23
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	22	0	22
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	21	0	21
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	20	0	20
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	19	0	19

randordq_medsrc_newsp_09

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 9 [RESTRICTED NAME]

13 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 9th newspaper for R location	1929	0	1929
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	20	0	20
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	20	0	20
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	16	0	16
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	14	0	14
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	13	0	13
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	13	0	13
09. Listed as 9th newspaper	9	0	9
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	8	0	8

randordq_medsrc_newsp_10

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 10 [RESTRICTED NAME]

15 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 10th newspaper for R location	1982	0	1982
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	10	0	10
10. Listed as 10th newspaper	10	0	10
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	8	0	8
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	8	0	8
09. Listed as 9th newspaper	8	0	8
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	7	0	7
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	6	0	6
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	4	0	4

randordq_medsrc_newsp_11

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 11 [RESTRICTED NAME]

11 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 11th newspaper for R location	2034	0	2034
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	4	0	4
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	4	0	4
11. Listed as 11th newspaper	3	0	3
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	2	0	2
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	2	0	2
12. Listed as 12th newspaper	2	0	2
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	1	0	1
07. Listed as 7th newspaper	1	0	1

randordq_medsrc_newsp_12

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 12 [RESTRICTED NAME]

11 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 12th newspaper for R location	2036	0	2036
03. Listed as 3rd newspaper	3	0	3
05. Listed as 5th newspaper	3	0	3
12. Listed as 12th newspaper	3	0	3
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	2	0	2
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	2	0	2
13. Listed as 13th newspaper	2	0	2
02. Listed as 2nd newspaper	1	0	1
09. Listed as 9th newspaper	1	0	1

randordq_medsrc_newsp_13

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 13 [RESTRICTED NAME]

9 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 13th newspaper for R location	2045	0	2045
10. Listed as 10th newspaper	3	0	3
01. Listed as 1st newspaper	1	0	1
04. Listed as 4th newspaper	1	0	1
06. Listed as 6th newspaper	1	0	1
08. Listed as 8th newspaper	1	0	1
09. Listed as 9th newspaper	1	0	1
11. Listed as 11th newspaper	1	0	1

randordq_medsrc_newsp_14

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 14 [RESTRICTED NAME]

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 14th newspaper for R location	2054	0	2054

randordq_medsrc_newsp_15

Label: Pre: Order of media-source numbered print/online newspapers: newspaper 15 [RESTRICTED NAME]

2 unique outcomes.

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2. Available in future release	0	3860	3860
-1. Inap, R did not select (print or online) newspaper(s) as media source; no listed as 15th newspaper for R location	2054	0	2054

randsplice_revisedstandard

Label: Pre and Post: Standard or revised version of questions having std/revised version alternatives

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Revised version of question(s)	1006	1885	2891
2. Standard version of question(s)	1048	1975	3023

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Revised version of question(s)	49.0	49.7	48.8	49.6	48.9	49.6
2. Standard version of question(s)	51.0	50.3	51.2	50.4	51.1	50.4

randopts_fwdrev

Label: Pre and Post: Forward reverse order of response options

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Forward order	1006	1897	2903
2. Reverse order	1048	1963	3011

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Forward order	49.0	47.4	49.1	48.6	49.1	48.2
2. Reverse order	51.0	52.6	50.9	51.4	50.9	51.8

randordq_cands_parties

Label: Pre and Post: Order of Democratic/Republican questions for parties and candidates

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd	1003	1909	2912
2. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd	1051	1951	3002

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd	48.8	47.7	49.5	49.5	49.2	48.9
2. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd	51.2	52.3	50.5	50.5	50.8	51.1

randord_vote_ptynames

Label: Pre and Post: Assigned order for Dem/Rep candidate names: Pre and Post vote sections and Ballot Card

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic names first in question texts, response choices, and on ballot card	0	1901	1901
2. Republican names first in question texts, response choices, and on ballot card	0	1959	1959
-1. Inapplicable, FTF (same order as randordq_cands_parties)	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic names first in question texts, response choices, and on ballot card	0.0	0.0	49.2	48.9	32.1	31.9
2. Republican names first in question texts, response choices, and on ballot card	0.0	0.0	50.8	51.1	33.1	33.3
-1. Inapplicable, FTF (same order as randordq_cands_parties)	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7

randordq_web_pretherms

Label: Pre: WEB ONLY: Order of political figure thermometers after Pres cands

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inapplicable, FTF (randordq_cands_parties for d/r vp cands and then for d/r hclinton and gwbush)	2054	0	2054
-2. Missing	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
-1. Inapplicable, FTF (randordq_cands_parties for d/r vp cands and then for d/r hclinton and gwbush)	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-2. Missing	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

randordq_affects

Label: Pre: Order of Presidential candidate affects

24 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
4123	84	203	287
1324	112	174	286
2143	78	201	279
2413	115	155	270
2431	104	162	266
2341	90	173	263
1243	99	161	260
1432	90	167	257
3412	96	161	257
3241	103	147	250

rand_place_likelypercent

Label: Pre: Placement of vote likelihood questions

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Early placement: how likely will vote; late placement: percent chance will vote	1057	1939	2996
1. Late placement: how likely will vote; early placement: percent chance will vote	997	1921	2918

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Early placement: how likely will vote; late placement: percent chance will vote	51.5	52.0	50.2	50.1	50.7	50.8
1. Late placement: how likely will vote; early placement: percent chance will vote	48.5	48.0	49.8	49.9	49.3	49.2

randordq_ecblame_pres

Label: Pre: Order of economic blame item: President

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st economic blame item	272	558	830
2. 2nd economic blame item	296	550	846
3. 3rd economic blame item	298	544	842
4. 4th economic blame item	294	565	859
5. 5th economic blame item	289	536	825
6. 6th economic blame item	307	578	885
7. 7th economic blame item	298	529	827

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st economic blame item	13.2	12.9	14.5	14.2	14.0	13.8
2. 2nd economic blame item	14.4	13.5	14.2	14.4	14.3	14.1
3. 3rd economic blame item	14.5	15.2	14.1	14.3	14.2	14.6
4. 4th economic blame item	14.3	14.3	14.6	14.5	14.5	14.4
5. 5th economic blame item	14.1	14.1	13.9	14.2	13.9	14.1
6. 6th economic blame item	14.9	15.3	15.0	15.1	15.0	15.2
7. 7th economic blame item	14.5	14.7	13.7	13.3	14.0	13.8

randordq_ecblame_prevpres

Label: Pre: Order of economic blame item: former President

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st economic blame item	323	554	877
2. 2nd economic blame item	274	532	806
3. 3rd economic blame item	289	549	838
4. 4th economic blame item	332	548	880
5. 5th economic blame item	297	575	872
6. 6th economic blame item	293	546	839
7. 7th economic blame item	246	556	802

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st economic blame item	15.7	16.7	14.4	14.1	14.8	15.0
2. 2nd economic blame item	13.3	12.9	13.8	13.3	13.6	13.2
3. 3rd economic blame item	14.1	14.3	14.2	14.0	14.2	14.1
4. 4th economic blame item	16.2	16.6	14.2	14.5	14.9	15.2
5. 5th economic blame item	14.5	13.8	14.9	14.6	14.7	14.3
6. 6th economic blame item	14.3	13.8	14.1	14.7	14.2	14.4
7. 7th economic blame item	12.0	11.9	14.4	14.8	13.6	13.8

randordq_ecblame_congdem

Label: Pre: Order of economic blame item: Democrats in Congress

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st economic blame item	293	557	850
2. 2nd economic blame item	247	592	839
3. 3rd economic blame item	294	541	835
4. 4th economic blame item	323	583	906
5. 5th economic blame item	296	510	806
6. 6th economic blame item	300	533	833
7. 7th economic blame item	301	544	845

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st economic blame item	14.3	14.6	14.4	14.5	14.4	14.5
2. 2nd economic blame item	12.0	12.0	15.3	15.3	14.2	14.2
3. 3rd economic blame item	14.3	14.2	14.0	13.6	14.1	13.8
4. 4th economic blame item	15.7	15.4	15.1	15.5	15.3	15.4
5. 5th economic blame item	14.4	16.7	13.2	13.5	13.6	14.6
6. 6th economic blame item	14.6	14.8	13.8	13.9	14.1	14.2
7. 7th economic blame item	14.7	12.2	14.1	13.7	14.3	13.2

randordq_ecblame_congrep

Label: Pre: Order of economic blame item: Republicans in Congress

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st economic blame item	313	602	915
2. 2nd economic blame item	306	575	881
3. 3rd economic blame item	300	529	829
4. 4th economic blame item	267	503	770
5. 5th economic blame item	285	550	835
6. 6th economic blame item	302	558	860
7. 7th economic blame item	281	543	824

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st economic blame item	15.2	15.7	15.6	15.4	15.5	15.5
2. 2nd economic blame item	14.9	15.5	14.9	14.8	14.9	15.0
3. 3rd economic blame item	14.6	13.4	13.7	13.9	14.0	13.7
4. 4th economic blame item	13.0	12.2	13.0	12.7	13.0	12.5
5. 5th economic blame item	13.9	13.5	14.2	14.5	14.1	14.2
6. 6th economic blame item	14.7	15.1	14.5	14.3	14.5	14.5
7. 7th economic blame item	13.7	14.7	14.1	14.4	13.9	14.5

randordq_ecblame_wallstr

Label: Pre: Order of economic blame item: Wall Street bankers

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st economic blame item	302	530	832
2. 2nd economic blame item	311	523	834
3. 3rd economic blame item	266	565	831
4. 4th economic blame item	314	558	872
5. 5th economic blame item	284	600	884
6. 6th economic blame item	260	547	807
7. 7th economic blame item	317	537	854

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st economic blame item	14.7	14.3	13.7	14.3	14.1	14.3
2. 2nd economic blame item	15.1	15.8	13.5	13.2	14.1	14.1
3. 3rd economic blame item	13.0	11.6	14.6	15.6	14.1	14.2
4. 4th economic blame item	15.3	14.2	14.5	14.4	14.7	14.3
5. 5th economic blame item	13.8	14.6	15.5	15.9	14.9	15.4
6. 6th economic blame item	12.7	13.2	14.2	13.3	13.6	13.3
7. 7th economic blame item	15.4	16.3	13.9	13.3	14.4	14.4

randordq_ecblame_consumers

Label: Pre: Order of economic blame item: consumers

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st economic blame item	228	544	772
2. 2nd economic blame item	321	537	858
3. 3rd economic blame item	331	555	886
4. 4th economic blame item	295	545	840
5. 5th economic blame item	297	558	855
6. 6th economic blame item	276	543	819
7. 7th economic blame item	306	578	884

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st economic blame item	11.1	10.1	14.1	14.4	13.1	12.9
2. 2nd economic blame item	15.6	15.4	13.9	14.4	14.5	14.8
3. 3rd economic blame item	16.1	17.6	14.4	13.8	15.0	15.1
4. 4th economic blame item	14.4	16.0	14.1	13.7	14.2	14.5
5. 5th economic blame item	14.5	13.4	14.5	14.0	14.5	13.8
6. 6th economic blame item	13.4	12.8	14.1	14.7	13.8	14.0
7. 7th economic blame item	14.9	14.7	15.0	15.0	14.9	14.9

randordq_ecblame_lenders

Label: Pre: Order of economic blame item: lenders

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st economic blame item	323	515	838
2. 2nd economic blame item	299	551	850
3. 3rd economic blame item	276	577	853
4. 4th economic blame item	229	558	787
5. 5th economic blame item	306	531	837
6. 6th economic blame item	316	555	871
7. 7th economic blame item	305	573	878

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st economic blame item	15.7	15.7	13.3	13.1	14.2	14.0
2. 2nd economic blame item	14.6	14.9	14.3	14.5	14.4	14.7
3. 3rd economic blame item	13.4	13.6	14.9	14.8	14.4	14.4
4. 4th economic blame item	11.1	11.4	14.5	14.7	13.3	13.6
5. 5th economic blame item	14.9	13.9	13.8	13.4	14.2	13.6
6. 6th economic blame item	15.4	15.1	14.4	14.0	14.7	14.4
7. 7th economic blame item	14.8	15.4	14.8	15.4	14.8	15.4

randord_econperf_ptynames

Label: Pre: Order of party names in party performance question: handling the economy

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrats, Republicans	1003	0	1003
2. Republicans, Democrats	1051	0	1051
-1. Inap, Web (same order as randordq_cands_parties)	0	3860	3860

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrats, Republicans	48.8	47.7	0.0	0.0	17.0	16.6
2. Republicans, Democrats	51.2	52.3	0.0	0.0	17.8	18.2
-1. Inap, Web (same order as randordq_cands_parties)	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3

randsplice_dutychoice

Label: Pre: Question splice: version duty/choice to vote or version choice/duty to vote

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Question version with 'duty' first (question text and response options)	1022	1938	2960
2. Question version with 'choice' first (question text and response options)	1032	1922	2954

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Question version with 'duty' first (question text and response options)	49.8	49.0	50.2	49.8	50.1	49.6
2. Question version with 'choice' first (question text and response options)	50.2	51.0	49.8	50.2	49.9	50.4

randord_pid_ptynames

Label: Pre: Order of party names in party identification question

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democrat, Republican	1003	1909	2912
2. Republican, Democrat	1051	1951	3002

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democrat, Republican	48.8	47.7	49.5	49.5	49.2	48.9
2. Republican, Democrat	51.2	52.3	50.5	50.5	50.8	51.1

randordq_trait_moral

Label: Pre: Order of Presidential candidate trait: moral

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	356	582	938
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	367	662	1029
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	298	649	947
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	345	693	1038
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	316	667	983
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	372	607	979

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	17.3	17.3	15.1	15.2	15.9	16.0
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	17.9	17.2	17.2	17.7	17.4	17.5
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	14.5	13.9	16.8	16.3	16.0	15.5
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	16.8	17.4	18.0	18.9	17.6	18.4
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	15.4	15.4	17.3	16.5	16.6	16.2
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	18.1	18.7	15.7	15.4	16.6	16.5

randordq_trait_strong

Label: Pre: Order of Presidential candidate trait: strong leadership

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	329	673	1002
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	313	621	934
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	346	678	1024
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	356	623	979
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	345	603	948
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	365	662	1027

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	16.0	17.0	17.4	17.6	16.9	17.4
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	15.2	14.9	16.1	16.0	15.8	15.6
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	16.8	16.0	17.6	18.1	17.3	17.4
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	17.3	17.1	16.1	15.8	16.6	16.2
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	16.8	16.4	15.6	15.6	16.0	15.9
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	17.8	18.7	17.2	16.9	17.4	17.5

randordq_trait_cares

Label: Pre: Order of Presidential candidate trait: really cares

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	353	620	973
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	353	634	987
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	328	601	929
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	329	653	982
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	340	673	1013
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	351	679	1030

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	17.2	17.1	16.1	14.9	16.5	15.7
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	17.2	17.8	16.4	16.5	16.7	17.0
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	16.0	16.9	15.6	16.1	15.7	16.4
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	16.0	15.6	16.9	16.7	16.6	16.3
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	16.6	15.7	17.4	18.1	17.1	17.3
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	17.1	16.8	17.6	17.7	17.4	17.4

randordq_trait_know

Label: Pre: Order of Presidential candidate trait: knowledgeable

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	338	677	1015
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	318	648	966
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	373	666	1039
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	354	601	955
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	336	655	991
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	335	613	948

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	16.5	15.8	17.5	17.8	17.2	17.1
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	15.5	15.8	16.8	16.3	16.3	16.1
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	18.2	18.9	17.3	17.0	17.6	17.6
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	17.2	17.6	15.6	15.6	16.1	16.3
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	16.4	17.0	17.0	17.0	16.8	17.0
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	16.3	15.0	15.9	16.3	16.0	15.8

randordq_trait_intell

Label: Pre: Order of Presidential candidate trait: intelligent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	368	667	1035
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	328	625	953
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	355	627	982
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	315	637	952
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	360	661	1021
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	328	643	971

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	17.9	17.5	17.3	17.8	17.5	17.7
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	16.0	15.3	16.2	15.9	16.1	15.7
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	17.3	17.2	16.2	15.5	16.6	16.0
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	15.3	15.7	16.5	16.2	16.1	16.0
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	17.5	17.6	17.1	17.6	17.3	17.6
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	16.0	16.8	16.7	17.1	16.4	17.0

randordq_trait_honest

Label: Pre: Order of Presidential candidate trait: honest

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	310	641	951
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	375	670	1045
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	354	639	993
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	355	653	1008
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	357	601	958
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	303	656	959

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st presidential candidate trait	15.1	15.3	16.6	16.7	16.1	16.2
2. 2nd presidential candidate trait	18.3	19.0	17.4	17.6	17.7	18.1
3. 3rd presidential candidate trait	17.2	17.2	16.6	17.0	16.8	17.1
4. 4th presidential candidate trait	17.3	16.6	16.9	16.8	17.0	16.7
5. 5th presidential candidate trait	17.4	17.8	15.6	15.2	16.2	16.1
6. 6th presidential candidate trait	14.8	14.0	17.0	16.7	16.2	15.8

randsplice_fedspendtxt

Label: Pre: Wording choice in Federal spending items

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Federal spending wording: about the same	1006	1859	2865
2. Federal spending wording: the same	1048	2001	3049

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Federal spending wording: about the same	49.0	49.9	48.2	47.9	48.4	48.6
2. Federal spending wording: the same	51.0	50.1	51.8	52.1	51.6	51.4

randordq_fedspend_socsec

Label: Pre: Order of Federal spending item: Social Security

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st federal spending item	253	457	710
2. 2nd federal spending item	243	471	714
3. 3rd federal spending item	266	466	732
4. 4th federal spending item	231	519	750
5. 5th federal spending item	282	498	780
6. 6th federal spending item	233	471	704
7. 7th federal spending item	297	528	825
8. 8th federal spending item	249	450	699

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st federal spending item	12.3	13.3	11.8	11.6	12.0	12.2
2. 2nd federal spending item	11.8	13.2	12.2	12.2	12.1	12.5
3. 3rd federal spending item	13.0	13.2	12.1	12.3	12.4	12.6
4. 4th federal spending item	11.2	10.6	13.4	13.3	12.7	12.3
5. 5th federal spending item	13.7	13.6	12.9	13.2	13.2	13.3
6. 6th federal spending item	11.3	11.8	12.2	12.7	11.9	12.4
7. 7th federal spending item	14.5	12.4	13.7	13.4	13.9	13.1
8. 8th federal spending item	12.1	11.9	11.7	11.4	11.8	11.6

randordq_fedspend_pubsch

Label: Pre: Order of Federal spending item: public schools

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st federal spending item	238	511	749
2. 2nd federal spending item	290	465	755
3. 3rd federal spending item	253	488	741
4. 4th federal spending item	239	476	715
5. 5th federal spending item	246	478	724
6. 6th federal spending item	270	451	721
7. 7th federal spending item	249	479	728
8. 8th federal spending item	269	512	781

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st federal spending item	11.6	11.1	13.2	13.8	12.7	12.9
2. 2nd federal spending item	14.1	14.1	12.0	12.8	12.8	13.2
3. 3rd federal spending item	12.3	12.1	12.6	12.5	12.5	12.4
4. 4th federal spending item	11.6	13.3	12.3	13.4	12.1	13.4
5. 5th federal spending item	12.0	11.5	12.4	12.1	12.2	11.9
6. 6th federal spending item	13.1	13.0	11.7	10.4	12.2	11.3
7. 7th federal spending item	12.1	12.0	12.4	11.7	12.3	11.8
8. 8th federal spending item	13.1	12.9	13.3	13.2	13.2	13.1

randordq_fedspend_scitech

Label: Pre: Order of Federal spending item: science and technology

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st federal spending item	218	443	661
2. 2nd federal spending item	263	481	744
3. 3rd federal spending item	258	483	741
4. 4th federal spending item	274	508	782
5. 5th federal spending item	259	485	744
6. 6th federal spending item	271	485	756
7. 7th federal spending item	242	479	721
8. 8th federal spending item	269	496	765

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st federal spending item	10.6	10.3	11.5	11.1	11.2	10.8
2. 2nd federal spending item	12.8	12.3	12.5	13.1	12.6	12.9
3. 3rd federal spending item	12.6	11.4	12.5	12.3	12.5	12.0
4. 4th federal spending item	13.3	13.4	13.2	12.8	13.2	13.0
5. 5th federal spending item	12.6	13.1	12.6	12.6	12.6	12.8
6. 6th federal spending item	13.2	13.2	12.6	12.5	12.8	12.7
7. 7th federal spending item	11.8	13.0	12.4	12.7	12.2	12.8
8. 8th federal spending item	13.1	13.2	12.8	12.9	12.9	13.0

randordq_fedspend_crime

Label: Pre: Order of Federal spending item: dealing with crime

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st federal spending item	290	479	769
2. 2nd federal spending item	234	465	699
3. 3rd federal spending item	322	504	826
4. 4th federal spending item	247	477	724
5. 5th federal spending item	203	479	682
6. 6th federal spending item	261	493	754
7. 7th federal spending item	258	488	746
8. 8th federal spending item	239	475	714

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st federal spending item	14.1	14.4	12.4	12.7	13.0	13.3
2. 2nd federal spending item	11.4	11.2	12.0	11.5	11.8	11.4
3. 3rd federal spending item	15.7	15.6	13.1	12.9	14.0	13.9
4. 4th federal spending item	12.0	12.1	12.4	11.6	12.2	11.8
5. 5th federal spending item	9.9	9.9	12.4	12.2	11.5	11.4
6. 6th federal spending item	12.7	12.4	12.8	13.5	12.7	13.1
7. 7th federal spending item	12.6	12.7	12.6	13.0	12.6	12.9
8. 8th federal spending item	11.6	11.6	12.3	12.5	12.1	12.2

randordq_fedspend_welfare

Label: Pre: Order of Federal spending item: welfare programs

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st federal spending item	225	499	724
2. 2nd federal spending item	257	503	760
3. 3rd federal spending item	269	494	763
4. 4th federal spending item	252	471	723
5. 5th federal spending item	251	493	744
6. 6th federal spending item	249	501	750
7. 7th federal spending item	281	448	729
8. 8th federal spending item	270	451	721

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st federal spending item	11.0	10.8	12.9	13.1	12.2	12.3
2. 2nd federal spending item	12.5	11.6	13.0	12.2	12.9	12.0
3. 3rd federal spending item	13.1	13.4	12.8	13.2	12.9	13.2
4. 4th federal spending item	12.3	12.1	12.2	12.3	12.2	12.3
5. 5th federal spending item	12.2	11.7	12.8	12.1	12.6	12.0
6. 6th federal spending item	12.1	12.0	13.0	13.4	12.7	12.9
7. 7th federal spending item	13.7	14.2	11.6	12.1	12.3	12.8
8. 8th federal spending item	13.1	14.2	11.7	11.6	12.2	12.5

randordq_fedspend_child

Label: Pre: Order of Federal spending item: child care

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st federal spending item	246	512	758
2. 2nd federal spending item	228	511	739
3. 3rd federal spending item	245	459	704
4. 4th federal spending item	286	479	765
5. 5th federal spending item	294	443	737
6. 6th federal spending item	272	503	775
7. 7th federal spending item	244	466	710
8. 8th federal spending item	239	487	726

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st federal spending item	12.0	12.8	13.3	12.7	12.8	12.8
2. 2nd federal spending item	11.1	10.4	13.2	12.9	12.5	12.1
3. 3rd federal spending item	11.9	11.6	11.9	11.9	11.9	11.8
4. 4th federal spending item	13.9	14.5	12.4	13.1	12.9	13.6
5. 5th federal spending item	14.3	15.6	11.5	11.7	12.5	13.1
6. 6th federal spending item	13.2	13.2	13.0	12.8	13.1	12.9
7. 7th federal spending item	11.9	10.6	12.1	11.9	12.0	11.4
8. 8th federal spending item	11.6	11.3	12.6	12.8	12.3	12.3

randordq_fedspend_poor

Label: Pre: Order of Federal spending item: aid to the poor

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st federal spending item	277	460	737
2. 2nd federal spending item	280	500	780
3. 3rd federal spending item	250	495	745
4. 4th federal spending item	273	448	721
5. 5th federal spending item	205	510	715
6. 6th federal spending item	250	495	745
7. 7th federal spending item	256	461	717
8. 8th federal spending item	263	491	754

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st federal spending item	13.5	12.0	11.9	12.1	12.5	12.1
2. 2nd federal spending item	13.6	14.6	13.0	12.3	13.2	13.1
3. 3rd federal spending item	12.2	13.7	12.8	12.4	12.6	12.8
4. 4th federal spending item	13.3	12.4	11.6	10.8	12.2	11.4
5. 5th federal spending item	10.0	9.6	13.2	13.6	12.1	12.2
6. 6th federal spending item	12.2	11.7	12.8	13.1	12.6	12.6
7. 7th federal spending item	12.5	12.8	11.9	12.6	12.1	12.7
8. 8th federal spending item	12.8	13.1	12.7	13.1	12.7	13.1

randordq_fedspend_envir

Label: Pre: Order of Federal spending item: protecting the environment

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st federal spending item	307	499	806
2. 2nd federal spending item	259	464	723
3. 3rd federal spending item	191	471	662
4. 4th federal spending item	252	482	734
5. 5th federal spending item	314	474	788
6. 6th federal spending item	248	461	709
7. 7th federal spending item	227	511	738
8. 8th federal spending item	256	498	754

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st federal spending item	14.9	15.2	12.9	12.9	13.6	13.7
2. 2nd federal spending item	12.6	12.6	12.0	12.9	12.2	12.8
3. 3rd federal spending item	9.3	9.1	12.2	12.5	11.2	11.3
4. 4th federal spending item	12.3	11.5	12.5	12.5	12.4	12.2
5. 5th federal spending item	15.3	15.0	12.3	12.4	13.3	13.3
6. 6th federal spending item	12.1	12.6	11.9	11.7	12.0	12.0
7. 7th federal spending item	11.1	12.1	13.2	12.5	12.5	12.4
8. 8th federal spending item	12.5	11.8	12.9	12.5	12.7	12.3

randopts_pk_medicare

Label: Pre: Order of numbered response option choices for Political knowledge item: Medicare

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2	0	3860	3860
2413	114	0	114
1324	112	0	112
2431	104	0	104
3241	103	0	103
1243	101	0	101
2134	101	0	101
3412	95	0	95
3214	92	0	92
2341	91	0	91

randopts_pk_spendleast

Label: Pre: Order of numbered response option choices for Political knowledge item: program with least spending by Federal govt

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2	0	3860	3860
2413	114	0	114
1324	112	0	112
2431	104	0	104
3241	103	0	103
1243	101	0	101
2134	101	0	101
3412	95	0	95
3214	92	0	92
1342	91	0	91

randord_womenperf_ptynames

Label: Post: order of major party names: party that does better job in the interest of women

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic first in question text	602	0	602
2. Republican first in quesiton text	624	0	624
-1. Inap, Web (same order as randordq_cands_parties)	0	3860	3860
-2. Missing	703	0	703
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic first in question text	29.3	28.8	0.0	0.0	10.2	10.0
2. Republican first in quesiton text	30.4	30.9	0.0	0.0	10.6	10.7
-1. Inap, Web (same order as randordq_cands_parties)	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-2. Missing	34.2	34.6	0.0	0.0	11.9	12.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

randordq_ftf_thermpo_pcpcsp

Label: Post: FTF ONLY: Democratic/Republican order in Pres cand, and then Pres cand spouse, thermometers

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic name 1st (Dem Pres cand, Rep Pres cand, then Dem Pres cand spouse, Rep Pres cand spouse)	947	0	947
2. Republican name 1st (Rep Pres cand, Dem Pres cand, then Rep Pres cand spouse, Dem Pres cand spouse)	982	0	982
-1. Inap, Web randomization	0	3581	3581
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic name 1st (Dem Pres cand, Rep Pres cand, then Dem Pres cand spouse, Rep Pres cand spouse)	46.1	46.3	0.0	0.0	16.0	16.1
2. Republican name 1st (Rep Pres cand, Dem Pres cand, then Rep Pres cand spouse, Dem Pres cand spouse)	47.8	48.0	0.0	0.0	16.6	16.7
-1. Inap, Web randomization	0.0	0.0	92.8	92.4	60.6	60.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_web_thermpopc

Label: Post: WEB ONLY: Democratic/Republican order in Pres cand thermometers

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic Pres cand 1st, Republican Pres cand 2nd	0	1756	1756
2. Republican Pres cand 1st, Democratic Pres cand 2nd	0	1825	1825
-1. Inap, FTF randomization	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0	130	130
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0	149	149

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic Pres cand 1st, Republican Pres cand 2nd	0.0	0.0	45.5	45.3	29.7	29.5
2. Republican Pres cand 1st, Democratic Pres cand 2nd	0.0	0.0	47.3	47.2	30.9	30.8
-1. Inap, FTF randomization	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.5	2.2	2.3
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.1	2.5	2.6

randordq_web_thermpopcsp

Label: Post: WEB ONLY: Democratic/Republican order in Pres cand spouse thermometers

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic Pres cand spouse 1st, Republican Pres cand spouse 2nd	0	1825	1825
2. Republican Pres cand spouse 1st, Democratic Pres cand spouse 2nd	0	1756	1756
-1. Inap, FTF randomization	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0	130	130
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0	149	149

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic Pres cand spouse 1st, Republican Pres cand spouse 2nd	0.0	0.0	47.3	47.2	30.9	30.8
2. Republican Pres cand spouse 1st, Democratic Pres cand spouse 2nd	0.0	0.0	45.5	45.3	29.7	29.5
-1. Inap, FTF randomization	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.5	2.2	2.3
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.1	2.5	2.6

randordq_thermpo_hscand

Label: Post: FTF ONLY: Democratic/Republican order of House cand thermometers (after Pres cands and Pres cand spouses

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic name 1st, if any (Dem House cand, Rep House cand after Pres cands and spouses)	955	1571	2526
2. Republican name 1st , if any (Rep House cand, Dem House cand after Pres cands and spouses)	975	1633	2608
-1. Inap, district not determined (Web only)	0	377	377
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	121	130	251
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic name 1st, if any (Dem House cand, Rep House cand after Pres cands and spouses)	46.5	46.4	40.7	39.0	42.7	41.5
2. Republican name 1st , if any (Rep House cand, Dem House cand after Pres cands and spouses)	47.5	47.9	42.3	42.3	44.1	44.3
-1. Inap, district not determined (Web only)	0.0	0.0	9.8	11.1	6.4	7.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.6	3.4	3.5	4.2	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_web_thermpo_sencand

Label: Post: WEB ONLY: Order of political figure thermometers after Pres cands: Senate Democratic candidate

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic Senate cand (if any) 1st, Republican Senate cand (if any) 2nd	0	1229	1229
2. Republican Senate cand (if any) 1st, Democratic Senate cand (if any) 2nd	0	1261	1261
-1. Inap, FTF (not randomized); no race in state	2054	1091	3145
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0	130	130
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0	149	149

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic Senate cand (if any) 1st, Republican Senate cand (if any) 2nd	0.0	0.0	31.8	30.7	20.8	20.0
2. Republican Senate cand (if any) 1st, Democratic Senate cand (if any) 2nd	0.0	0.0	32.7	32.0	21.3	20.9
-1. Inap, FTF (not randomized); no race in state	100.0	100.0	28.3	29.7	53.2	54.1
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.5	2.2	2.3
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.1	2.5	2.6

randordq_web_thermpo_senatrs

Label: Post: WEB ONLY: Order of political figure thermometers after Pres cands: Senior Senator in state without Senate race

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Sr Senator 1st, jr Senator 2nd	0	345	345
2. Jr Senator 1st, sr Senator 2nd	0	375	375
-1. Inap, FTF (not randomized); Senate race in state	2054	2861	4915
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0	130	130
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0	149	149

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Sr Senator 1st, jr Senator 2nd	0.0	0.0	8.9	8.6	5.8	5.6
2. Jr Senator 1st, sr Senator 2nd	0.0	0.0	9.7	10.2	6.3	6.7
-1. Inap, FTF (not randomized); Senate race in state	100.0	100.0	74.1	73.6	83.1	82.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.5	2.2	2.3
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.1	2.5	2.6

randordq_web_thermpo_vpc

Label: Post: WEB ONLY: Order of political figure thermometers after Pres cands: Democratic Vice Presidential candidate

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic vice-pres cand 1st, Republican vice-pres cand 2nd	0	1756	1756
2. Republican vice-pres cand 1st, Democratic vice-pres cand 2nd	0	1825	1825
-1. Inap, FTF (not randomized)	2054	0	2054
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0	130	130
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0	149	149

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic vice-pres cand 1st, Republican vice-pres cand 2nd	0.0	0.0	45.5	45.3	29.7	29.5
2. Republican vice-pres cand 1st, Democratic vice-pres cand 2nd	0.0	0.0	47.3	47.2	30.9	30.8
-1. Inap, FTF (not randomized)	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.5	2.2	2.3
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.1	2.5	2.6

randordq_grptherm_xfund

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Christian fundamentalists

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
10. 10th group thermometer	109	0	109
01. 1st group thermometer	108	0	108
11. 11th group thermometer	106	0	106
08. 8th group thermometer	100	0	100
18. 18th group thermometer	98	0	98
14. 14th group thermometer	97	0	97
07. 7th group thermometer	96	0	96
06. 6th group thermometer	94	0	94

randordq_grptherm_cath

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Catholics

24 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
04. 4th group thermometer	128	0	128
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
06. 6th group thermometer	107	0	107
08. 8th group thermometer	105	0	105
12. 12th group thermometer	105	0	105
21. 21st group thermometer	100	0	100
01. 1st group thermometer	99	0	99
11. 11th group thermometer	94	0	94
17. 17th group thermometer	93	0	93

randordq_grptherm_feminst

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: feminists

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
15. 15th group thermometer	296	0	296
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
16. 16th group thermometer	100	0	100
20. 20th group thermometer	100	0	100
18. 18th group thermometer	96	0	96
17. 17th group thermometer	95	0	95
21. 21st group thermometer	95	0	95
01. 1st group thermometer	89	0	89
07. 7th group thermometer	88	0	88

randordq_grptherm_fedgov

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Federal government in Washington

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
13. 13th group thermometer	115	0	115
09. 9th group thermometer	111	0	111
17. 17th group thermometer	101	0	101
16. 16th group thermometer	99	0	99
12. 12th group thermometer	98	0	98
11. 11th group thermometer	94	0	94
21. 21st group thermometer	93	0	93
06. 6th group thermometer	92	0	92

randordq_grptherm_lib

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: liberals

24 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
08. 8th group thermometer	112	0	112
05. 5th group thermometer	107	0	107
09. 9th group thermometer	106	0	106
02. 2nd group thermometer	103	0	103
22. 22nd group thermometer	102	0	102
06. 6th group thermometer	101	0	101
21. 21st group thermometer	100	0	100
17. 17th group thermometer	99	0	99

randordq_grptherm_midclass

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: middle class people

24 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
16. 16th group thermometer	122	0	122
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
01. 1st group thermometer	117	0	117
02. 2nd group thermometer	114	0	114
10. 10th group thermometer	110	0	110
09. 9th group thermometer	103	0	103
11. 11th group thermometer	100	0	100
18. 18th group thermometer	98	0	98
12. 12th group thermometer	97	0	97

randordq_grptherm_labor

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: labor unions

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
15. 15th group thermometer	440	0	440
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
21. 21st group thermometer	88	0	88
22. 22nd group thermometer	88	0	88
20. 20th group thermometer	84	0	84
17. 17th group thermometer	83	0	83
08. 8th group thermometer	82	0	82
16. 16th group thermometer	81	0	81
18. 18th group thermometer	79	0	79

randordq_grptherm_poor

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: poor people

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
08. 8th group thermometer	114	0	114
06. 6th group thermometer	110	0	110
12. 12th group thermometer	107	0	107
11. 11th group thermometer	102	0	102
07. 7th group thermometer	97	0	97
02. 2nd group thermometer	95	0	95
14. 14th group thermometer	95	0	95
03. 3rd group thermometer	94	0	94

randordq_grptherm_milit

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: the military

24 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
04. 4th group thermometer	120	0	120
13. 13th group thermometer	117	0	117
06. 6th group thermometer	110	0	110
10. 10th group thermometer	108	0	108
09. 9th group thermometer	107	0	107
02. 2nd group thermometer	97	0	97
05. 5th group thermometer	95	0	95
19. 19th group thermometer	94	0	94

randordq_grptherm_bigbusn

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: big business

24 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
01. 1st group thermometer	113	0	113
06. 6th group thermometer	105	0	105
02. 2nd group thermometer	100	0	100
13. 13th group thermometer	100	0	100
14. 14th group thermometer	100	0	100
20. 20th group thermometer	99	0	99
12. 12th group thermometer	96	0	96
19. 19th group thermometer	96	0	96

randordq_grptherm_welfare

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: people on welfare

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
15. 15th group thermometer	265	0	265
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
03. 3rd group thermometer	113	0	113
16. 16th group thermometer	103	0	103
21. 21st group thermometer	100	0	100
08. 8th group thermometer	89	0	89
10. 10th group thermometer	88	0	88
18. 18th group thermometer	87	0	87
22. 22nd group thermometer	86	0	86

randordq_grptherm_conserv

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: conservatives

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
08. 8th group thermometer	125	0	125
03. 3rd group thermometer	122	0	122
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
10. 10th group thermometer	111	0	111
22. 22nd group thermometer	103	0	103
20. 20th group thermometer	100	0	100
06. 6th group thermometer	95	0	95
18. 18th group thermometer	95	0	95
04. 4th group thermometer	92	0	92

randordq_grptherm_wkclass

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: working class people

24 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
05. 5th group thermometer	137	0	137
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
13. 13th group thermometer	110	0	110
10. 10th group thermometer	109	0	109
20. 20th group thermometer	105	0	105
02. 2nd group thermometer	104	0	104
18. 18th group thermometer	104	0	104
09. 9th group thermometer	100	0	100
08. 8th group thermometer	94	0	94

randordq_grptherm_suprct

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: U.S. Supreme Court

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
19. 19th group thermometer	115	0	115
04. 4th group thermometer	105	0	105
05. 5th group thermometer	101	0	101
22. 22nd group thermometer	101	0	101
13. 13th group thermometer	99	0	99
12. 12th group thermometer	96	0	96
20. 20th group thermometer	96	0	96
16. 16th group thermometer	94	0	94

randordq_grptherm_gaylesb

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: gay men and lesbians

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
15. 15th group thermometer	136	0	136
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
14. 14th group thermometer	109	0	109
13. 13th group thermometer	106	0	106
17. 17th group thermometer	95	0	95
04. 4th group thermometer	93	0	93
20. 20th group thermometer	92	0	92
01. 1st group thermometer	91	0	91
21. 21st group thermometer	90	0	90

randordq_grptherm_congress

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Congress

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
07. 7th group thermometer	107	0	107
17. 17th group thermometer	101	0	101
14. 14th group thermometer	100	0	100
09. 9th group thermometer	99	0	99
13. 13th group thermometer	97	0	97
16. 16th group thermometer	97	0	97
03. 3rd group thermometer	96	0	96
19. 19th group thermometer	96	0	96

randordq_grptherm_rich

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: rich people

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
11. 11th group thermometer	108	0	108
07. 7th group thermometer	106	0	106
16. 16th group thermometer	101	0	101
12. 12th group thermometer	98	0	98
08. 8th group thermometer	96	0	96
04. 4th group thermometer	95	0	95
20. 20th group thermometer	95	0	95
06. 6th group thermometer	91	0	91

randordq_grptherm_muslim

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Muslims

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
05. 5th group thermometer	103	0	103
09. 9th group thermometer	101	0	101
01. 1st group thermometer	99	0	99
03. 3rd group thermometer	98	0	98
12. 12th group thermometer	97	0	97
10. 10th group thermometer	94	0	94
20. 20th group thermometer	94	0	94
06. 6th group thermometer	92	0	92

randordq_grptherm_xtian

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Christians

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
15. 15th group thermometer	114	0	114
19. 19th group thermometer	108	0	108
12. 12th group thermometer	107	0	107
07. 7th group thermometer	104	0	104
16. 16th group thermometer	104	0	104
11. 11th group thermometer	100	0	100
03. 3rd group thermometer	98	0	98
14. 14th group thermometer	95	0	95

randordq_grptherm_atheist

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: atheists

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
11. 11th group thermometer	120	0	120
17. 17th group thermometer	109	0	109
02. 2nd group thermometer	108	0	108
06. 6th group thermometer	102	0	102
18. 18th group thermometer	100	0	100
04. 4th group thermometer	98	0	98
15. 15th group thermometer	97	0	97
21. 21st group thermometer	94	0	94

randordq_grptherm_mormon

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Mormons

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
05. 5th group thermometer	125	0	125
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
22. 22nd group thermometer	112	0	112
17. 17th group thermometer	107	0	107
02. 2nd group thermometer	106	0	106
14. 14th group thermometer	104	0	104
18. 18th group thermometer	103	0	103
06. 6th group thermometer	97	0	97
10. 10th group thermometer	96	0	96

randordq_grptherm_teapty

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Tea Party

25 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1. Inap, Web (not randomized)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
11. 11th group thermometer	107	0	107
16. 16th group thermometer	107	0	107
04. 4th group thermometer	105	0	105
02. 2nd group thermometer	104	0	104
01. 1st group thermometer	101	0	101
15. 15th group thermometer	100	0	100
17. 17th group thermometer	97	0	97
07. 7th group thermometer	96	0	96

randord_mip_ptynames

Label: Post: order of major party names: party to best handle most important problem(s)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic first in question text	596	0	596
2. Republican first in quesiton text	613	0	613
-1. Inap, Web (same order as randordq_cands_parties)	0	3860	3860
-2. Missing	720	0	720
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic first in question text	29.0	28.5	0.0	0.0	10.1	9.9
2. Republican first in quesiton text	29.8	30.6	0.0	0.0	10.4	10.6
-1. Inap, Web (same order as randordq_cands_parties)	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-2. Missing	35.1	35.2	0.0	0.0	12.2	12.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

randordq_deficit_inctax

Label: Post: Order of deficit reduction item: raise taxes for incomes over 25K

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st deficit reduction item	350	568	918
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	310	595	905
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	295	597	892
4. 4th deficit reduction item	334	597	931
5. 5th deficit reduction item	351	622	973
6. 6th deficit reduction item	289	602	891
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st deficit reduction item	17.0	16.4	14.7	14.2	15.5	15.0
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	15.1	15.4	15.4	15.4	15.3	15.4
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	14.4	15.9	15.5	16.0	15.1	16.0
4. 4th deficit reduction item	16.3	15.5	15.5	15.8	15.7	15.7
5. 5th deficit reduction item	17.1	15.7	16.1	15.9	16.5	15.8
6. 6th deficit reduction item	14.1	15.3	15.6	15.1	15.1	15.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_deficit_medvouch

Label: Post: Order of deficit reduction item: replace Medicare with voucher system

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st deficit reduction item	306	618	924
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	332	603	935
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	308	611	919
4. 4th deficit reduction item	346	599	945
5. 5th deficit reduction item	295	576	871
6. 6th deficit reduction item	342	574	916
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st deficit reduction item	14.9	16.0	16.0	15.8	15.6	15.9
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	16.2	16.3	15.6	16.3	15.8	16.3
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	15.0	15.7	15.8	15.2	15.5	15.3
4. 4th deficit reduction item	16.8	15.1	15.5	15.0	16.0	15.0
5. 5th deficit reduction item	14.4	14.6	14.9	15.1	14.7	14.9
6. 6th deficit reduction item	16.7	16.5	14.9	15.1	15.5	15.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_deficit_corptax

Label: Post: Order of deficit reduction item: increase corporate taxes

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st deficit reduction item	290	561	851
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	321	601	922
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	308	588	896
4. 4th deficit reduction item	310	603	913
5. 5th deficit reduction item	338	598	936
6. 6th deficit reduction item	362	630	992
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st deficit reduction item	14.1	14.6	14.5	13.3	14.4	13.7
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	15.6	15.7	15.6	16.1	15.6	16.0
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	15.0	14.4	15.2	14.9	15.2	14.7
4. 4th deficit reduction item	15.1	15.2	15.6	15.8	15.4	15.6
5. 5th deficit reduction item	16.5	16.6	15.5	15.8	15.8	16.1
6. 6th deficit reduction item	17.6	17.8	16.3	16.5	16.8	17.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_deficit_cutmilit

Label: Post: Order of deficit reduction item: cut Military spending

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st deficit reduction item	328	596	924
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	302	591	893
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	326	598	924
4. 4th deficit reduction item	334	602	936
5. 5th deficit reduction item	334	610	944
6. 6th deficit reduction item	305	584	889
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st deficit reduction item	16.0	15.9	15.4	15.2	15.6	15.4
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	14.7	13.8	15.3	15.8	15.1	15.1
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	15.9	16.6	15.5	15.7	15.6	16.0
4. 4th deficit reduction item	16.3	16.9	15.6	15.8	15.8	16.2
5. 5th deficit reduction item	16.3	16.3	15.8	14.8	16.0	15.3
6. 6th deficit reduction item	14.8	14.8	15.1	15.1	15.0	15.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_deficit_fedemp

Label: Post: Order of deficit reduction item: cut Federal employees 10percent

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st deficit reduction item	335	616	951
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	322	572	894
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	345	588	933
4. 4th deficit reduction item	292	626	918
5. 5th deficit reduction item	295	584	879
6. 6th deficit reduction item	340	595	935
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st deficit reduction item	16.3	15.6	16.0	17.0	16.1	16.6
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	15.7	17.2	14.8	13.3	15.1	14.6
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	16.8	15.2	15.2	16.0	15.8	15.7
4. 4th deficit reduction item	14.2	15.0	16.2	15.4	15.5	15.3
5. 5th deficit reduction item	14.4	15.3	15.1	15.8	14.9	15.6
6. 6th deficit reduction item	16.6	15.9	15.4	14.9	15.8	15.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_deficit_cutgovt

Label: Post: Order of deficit reduction item: cut govt excluding military

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st deficit reduction item	320	622	942
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	342	619	961
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	347	599	946
4. 4th deficit reduction item	313	554	867
5. 5th deficit reduction item	316	591	907
6. 6th deficit reduction item	291	596	887
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st deficit reduction item	15.6	15.7	16.1	16.9	15.9	16.5
2. 2nd deficit reduction item	16.7	15.8	16.0	15.5	16.2	15.6
3. 3rd deficit reduction item	16.9	16.5	15.5	14.8	16.0	15.4
4. 4th deficit reduction item	15.2	16.5	14.4	14.7	14.7	15.3
5. 5th deficit reduction item	15.4	15.8	15.3	15.0	15.3	15.3
6. 6th deficit reduction item	14.2	14.0	15.4	15.6	15.0	15.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_ftf_abort_pc

Label: Post: FTF ONLY: Order of Presidential candidate, party questions abortion 7pt scale

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic presidential cand 1st, Republican presidential cand 2nd	946	0	946
2. Republican presidential cand 1st, Democratic presidential cand 2nd	983	0	983
-1. Inap, Web randomization (order per randordq_cands_parties)	0	3860	3860
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic presidential cand 1st, Republican presidential cand 2nd	46.1	46.3	0.0	0.0	16.0	16.1
2. Republican presidential cand 1st, Democratic presidential cand 2nd	47.9	48.0	0.0	0.0	16.6	16.7
-1. Inap, Web randomization (order per randordq_cands_parties)	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	65.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

randordq_abort_healthnonfatl

Label: Post: Order of abortion scenarios: nonfatal health risk to mother

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st abortion scenario	293	526	819
2. 2nd abortion scenario	283	538	821
3. 3rd abortion scenario	272	534	806
4. 4th abortion scenario	258	524	782
5. 5th abortion scenario	276	509	785
6. 6th abortion scenario	260	489	749
7. 7th abortion scenario	287	461	748
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st abortion scenario	14.3	14.2	13.6	14.0	13.8	14.1
2. 2nd abortion scenario	13.8	12.8	13.9	13.6	13.9	13.3
3. 3rd abortion scenario	13.2	13.3	13.8	13.3	13.6	13.3
4. 4th abortion scenario	12.6	13.4	13.6	13.1	13.2	13.2
5. 5th abortion scenario	13.4	13.5	13.2	13.5	13.3	13.5
6. 6th abortion scenario	12.7	12.6	12.7	13.0	12.7	12.9
7. 7th abortion scenario	14.0	14.4	11.9	12.0	12.6	12.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_abort_healthfatal

Label: Post: Order of abortion scenarios: fatal health risk to mother

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st abortion scenario	299	526	825
2. 2nd abortion scenario	271	538	809
3. 3rd abortion scenario	253	534	787
4. 4th abortion scenario	283	524	807
5. 5th abortion scenario	260	509	769
6. 6th abortion scenario	270	489	759
7. 7th abortion scenario	293	461	754
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st abortion scenario	14.6	13.6	13.6	14.0	13.9	13.9
2. 2nd abortion scenario	13.2	13.8	13.9	13.6	13.7	13.7
3. 3rd abortion scenario	12.3	12.1	13.8	13.3	13.3	12.8
4. 4th abortion scenario	13.8	15.2	13.6	13.1	13.6	13.9
5. 5th abortion scenario	12.7	13.5	13.2	13.5	13.0	13.5
6. 6th abortion scenario	13.1	13.0	12.7	13.0	12.8	13.0
7. 7th abortion scenario	14.3	13.1	11.9	12.0	12.7	12.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_abort_incest

Label: Post: Order of abortion scenarios: incest

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st abortion scenario	266	461	727
2. 2nd abortion scenario	277	519	796
3. 3rd abortion scenario	284	503	787
4. 4th abortion scenario	302	508	810
5. 5th abortion scenario	230	501	731
6. 6th abortion scenario	309	540	849
7. 7th abortion scenario	261	549	810
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st abortion scenario	13.0	12.7	11.9	11.1	12.3	11.6
2. 2nd abortion scenario	13.5	13.6	13.4	14.5	13.5	14.2
3. 3rd abortion scenario	13.8	13.4	13.0	12.9	13.3	13.1
4. 4th abortion scenario	14.7	13.9	13.2	12.8	13.7	13.2
5. 5th abortion scenario	11.2	11.9	13.0	13.6	12.4	13.0
6. 6th abortion scenario	15.0	15.4	14.0	14.2	14.4	14.6
7. 7th abortion scenario	12.7	13.5	14.2	13.4	13.7	13.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_abort_rape

Label: Post: Order of abortion scenarios: rape

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st abortion scenario	266	483	749
2. 2nd abortion scenario	292	489	781
3. 3rd abortion scenario	271	524	795
4. 4th abortion scenario	249	500	749
5. 5th abortion scenario	295	551	846
6. 6th abortion scenario	287	528	815
7. 7th abortion scenario	269	506	775
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st abortion scenario	13.0	14.3	12.5	12.4	12.7	13.0
2. 2nd abortion scenario	14.2	14.1	12.7	12.2	13.2	12.9
3. 3rd abortion scenario	13.2	14.2	13.6	13.3	13.4	13.6
4. 4th abortion scenario	12.1	11.7	13.0	13.5	12.7	12.8
5. 5th abortion scenario	14.4	13.6	14.3	14.8	14.3	14.4
6. 6th abortion scenario	14.0	14.8	13.7	13.6	13.8	14.0
7. 7th abortion scenario	13.1	11.7	13.1	12.7	13.1	12.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_abort_defect

Label: Post: Order of abortion scenarios: birth defect

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st abortion scenario	268	499	767
2. 2nd abortion scenario	271	495	766
3. 3rd abortion scenario	308	531	839
4. 4th abortion scenario	279	512	791
5. 5th abortion scenario	283	485	768
6. 6th abortion scenario	262	538	800
7. 7th abortion scenario	258	521	779
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st abortion scenario	13.0	13.1	12.9	12.9	13.0	13.0
2. 2nd abortion scenario	13.2	13.7	12.8	12.7	13.0	13.0
3. 3rd abortion scenario	15.0	15.1	13.8	13.3	14.2	13.9
4. 4th abortion scenario	13.6	12.1	13.3	13.9	13.4	13.3
5. 5th abortion scenario	13.8	13.3	12.6	12.4	13.0	12.7
6. 6th abortion scenario	12.8	13.0	13.9	13.8	13.5	13.5
7. 7th abortion scenario	12.6	14.0	13.5	13.6	13.2	13.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_abort_financial

Label: Post: Order of abortion scenarios: financial hardship

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st abortion scenario	267	518	785
2. 2nd abortion scenario	271	500	771
3. 3rd abortion scenario	251	494	745
4. 4th abortion scenario	274	513	787
5. 5th abortion scenario	289	510	799
6. 6th abortion scenario	272	532	804
7. 7th abortion scenario	305	514	819
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st abortion scenario	13.0	12.6	13.4	13.7	13.3	13.3
2. 2nd abortion scenario	13.2	13.2	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.1
3. 3rd abortion scenario	12.2	12.4	12.8	12.9	12.6	12.7
4. 4th abortion scenario	13.3	13.8	13.3	13.6	13.3	13.7
5. 5th abortion scenario	14.1	12.9	13.2	12.8	13.5	12.8
6. 6th abortion scenario	13.2	13.3	13.8	13.3	13.6	13.3
7. 7th abortion scenario	14.8	16.1	13.3	13.1	13.8	14.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_abort_gendpref

Label: Post: Order of abortion scenarios: gender preference

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st abortion scenario	270	533	803
2. 2nd abortion scenario	264	532	796
3. 3rd abortion scenario	290	518	808
4. 4th abortion scenario	284	497	781
5. 5th abortion scenario	296	503	799
6. 6th abortion scenario	269	481	750
7. 7th abortion scenario	256	517	773
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st abortion scenario	13.1	13.8	13.8	14.5	13.6	14.2
2. 2nd abortion scenario	12.9	13.2	13.8	13.0	13.5	13.0
3. 3rd abortion scenario	14.1	13.8	13.4	13.6	13.7	13.7
4. 4th abortion scenario	13.8	14.3	12.9	11.9	13.2	12.7
5. 5th abortion scenario	14.4	15.5	13.0	12.7	13.5	13.7
6. 6th abortion scenario	13.1	12.2	12.5	13.1	12.7	12.8
7. 7th abortion scenario	12.5	11.4	13.4	13.7	13.1	12.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randord_factor_pcnamestxt

Label: Post: order of major party names: candidate R favors due to preference/aversion factor

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. Democratic first in question text	602	1761	2363
2. Republican first in quesiton text	623	1820	2443
-2. Missing	704	0	704
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. Democratic first in question text	29.3	28.8	45.6	45.4	40.0	39.6
2. Republican first in quesiton text	30.3	30.8	47.2	47.1	41.3	41.4
-2. Missing	34.3	34.6	0.0	0.0	11.9	12.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_rpcreligion

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: Mormon religion of Mitt Romney

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	177	406	583
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	180	376	556
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	259	398	657
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	230	427	657
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	194	375	569
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	185	397	582
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	238	392	630
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	243	411	654
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	223	399	622
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	8.6	8.9	10.5	10.0	9.9	9.6
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	8.8	8.5	9.7	10.8	9.4	10.0
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	12.6	12.8	10.3	10.6	11.1	11.4
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	11.2	11.9	11.1	10.2	11.1	10.8
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	9.4	8.9	9.7	10.4	9.6	9.9
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	9.0	9.5	10.3	9.9	9.8	9.8
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	11.6	11.7	10.2	10.0	10.7	10.6
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	11.8	11.3	10.6	10.3	11.1	10.6
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	10.9	10.9	10.3	10.2	10.5	10.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_dpcrace

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: race of Barack Obama

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	246	400	646
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	238	399	637
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	182	385	567
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	181	416	597
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	195	432	627
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	238	392	630
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	203	385	588
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	213	377	590
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	233	395	628
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	12.0	11.6	10.4	10.5	10.9	10.8
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	11.6	12.5	10.3	10.6	10.8	11.2
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	8.9	10.7	10.0	10.0	9.6	10.2
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	8.8	7.4	10.8	10.7	10.1	9.5
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	9.5	8.3	11.2	10.9	10.6	10.0
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	11.6	12.0	10.2	9.9	10.7	10.6
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	9.9	11.6	10.0	10.6	9.9	10.9
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	10.4	9.7	9.8	9.4	10.0	9.5
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	11.3	10.5	10.2	10.0	10.6	10.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_hcarelaw

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: Affordable Health Care Act (Obamacare)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	223	418	641
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	212	422	634
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	196	372	568
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	239	419	658
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	187	380	567
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	288	376	664
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	205	406	611
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	207	386	593
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	172	402	574
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	10.9	11.0	10.8	10.3	10.8	10.5
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	10.3	10.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	10.7
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	9.5	9.6	9.6	9.4	9.6	9.4
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	11.6	10.8	10.9	9.5	11.1	9.9
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	9.1	9.8	9.8	10.2	9.6	10.1
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	14.0	14.0	9.7	10.1	11.2	11.5
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	10.0	9.2	10.5	10.5	10.3	10.1
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	10.1	10.8	10.0	10.6	10.0	10.6
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	8.4	8.8	10.4	10.9	9.7	10.2
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_economy

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: the economy

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	242	374	616
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	233	362	595
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	204	400	604
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	215	431	646
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	242	428	670
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	176	367	543
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	203	411	614
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	186	419	605
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	228	389	617
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	11.8	11.2	9.7	9.2	10.4	9.9
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	11.3	10.6	9.4	10.0	10.1	10.2
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	9.9	9.7	10.4	9.4	10.2	9.5
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	10.5	10.9	11.2	10.9	10.9	10.9
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	11.8	13.1	11.1	11.7	11.3	12.2
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	8.6	9.0	9.5	9.2	9.2	9.2
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	9.9	9.2	10.6	10.4	10.4	10.0
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	9.1	9.4	10.9	10.4	10.2	10.0
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	11.1	11.2	10.1	11.3	10.4	11.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_foreignpol

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: foreign policy

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	207	380	587
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	204	393	597
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	220	404	624
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	217	413	630
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	225	391	616
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	217	442	659
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	222	392	614
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	214	373	587
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	203	393	596
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	10.1	10.5	9.8	10.3	9.9	10.4
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	9.9	9.8	10.2	9.5	10.1	9.6
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	10.7	10.5	10.5	10.4	10.6	10.5
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	10.6	11.0	10.7	11.1	10.7	11.1
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	11.0	10.3	10.1	9.7	10.4	9.9
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	10.6	10.3	11.5	11.9	11.1	11.4
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	10.8	10.7	10.2	10.3	10.4	10.4
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	10.4	10.7	9.7	9.5	9.9	9.9
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	9.9	10.4	10.2	9.6	10.1	9.9
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_dpcreligion

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: religion of Barack Obama

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	214	441	655
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	205	389	594
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	234	402	636
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	222	356	578
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	206	414	620
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	209	382	591
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	204	411	615
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	215	387	602
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	220	399	619
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	10.4	10.7	11.4	12.2	11.1	11.7
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	10.0	9.6	10.1	9.9	10.0	9.8
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	11.4	10.8	10.4	10.6	10.8	10.7
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	10.8	10.9	9.2	9.4	9.8	9.9
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	10.0	10.9	10.7	10.2	10.5	10.5
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	10.2	9.4	9.9	9.9	10.0	9.7
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	9.9	10.0	10.6	10.5	10.4	10.3
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	10.5	10.5	10.0	10.5	10.2	10.5
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	10.7	11.4	10.3	9.2	10.5	10.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_rpcwealth

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: wealth of Mitt Romney

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	200	390	590
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	211	401	612
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	214	442	656
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	204	360	564
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	247	372	619
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	209	440	649
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	216	356	572
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	205	431	636
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	223	389	612
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	9.7	9.8	10.1	9.5	10.0	9.6
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	10.3	11.1	10.4	10.1	10.3	10.4
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	10.4	10.5	11.5	11.4	11.1	11.1
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	9.9	10.3	9.3	9.8	9.5	10.0
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	12.0	11.9	9.6	9.4	10.5	10.3
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	10.2	9.9	11.4	11.8	11.0	11.2
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	10.5	10.8	9.2	9.6	9.7	10.0
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	10.0	10.3	11.2	10.9	10.8	10.7
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	10.9	9.7	10.1	9.9	10.3	9.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_dpcparty

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: politial party of Barack Obama

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	217	411	628
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	233	413	646
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	202	387	589
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	198	389	587
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	227	409	636
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	219	414	633
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	231	403	634
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	207	359	566
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	195	396	591
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	10.6	10.7	10.6	10.7	10.6	10.7
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	11.3	11.7	10.7	9.9	10.9	10.5
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	9.8	9.2	10.0	10.3	10.0	10.0
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	9.6	9.2	10.1	11.0	9.9	10.4
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	11.1	11.0	10.6	10.0	10.8	10.3
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	10.7	11.3	10.7	11.0	10.7	11.1
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	11.2	10.8	10.4	10.0	10.7	10.3
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	10.1	10.4	9.3	9.0	9.6	9.5
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	9.5	9.9	10.3	10.5	10.0	10.3
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_factor_rpcparty

Label: Post: Order of Presidential candidate preference/aversion factor: politial party of Mitt Romney

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	203	361	564
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	213	426	639
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	218	391	609
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	223	370	593
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	206	380	586
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	188	371	559
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	207	425	632
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	239	438	677
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	232	419	651
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

	Percentages					
	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st preference/aversion factor	9.9	10.0	9.4	9.7	9.5	9.8
2. 2nd preference/aversion factor	10.4	10.3	11.0	10.7	10.8	10.5
3. 3rd preference/aversion factor	10.6	10.4	10.1	10.3	10.3	10.4
4. 4th preference/aversion factor	10.9	11.9	9.6	9.9	10.0	10.6
5. 5th preference/aversion factor	10.0	10.1	9.8	9.8	9.9	9.9
6. 6th preference/aversion factor	9.2	8.7	9.6	8.7	9.5	8.7
7. 7th preference/aversion factor	10.1	10.3	11.0	10.7	10.7	10.6
8. 8th preference/aversion factor	11.6	11.1	11.3	11.9	11.4	11.6
9. 9th preference/aversion factor	11.3	11.4	10.9	10.8	11.0	11.0
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randopts_pk_secytreas

Label: Post: Order of numbered response option choices for political knowledge item: Treasury Secretary

27 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2	706	3860	4566
-6	122	0	122
1243	69	0	69
3421	60	0	60
4231	58	0	58
1342	57	0	57
3214	57	0	57
4213	57	0	57
4312	57	0	57
2314	56	0	56

randopts_pk_pty2ndhse

Label: Post: Order of numbered response option choices for political knowledge item: political party 2nd in House seats won

27 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2	707	3860	4567
-6	122	0	122
1243	69	0	69
3421	60	0	60
4231	58	0	58
1342	57	0	57
3214	57	0	57
4213	57	0	57
4312	57	0	57
2314	56	0	56

randopts_pk_secyun

Label: Post: Order of numbered response option choices for political knowledge item: U.N. Secretary

27 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-2	707	3860	4567
-6	122	0	122
1243	69	0	69
3421	60	0	60
4231	58	0	58
1342	57	0	57
3214	57	0	57
4213	57	0	57
4312	57	0	57
2314	56	0	56

randordq_casitherm_asianam

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Asian-Americans

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	356	739	1095
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	401	742	1143
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	334	691	1025
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	399	708	1107
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	439	701	1140
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	17.3	16.6	19.1	19.2	18.5	18.3
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	19.5	19.7	19.2	18.9	19.3	19.2
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	16.3	16.7	17.9	17.4	17.3	17.2
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	19.4	20.5	18.3	19.5	18.7	19.8
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	21.4	20.7	18.2	17.5	19.3	18.6
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_casitherm_hisp

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Hispanics

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	379	700	1079
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	386	698	1084
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	411	763	1174
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	411	697	1108
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	342	723	1065
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	18.5	19.2	18.1	17.7	18.2	18.2
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	18.8	19.2	18.1	18.2	18.3	18.5
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	20.0	20.3	19.8	20.1	19.9	20.2
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	20.0	19.5	18.1	17.8	18.7	18.4
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	16.7	16.0	18.7	18.7	18.0	17.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_casitherm_black

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Blacks

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	422	735	1157
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	366	723	1089
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	414	685	1099
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	342	711	1053
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	385	727	1112
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	20.5	20.0	19.0	18.7	19.6	19.2
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	17.8	17.7	18.7	19.2	18.4	18.7
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	20.2	20.2	17.7	17.9	18.6	18.7
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	16.7	17.2	18.4	18.2	17.8	17.9
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	18.7	19.1	18.8	18.4	18.8	18.7
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_casitherm_illegal

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: illegal immigrants

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	402	694	1096
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	389	742	1131
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	396	725	1121
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	356	728	1084
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	386	692	1078
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	19.6	19.5	18.0	18.4	18.5	18.8
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	18.9	19.5	19.2	19.0	19.1	19.2
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	19.3	18.2	18.8	18.6	19.0	18.5
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	17.3	17.6	18.9	18.4	18.3	18.1
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	18.8	19.4	17.9	18.0	18.2	18.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_casitherm_white

Label: Post: Order of group thermometer: Whites

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	370	713	1083
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	387	676	1063
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	374	717	1091
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	421	737	1158
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	377	738	1115
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	149	152

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st CASI/Web group thermometer	18.0	18.8	18.5	18.4	18.3	18.6
2. 2nd CASI/Web group thermometer	18.8	18.2	17.5	17.2	18.0	17.5
3. 3rd CASI/Web group thermometer	18.2	18.8	18.6	18.5	18.4	18.6
4. 4th CASI/Web group thermometer	20.5	19.5	19.1	18.6	19.6	18.9
5. 5th CASI/Web group thermometer	18.4	19.0	19.1	19.8	18.9	19.5
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	3.9	4.1	2.6	2.7

randordq_stereogroups

Label: Post: Order of numbered stereotype groups (after Whites)

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
123. Order: 1 (Blacks), 2 (Hispanics), 3 (Asians)	330	620	950
132. Order: 1 (Blacks), 3 (Asians), 2 (Hispanics)	337	639	976
213. Order: 2 (Hispanics), 1 (Blacks), 3 (Asians)	308	557	865
231. Order: 2 (Hispanics), 3 (Asians), 1 (Blacks)	343	595	938
312. Order: 3 (Asians), 1 (Blacks), 2 (Hispanics)	321	627	948
321. Order: 3 (Asians), 2 (Hispanics), 1 (Blacks)	290	579	869
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	130	252
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	113	116

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
123. Order: 1 (Blacks), 2 (Hispanics), 3 (Asians)	16.1	15.9	16.1	16.5	16.1	16.3
132. Order: 1 (Blacks), 3 (Asians), 2 (Hispanics)	16.4	17.2	16.6	16.8	16.5	16.9
213. Order: 2 (Hispanics), 1 (Blacks), 3 (Asians)	15.0	14.6	14.4	13.5	14.6	13.9
231. Order: 2 (Hispanics), 3 (Asians), 1 (Blacks)	16.7	17.5	15.4	15.6	15.9	16.3
312. Order: 3 (Asians), 1 (Blacks), 2 (Hispanics)	15.6	14.3	16.2	16.1	16.0	15.5
321. Order: 3 (Asians), 2 (Hispanics), 1 (Blacks)	14.1	14.8	15.0	14.8	14.7	14.8
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	4.2
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	2.9	3.1	2.0	2.1

randordq_discrim_black

Label: Post: Order of discrimination group: Blacks

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st discrimination group	212	0	212
2. 2nd discrimination group	258	0	258
3. 3rd discrimination group	259	0	259
4. 4th discrimination group	262	0	262
5. 5th discrimination group	225	0	225
-2. Missing	713	3860	4573
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st discrimination group	10.3	10.3	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.6
2. 2nd discrimination group	12.6	12.9	0.0	0.0	4.4	4.5
3. 3rd discrimination group	12.6	12.5	0.0	0.0	4.4	4.4
4. 4th discrimination group	12.8	12.9	0.0	0.0	4.4	4.5
5. 5th discrimination group	11.0	10.6	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.7
-2. Missing	34.7	35.0	100.0	100.0	77.3	77.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

randordq_discrim_hisp

Label: Post: Order of discrimination group: Hispanics

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st discrimination group	265	0	265
2. 2nd discrimination group	236	0	236
3. 3rd discrimination group	235	0	235
4. 4th discrimination group	240	0	240
5. 5th discrimination group	240	0	240
-2. Missing	713	3860	4573
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st discrimination group	12.9	12.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	4.3
2. 2nd discrimination group	11.5	11.6	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0
3. 3rd discrimination group	11.4	11.2	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.9
4. 4th discrimination group	11.7	12.1	0.0	0.0	4.1	4.2
5. 5th discrimination group	11.7	11.8	0.0	0.0	4.1	4.1
-2. Missing	34.7	35.0	100.0	100.0	77.3	77.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

randordq_discrim_white

Label: Post: Order of discrimination group: Whites

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st discrimination group	211	0	211
2. 2nd discrimination group	244	0	244
3. 3rd discrimination group	274	0	274
4. 4th discrimination group	245	0	245
5. 5th discrimination group	242	0	242
-2. Missing	713	3860	4573
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st discrimination group	10.3	10.7	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.7
2. 2nd discrimination group	11.9	11.7	0.0	0.0	4.1	4.1
3. 3rd discrimination group	13.3	13.2	0.0	0.0	4.6	4.6
4. 4th discrimination group	11.9	11.3	0.0	0.0	4.1	3.9
5. 5th discrimination group	11.8	12.3	0.0	0.0	4.1	4.3
-2. Missing	34.7	35.0	100.0	100.0	77.3	77.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

randordq_discrim_gay

Label: Post: Order of discrimination group: gays and lesbians

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st discrimination group	247	0	247
2. 2nd discrimination group	267	0	267
3. 3rd discrimination group	210	0	210
4. 4th discrimination group	219	0	219
5. 5th discrimination group	273	0	273
-2. Missing	713	3860	4573
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st discrimination group	12.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.6
2. 2nd discrimination group	13.0	12.7	0.0	0.0	4.5	4.4
3. 3rd discrimination group	10.2	10.3	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.6
4. 4th discrimination group	10.7	10.4	0.0	0.0	3.7	3.6
5. 5th discrimination group	13.3	12.5	0.0	0.0	4.6	4.3
-2. Missing	34.7	35.0	100.0	100.0	77.3	77.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

randordq_discrim_women

Label: Post: Order of discrimination group: women

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
1. 1st discrimination group	281	0	281
2. 2nd discrimination group	211	0	211
3. 3rd discrimination group	238	0	238
4. 4th discrimination group	250	0	250
5. 5th discrimination group	236	0	236
-2. Missing	713	3860	4573
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	122	0	122
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	3	0	3

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
1. 1st discrimination group	13.7	12.5	0.0	0.0	4.8	4.4
2. 2nd discrimination group	10.3	10.2	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.5
3. 3rd discrimination group	11.6	11.9	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.1
4. 4th discrimination group	12.2	12.6	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.4
5. 5th discrimination group	11.5	11.9	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.1
-2. Missing	34.7	35.0	100.0	100.0	77.3	77.4
-6. Not asked, unit nonresponse (no post-election interview)	5.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0
-7. Deleted due to partial (post-election) interview	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0

randordq_stereorelig

Label: Post: Order of (Web only) religion stereotype groups

27 unique outcomes. 10 most frequently appearing outcomes:

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
-1	2054	0	2054
1234	0	165	165
3421	0	163	163
3142	0	162	162
1432	0	161	161
2314	0	160	160
1342	0	157	157
2134	0	157	157
3214	0	157	157
1243	0	155	155

invited_inetrecontact2013

Label: WEB ONLY: Respondent invited to 2013 Internet Recontact Survey

Unweighted Frequencies

	FTF	Web	Total
0. Not invited (Web only)	0	1495	1495
1. Invited (Web only)	0	2365	2365
-1. Inap, FTF	2054	0	2054

Percentages

	FTF		Web		Total	
	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights	Raw	Weights
0. Not invited (Web only)	0.0	0.0	38.7	40.1	25.3	26.1
1. Invited (Web only)	0.0	0.0	61.3	59.9	40.0	39.1
-1. Inap, FTF	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	34.7